

Panel on Home Affairs**List of follow-up actions**

(as at 20 June 2005)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
1. Development of a stadium complex and sports facilities in South East Kowloon	15.1.03	The Administration to provide detailed recommendations on the project.	Response awaited.
2. Briefing by the Secretary for Home Affairs on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2004	9.1.04	The Administration to provide the implementation plan for building the community-wide political network as set out in paragraphs 57 to 66 in the Policy Address 2004.	Response awaited.
3. Review of built heritage conservation policy	9.11.04	The Administration to provide a written response to the motion moved by Mr Albert CHAN urging the Government to put on hold the tendering procedure for the Central Police Station and Victoria Prison. The motion was passed by the Panel.	Response awaited.
4. The case of Albert House: Measures to protect flat owners from legal liability or claims arising from or in respect of unauthorised building structures or common parts of their buildings	12.11.04	The Administration to – (a) provide a report on the following issues before it introduced the draft Regulation on third party risks insurance into LegCo –	A progress report on the Albert House case was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(2)615/04-05 dated 11 January 2005.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>(i) whether or not it was in order from a legal point of view to require the owners' corporation (OC) of Albert House to bear the liabilities for the payment of compensation which should be made by the other four defendants which were bankrupt, given that the OC had already paid its share of compensation, i.e. 15% of the total compensation, as ruled by the court in 1999;</p> <p>(ii) what measures the Administration would take to deal with buildings which could not secure third party risks insurance because they had unauthorised building structures (UBWs) or did not have OCs;</p> <p>(iii) whether consideration would be given to setting up a statutory body to undertake insurance for buildings with UBWs or without forming OCs; and</p> <p>(b) review the following issues and provide progress reports to the Panel on a regular basis –</p>	<p>Brief for the Legislative Council on the Building Management (Amendment) Bill 2005 was issued on 1 April 2005.</p> <p>Members are invited to note that the Bills Committee on Building Management (Amendment) Bill 2005 is also following up the issues referred to in items (a)(ii), (iii) and (b)(iii) and it has requested the Administration to provide written response.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>(i) whether or not a ceiling should be imposed on the third party liabilities borne by OCs for the common parts of their buildings;</p> <p>(ii) whether or not a ceiling should be imposed on civil claims; and</p> <p>(iii) whether the building accident compensation assistance fund as proposed by Mr Andrew CHENG should be set up.</p> <p>For the issues referred to in (i) and (ii) above, the Home Affairs Bureau should work in collaboration with the Department of Justice as common law principles are involved in consideration of these issues.</p>	
5. Reports on Privacy and Media Intrusion and Civil Liability for Invasion of Privacy published by the Law Reform Commission of Hong Kong (LRC)	14.1.05	The Administration to report to the Panel on its position on the two LRC's reports as soon as possible.	Response awaited.
6. Briefing by the Secretary for Home Affairs on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2005	17.1.05	The Administration agreed to provide the findings of the review on the implementation of gender mainstreaming when it was completed. The Administration also agreed to provide an interim report of the review in due course.	Response awaited.
7. Regulation of property management	8.4.05	The Administration to consider the following	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
<p>companies by legislation and formation of owners' corporations of buildings with more than one Deed of Mutual Covenant</p>		<p>suggestions –</p> <p>(a) to set up a tribunal for handling building management disputes between property management companies and property owners/OCs;</p> <p>(b) to impose a declaration requirement on property management companies. By this requirement, a property management company would have to declare whether any of its subsidiary companies was providing cleansing or maintenance services for the building which had engaged the service of this property management company;</p> <p>(c) to require each property management company to provide a copy each of the summary of income and expenditure and of the property management company's balance sheet to each owner of the building;</p> <p>(d) to issue basic guidelines on the avoidance of conflicts of interest for reference of small property management companies; and</p> <p>(e) to consider the mechanisms proposed by</p>	<p>Members are invited to note that the Bills Committee on Building Management (Amendment) Bill 2005 is also following up the issue referred to in item (a) and it has requested the Administration to provide written response.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		Mr Albert HO and Mr James TO for amending provisions of old deeds of mutual covenants which were unfair and unreasonable to owners.	
8. Review of advisory and statutory bodies (ASBs)	13.5.05	<p>The Administration to provide –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a breakdown, by boards/committees, on the 461 non-official posts taken up by appointed members who had served in the same post for over 10 years; (b) aggregate statistics on the number of boards/committees served by the existing non-official female members of ASBs; (c) aggregate statistics on the occupation/profession of the existing non-official female members of ASBs and how many of them were serving on “important” boards/committees; (d) information on the number of grass-roots men appointed to be members of ASBs; (e) the affiliations to political parties/political groups of the 21 non-official members appointed to ASBs serving on more than six boards/committees; and (f) the factors that the Administration had 	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		taken into consideration in concluding that the Administration should not require an appointee to disclose, on a compulsory basis, sensitive personal data relating to his/her affiliations to political parties/political groups.	

Council Business Division 2
Legislative Council Secretariat
20 June 2005