

**Legislative Council**

**Bills Committee on Independent Police Complaints Council Bill**

**Summary of views expressed/suggestions made by deputations on specific provisions in the Bill prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat**

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
<p><b>Clause 2 - Interpretation</b></p>		
<p>* 1</p>	<p>Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)</p>	<p>"informal resolution" and "withdrawn" should be removed from the definition of "classification" under clause 2. Reference to "informal resolution" should also be removed from clause 16.</p> <p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that -</p> <p>(a) As "informal resolution" and "withdrawn" cases are reportable complaints subject to the Independent Police Complaints Council's (IPCC's) monitoring and review, the Administration considers it appropriate for these two classifications to be covered by the definition of "classification" under clause 2, and to oblige the Police to submit reports on these cases to IPCC under clause 16; and</p> <p>(b) The Administration will consider amending clause 12 to reflect the existing practice that "informal resolution" cases are dealt with on</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>	
			<p>a final basis between the complainants and the Police, and a request for review will not be entertained.</p>
<p><b>Clause 4 - Membership of Council</b></p>			
<p>* 3</p>	<p>Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)</p>	<p>(a) Individuals who are closely connected to the Police Force, any related family members, or individuals with related substantial business transactions should not be appointed as IPCC members. A certain number of IPCC members should come from those who serve the user groups, like those non-government organisations campaigning for criminal justice or civil liberty for sex workers and ethnic minorities. A number of members should have experience in dealing with Police complaints;</p> <p>(b) IPCC should be allowed to appoint co-opted members to assist it in discharging some, if not all, of its functions. It should also be able to delegate its powers to such co-opted members, its secretariat, contractors or any person it deems fit;</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that -</p> <p>(a) Appointments to IPCC are made on an <i>ad personam</i> basis. Appointments are guided by the principle of selecting the best person for the job, having regard to the functions and nature of business of IPCC, and an individual's integrity, ability, experience, expertise and commitment to public service;</p> <p>(b) Clause 4(2) of the Bill provides that a person who is a civil servant of the Government, or who was a member of the Police Force, is not eligible for appointment to IPCC;</p> <p>(c) In considering appointments to IPCC, the Administration will take account of whether the candidates' background will affect the impartiality of the membership of IPCC;</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
	<p>(c) A tenure of two five-year terms should be adopted for IPCC members; and</p> <p>(d) The Chairman and Vice-Chairmen of IPCC should be appointed on a full-time basis.</p>	<p>(d) In making appointments and re-appointments to IPCC, the Administration takes into account such factors as the performance of individual members during their term of office, the need to maintain continuity and the need to inject new blood to ensure a healthy turnover of members. It would be unnecessarily rigid to mandate that each term of appointment of IPCC members should be 5 years. Appointments to the statutory IPCC will have to comply with the 6-year guideline;</p> <p>(e) Under section 25(1) of Schedule 1 to the Bill, IPCC may delegate in writing any of its functions to a committee, an IPCC member, the Secretary, the Legal Adviser or any other IPCC employee. Such power of delegation should provide sufficient flexibility for IPCC to allocate certain tasks to appropriate persons who are responsible to IPCC, so as</p>
<p>* 5</p> <p>Civil Human Rights Front LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(04)</p>	<p>To appoint representatives of non-government organisations and ethnic minority and people with different sex orientation to IPCC.</p>	
<p>* 6</p> <p>Zi Teng LC Paper Nos. CB(2)473/07-08(01) CB(2)530/07-08(01) CB(2)531/07-08(01)</p>	<p>The criteria for appointing IPCC Chairman and members should be open. Representatives from non-government organisations should be made involved in the selection process and be appointed.</p>	
<p>* 7</p> <p>JJJ Association LC Paper Nos. CB(2)499/07-08(05) CB(2)530/07-08(01)</p>		

