

**立法會**  
**Legislative Council**

LC Paper No. CB(1) 1860/01-02  
(These minutes have been seen  
by the Administration)

Ref: CB1/BC/6/00/2

**Bills Committee on  
Land Registration (Amendment) Bill 2000**

**Eighth meeting on  
Monday, 13 May 2002, at 10:45 am  
in Conference Room B of the Legislative Council Building**

**Members present** : Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip (Chairman)  
Hon Margaret NG  
Hon CHAN Yuen-han, JP  
Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong, JP  
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, JP  
Hon LAU Ping-cheung  
Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP

**Members absent** : Hon Andrew WONG Wang-fat, JP  
Hon Miriam LAU Kin-yee, JP  
Hon IP Kwok-him, JP

**Public officers attending** : Planning and Lands Bureau  
  
Mr Parrish NG  
Principal Assistant Secretary (Buildings)  
  
Ms Peggy CHAN  
Assistant Secretary (Buildings)3  
  
Land Registry  
  
Mr Kim SALKELD  
Land Registrar

Mrs Alice LEE  
Registry Manager

Ms May LEE  
Deputy Principal Solicitor

Department of Justice

Mr Geoffrey FOX  
Senior Assistant Law Draftsman

Ms Rayne CHAI  
Government Counsel

**Clerk in attendance** : Miss Becky YU  
Chief Assistant Secretary (1)1

**Staff in attendance** : Ms Bernice WONG  
Assistant Legal Adviser 1

Mrs Mary TANG  
Senior Assistant Secretary (1)2

---

**I Confirmation of minutes of previous meeting**

(LC Paper No. CB(1) 613/01-02 — Minutes of the meeting held on  
19 November 2001)

The minutes of the meeting held on 19 November 2001 were confirmed.

**II Meeting with the Administration**

(LC Paper No. CB(1) 1582/01-02(01) — List of follow-up actions arising  
from the discussion on  
19 November 2001

LC Paper No. CB(1) 1582/01-02(02) — Administration's response to  
CB(1) 1582/01-02(01)

LC Paper No. CB(1) 1702/01-02(01) — Mark-up copy of the latest draft of  
Committee Stage amendments)

2. The Bills Committee deliberated (Index of proceedings attached at **Annex A**).

3. The Administration was requested to -
- (a) consult the Hong Kong Bar Association (BA) on the proposed amendments to regulations 15 and 15A regarding stopped deeds and the propriety of their inclusion in the Regulation rather than the principal Ordinance;
  - (b) proceed to introduce Committee Stage amendments to delete section 67 of the Schedule to the Bill and make consequential amendments if a reply from BA was not forthcoming within two weeks' time so as not to delay the passage of the Bill; and
  - (c) provide an undertaking that it would follow up the issue of stopped deeds at a later stage.

### **III Any other business**

4. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 11:52 am.

Legislative Council Secretariat  
28 May 2002

**Proceedings of the meeting of the  
Bills Committee on Land Registration (Amendment) Bill 2000  
on Monday, 13 May 2002 at 10:45 am.  
in Conference Room B of the Legislative Council Building**

<b>Time</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action required</b>
000000 - 000159	Chairman	Confirmation of minutes of meeting on 19 November 2001(LC Paper No CB(1)613/01-02	
000159 - 000340	Miss Margaret NG	Concerned about the proposed amendments to regulations 15 and 15A. Whether the amendments were within the original scope of the Bill and whether such had altered the existing law with regard to the priority of registered instruments under section 3 of the Land Registration Ordinance(LRO)	
000340 - 000551	Administration	Proposed amendments to regulations 15 and 15A of the Land Registration Regulations (the Regulation) were consistent with the objectives of the Bill which aimed at providing certainty of law. They were made in consultation with the Law Society of Hong Kong (LS) which considered that there should be set guidelines on the operation of the system rather than relying on the Court's decision	
000551 - 000624	Miss Margaret NG	Whether the amendments were within the scope of the Regulation	
000624 - 001251	Administration	Reference made to sections 3 and 5 of LRO governing the priority of registered instruments. Amendments to regulation 15A would only apply on very rare occasions upon the occurrence of all of the following events - (a) where the Land Registrar (LR) had decided to remove the particulars of a stopped deed;	

		<p>(b) the application was made out of time;</p> <p>(c) the Court exercised its discretion to allow the application for review to be made out of time; and</p> <p>(d) the Court made a decision on whether the particulars of the stopped deed should be reinstated on the land register. The amendments would expressly provide for the priority position under different scenarios as set out in the Administration's paper under LC Paper No CB(1) No1582/01-02(02). These scenarios were not covered under existing section 3 of LRO which only dealt with the priority of registered documents</p>	
001251 001339	- Miss Margaret NG	Concerned that the amendments would be changing the existing law	
001339 001433	- Administration	Section 3(1) of LRO was prefixed by the words "Subject to this Ordinance". This meant that the section was subject to all the provisions of LRO including all the subsidiary legislation made under LRO and would be subject to any other priority given under LRO or its subsidiary legislation	
001433 001435	- Miss Margaret NG	Whether the existing law on priority of instruments under section 3 could be changed by way of amendments to the regulation which may be ultra vires	
001435 001440	- Administration	Confirmed that the proposed amendments were intra vires	
001440 001525	- Miss Margaret NG	Whether the amendments changed the existing law and to what extent	
001525 001538	- Chairman	Ditto	

