

RevisedLand Policy Meeting

Minutes of Meeting held at 2.30 p.m. on Monday,  
25 May 1987, in Buildings and Lands Department  
Conference Room, 2nd Floor, Murray Building

Present:

Mr. CHAU Cham-son	-	DBL(Chairman)
Mr. SHUM Lap-shing	-	ARG
Mr. A.P. Asprey	-	DS(LW)
Mr. D.M. Scott-Will	-	PGLA(G)
Mr. F.D. Roome	-	PGLA(S)
Mrs. Rita Lau	-	PAS(NT) for RS(NT)
Mr. Frankie Lui	-	PAS(HK&K) for RS(HK&K)
Mr. C. Gately	-	AD/P (Secretary)

In Attendance:

Mr. M.J. Lewis	-	PAS(LW)
Mr. T.M. Mills	-	GLA/HK for Item III

I. Confirmation of Minutes of Last Meeting

In view of the large number of amendments proposed by DS/LW and PAS/LW, a revised set of Minutes is attached.

II. Matters Arising2. Item V - Resumption of Private Streets (LPM Paper No. 1/87)

PAS(HK&K) reported that he had written to S for T and understood that S for T's approval was now being sought for the preparation of draft drafting instructions on the proposed amending legislation.

3. Item VI - Petrol Filling Stations

The Secretary reported that copies of the information sheet on standards and locational factors for petrol filling stations had been obtained from the Town Planning Office and sent to PAS/LW and the other Members of the Meeting.

III. Lot 385 in DD 352 Discovery Bay (LPM Paper No. 3/87)  
(BLD 1/IS/PL/82 XI)

4. At the request of PGLA/S, GLA/HK was invited to join the meeting for this item. The Chairman briefly explained the background to the case. The Discovery Bay project had originally been launched as a recreational resort development. Subsequent amendments to the original Master Plan had been dealt with by the NTA prior to the formation of Lands Department and the sum total now was that the Discovery Bay project represented a new town. There was little option but to accept all the previous amendments and recognize the updated version.

5. GLA/HK then briefed the meeting on the three options described in LPM Paper No. 3/87. This led to a lengthy discussion which indicated differing views on the best solution even within the Land Administration Office.

Action  
PGLA/S

6. It was eventually agreed to adopt Option 2 with a permitted gross floor area of 608,510 m<sup>2</sup> which would form the basis for future negotiations over any premium to be charged for increases in GFA in future.

IV. Re-development Orders and Exclusion Orders (Draft ExCo Paper)  
(BLD 1/HPY/58 III)

7. DS/LW referred the meeting to the LWB re-draft of an ExCo paper prepared and circulated by BLD. He queried the need for this submission now. He also felt that there were two policies in conflict. One policy encouraged comprehensive rather than piecemeal development whilst the other policy urged people to develop sites and not sit on them for speculative purposes.

8. PGLA/S said that the BLD drafts had been discussed and agreed by LAM and circulated accordingly. He felt that the paper had been held up for so long that it was no longer worth pursuing. It should be dropped and resurrected if need be.