

**Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services**

**List of follow-up actions**  
**(Position as at 21 October 2005)**

| <b>Subject</b>  | <b>Date of meeting</b> | <b>Follow-up actions required</b>  | <b>Administration's response</b>  |
|---|------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Use of official languages for conducting court proceedings | 23 February 2004       | The Judiciary Administration to -<br><br>(a) provide statistics on applications made by defendants for the court proceedings to be conducted in Chinese, the number of the applications rejected and the reasons for refusal;<br><br>(b) advise whether there were delays in hearings due to the need to provide a bilingual judge to conduct the trial in Chinese, and the extent of such delays, if any;<br><br>(c) provide statistics on hearings involving unrepresented parties and a breakdown of such hearings conducted in Chinese and English respectively; and<br><br>(d) provide statistics on court judgments with translated version. | Response awaited. A written reminder was issued on 18 January 2005 and 21 September 2005. |
| 2. Performance of Court Interpreters                          | 22 March 2004          | The Judiciary Administration to -<br><br>(a) provide statistics, if available, on the feedback from judges, court clerks and full-time Court   | Response awaited. A written reminder was issued on 18 January 2005 and 21 September 2005. |

| Subject   | Date of meeting | Follow-up actions required  | Administration's response   |
|---|-----------------|---|---|
|   |                 | <p>Interpreters (CIs) on the performance of part-time CIs.; and</p> <p>(b) explain the measures to be introduced to improve training and monitoring of the performance of CIs.</p>  |   |
| 3. Court procedure for repossession of premises | 24 May 2004     | The Judiciary Administration to clarify with the Law Society in connection with a schedule provided by the Law Society which sets out the different steps and time required for obtaining repossession of premises after a judgment was given, and to inform the Panel of the clarification.  | Response awaited. A written reminder was issued on 18 January 2005 and 21 September 2005. |
| 4. Budgetary arrangement for the Judiciary      | 12 July 2005    | <p>The Judiciary Administration to –</p> <p>(a) explain the factors which the Judiciary had considered in deciding to withdraw the planned closure of the Tsuen Wan Magistrates' Court; and</p> <p>(b) provide information on the number (and percentage) of cases which had been listed for trial on a particular date but subsequently adjourned because the court had no time to deal with the case on the trial date.</p> | Response awaited. A written reminder was issued on 21 September 2005.                     |

| <b>Subject</b>                            | <b>Date of meeting</b> | <b>Follow-up actions required</b>  | <b>Administration's response</b>                                      |
|---|------------------------|--|---|
| 5. Chambers hearings in civil proceedings | 12 July 2005           | <p>The Judiciary Administration to –</p> <p>(a) respond to the suggestions made by Ms Anne SCULLY-HILL, Assistant Professor at the City University of Hong Kong, relating to limited reporting by a special class of law reporters on specific closed chambers hearings and access to case files to facilitate legal research; and</p> <p>(b) explain the impact, if any, of Practice Directions 25.1 and 25.2 on reporting on applications for writ of habeas corpus.</p> | Response awaited. A written reminder was issued on 21 September 2005. |