<b>Organisation</b>		<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b>			
8	Hong Kong Unison Limited LC Paper No. CB(2)550/07-08(01)	To appoint a certain number of ethnic minority representatives to IPCC.	to facilitate in discharging its statutory duties; and  (f) The Administration does not consider it necessary to appoint full-time IPCC members. Mandating appointment of full-time IPCC members will unnecessarily shrink the pool of possible candidates.
9	Hong Kong Christian Institute LC Paper No. CB(2)550/07-08(02)	To establish an independent IPCC with "independent" members only. To appoint non-government representatives to IPCC, who should be accountable to the public.	
<b>Clause 7 - Functions of Council</b>			
* 1	Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)	(a) Clause 7(1)(b) should be amended to allow IPCC to give recommendations on the Commissioner of Police's (CP's) action taken or to be taken in connection with any reportable complaint which may not be covered by clause 7(1)(a) or (c); and  (b) Consequentially, clause 24 should be amended to cover CP's other action in connection with any reportable complaint.	The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that clauses 7(1)(a), 7(1)(b), 7(1)(c), 24 and 25(b) in combination should be sufficient to meet IPCC's concerns.

<b>Organisation</b>		<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b>			
* 3	Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)	IPCC should be given power to investigate into complaints against the Police, in light of the international trend of police forces subjecting themselves to oversight by independent police complaint mechanisms with investigative powers.	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that -</p> <p>(a) The suggestion of empowering IPCC to investigate complaints has the following shortcomings -</p> <p>(i) IPCC does not have investigative powers similar to those available to the Police (e.g. the search and seizure powers) or the necessary expertise and knowledge for investigating complaints which often involve allegations of breaches of Police discipline or procedures or of criminal law. This would adversely affect the quality of IPCC's investigations;</p> <p>(ii) IPCC would need to have its own investigation team, in addition to the investigation complement in the Complaints Against Police Office (CAPO). This would result in an overlapping of resource requirement and duplication of efforts. The cost-effectiveness of the suggestion is</p>
* 4	Action for REACH OUT LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(03)	To provide IPCC with investigative power and the necessary financial and manpower resource to conduct independent investigation.	
* 5	Civil Human Rights Front LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(04)	To provide IPCC with investigative power, and for IPCC to conduct independent investigation and open inquiry of very serious cases.	
* 6	Zi Teng LC Paper Nos. CB(2)473/07-08(01) CB(2)530/07-08(01) CB(2)531/07-08(01)	To provide IPCC with investigative power.	
* 7	JJJ Association LC Paper Nos. CB(2)499/07-08(05) CB(2)530/07-08(01)		

<b>Organisation</b>		<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b>			
8	Hong Kong Unison Limited LC Paper No. CB(2)550/07-08(01)	To provide IPCC with investigative power.	in doubt;  (iii) Empowering IPCC to investigate complaints lodged with CAPO would confuse IPCC's role as an oversight body. The arrangement might create two different sets of findings and results in respect of a complaint, and hence cause confusion. In contrast, under the established practice, IPCC and CAPO will seek to reach a consensus on the classification of a reportable complaint through discussions; and
9	Hong Kong Christian Institute LC Paper No. CB(2)550/07-08(02)	To provide IPCC with power to investigate and collect evidence.	(b) The existing two-tier Police complaints system has been operating effectively and smoothly. The Administration considers it appropriate to maintain the current arrangement under which CAPO investigates the complaints it receives and IPCC reviews and monitors CAPO's investigations. The system as codified in the Bill is appropriate and proportionate to its objective of ensuring that public complaints against members of the Police Force are handled fairly and impartially.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause 8 - Commissioner to submit lists of complaints</b></p>			
<p>* 1</p>	<p>Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)</p>	<p>IPCC should be given full and unrestricted access to information or material relating to a non-reportable complaint for the purposes of determining if the complaint should be re-categorised as a reportable complaint where necessary. Complete access to such information should be provided for by an explicit provision in the Bill (similar to clause 20(1)).</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that a specific provision similar to clause 20(1) for the purpose of IPCC's consideration of re-categorisation of non-reportable complaints is not necessary.</p>
<p><b>Clause 14 - Making complaint or request for review on behalf of complainant</b></p>			
<p>2</p>	<p>Hong Kong Bar Association LC Paper No. CB(2)649/07-08(01)</p>	<p>It queries whether it is necessary or appropriate to restrict the categories of persons who may make a complaint on behalf of a complainant to those set out in clause 14.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in Annex D to LC Paper No. CB(2)810/07-08(01) that clause 14 of the Bill already enables representatives to make complaints on behalf of complainants under a variety of circumstances. Clause 14(1)(c) allows a representative to make a complaint on behalf of a complainant if he/she has a written authorisation from the complainant. The Administration believes that this arrangement has provided for sufficient flexibility to enable a third party to make a complaint on behalf of an aggrieved person.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>	
<p><b>Clause 15 - Reconsideration of categorization by Commissioner</b></p>			
<p>2</p>	<p>Hong Kong Bar Association LC Paper No. CB(2)649/07-08(01)</p>	<p>To empower IPCC to require CP to investigate a complaint he has categorised as non-reportable.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in Annex D to LC Paper No. CB(2)810/07-08(01) that IPCC will be adequately empowered under clauses 7(2), 8, 15 and 28 to monitor whether the Police's categorisation of non-reportable complaints is appropriate and to take necessary action.</p>
<p><b>Clause 16 - Commissioner to submit investigation reports on reportable complaints</b></p>			
<p>* 1</p>	<p>Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)</p>	<p>There should be a provision requiring CP to submit amended investigation reports and supplementary investigation reports.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that although the terms "amended investigation reports" and "supplementary reports" are not specifically provided for in the Bill, the Bill has already preserved the Police's current practice of submitting these reports to IPCC. <i>(The Administration has been requested at the meeting on 29 January 2008 to provide a further response)</i></p>



