

立法會

Legislative Council

立法會 CB(3)653/05-06 號文件

2006 年 6 月 23 日內務委員會會議文件

定於 2006 年 6 月 28 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

提問者：

- | | | |
|------|---------------------|---------------|
| (1) | 田北俊議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (2) | 黃容根議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (3) | 劉江華議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (4) | 張學明議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (5) | 李華明議員 | (口頭答覆) (新的質詢) |
| | <i>(取代其原先提出的質詢)</i> | |
| (6) | 郭家麒議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (7) | 李柱銘議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (8) | 何俊仁議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (9) | 陳智思議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (10) | 劉皇發議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (11) | 余若薇議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (12) | 李永達議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (13) | 楊孝華議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (14) | 單仲偕議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (15) | 李國英議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (16) | 何鍾泰議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (17) | 馮檢基議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (18) | 劉慧卿議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (19) | 蔡素玉議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (20) | 陳偉業議員 | (書面答覆) |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(5) 李華明議員 (口頭答覆)

政府於兩星期前回答本人質詢時表示，食物環境衛生署(下稱“食環署”)在過去3年共抽取477個水果樣本作殘餘農藥化驗，當中有一個木瓜樣本被驗出含有甲胺磷。當局亦表示，抽驗的水果樣本數目不多，是基於水果並不屬於高風險食物。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 過去3年，食環署每年從入境口岸、批發和零售層面分別抽取了多少個進口水果樣本作化驗；
- (二) 當局有沒有成功追查上述含甲胺磷的木瓜樣本的來源，並安排回收有問題的木瓜；以及當局會不會考慮設立進口水果追蹤和回收機制；若會，詳情是甚麼；若不會，原因是甚麼；及
- (三) 當局以甚麼理據和準則認為水果不屬於高風險食物，以及依據該等理據和準則行事如何能確保市民的食物安全？

(5) Hon Fred LI (Oral Reply)

In reply to my question two weeks ago, the Government said that in the past three years, the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department ("FEHD") took 477 fruit samples for tests on pesticide residues, and one papaya sample was found to contain methamidophos. The authorities also said that the number of fruit samples taken for tests was limited because fruits were not considered to be at high risk. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the respective numbers of imported fruit samples taken by FEHD at entry points, wholesale and retail levels for tests in each of the past three years;
- (b) whether the authorities have successfully traced the source of the papaya sample found to contain methamidophos and made arrangements for recalling the papayas in questions; and whether the authorities will consider establishing a tracking and recall system for imported fruits; if so, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and
- (c) of the rationale and basis for the authorities' statement that fruits not at high risk, and how the authorities can, by acting in accordance with such rationale and basis, ensure that foods consumed by the public are safe?