

LC Paper No. CB(2)239/02-03(02)

From: Future Son in law of HK
To: 852+ 2877 8024

Dear Mr. Lam,

Being that I am US citizen, article 23rd should least concerns me, since if and when the political climate ever gets unbearable, I can always move my wife and family back to the States.

But looking at the 23rd... I read it back and forth to make sure that I fully understood the issue before I send you my comments. Article 23rd is a legitimate article. I would hope that any citizens in any country would proudly defend their own national security. The BUT here is that the 23rd is honoring the national security of the unchecked political mind of a one man, just whoever happens to be the "emperor" of the Communist Party in Beijing.

The question lies in that can you safely sure that every "emperor" is a good emperor? And would you put the fate of 6 million smart and hard working HK citizens at the hands of an unchecked corrupt small group of elite in Beijing?

Examining the track record of the Chinese Communist Party, they have only been "prosperous" for the last decade and possibly this decade or probably the next, but to bank on the freedom that HK average citizens has enjoyed on the recent prosperity of China is a grave mistake.

And Mrs. Yip is a disgraceful politician. She keeps saying article 23rd have the hearts of the HK people.. well Mrs. Yip, put your money where your mouth is and put the 23rd on a referendum. If people of HK pass the 23rd based on majority.. not going to happen with the Beijing regime.

What guarantee do you have under the Chinese Communist's interpretation of national security as the voice common by its citizens? Instead of asking the HK citizen what they think of the national security, ask them if they feel safe to put their trust and their future on the Beijing regime.

I certainly don't and hence the 23rd.

The future Son in Law,
Too scare to put real name and contact information for the fear of the backlash that one day I may received for sending this fax