<b>Organisation</b>		<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b>			
2	Hong Kong Bar Association LC Paper No. CB(2)649/07-08(01)	Clause 16(3) should be deleted.	The Administration has advised in Annex D to LC Paper No. CB(2)810/07-08(01) that clause 16(3) provides that clause 16(2)(a) and (b) does not apply to an investigation report on an informal resolution case as CAPO deals with such cases through conciliation with the complainants without conducting a full investigation. Notwithstanding this, the Police are required to submit reports on these cases to IPCC so that IPCC can monitor the manner in which CAPO handles these cases and take necessary follow-up actions.
<b>Clause 17 - Commissioner to submit interim investigation reports on reportable complaints</b>			
* 1	Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)	Clause 17(4) should be amended to cover matters other than the progress and reasons for the prolonged investigation that IPCC may wish to give its observations, e.g. the non-reportable complaint categorisation of an allegation.	The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that it does not consider it necessary to amend clause 17(4) to cover categorisation of non-reportable complaints.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Clause 18 - Council may advise Commissioner of its opinion or recommendation on investigation report</b></p>			
<p>* 3</p>	<p>Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)</p>	<p>(a) Clarification should be made as to how active IPCC should be in making recommendations;</p> <p>(b) IPCC should have the power to demand an oral or written report containing the most recent available information in relation to a case dated back as far as possible whenever IPCC considers appropriate;</p> <p>(c) IPCC should be allowed to make recommendations relating to any practice or procedure, or any investigation regardless of whether the investigation has ever been started, currently in progress, or has been completed;</p> <p>(d) IPCC should be allowed to make recommendations to CP verbally and record them in writing as soon as practicable afterwards; and</p> <p>(e) A statutory time limit of three months should be imposed for informing the complainants and the victim's family of the</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that -</p> <p>(a) Information submitted by the Police under clause 16 should enable IPCC to have a comprehensive overview of whether the Police has handled a complaint fairly and impartially. Clause 17 provides that an interim investigation report submitted by the Police to IPCC must explain the progress of the investigation and the reasons for not being able to complete the investigation within a six-month period or such shorter period agreed between IPCC and the Police;</p> <p>(b) Clause 7(1)(a) and 7(1)(d) and 7(2) already enable IPCC to make recommendations at any stage of the investigation of a reportable complaint, so long as IPCC considers it necessary to do so in discharging its statutory functions; and</p> <p>(c) There are other provisions in the Bill, including clauses 17(1), 17(3), 17(4), 23, 32</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
	<p>decision. If the time limit cannot be met, an explanation should be given to IPCC and letters informing the delay with the explanations should be issued.</p>	<p>and 34, which provide sufficient powers and flexibility for IPCC to closely monitor the Police's handling of complaints and to make recommendations in a timely manner. The IPCC Serious Complaints Committee also monitors the Police's handling of selected serious complaint vigorously. IPCC is also free to make its requests and recommendations orally.</p>
<p>* 3</p> <p>Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)</p>	<p>IPCC should have the power to refer a case to the Secretary for Justice or the Director of Public Prosecutions with recommendations.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that clause 37(2)(b) allows the disclosure of information relating to a complaint, if it is for the purpose of reporting evidence of any crime to such authority (including ICAC and DoJ) and as they consider appropriate.</p>
<p>* 6</p> <p>Zi Teng LC Paper Nos. CB(2)473/07-08(01) CB(2)530/07-08(01) CB(2)531/07-08(01)</p> <p>* 7</p> <p>JJJ Association LC Paper Nos. CB(2)499/07-08(05) CB(2)530/07-08(01)</p>	<p>IPCC should be empowered to refer cases to the Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) and the Department of Justice (DoJ).</p>	

