

LC Paper No. CB(2)841/02-03(03)

6 January 2003

The Head of RTHK Radio 3 attn. Mr. Brian Curtis

Dear Sir,

Myths & Realities of Clause 23 Legislation

This is further to my fax and e-mail dated 29/12/02 addressed to the producer of RTHK View Point Programme and copied to you as well, requesting an opportunity to express my views on the above topic.

The conspicuous lack of response to my request, reaffirms my view that RTHK 3 is *viciously hostile* to broadcasting public views *supporting* the Clause 23 Legislation. In fact well over 90% of those who are invited to express views on the 23 Legislation continue to be those who are opposed to *ANY* Clause 23 legislation, despite the fact that the Basic Law imposes a *mandatory* requirement to enact such laws.

I am therefore reluctantly compelled to repeat my main allegation conveyed in my letter dated 29/10/02 under the caption "RTHK Radio 3 , a Trojan Horse" and in my hitherto un-replied letter of 26/11/02 in response to your belated reply of 26/11/02 to my above letter. The allegation is that RTHK 3 has clearly become the *chief mouthpiece* of those who are engaged in activities aimed at *destabilizing the SAR* and those wishing to use Hong Kong as a base for activities aimed at *overthrowing the Central Government*.

It is abundantly clear that RTHK 3 has conspiratorially abandoned the pre 1997 role of RTHK as a Government Radio Station. Most RTHK 3 news casters, producers and presenters have been misusing the RTHK to pursue their own political agendas including carrying out seditious propaganda and aiding and abetting those calling for the break up of China.

Instead of serving the Hong Kong Tax Payers, as they should, they have been shamelessly misinterpreting the oft quoted 'high degree of autonomy' for their own benefit and those of the Masters they actually serve, who clearly include *subversive* religious and political organizations.

In this connection, I challenge you to publish the names of the persons that RTHK 3 has invited to express views during December 2002 on Clause 23 legislation and a corresponding list during the height of the dispute over Governor Patten's political reform proposals just before 1997.

I also challenge you to publish recordings of a whole week's Radio 3 programmes from 8 am to 9.30 am and 6 pm to 7.30 pm in early December 2002 and during a corresponding week at the height of the Colonial Government's campaign related to the infamous political reforms.

If you do prepare such lists and recordings, you will realize how unfounded your claim is that RTHK 3 may only be *perceived* to be hostile to the SAR and Central Governments *merely* because presenters do act as 'Devil's Advocate' just to generate discussion. In actual fact RTHK 3 presenters have been actively supporting the views of those guests opposing Clause 23 legislation and have been adopting a Devil's advocate role only towards those supporting it.

Yours faithfully,

Bernard Wijedoru

CC: Hon. Exco & Legco Members
Secretaries for Justice and Security
Liaison Office of the Central People's Govt in the HKSAR
News Coordinator CE's office
ICAC & Ombudsman's Offices
Director of Information
Director of Broadcasting
Media

LC Paper No. CB(2)841/02-03(03)

6 January 2003

The Head of RTHK Radio 3 attn. Mr. Brian Curtis

Dear Sir,

Myths & Realities of Clause 23 Legislation

This is further to my fax and e-mail dated 29/12/02 addressed to the producer of RTHK View Point Programme and copied to you as well, requesting an opportunity to express my views on the above topic.

The conspicuous lack of response to my request, reaffirms my view that RTHK 3 is *viciously hostile* to broadcasting public views *supporting* the Clause 23 Legislation. In fact well over 90% of those who are invited to express views on the 23 Legislation continue to be those who are opposed to *ANY* Clause 23 legislation, despite the fact that the Basic Law imposes a *mandatory* requirement to enact such laws.

I am therefore reluctantly compelled to repeat my main allegation conveyed in my letter dated 29/10/02 under the caption "RTHK Radio 3, a Trojan Horse" and in my hitherto un-replied letter of 26/11/02 in response to your belated reply of 26/11/02 to my above letter. The allegation is that RTHK 3 has clearly become the *chief mouthpiece* of those who are engaged in activities aimed at *destabilizing the SAR* and those wishing to use Hong Kong as a base for activities aimed at *overthrowing the Central Government*.

It is abundantly clear that RTHK 3 has conspiratorially abandoned the pre 1997 role of RTHK as a Government Radio Station. Most RTHK 3 news casters, producers and presenters have been misusing the RTHK to pursue their own political agendas including carrying out seditious propaganda and aiding and abetting those calling for the break up of China.

