

Panel on Home Affairs**List of follow-up actions**

(as at 9 March 2006)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
1. Development of a stadium complex and sports facilities in South East Kowloon	15.1.03	The Administration was requested to provide detailed recommendations on the project.	Response awaited.
2. Review of built heritage conservation policy	9.11.04	The Administration was requested to provide a written response to the motion moved by Mr Albert CHAN urging the Government to put on hold the tendering procedure for the Central Police Station and Victoria Prison. The motion was passed by the Panel.	Response awaited.
3. Reports on Privacy and Media Intrusion and Civil Liability for Invasion of Privacy published by the Law Reform Commission of Hong Kong (LRC)	14.1.05	The Administration was requested to report to the Panel on its position on the two LRC's reports as soon as possible.	Response awaited.
4. Briefing by the Secretary for Home Affairs on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2005	17.1.05	The Administration agreed to provide the findings of the review on the implementation of gender mainstreaming when it was completed. The Administration also agreed to provide an interim report of the review in due course.	Response awaited.
5. Review of advisory and statutory bodies (ASBs)	8.7.05	The Administration was requested to provide –	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>(a) a paper setting out the reasons for some of the members of district-based advisory committees having served in the same posts for over six years and why the Administration had difficulties in removing them from the committees;</p> <p>(b) a paper on what initiatives were being introduced by the Home Affairs Bureau (HAB) for the purpose of bringing in more talents from different backgrounds to the ASBs under its purview by October 2005;</p> <p>(c) a paper setting out the criteria of appointment and the principles being used by bureaux in making appointments to ASBs; and</p> <p>(d) response to Mr Albert HO's suggestion that the Administration should work out recommendations on how existing method of appointment for each ASB should be changed so that it could best tap the talents in the relevant field, and arrange for each Panel to discuss the recommendations for the ASBs under its purview. Mr HO requested the Administration to report to this Panel on how it would take this matter forward in</p>	

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		about six months' time.	
6. Government's proposed arrangements for staging the 2008 Olympic and Paralympic Equestrian Events	20.7.05	<p>The Administration was requested to provide –</p> <p>(a) further information on the reprovisioning plan, including photographs and architectural drawing of YMCA Wu Kwai Sha Youth Village for illustration;</p> <p>(b) details of the terms and conditions of the contract which would be signed between the Government and the Beijing Organising Committee for the Games of the 29th Olympiad (BOCOG); and</p> <p>(c) details of the financial arrangements to be worked out by the Government and BOCOG.</p> <p>The Administration to request the Hong Kong Jockey Club (HKJC) to provide the findings and recommendations of the consultancy study commissioned by HKJC on site investigation.</p>	Response awaited.
7. Proposed guidelines for conducting duty visits outside Hong Kong issued to the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) and the Office of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data (PCO)	9.12.05	<p>The Administration was requested to provide a paper on the monitoring systems for overseas duty visits conducted by -</p> <p>(a) statutory bodies such as the Trade Development Council, the Airport</p>	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>Authority, the Hong Kong Tourism Board, the Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation and the Hospital Authority; and</p> <p>(b) the Commissioner/Independent Commission Against Corruption, the Ombudsman and the Chairperson of the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC).</p> <p>The Administration was requested to undertake the following reviews –</p> <p>(a) review the governance structure of PCO as well as SFC and any other public organisations such as the Monetary Authority; and</p> <p>(b) review the currently proposed guidelines taking into consideration members' comments and revert to the Panel once it had reached a consensus with EOC and PCO on the matter.</p>	
<p>8. Implementation of recommendations made in the Report of the Independent Panel of Inquiry on the Incidents Relating to the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) and the recommendations made in two other reports of the internal reviews conducted</p>	<p>13.1.06</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide information on the following –</p> <p>(a) to conduct research into the past discussions of LegCo during its scrutiny of the Sex Discrimination Bill to see why the Administration and the legislature had</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
by EOC		<p>decided at that time that the EOC Chairperson should be a full-time executive position;</p> <p>(b) how the functions and powers between the EOC Chairperson and the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) would be separated; and</p> <p>(c) a detailed analysis of the policy implications of the proposal.</p> <p>for consultation with the Panel, before the Bill was drafted.</p> <p>The Administration was also requested to provide a timetable as to when it would conduct the consultation with EOC and the relevant bodies on the proposal of separating the posts of the EOC Chairperson and CEO, and when it would submit the above information to this Panel for discussion.</p>	
9. Further discussion on the concluding observations adopted by UN Committee on the first report of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region under the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)	10.2.06	The Administration was requested to report on the development of its discussion with The Ombudsman as to whether the powers and remit of The Ombudsman should include handling complaints on whether the implementation of policies by any policy bureau/department had contravened CRC, before the end of the current legislative session.	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		The Administration was requested to give a written response to the concern raised by Mr Albert HO that young offenders aged below 18, when involved in cases which also involved adult offender(s), would have to stand trial together with the adult offenders in court.	

Council Business Division 2
Legislative Council Secretariat
9 March 2006