

Panel on Planning, Lands and Works

List of follow-up actions
(position as at 24 April 2006)

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
1. Land grant policy and its impact on Government revenue (HPLB)	24.5.2005 (Joint meeting with Panel on Financial Affairs)	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information –</p> <p>(a) details on the status of land granted by private treaty; and</p> <p>(b) information on land falling within the following categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cases where only nominal or concessionary premium was charged on land which was granted for non-profit making purposes. However, the use of the land did not comply with the relevant lease conditions in that the land was restricted to use by certain categories of persons; and - cases such as that of the Sailors' and Soldiers' Home in which sites granted by way of private treaty were exchanged for other sites which were then sold to 	The written response provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1261/05-06 on 6 April 2006.

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		private developers for profits.	
2. Future development on the Tamar site (Administration Wing)	22.11.2005	<p>At the request of Mr LEE Wing-tat, the Director of Administration agreed to provide information on vehicular access to the Tamar site and pedestrian access between the Tamar site and the waterfront promenade.</p> <p>Director of Administration agreed to follow up Prof. Patrick LAU's suggestion of using three-dimensional models to present the future configuration of the Tamar development project and the uses/developments on the land reclaimed under the Central Reclamation Phase III to the public.</p>	Administration's response awaited.
3. Fee proposal under the Town Planning (Amendment) Ordinance 2004 (HPLB)	24.1.2006	<p>The Administration was requested to –</p> <p>(a) provide the details of the basis for determining the various fee items under the fee proposal;</p> <p>(b) reconsider extension of fee waiver to all applications relating to “public causes”, “public proposes” or “public interest” as a matter of policy;</p> <p>(c) investigate whether there was any definition for “public interest” in law;</p>	Administration's response awaited.

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(d) provide the estimated costs incurred by the Government in processing various types of planning applications each year; and</p> <p>(e) consult Heung Yee Kuk on the fee proposal with particular regard to fee items applicable to small house applications.</p>	
<p>4. Review of lease modification to permit change of use for sites previously granted by private treaty (HPLB)</p>	<p>28.3.2006</p>	<p>The Administration undertook to –</p> <p>(a) provide a comparison of the major clauses, especially the cessation or diminution clause and the commence to operate clause, among various types of private treaty grants before the implementation of the measure mentioned in paragraph 20 of the Administration's paper (LC Paper No. CB(1)1158/05-06(01)); and</p> <p>(b) elaborate on the differences between old and new private treaty grants in respect of the major clauses, especially the cessation or diminution clause and the commence to operate clause.</p>	<p>The written response provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1296/05-06 on 12 April 2006.</p>