1

Written Submission on Regulation of Medical Devices (for use at the special meeting on 22nd March 2004)

Medical Esthetic Beautician Association

Dear Mr. Chairman and members of LegCo Panel on Health Services,

The Association at present has a membership of about 450, consisting of corporate members and employees from beauty and cosmetics industry. Amongst our corporate members, most of them provide Laser and Intense Pulsed Light ("IPL") beauty treatments to their customers.

After reading the Consultation Document of the Regulation of Medical Devices and attending some forums convened by Department of Health ("DH") and the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department ("EMSD"), we sensed that the government, by claiming to protect the safety of consumers, attempts to restrict non-medical professionals to operate Laser/IPL equipment. Worse still, many organisations and associations representing the interests of medical professionals, after the consultation document was published, disseminated messages to the general public that it is dangerous to let non-medical professionals to operate Laser/IPL equipment. For this reason, they requested the government to make legislation to prohibit non-medical professionals to operate these kinds of equipment. As far as our members know the personnel in the working group responsible for conducting the consultation and the making of legislation are from the DH and the EMSD only. The government did not invite representatives from the beauty and cosmetics industry (the "Industry") to participate in the working group. Our members fear that in the coming consultation period, the government will only listen to medical professionals' voices and disregarding opinion from the Industry. As it would have a long term effect and impact on the Industry, the Association represents our members to submit their view towards this matter.

We stress that our members have no objection to regulate the qualification and standard of the Laser/IPL equipment operators. Nevertheless, the pre-requisite is not to set unreasonably high requirements that the practitioners in the Industry can not meet. Meanwhile, we request to have representatives from the Industry to participate in the working group for making regulations, setting requirements, and sitting in the examination board. The number of seats in the working group should be at least the same number as the medical professionals have.

The following points are our members' view on Laser/IPL equipment operated by medical professionals and non-medical professionals:-

		2
	Laser/IPL equipment operated by medical professionals	Laser/IPL equipment operated by non-medical professionals
1. Safety of consumers		
Experience	year ago. Their experience in operating IPL equipment is much less than the IPL operators in the Industry. For providing Laser beauty treatment, medical professionals and	Most of them started providing IPL beauty treatment 2 to 3 years ago. They have abundant experience from operating Laser/IPL equipment on clients during these years. For providing Laser beauty treatment, medical professionals and non-medical professionals are more or less the same.
Knowledge of Laser/IPL beauty	not taught about beauty knowledge. The medical schools did not train students how to operate Laser/IPL equipment. Besides, not every medical professional is dermatologist. As such, it does not mean that we let only medical professionals to operate Laser/IPL equipment connote the meaning of safety to consumers.	All practitioners in the Industry have basic knowledge of providing beauty and skin care treatment and how to handle an incident in which skin has abnormal reaction after beauty and skin care treatment. They would take international recognised beauty courses to upgrade their knowledge, enhance their skill and keep practising. Furthermore, in the Industry, there is a common practice that only an experienced beautician with adequate training and practice, and passed her employer's test for operation of Laser/IPL equipment, can operate an Laser/IPL equipment.
Supplier to provide training	are provided by its manufacturer and/or supplier. Some	employers would arrange their Laser/IPL operators to attend
Laser/IPL treatment is a an		
act for beauty , not an act for medical treatment	undergo Laser/IPL treatment in hospital/clinic would not get pleasure and relaxation senses.	Laser/IPL treatment is a beauty treatment. Consumers want to get from a Laser/IPL treatment not only the result of the treatment, but also the pleasure and relaxation senses to them during the course of treatment which they could not enjoy in hospital/clinic.
Risk		
	Besides, provision of Laser/IPL treatment, is not the main business of a hospital, a clinic or a doctor. Lost of reputation	Nowadays, the main source of income in the Industry is earning from providing Laser/IPL treatment. If a consumer were injured, the reputation of the beauty parlour would be damaged and the income would definitely be affected. Hence, they would handle each Laser/IPL treatment with extremely care.

			3
		medical professionals might not pay attention to every Laser/IPL treatment, accident might easily happen.	
		without knowledge of dermatology and training on operating Laser/IPL equipment on consumers, would not be safer than a	One can see from the above, an experienced and trained beautician is able to provide safe Laser/IPL treatment on consumers.
2		well-trained non-medical professional.	The employees in the Industry would take out a multiplicity
2.	•	-	The employers in the Industry would take out a public liability
	consumers		insurance to cover treatment risk. Injured consumers may also claim compensation against the Laser/IPL operator and her employer.
3.	Choice Magazine's Report (15.12.03)	The report quoted a complaint from a consumer after receiving several IPL treatments in a clinic.	The report also quoted complaints from consumers after receiving Laser/IPL treatment in beauty parlours.
		Laser/IPL treatment which medical professionals and non-	The report mixed up the complaints about the services provided and the charges of the treatments instead of separating the injured consumers' complaint. The report did not give a fair analysis to the public.
		We believe that the number of times which medical professionals underwent Laser/IPL treatment were less than one-tenth of the Industry in the last year.	We estimate that Laser/IPL equipment operators had done over hundred thousand Laser/IPL treatment last year.
4.			In fact, only a few accidents happened arising from Laser/IPL
1			treatment. Therefore, the government should not prohibit
	single incident?	-	trained non-medical professionals to operate Laser/IPL
		A	equipment.
5.	Upsurge in		The non-medical professionals – Laser/IPL equipment
	1 v	in their professional, should they want to quit of beauty	operators – will become unemployed.
		industry any time in the future.	During these means I approx/IDL tractments are seen to the
0.	Impede local investment		During these years, Laser/IPL treatments are very prominent and yielding more money for people to expand their businesses.
	mvestment		As a result of the expansion, it creates many job opportunity for
1		Kong is not as much as the Industry did.	Hong Kong citizens, especially for the young. Due to the
1		ixong is not as much as the moustly uld.	uncertainty of this matter, our members and most proprietors in
			the Industry slow down the paces of expansion to see the
L			the measury slow down the paces of expansion to see the