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
<p><b>Clause 19 - Council may conduct interview</b></p>		
<p>* 3</p>	<p>Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)</p>	<p>(a) IPCC should be allowed to interview any person at any time it considers appropriate before or after the investigation report has been submitted; and</p> <p>(b) If an interviewee prefers an interview to be conducted in public, then the interview should be conducted in public to enhance the credibility and transparency of the interviews.</p>
<p>* 6</p>	<p>Zi Teng LC Paper Nos. CB(2)473/07-08(01) CB(2)530/07-08(01) CB(2)531/07-08(01)</p>	<p>IPCC should be empowered to interview witnesses and that no part of the interview should be used to incriminate the witness.</p>
<p>* 7</p>	<p>JJJ Association LC Paper Nos. CB(2)499/07-08(05) CB(2)530/07-08(01)</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that -</p> <p>(a) Under clause 19, IPCC may interview witnesses, including complainants, complainees, pathologists, government chemists and independent witnesses, to clarify matters. Witnesses attend interviews entirely on a voluntary basis and will not be compelled to disclose self-incriminating information; and</p> <p>(b) Interviewing of witnesses is only one of the means through which IPCC monitors whether the Police has handled complaints fairly and impartially. IPCC conducts open sessions regularly and publishes annual reports. If an interview conducted by IPCC provides essential information for IPCC to consider whether the Police has handled a complaint fairly and impartially, IPCC may, subject to clause 37, make reference to the interview record in the open sessions and annual reports to publicise the relevant details.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
<p><b>Clause 20 - Council may require Commissioner to provide information etc. relating to reportable complaints</b></p>		
<p>* 1</p>	<p>Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)</p>	<p>IPCC should be given full and unrestricted access to information pertaining to any complaint investigation which is made available to CAPO in its investigation. Such complete access to information should be provided for by an explicit provision in the Bill.</p>
<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that the Bill enables IPCC to have wide access to such information. The Administration considers that the well-established principle of legal professional privilege (LPP) under the common law should be preserved. The Bill permits the Police to consider waiving its right to LPP on a case-by-case basis in ensuring that IPCC is provided with sufficient relevant information pertaining to the reportable complaints concerned for performing its function of monitoring and reviewing the handling of Police complaints. CP will not deliberately withhold information from IPCC to the advantage of the Police. <i>(The Administration has been requested at the meeting on 29 January 2008 to provide a further response)</i></p>		

<b>Organisation</b>		<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b>			
* 3	Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)	IPCC's power to require CP to provide any information and material relating to a reportable complaint should be extended to any information and material relating to any complaint and any aspects of the Police Force.	The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that IPCC will have access under the Bill to adequate information on both reportable complaints and non-reportable complaints as well as sufficient means to discharge its monitoring and review functions.
<b>Clause 22 - Council may require Commissioner to inform complainant of classification of reportable complaints</b>			
* 1	Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)	Clause 22 should be amended to oblige CP to inform the complainant of any other matters relating to the Police's operation raised by the complainant in a complaint, in addition to the classification and the reasons for the classification.	The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that upon completion of the investigation of a reportable complaint and IPCC's endorsement of the investigation report, CAPO will issue a full reply to the complainant which will also address, as a matter of practice, other enquiries or suggestions made by the complainant in addition to the complaint. The Administration does not consider it appropriate to cover other enquiries and suggestions not related to matters within the purview of IPCC in the Bill.

