

Panel on Home Affairs**List of follow-up actions**

(as at 12 January 2005)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
1. Development of a stadium complex and sports facilities in South East Kowloon	15.1.03	The Administration to provide detailed recommendations on the project.	Response awaited.
2. Consultancy mapping study of creative industries	13.6.03	The Administration to provide the report of the consultancy mapping study of creative industries.	Response awaited.
3. Briefing by the Secretary for Home Affairs on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2004	9.1.04	The Administration to provide the implementation plan for building the community-wide political network as set out in paragraphs 57 to 66 in the Policy Address 2004.	Response awaited.
4. Computer programme for calculation of interest and surcharge on arrears of maintenance	22.3.04	The Administration to - (a) provide its plan for implementing the computer programme at the Family Court; and (b) consider copying the computer programme on CD-ROMs for use at the Court.	Response awaited
5. Further discussion on the review of advisory and statutory bodies (ASBs)	14.7.04	The Administration to provide information on the percentages of total membership of the existing ASBs taken up by people with a	The Administration's response was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(2)453/04-05(01) dated 16

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		disability and by members of ethnic minorities respectively.	December 2004.
6. Consultation Paper entitled "Legislating against Racial Discrimination"	9.11.04	The Administration to provide supplementary information to the question on restricting entry to worshipping places to persons from a certain racial or ethnic group raised by Mr James TO (para. 21 of the minutes)	Supplementary information was received on 22 December 2004. Chinese version of the information to be provided by the Administration is pending. .
7. Review of built heritage conservation policy	9.11.04	The Administration to provide a written response to the motion moved by Mr Albert CHAN urging the Government to put on hold the tendering procedure for the Central Police Station and Victoria Prison. The motion was passed by the Panel.	Response awaited.
8. The case of Albert House: Measures to protect flat owners from legal liability or claims arising from or in respect of unauthorised building structures or common parts of their buildings	12.11.04	<p>The Administration to -</p> <p>(a) inform the Panel of the measures to be taken to alleviate the difficulties faced by the owners of Albert House, including whether a charity fund would be set up to help them repay the compensation;</p> <p>(b) provide a progress report on the follow-up actions taken by the Administration on the Albert House case;</p> <p>(c) provide a report on the following issues</p>	A progress report on the Albert House case was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(2)612/04-05 dated 11 January 2005.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>before it introduced the draft Regulation on third party risks insurance into LegCo –</p> <p>(i) whether or not it was in order from a legal point of view to require the owners' corporation (OC) of Albert House to bear the liabilities for the payment of compensation which should be made by the other five defendants which were bankrupt, given that the OC had already paid its share of compensation, i.e. 15% of the total compensation, as ruled by the court in 1999;</p> <p>(ii) what measures the Administration would take to deal with buildings which could not secure third party risks insurance because they had unauthorised building structures (UBWs) or did not have OCs; and</p> <p>(iii) whether consideration would be given to setting up a statutory body to undertake insurance for buildings with UBWs or without OCs.</p> <p>(d) to review the following issues and provide progress reports to the Panel on a regular basis –</p>	

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>(i) whether or not a ceiling should be imposed on the third party liabilities borne by OCs for the common parts of their buildings;</p> <p>(ii) whether or not a ceiling should be imposed on civil claims; and</p> <p>(iii) whether the building compensation assistance fund as proposed by Mr Andrew CHENG should be set up.</p> <p>For the issues referred to in (i) and (ii) above, HAB should work in collaboration with the Department of Justice as common law principles are involved in consideration of those issues.</p>	