			4
			development and outcome of the consultation. This would
			affect the job opportunity for the public and our economy.
			The flourishing of the Industry can stimulate internal
			expenditure, create more job opportunity. And the trend in the
			Industry is that all future advanced beauty equipment will apply
			advanced light energy. Preventing non-medical professionals to
			operate Laser/IPL equipment or other advanced devices would
			impede the Industry's marching towards high technology
			beauty service era.
7.	Resources wrongly		The practitioners get entry to the Industry by starting as
	allocated		beautician trainees, many with certificates of international
			recognized beauty courses. They, without the assistance of the
			taxpayers' money, spent their leisure time and savings to study
			beauty courses with a view to paving their way to become a
			professional, though not a medical professional, Laser/IPL
			equipment operator. It is unfair and unacceptable for our
			society to make laws to deprive the rights of those aspiring
		to cure people of disease, sickness, injury not to provide beauty	beauticians.
		treatment.	
8.	Transparency of	Medical Council of Hong Kong	Consumer Council
	handling complaint	č 1	It will publish the figures of complaints about Laser/IPL
	organization	Laser/IPL treatment it received.	treatment it received.
9.	The Government's		If representatives in the Industry have half number of seats in
	control		the regulatory body and examination board, our members will
		government when making the law and regulations.	not object the making of such regulation. Otherwise, we
			suggest a self-regulatory system should be envisaged and
			manage by members of the Industry. In fact, members of the
10			Industry have formed a working group for this purpose.
10	. Conflict of Interest		The practitioners in the Industry have never objected medical
			professionals to enter the Industry, as this is common in the
			commercial world. However, it is unfair and reasonable that
		protect their own interest but not for the consumers' interest.	some medical professionals by relying on their influential
			power over the government despotize us in order to monopolize
			the advanced technology beauty industry.

			5
11.			Receiving Laser/IPL treatment at beauty parlours, the practitioners would provide extra skin cleaning and mask to
	choice		consumers before and after treatment but hospital/clinic would
		hospital or clinic to undergo beauty treatment.	not provide such services.
		Regarding the price of Laser/IPL treatments, medical	
		professionals' charge is 40% higher than the usual price in the	
		Industry and the price is not flexible.	
12.	Difficulty to carry		According to the definitions stated in the consultation
	on	1	document, almost every beauty equipment and instruments (for
		to pay the extra costs.	examples infrared slimming light devices, slimming massage
			devices, ultra sound facial devices, electro muscle stimulators,
			macular needles etc.,) will become medical devices which
			would not be found in the hospitals/clinics.
			Many beauty parlours are small businesses. In order to lower
			the operating costs, owners of beauty parlours usually go to the
			mainland and other countries to buy cheap but with good
			quality beauty equipment for their business. Should the
			proposed regulation be implemented, they will become
			importers of medical devices. They need to register their
			status, ask the manufacturer or its agent for product
			confirmation, envisage and manage labelling and product recall
			system, submit a list of imported medical devices of Class II
			and above annually.
			At present, many manufacturers of beauty equipment,
			especially in the Mainland, do not prepare technical
			documentation and clinical evaluation/trial data. This
			complicated procedures and requirements causing owners of
			beauty parlours difficulties to import good and cheap beauty
			equipment from the Mainland for their own use.
			Consequentially, consumers' right to enjoy cheap and good
			quality beauty services is deprived.
			There are many kinds of beauty equipment which are within the
			definition of medical device. The owners need to register a lot
			definition of medical device. The owners need to register a lot

			6
			of items under the proposed regulation. The owners just
			bought beauty equipment for their own use. They are not
			selling the beauty equipments in Hong Kong. Because of the
			proposed regulation, they become importers of beauty
			equipment. Our members hope that the government would
			make this category of importers as an exception.
13.	Other countries'	No	Sub-clause 2.10 of page 5 of the consultation document states
	control		that except UK and some states of the USA require registration
			of premises where medical lasers are used and some other
			states of the USA, and Singapore, require operators of medical
			lasers must obtain a certificate or a licence from the regulatory
			authority, other countries do not control the operators of
			medical devices. The document is silent on whether there is
			control over the operators of Laser/IPL equipment for beauty
			purposes in other countries.
			Why should Hong Kong be the pioneer to make regulation to
			restrict non-medical professionals to operate IPL equipment?