LC Paper No. CB(2)1743/03-04(01)

Proposed

Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services

List of outstanding items for discussion

(position as at 17 March 2004)

timing for discussion Applicability of HKSAR laws to offices set up by Central People's **Government in HKSAR** The item was discussed at a number of meetings of the Panel since 1998, To be advised by and last discussed on 26 June 2001. the Administration The Director of Administration (D of A) advised on 6 October 2003 that the relevant policy bureaux and departments would introduce the legislative amendments into LegCo in due course, having regard to the legislative priorities within the respective bureaux. The Constitutional Affairs Bureau will consult LegCo when concrete legislative proposals have been formulated. **Operation of Legal Aid Services Council (LASC)** 2004-05 session The proposals of LASC to make certain amendments to the Legal Aid Services Council Ordinance and some other Ordinances to enhance the role and operation of LASC were discussed at the Panel meeting on 26 June 2001.

At the meeting on 31 March 2003, the Panel was briefed on the progress of deliberation between LASC and the Administration regarding the legislative proposals. The Administration would revert to the Panel on the proposed legislative amendments to give effect to the relevant proposals agreed upon between LASC and the Administration in the next legislative session.

3. Review of provision of legal aid services

1.

2.

In October 2001, the Panel formed a Working Group to examine the relevant ordinances and subsidiary legislation concerning the provision of legal aid services in order to identify issues for the purpose of review and to make recommendations where appropriate. A list of issues for review (LC Paper No. CB(2)2646/01-02) was endorsed by the Panel and sent to the Administration for consideration on 1 August 2002.

To be decided by the Panel

At the meetings on 23 June, 29 July and 27 October 2003, the Administration briefed the Panel on -

- (a) the proposed revisions of the financial eligibility limits for the Ordinary Legal Aid Scheme and the Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme (SLAS) as a result of the annual and biennial reviews;
- (b) the outcome of the five-yearly review of the criteria used for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants; and
- (c) its responses to the issues identified by the Panel for review by the Administration.

At its meeting on 29 January 2004, the Panel considered the written submissions from LASC (LC Paper No. CB(2)1094/03-04(03)), the Bar Association (LC Paper No. CB(2)644/03-04(01)), the Law Society (LC Paper No. CB(2)1094/03-04(02)), and a paper provided by the Administration on the Court of Appeal case CACC 365 of 2000 (LC Paper No. CB(2)370/03-04(01)).

The Administration has undertaken to respond in writing to the submissions made by LASC and the Law Society.

4. Criminal legal aid fees system

The issue of criminal legal aid fees system was raised by the Bar Association and Law Society at the Panel meetings on 23 June and 29 July 2003 when the item on "Review of provision of legal aid services" was discussed. The two legal professional bodies were of the view that the existing system was outdated and should be reviewed in the context of the Legal Aid in Criminal Cases Rules by the Rules Committee set up under the Criminal Procedure Ordinance.

The Panel was informed that the two legal professional bodies had formed a joint working party to consider the matter and the Administration would respond to the views and recommendations of the joint working party after it had completed the study. Members agreed that the subject matter should be followed up by the Panel in due course.

5. Procedure for endorsement of appointment and removal of judges by LegCo under Article 73(7) of the Basic Law

The report of the Panel on procedure for endorsement of appointment of judges by LegCo under Article 73(7) of the Basic Law was endorsed by the House Committee on 16 May 2003 (LC Paper No. CB(2)2059/02-03)).

Pending receipt of the recommendations of the joint working party of the two professional bodies

To be decided by the Panel after receipt of comments At its meeting on 26 May 2003, the Panel agreed that the views of the Judiciary and the Administration should be sought on whether the procedure for endorsement of judicial appointments should also apply to endorsement of removal of judges. The replies from the Judiciary Administrator and D of A were issued to the Panel vide LC Paper Nos. CB(2)2710/02-03(01) and (02) respectively on 27 June 2003. JA has no comments on the matter and D of A has no objection to applying the procedure to endorsement of removal of judges.

On 11 November 2003, the Chairman requested the Secretariat to prepare a paper on the item for consideration of the Panel. A draft paper was issued to the Panel vide LC Paper No. CB(2)1073/03-04 on 27 January 2004. As agreed by the Panel, the draft paper was also issued to the Administration, the Judiciary and the two legal professional bodies for comments on 5 February 2004. The Secretariat will coordinate the comments received for the consideration of the Panel.

6. Reciprocal enforcement of judgments (REJ) in commercial disputes between the HKSAR and the Mainland

The issue was first discussed at the meeting on 20 December 2001.

The Administration conducted a consultation exercise on the proposed arrangement for REJ in commercial matters between the HKSAR and the Mainland in March 2002. At the meeting on 27 May 2002, D of A briefed the Panel on the outcome of the consultation exercise, and sought the Panel's views on the Administration's proposal to establish a mechanism for REJ between the Mainland and the HKSAR.

The Administration advised in October 2003 that it was discussing with the Mainland authorities on the proposed scheme.