<b>Organisation</b> <b>LC Paper No. of</b> <b>Written submission</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<p>* 6 Zi Teng LC Paper Nos. CB(2)473/07-08(01) CB(2)530/07-08(01) CB(2)531/07-08(01)</p> <p>* 7 JJJ Association LC Paper Nos. CB(2)499/07-08(05) CB(2)530/07-08(01)</p>	<p>To enhance transparency, a complainant should be informed of the progress, content and results of investigation.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that under its performance pledges, CAPO will attempt to establish contact with a complainant within two working days of the complaint being lodged, send an acknowledgment letter to the complainant within one working day of the complaint being received by CAPO, aim to complete the investigation of complaint cases within four months. In case the investigation cannot be completed within two months, CAPO will keep the complainant informed of the status of investigation and the reason for non-completion every two months, and inform the complainant of the outcome of investigation within 10 working days of endorsement by IPCC. <i>(The Administration has been requested at the meeting on 29 January 2008 to consider amending the clause to empower IPCC to require CP to inform a complainant how the complaint concerned had been followed up, the outcome of investigation as well as the basis on which the relevant conclusion was drawn)</i></p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Clause 26 - Council may require Commissioner to consult Council on orders and manuals relating to handling or investigation of reportable complaints</b></p>			
<p>2</p>	<p>Hong Kong Bar Association LC Paper No. CB(2)649/07-08(01)</p>	<p>The scope of clause 26 should be expanded to require CP to consult IPCC on any significant amendment proposed to be made to the Police General Orders, the Headquarters Orders, the Hong Kong Police Force Procedures Manual, and any other orders or manuals of the Police Force that has anything to do with contact with members of the public, including suspects and arrested persons.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in Annex D to LC Paper No. CB(2)810/07-08(01) that clause 7(1)(c) of the Bill empowers IPCC to identify any fault or deficiency in any practice or procedure adopted by the Police Force that has led to or might lead to reportable complaints and to make recommendations to CP or the Chief Executive (CE) or both of them in respect of such practice or procedure. Such recommendations may be made at the initiative of IPCC without reference to any investigation report submitted by CAPO.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Clause 27 - Commissioner to comply with requirements of Council</b></p>			
<p>* 1</p>	<p>Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)</p>	<p>The phrase "or the investigation of any crime" should be deleted or amended along the line of "or the investigation of indictable offences" or setting a time limit for CP not to comply with IPCC's requirements.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that the suggestions may not be practicable. In many cases, a crime which initially appears to be a non-indictable offence and triggers an investigation may turn out to be an indictable one upon detailed investigation. In addition, the time required for investigating different</p>



<div style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></div> <div style="text-align: left;"><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></div>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>	
		crimes varies.	
<b>Clause 28 - Report to Chief Executive</b>			
* 1	Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)	Clause 28 should be amended to provide for a response from CE to IPCC's report made to him.	The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that it is an established practice that CE or his authorised officer will respond to the statutory body submitting the report. The Administration considers it not necessary to make an express provision for this in the Bill.
<b>Clause 31 - Appointment of observers</b>			
2	Hong Kong Bar Association LC Paper No. CB(2)649/07-08(01)	To empower IPCC to appoint observers.	The Administration has advised in Annex D to LC paper No. CB(2)810/07-08(01) that the Secretary for Security appoints suitable candidates from a wide spectrum of sectors as observers, having regard to their integrity, ability, experience and commitment to public service, so as to provide effective assistance to IPCC. In making the appointments, the Secretary for Security will take into account IPCC's opinions and views.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
<p>* 3 Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)</p>	<p>Persons closely connected to the Police Force, family members of Police officers and persons with related substantial business transactions should not be appointed as observers. A certain number of observers should come from non-government organisations campaigning for criminal justice or civil liberty. A number of observers should be appointed on a full-time employment basis.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that -</p> <p>(a) Appointments of observers are made on an <i>ad personam</i> basis. In making such appointments, the Administration will continue to observe the principle of selecting the best person for the job, having regard to the functions and nature of business of IPCC, and an individual's integrity, ability, experience, expertise and commitment to public service;</p> <p>(b) The intent of clause 31(2) is to avoid any conflict of interest and ensure that all observers will maintain an objective and balanced view in considering whether the Police handle public complaints against members of the Police Force in a fair and just manner; and</p> <p>(c) The Administration does not consider it appropriate to appoint observers on a full-time basis.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
<p><b>Clause 37 - Duty to keep confidence</b></p>		
<p>* 1</p>	<p>Independent Police Complaints Council LC Paper No. CB(2)563/07-08(01)</p>	<p>In case of any disagreement between IPCC and CP over the handling and classification of a reportable complaint, IPCC should be allowed to disclose CP's explanations for not accepting IPCC's advice regarding the findings and classifications of CAPO's investigations, and the reason for any IPCC disagreement with the Police on the disciplinary action to be taken.</p>
		<p>Clause 37(4) should cover also potential witnesses who may decline to attend an interview with IPCC in the end, CE, other relevant government departments or statutory/advisory bodies (where referral of a case is necessary if the matter is subject to other jurisdictions), and legal representatives, friends or relatives who accompany a witness to be interviewed by IPCC (provided that their presence is approved under clause 19).</p>
<p>The Administration has advised in LC paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that Clause 37 as currently drafted already permits IPCC to disclose "protected information" to such persons as IPCC considers appropriate, as long as the disclosure is necessary for the performance of IPCC's functions under the Bill. The Bill does not prohibit IPCC from making public the Police's explanations and the reasons for any disagreement of IPCC with the Police on the disciplinary action to be taken, if IPCC considers that the disclosure is necessary for discharging its monitoring function.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that -</p> <p>(a) Clause 37(4)(e) already permits disclosure of such personal data to a person interviewed by IPCC pursuant to clause 19. The Administration will further examine IPCC's comments in considering whether clause 37(4) should be refined; and</p>	

