

立法會

Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3)719/06-07

**Paper for the House Committee meeting
on 22 June 2007**

**Questions scheduled for the
Legislative Council meeting on 27 June 2007**

Questions by:

- | | | | |
|------|--|-----------------|----------------|
| (1) | Hon Ronny TONG
<i>(Replacing his previous question)</i> | (Oral reply) | (New question) |
| (2) | Hon LEE Cheuk-yan | (Oral reply) | |
| (3) | Hon Alan LEONG
<i>(Replacing his previous question)</i> | (Oral reply) | (New question) |
| (4) | Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong
<i>(Replacing his previous question)</i> | (Oral reply) | (New question) |
| (5) | Hon James TO | (Oral reply) | |
| (6) | Hon TAM Yiu-chung | (Oral reply) | |
| (7) | Dr Hon David LI | (Written reply) | |
| (8) | Dr Hon YEUNG Sum | (Written reply) | |
| (9) | Hon LAU Wong-fat | (Written reply) | |
| (10) | Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG
<i>(Replacing his previous question)</i> | (Written reply) | (New question) |
| (11) | Hon Abraham SHEK | (Written reply) | |
| (12) | Hon Audrey EU | (Written reply) | |
| (13) | Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung | (Written reply) | |
| (14) | Hon LEE Wing-tat | (Written reply) | |
| (15) | Hon Fred LI | (Written reply) | |
| (16) | Hon LI Kwok-ying | (Written reply) | |
| (17) | Hon CHOY So-yuk | (Written reply) | |
| (18) | Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki | (Written reply) | |
| (19) | Hon Albert CHAN | (Written reply) | |
| (20) | Hon SIN Chung-kai | (Written reply) | |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(1) 湯家驊議員 (口頭答覆)

鑒於《基本法》第四十五及六十八條分別訂明行政長官及立法會全部議員最終達致由普選產生的目標，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 現時香港特別行政區（下稱“特區”）政府有沒有設立任何常設機制，就特區民主政制發展的實際情況，定期向中央人民政府（下稱“中央政府”）匯報落實上述目標的進展；如果有，詳情是甚麼；如果沒有，原因是甚麼；
- (二) 特區政府就《政制發展綠皮書》（下稱“《綠皮書》”）諮詢市民前，會不會先就《綠皮書》內關於落實《基本法》第四十五及六十八條的方案諮詢中央政府；如果會，會於何時諮詢中央政府及要求中央政府何時回覆；如果不會，原因是甚麼；及
- (三) 特區政府會如何處理就《綠皮書》諮詢公眾期間接獲的意見以及按甚麼準則和方式制訂政制發展的最終方向，在這過程中，會否考慮中央政府的意見；如果會考慮，所佔的比重是多少；如果不考慮，會如何處理中央政府的意見？

(1) Hon Ronny TONG (Oral Reply)

Given that Articles 45 and 68 of the Basic Law respectively provide that the ultimate aims are the selection of the Chief Executive and the election of all the members of the Legislative Council by universal suffrage, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region ("HKSAR") Government has set up any standing mechanism for regularly reporting to the Central People's Government ("Central Government") on the progress of achieving the above aims, according to the actual situation of HKSAR's democratic development; if it has, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;
- (b) whether the HKSAR Government will, before consulting the public on the Green Paper on Constitutional Development, consult the Central Government on the proposals in the Green Paper concerning the implementation of Articles 45 and 68 of the Basic Law; if it will, when it will consult the Central Government and by when the Central Government is requested to provide a reply; if not, the reasons for that;
- (c) how the HKSAR Government will deal with the views received during the public consultation on the Green Paper, and of the criteria and means for formulating the ultimate direction of constitutional development; whether it will take into account the Central Government's views in the process; if it will, of the weighting given to such views; if not, how it will deal with the Central Government's views?

#(3) 梁家傑議員 (口頭答覆)

關於政制事務局局長於本年 4 月 12 日，在出席策略發展委員會（下稱“策發會”）管治及政治發展委員會第 9 次會議後發表的談話，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 鑒於局長當日提及有不少策發會委員認同應先落實行政長官普選，然後才處理普選立法會全體議員，稍後公布的《政制發展綠皮書》（下稱“《綠皮書》”）會不會包含同時實行普選行政長官及立法會全體議員的方案；
- (二) 鑒於局長當日表示策發會委員們的分歧在於應即時全部取消，還是逐步取消立法會功能組別議席，這是否意味《綠皮書》不會包含任何最終仍保留若干功能組別議席的方案；及
- (三) 既然局長當日表示期望當局提出的方案在市民當中有廣泛支持，但在市民尙未知悉及討論各個方案的情況下，當局將如何評估個別方案是否會得到市民廣泛支持，以決定是否把它納入綠皮書內；當局又會用甚麼方法評估市民對《綠皮書》內的各個方案的支持程度；請說明有關的評估方法的詳情？

(3) Hon Alan LEONG (Oral Reply)

Regarding the remarks made by the Secretary for Constitutional Affairs ("SCA") on 12 April this year after attending the ninth meeting of the Committee on Governance and Political Development of the Commission on Strategic Development ("CSD"), will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) as SCA's mentioned on that day that quite a number of CSD members agreed that the selection of the Chief Executive ("CE") by universal suffrage should be implemented first, before dealing with the election of all Members of the Legislative Council ("LegCo") by universal suffrage, whether the Green Paper on Constitutional Development to be published in the near future will include the option of concurrently implementing election by universal suffrage of both CE and all Members of LegCo;
- (b) given SCA's remark on that day that the difference among CSD members was whether the functional constituencies ("FC") seats of LegCo should be abolished immediately in one go or progressively, whether this implies that the Green Paper will not include any options which seek to retain a certain number of FC seats in the end; and
- (c) since SCA said on that day that he hoped that the options put forth would have wide support among the public, how, in deciding whether individual options should be included in the Green Paper, it will assess if these options will be widely supported by the public, when members of the public do not know and have not yet discussed those options; and of the method to be used for assessing the level of public support for the various options in the Green paper and details of such an assessment method?

