

**Administration's response to the issues  
raised by Members at the meeting on 28.9.2001**

As requested by Members, we will cover the following during the resumption of Second Reading debate on the Bill –

- (i) The interpretation of section 4(1) of the Massage Establishments Ordinance (MEO), in particular under what circumstances a massage assistant might or might not be liable to prosecution for assisting in the operation or management of the massage establishment; and
- (ii) whether premises other than a massage establishment at which massage service was administered would fall under the definition of massage establishment in section 2 of MEO and be subject to the control of MEO.