7. Government's policy on subsidiary legislation

The Bills Committee on Boilers and Pressure Vessels (Amendment) Bill 2001 requested that the issue of Government's policy on determining what kind of statutory instrument should be subsidiary legislation be considered by the Panel. Relevant extracts from minutes of meeting and report of the Bills Committee were issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(2)2177/01-02 on 5 June 2002.

At the meeting on 24 June 2002, the Panel agreed to follow up the matter.

To be advised by the Administration

To be decided by the Panel

On 11 November 2003, the Chairman requested the Secretariat to prepare a background paper on the item for consideration of the Panel.

8. Review of sexual offences in Part XII of the Crimes Ordinance

This item was referred by the Bills Committee on Statute Law (Miscellaneous Provisions) Bill 2001.

In scrutinising Part V of the Bill, the Administration accepted the Bills Committee's proposal to first deal with the offence of marital rape, leaving the other non-rape sexual offences in Part XII of the Crimes Ordinance to a full scale review in the context of a law reform at a later stage. The Bills Committee requested the Panel to follow up the progress of the review.

The item was discussed by the Panel at its meeting on 23 February 2004. According to the Administration, a law reform to review sexual offences would be a very large-scale exercise and might not be required. The Administration preferred to continue to adopt its existing approach of examining and updating the law relating to specific sexual offences when certain inadequacy in a particular area or offence was identified. The Panel noted that the present stance of the Administration had deviated from the agreement reached between the Bills Committee and the Administration and requested the Administration to review the matter having regard to its undertaking made to the Bills Committee. The Administration has been asked to revert to the Panel in due course.

The Secretariat would prepare a background brief on the item to facilitate further discussion of the Panel.

9. Court procedure for repossession of premises

At the meeting on 22 July 2002, the Panel agreed to follow up the item referred by the Bills Committee on Landlord and Tenant (Consolidation) (Amendment) Bill 2001. The Bills Committee considered that a fast-track procedure might have to be worked out for landlords to claim repossession of premises, particularly in the event of repeated defaults in payment of rent by tenants. Additional manpower and financial resources might be required to facilitate the courts in handling these claims.

To be decided by the Panel

26 April 2004

At the Panel meeting on 29 January 2004, the Judiciary Administration explained to the Panel recent measures introduced within the jurisdiction of the Judiciary to streamline the court procedure for repossession of premises. As requested by the Panel, the Judiciary Administration would revert to the Panel in three months' time on the progress of the measures introduced.

10. Issues relating to the imposition of criminal liabilities on the Government

At the House Committee meeting on 4 October 2002, members agreed that this Panel should follow up on issues relating to the imposition of criminal liabilities on the Government or any public officers for contravening legislative provisions binding on the Government while performing official duties (LC Paper No. CB(1)2576/01-02 refers).

Pursuant to the decision of the Panel at the meeting on 28 October 2002, a Working Group was formed to study the relevant issues and to report to the Panel with recommendations where appropriate. The third meeting of the Working Group was held on 16 December 2003.

11. Operation of the Labour Tribunal

Two joint meetings were held by this Panel and the Panel on 2^n Manpower on 6 May and 19 June 2003 respectively. 20

The Chief Justice has appointed an internal Working Party to conduct a review on the practice and procedures of the Labour Tribunal. It is anticipated that the Panels would be informed of the outcome of the review in early 2004.

The draft Research Report on "The Operation of the Labour Tribunals and other Mechanisms for Resolving Labour Disputes in Hong Kong and Selected Places" prepared by Research and Library Services Division (RLSD) will be ready in March 2004.

12. Budgetary arrangements for the Judiciary

At its meeting on 24 February 2003, the Panel was briefed on the approaches adopted by the Judiciary in promoting efficiency initiatives for achieving the target of saving of 1.8% in recurrent expenditure in 2003 - 2004, which was roughly \$18 million. The Judiciary expected that more substantial savings might be required in

Pending deliberation of the Working Group

2nd quarter of 2004

To be decided by

the Panel

the years 2004-07. No conclusion, however, had been reached at this stage in respect of efficiency initiatives for 2004 - 2007.

At the meeting, Hon Martin LEE moved a motion urging the Judiciary not to introduce, for the purpose of implementing the Government's austerity programme, any cost saving measures which would adversely affect the quality of judicial services. The motion was passed.

The Research Report on "Budgetary arrangements for overseas judiciaries" prepared by RLSD and the Administration's paper explaining the budgetary arrangements for the Judiciary were discussed at the meeting on 24 November 2003. RLSD would provide supplementary information on the system in Ontario of Canada for the Panel's consideration.

The Panel agreed that the item could be further considered, if necessary, upon receipt of the Administration's response to the issues raised at the meeting on 24 November 2003. The written response subsequently received from the Administration was issued to the Panel vide LC Paper No. CB(2)1288/03-04(01) on 10 February 2004.

13. Disclosure of information to LegCo in the legislative process

This item was referred by the Bills Committee on Chemical Weapons (Convention) Bill to this Panel and the Panel on Constitutional the Panel Affairs (LC Paper No. CB(2)1653/02-03).