001538 001721	- Administration	The proposed amendments would not change the legal principles but would provide certainty of law. Section 3 did not deal with the position of stopped deeds for which the particulars had been removed from the land register and then subsequently reinstated	
001721 001821	- Chairman	Whether it was more appropriate to amend the principal Ordinance than the Regulation	
001821 001906	- Administration	Section 3 of LRO did not deal with specific circumstances. The proposed amendments would address the concerns raised by the Bills Committee and LS and set out unequivocally the priority position of instruments in different scenarios	
001906 002115	- Miss Margaret NG	Expressed reservations over the proposed amendments which were made at such a late stage and which might be changing the existing law through the creation of new priorities	
002115 002301	- Administration	The proposed amendments were not creating new priorities. They were only dealing with stopped deeds which were not covered under the principal Ordinance. The problem of stopped deeds had created uncertainty to titles and mechanisms need to be worked out in the handling of stopped deeds	
002301 002418	- Ms Audrey EU	Need for consultation with the Hong Kong Bar Association (BA). Whether it would be better if the amendments were to be made to the principal ordinance	
002418 002500	- Administration	It was considered more logical to amend the Regulation rather than the principal Ordinance as such would obviate the need for cross referencing and would facilitate future amendments	

002500 002515	- Chairman	Consultation with BA	
002515 002609	- Administration	LS was consulted because it was the major user of registering services. BA was however not consulted. In the light of the comments made, the Administration would proceed to consult BA	
002609 002825	- Ms Audrey EU	Need to consult BA as the proposed amendments dealt with a change in priority of instruments which might affect substantive rights	
002825 002905	- Dr TANG Siu-tong	Circumstances under which the Court would exercise its discretion to allow the application for a review of LR's decision to remove the particulars of the stopped deeds to be made out of time. Enquired about the authority liable to pay for damages to the affected party should there be an assignment of ownership of property after the removal of stopped deed	
002905 003117	- Administration	Application for review of LR's decision to remove the particulars of the stopped deed should be within the statutory period of 60 days. It would be up to the Court to decide whether the application for review could be made out of time. Chances for a successful review made out of time were slim. Where an assignment of property had been registered after the removal of the particulars of the stopped deed, the new owner should not be subject to the stopped deed. If the Court decided on the reinstatement of the particulars of the stopped deed on the land register, the priority of instruments would need to be decided	
003117 003130	- Dr TANG Siu-tong	Remedy to the affected party should an reinstatement of the particulars of stopped deed was allowed by the Court	

003130 003218	- Administration	Section 23A of LRO provided that LR should be liable for any loss or damage if he willfully or negligently failed to comply with his obligations under section 23	
003218 003246	- Miss Margaret NG	Imperative that both LS and BA should be consulted. Present position on the priority of stopped deeds	
003246 003325	- Administration	Instruments only had priority upon their registration. If the instruments were not registered, they would not have priority but would remain as pending deeds	
003325 003427	- Miss Margaret NG	Enquiry on handling of stopped deeds	
003427 003449	- Administration	Particulars of stopped deeds entered into "Deeds Pending Registration" column of land register. These would be removed from the column when the requisite information was provided and the deed was subsequently registered	
003449 003517	- Miss Margaret NG	Request for clarification on priority of instruments	
003517 003610	- Administration	Date of registration of instruments governed by regulation 16 which stated that registration of an instrument when completed shall take effect from the date of first filing. If the new instrument was subject to the stopped deed, its particulars would be entered into the "Deeds Pending Registration" column	
003610 003621	- Miss Margaret NG	Removal of stopped deeds	
003621 003641	- Administration	No provision under LRO and regulations to remove stopped deeds. Some stopped deeds dated back to 1967. New instruments subject to stopped deeds could not be registered	



003641 003706	- Miss Margaret NG	Ditto	
003706 003817	- Administration	The proposed amendments would deal with the removal of stopped deeds	
003817 003838	- Miss Margaret NG	The proposed amendments would have an impact on the priority of instruments. Need to consult BA on the proposed amendments	
003838 003950	- Administration	Ditto	
003950 004032	- Miss Margaret NG	Ditto	
004032 004130	- Chairman	Bills Committee did not object to the proposed amendments in principle but was however concerned whether these should be put into the principal Ordinance or the Regulation. Need to consult BA on whether the priority of instruments as proposed was acceptable and whether the proposed amendments should be made to the principal ordinance or to the regulations	
004130 004156	- Administration	Whether the proposed amendments should be in the principal ordinance or the regulations was a drafting issue	
004156 004256	- Miss Margaret NG	The inclusion of a new situation in the principal Ordinance or the Regulation was not just a matter of drafting but a matter of policy. Such amendments should more appropriately be reflected in the principal Ordinance	
004256 004342	- Administration	It would be unusual to take out the amendments from the Regulation and put them in the principal Ordinance	