#(4) 張文光議員 (口頭答覆)

政府已決定把大部分職系的公務員的入職薪酬上調 1 至 5 個支薪點，以及調高全體公務員在本年度的薪酬約百分之五。關於受大學教育資助委員會資助的院校及職業訓練局轄下各院校（下稱“資助院校”）調整教職員薪酬的事宜，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 會不會向各資助院校提供額外撥款，以便它們調高教職員的薪酬；如果會，各資助院校將會因上述兩項調整而分別獲發多少額外撥款；
- (二) 是否知悉各資助院校調整教職員薪酬的幅度會不會與公務員的一致；如果不一致，原因是甚麼；及
- (三) 如何確保各資助院校把額外撥款全數用於調整教職員的薪酬？

(4) Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong (Oral Reply)

The Government has decided to adjust the starting salaries of most grades in the civil service upwards by one to five pay points and increase the salary of civil servants by about 5% this year. Regarding the pay adjustments for staff in the institutions funded by the University Grants Committee and those under the Vocational Training Council ("subvented institutions"), will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether additional funding will be provided to the subvented institutions for them to increase the salary of their staff; if so, of the respective amounts of additional funding to be provided to each subvented institution in respect of the above two adjustments;
- (b) whether it knows if the pay adjustments for staff in subvented institutions will be the same as those for the civil service; if they are not the same, of the reasons for that; and
- (c) how it ensures that subvented institutions will use the additional funding entirely for pay adjustments of their staff?

#(10) 張超雄議員 (書面答覆)

關於全港現有的 37 間私營殘疾人士院舍的管理，以及院友及人手情況的資料，政府可否告知本會：

(一) 是否知悉該等院舍的院友按下列特徵分類的人數；

院舍類別 院友人數	5 間成功參加政府的殘疾人士院舍自願登記計劃的院舍	10 間正進行改善工程的院舍	22 間撤銷申請或沒有參加自願登記計劃的院舍
<u>性別：</u> 男 女			
<u>年齡組別：</u> 18 歲以下 18 至 44 歲 45 歲至 60 歲 60 歲以上			
<u>殘疾類別：</u> 輕度弱智 中度弱智 嚴重弱智 患有精神病 肢體殘障 視障 聽障 其他			
<u>接受服務種類：</u> 家居訓練及支援服務 日間社區康復服務 庇護工場服務 展能中心服務			

院舍類別 院友人數	5 間成功參加政府的殘疾人士院舍自願登記計劃的院舍	10 間正進行改善工程的院舍	22 間撤銷申請或沒有參加自願登記計劃的院舍
綜合職業康復服務 技能訓練 其他			

- (二) 政府如何監察上述院舍的藥物管理情況；以及過去 3 個月，該等院舍有否錯派藥物的紀錄；若有，有關詳情及補救措施；
- (三) 政府有否監察上述院舍的膳食安排；若有，請提供上述 5 間成功參加自願登記計劃的院舍一天的餐單及有關的營養資料；該等院舍有否吞嚥困難或需要協助進食的院友；若有，涉及的人數，以及院舍如何協助他們進食；
- (四) 現時上述成功參加自願登記計劃的院舍每間的職員人數(包括全職和兼職)，以及社工、護士和其他護理人員對院友的人手比例；及
- (五) 按上文第(一)項的院舍類別劃分，過去 5 年，上述 37 間院舍員工的工傷數字，以及院友住院和使用急症室服務的人次？

(10) Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG (Written Reply)

Regarding the management and information about the residents and staffing position of the existing 37 private residential care homes for the disabled ("PRCHDs") in the territory, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it knows the breakdown on the number of PRCHD residents by their characteristics set out below:

Type of PRCHD	Five PRCHDs which have successfully joined the Government's Voluntary Registration Scheme ("VRS")	10 PRCHDs which are carrying out improvement works	22 PRCHDs which have withdrawn their applications or refrained from joining VRS
Number of residents			
<u>Gender:</u> Male Female			
<u>Age group:</u> Below 18 18 to 44 45 to 60 Above 60			
<u>Type of disability:</u> Mildly mentally handicapped Moderately mentally handicapped Severely mentally handicapped Psychosis Physical disability Visually impairment Hearing impairment Others			
<u>Type of service received:</u> Home-based training and support service Community rehabilitation day service Sheltered workshop service Day activity centre service Integrated Vocational Rehabilitation service Skills training Others			

- (b) how the Government monitors the drug management of the above PRCHDs; and whether there were any incidents of wrong dispensation of medicines by these PRCHDs in the past three months; if so, of the details and remedial measures;
- (c) whether the Government has monitored the meal arrangements in the above PRCHDs; if it has, please provide the menu and the nutrition information for a day of the above five PRCHDs which have successfully joined VRS; whether these PRCHDs have any residents who have swallowing difficulties or need help when drinking or eating; if they have, of the number of such residents and how PRCHDs assist them in drinking and eating;
- (d) of the existing numbers of staff (including both part-time and full-time) in each of the above PRCHDs which have successfully joined VRS, and the respective manpower ratios of social workers, nurses and other nursing staff to PRCHD residents; and
- (e) of a breakdown, by the type of PRCHDs in item(a) above, of the number of work injuries in the above 37 PRCHDs as well as the number of in-patient and accident and emergency attendances of PRCHD residents in the past five years?