The Bills Committee requested the Administration to provide the written agreed arrangement between the Central People's Government (CPG) and HKSARG on specifying officers as "in-country escorts" for reference. The Administration advised that the documents were for internal use only. In accordance with a general practice governing the handling of HKSARG's correspondence with other governments, the documents should not be released to persons outside the Administration. The Bills Committee, however, considered that the relationship between HKSARG and CPG should be different from that between HKSARG and other governments.

A similar concern was also raised by the Subcommittee on United Nations Sanctions (Afghanistan) (Amendment) Regulation 2002 and United Nations Sanctions (Angola) (Suspension of Operation) The Subcommittee considered that the Regulation 2002. Administration should in future provide to LegCo the relevant instruction given by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MFA) of the People's Republic of China in order to enable Members to assess To be decided by

whether a regulation made under the United Nations Sanctions Ordinance has given effect to the instruction in full. The matter was taken up by the Chairman of the House Committee with the Chief Secretary for Administration. The Chief Secretary for Administration had replied 13 on November 2003 that correspondence between CPG and HKSARG, including instructions from MFA, was intended for internal use only. The Administration considered it inappropriate to release internal correspondence to persons outside the Administration.

14. Resource centre for unrepresented litigants

The item was discussed by the Panel its meeting on 18 December 2003. 28 June 2004 Members agreed that the Judiciary Administration should provide a progress report on the operation of the Resource Centre for discussion of the Panel in June 2004.

15. Professional Indemnity Scheme of the Law Society

In response to the request of the Subcommittee on Solicitors (Professional Indemnity) (Amendment) Rules 2001, the Law Society has agreed to conduct an independent review of the insurance arrangement under its Professional Indemnity Scheme. The purpose of the review is to consider whether at the end of the five-year reinsurance contract (expiring on 30 September 2005) the Law Society should maintain the existing mutual scheme with or without amendment, or to demutualise the scheme and put into effect such other options as might be proposed as a result of the review. The Law Society has undertaken to make a report to LegCo on the progress of the review on or before 30 September 2003.

In its report to the House Committee on 26 October 2001, the Subcommittee recommended that this Panel should follow up the progress of the review. Upon receipt of the report from the Law Society, the Panel should report to the House Committee which would then decide whether the report should be referred to a Panel or a subcommittee for further action.

In June 2003, the Law Society advised the Panel in writing that the consultants engaged by the Law Society to conduct the review had to defer their visit to Hong Kong because the World Health Organization travel advisory was in force as a result of the outbreak of SARS. A report of the Panel was made to the House Committee on 10 October 2003.

The Law Society briefed the Panel on the findings of the consultants at the meeting on 18 December 2003. As advised by the Law Society at the meeting, it had yet to form a view on the Consultancy Report and it would be putting forward the proposals to its members The Society expected that it would be in a position for discussion. to agree on what options to adopt by April 2004, and then proceed to liaise with the Department of Justice on law drafting matters.

The Law Society has advised in March that it would be able to revert to the Panel on the progress at the Panel's meeting in April.

16. Review of legislative provisions containing the drafting formula "to the satisfaction" of an enforcement agency

The item was discussed at the meeting on 18 December 2003.

The Panel has requested the Administration to undertake an analysis of the judgment of the Court of First Instance on the Lam Geotechnics case with a view to assessing the extent of its impact on existing legislative provisions containing similar drafting formula, before deciding whether it should proceed to conduct a comprehensive review on the legislative provisions. The Administration has been requested to revert to the Panel in due course.

17. **Development of Hong Kong as a legal services centre**

The Panel considered a paper on "Policy initiatives of the Department of Justice" at a special meeting held on 15 January 2004 to receive briefing from the Administration on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2004. At the meeting, the Panel agreed that the issue of development of Hong Kong as a legal services centre should be discussed at the meeting in March 2004.

The item will be discussed at the meeting on 22 March 2004.

18. **Guide to Judicial Conduct**

The Chief Justice has stated in his address at the Opening of the Legal Year 2004 the importance of the judges observing the highest standards of conduct and integrity in order to maintain public confidence in the Judiciary and the administration of justice. In this

To be decided by the Panel

To be decided by the Panel

22 March 2004

connection, a Working Party appointed by the Chief Justice had recommended that a Guide to Judicial Conduct should be drafted to provide judges with useful and practical guidelines on judicial conduct. The recommendation was accepted by the Chief Justice and the work of drafting the Guide had commenced.

At the request of the Secretariat, the Judiciary Administration has provided a paper on the subject matter for the consideration of the Panel (LC Paper No. CB(2)1697/03-04(01) issued on 15 March 2004).

19. Evaluation Study on The Pilot Scheme on Family Mediation

The Pilot Scheme was undertaken by the Judiciary between May 2000 and April 2003. With the completion of the Pilot Scheme, the Judiciary commissioned the Hong Kong Polytechnic University to conduct a consultancy study to examine, inter alia, the impact of the Scheme on court work, the outcomes of the mediation and public acceptance of family mediation service in Hong Kong. The Final Report on the consultancy study was completed in January 2004. The Report was circulated to the Panel vide LC Paper No. CB(2)1381/03-04(01) on 17 February 2004.

The Panel will discuss the item at its meeting on 22 March 2004.

Council Business Division 2 Legislative Council Secretariat 17 March 2004