004342 004450	- Miss Margaret NG	Same situation had arisen with the accountability system where there were different views on how amendments should be made. Need to ensure that no inadvertent mistakes were made	
004450 004730	- Administration	Agreed that a more cautious approach should be adopted in dealing with fundamental rights and that BA should be consulted. Concerned that BA would need time to consider the proposed amendments and this might have impact on the computerization contract and other improvements made to the registration system. Since the amendments with regard to the handling of stopped deeds were a small part of the Bill and self-contained, these could be dealt with at a later stage by way of another Bill if comments from BA could not be received in time. This arrangement might not be welcome by LS who would prefer to see the Bill passed in its entirety	
004730 004748	- Chairman	Ditto	
004748 004912	- Miss Margaret NG	Expressed support for the proposed arrangement which was in fact her original position. The removal of stopped deeds was an improvement to the system but this should not be allowed to hold up the passage of the Bill	
004912 005019	- Administration	Consideration could be given to taking away provisions relating to the issue of stopped deeds and introducing them as part of a regulation under section 28 of LRO. However, as this would take a longer time, it would be best if the provisions could be sorted out at the present stage	

005019 005045	- Chairman	The changes that would be made to the Bill if comments from BA could not be received in time	
005045 005141	- Administration	Section 67 of the Schedule to the Bill containing the new regulations 15 and 15A would be deleted. These amendments and other minor consequential amendments would be included in a subsidiary legislation to be introduced separately at a later stage	
005141 005216	- Miss Margaret NG	Regulations 15 and 15A regarding the handling of stopped deeds were a minor part of the Bill. The main aim of the Bill was to re-organize the Land Registry	
005216 005244	- Administration	A Committee Stage amendment could be introduced to delete section 67 of the Schedule to the Bill regarding the handling of stopped deeds	
005244 005319	- Chairman	Concerned about the issues raised by members and deputations regarding stopped deeds. If section 67 was repealed, some of their concerns could not be addressed	
005319 005412	- Miss Margaret NG	Problem of stopped deeds had been dealt with without affecting substantive rights	
005412 005632	- Administration	Even though section 67 of the Schedule to the Bill was removed, the issue of stopped deeds would be followed-up	
005632 005811	- Miss Margaret NG	Ditto	
005811 005911	- Chairman	The Administration should give an undertaking on how it proposed to deal with the issue of stopped deeds in future if this could not be dealt with at this point in time	

005911 005927	- Administration	No point in holding up improvements to the registration system brought about by the Bill. A quick way of dealing with the issue of stopped deeds would be by way of introducing a subsidiary legislation under section 28 of LRO	
005927 005934	- Miss Margaret NG	If BA considered that the amendments could be introduced in the Regulation rather than the principal Ordinance, amendments could be introduced very quickly. The Bills Committee would need to be cautious in dealing with the stopped deed issue and consultation was necessary	
005934 005948	- Administration	Ditto	
005948 010020	- Chairman	Bills Committee should be advised of the outcome of consultation with BA as soon as practicable. If BA could not come back with its views within two weeks, the Administration could proceed with the deletion of section 67 of the Schedule and deal with the issue of stopped deeds at a later date	
010020 010035	- Ms Audrey EU	Need for consequential amendments	
010035 010046	- Administration	Committee Stage amendments mainly dealt with deletion of section 67 of the Schedule	
010046 010117	- Miss Margaret NG	Agreed that the Administration could proceed as proposed	
010117 010252	- Chairman	Consensus reached with the Bills Committee on the proposed arrangement. Need for an undertaking from the Administration on how the issue of stopped deeds would be dealt with	

010252 010335	- Miss Margaret NG	If BA felt that it would need time to decide, then the Administration could request for an early indication as to whether the amendments should be set out in the Regulation or the principal Ordinance	
010335 010358	- Chairman	Legislative timetable	
010358 010440	- Administration	Expect to resume Second Reading debate in mid-June 2002	
010440 010509	- Miss Margaret NG	If BA was able to come back with its views within two weeks, the Bills Committee might have to consider holding another meeting	
010509 010516	- Administration	If BA's views would lead to substantial changes, the Administration would prefer to delete section 67 of the Schedule to the Bill regarding stopped deeds and to proceed with the passage of the Bill within the current session in order not to delay other improvements to the registration system	
010516 010630	- Chairman	Should there be any complication with the issue of stopped deeds that would delay the passage of the Bill, the Administration could proceed to resume the Second Reading debate of the Bill by deleting section 67 of the Schedule to the Bill. However, if there was sufficient time to address the issues raised by BA, a meeting would be convened for the purpose	
010630 010607	-	End	

**Note: The audio records of the above proceedings are kept at the LegCo Library**