Instead of serving the Hong Kong Tax Payers, as they should, they have been shamelessly misinterpreting the oft quoted 'high degree of autonomy' for their own benefit and those of the Masters they actually serve, who clearly include *subversive* religious and political organizations.

In this connection, I challenge you to publish the names of the persons that RTHK 3 has invited to express views during December 2002 on Clause 23 legislation and a corresponding list during the height of the dispute over Governor Patten's political reform proposals just before 1997.

I also challenge you to publish recordings of a whole week's Radio 3 programmes from 8 am to 9.30 am and 6 pm to 7.30 pm in early December 2002 and during a corresponding week at the height of the Colonial Government's campaign related to the infamous political reforms.

If you do prepare such lists and recordings, you will realize how unfounded your claim is that RTHK 3 may only be *perceived* to be hostile to the SAR and Central Governments *merely* because presenters do act as 'Devil's Advocate' just to generate discussion. In actual fact RTHK 3 presenters have been actively supporting the views of those guests opposing Clause 23 legislation and have been adopting a Devil's advocate role only towards those supporting it.

Yours faithfully,

Bernard Wijedoru

CC: Hon. Exco & Legco Members
Secretaries for Justice and Security
Liaison Office of the Central People's Govt in the HKSAR
News Coordinator CE's office
ICAC & Ombudsman's Offices
Director of Information
Director of Broadcasting
Media

LC Paper No. CB(2)841/02-03(03)

6 January 2003

The Head of RTHK Radio 3 attn. Mr. Brian Curtis

Dear Sir,

Myths & Realities of Clause 23 Legislation

This is further to my fax and e-mail dated 29/12/02 addressed to the producer of RTHK View Point Programme and copied to you as well, requesting an opportunity to express my views on the above topic.

The conspicuous lack of response to my request, reaffirms my view that RTHK 3 is *viciously hostile* to broadcasting public views *supporting* the Clause 23 Legislation. In fact well over 90% of those who are invited to express views on the 23 Legislation continue to be those who are opposed to *ANY* Clause 23 legislation, despite the fact that the Basic Law imposes a *mandatory* requirement to enact such laws.

I am therefore reluctantly compelled to repeat my main allegation conveyed in my letter dated 29/10/02 under the caption "RTHK Radio 3, a Trojan Horse" and in my hitherto un-replied letter of 26/11/02 in response to your belated reply of 26/11/02 to my above letter. The allegation is that RTHK 3 has clearly become the *chief mouthpiece* of those who are engaged in activities aimed at *destabilizing the SAR* and those wishing to use Hong Kong as a base for activities aimed at *overthrowing the Central Government*.

It is abundantly clear that RTHK 3 has conspiratorially abandoned the pre 1997 role of RTHK as a Government Radio Station. Most RTHK 3 news casters, producers and presenters have been misusing the RTHK to pursue their own political agendas including carrying out seditious propaganda and aiding and abetting those calling for the break up of China.

Instead of serving the Hong Kong Tax Payers, as they should, they have been shamelessly misinterpreting the oft quoted 'high degree of autonomy' for their own benefit and those of the Masters they actually serve, who clearly include *subversive* religious and political organizations.

In this connection, I challenge you to publish the names of the persons that RTHK 3 has invited to express views during December 2002 on Clause 23 legislation and a corresponding list during the height of the dispute over Governor Patten's political reform proposals just before 1997.

I also challenge you to publish recordings of a whole week's Radio 3 programmes from 8 am to 9.30 am and 6 pm to 7.30 pm in early December 2002 and during a corresponding week at the height of the Colonial Government's campaign related to the infamous political reforms.

If you do prepare such lists and recordings, you will realize how unfounded your claim is that RTHK 3 may only be *perceived* to be hostile to the SAR and Central Governments *merely* because presenters do act as 'Devil's Advocate' just to generate discussion. In actual fact RTHK 3 presenters have been actively supporting the views of those guests opposing Clause 23 legislation and have been adopting a Devil's advocate role only towards those supporting it.

Yours faithfully,

Bernard Wijedoru

CC: Hon. Exco & Legco Members
Secretaries for Justice and Security
Liaison Office of the Central People's Govt in the HKSAR
News Coordinator CE's office
ICAC & Ombudsman's Offices
Director of Information
Director of Broadcasting
Media