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>	
			<p>(b) The Administration does not consider it necessary to expand clause 37(4) to cover other relevant government departments or statutory/advisory bodies.</p>
<p>* 3</p>	<p>Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(02)</p>	<p>Disclosure of confidential information should be allowed when it is in the public interest or reasonable and equitable to do so. Once the classification of a case is substantiated or partially substantiated, information on the case should be fully published. If a case is not substantiated, its summary should also be published.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that clause 37(2) provides a sufficiently wide scope for IPCC to make disclosures in justified circumstances.</p>
<p><b>Clause 38 - Protection of Council and its members, etc.</b></p>			<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that clause 38 provides IPCC members with the necessary immunity from civil liability for discharging their functions in good faith and is proportionate to the nature of the functions of IPCC.</p>
<p>* 1</p>	<p>Independent Police Complaints Council</p>	<p>IPCC members should be protected from criminal liability.</p>	<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that clause 38 provides IPCC members with the necessary immunity from civil liability for discharging their functions in good faith and is proportionate to the nature of the functions of IPCC.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Organisation</b></p> <p><b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comments</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Remarks</b></p>
<p><b>Schedule 1, section 23 - Annual reports of Council</b></p>		
<p>* 5</p>	<p>Civil Human Rights Front LC Paper No. CB(2)499/07-08(04)</p>	<p>IPCC should submit all completed investigation reports to the Legislative Council (LegCo), who may make enquiries about IPCC's reports and comment on them.</p>
<p>The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(02) that section 23 of Schedule 1 to the Bill obliges IPCC to submit annual reports to the CE and cause the reports to be tabled in LegCo. The reports will cover, among others, the performance of IPCC's functions. IPCC may, therefore, mention any cases as it considers appropriate in its annual reports. LegCo may ask questions about the reports or comment on the reports. <i>(The Administration has been requested at the meeting on 29 January 2008 to advise whether and how LegCo Members could make enquiries or comments about cases included in the general statistics on the complaints handled in the year but not in the cases of interest in the annual report of IPCC)</i></p>		

<b>Organisation</b>  <b>LC Paper No. of Written submission</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>Schedule 1, section 25 - Delegation of functions</b>		
* 1	Independent Police Complaints Council	To consider adding a provision similar to that in Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance to provide for IPCC to delegate some of its functions to a technical or professional person whose service was engaged by IPCC.
The Administration has advised in LC Paper No. CB(2)829/07-08(01) that it does not consider it necessary or indeed appropriate to provide that IPCC may delegate its functions to such entities outside IPCC. <i>(The Administration has been requested at the meeting on 29 January 2008 to provide a further response)</i>		

\* Deputations which had made oral representations to the Bills Committee

Council Business Division 2  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
4 March 2008