

Panel on Home Affairs**List of follow-up actions**

(as at 10 January 2007)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
1. Development of a stadium complex and sports facilities in South East Kowloon	15.1.03	The Administration was requested to provide detailed recommendations on the project.	Response awaited.
2. Review of built heritage conservation policy	9.11.04	The Administration was requested to provide a written response to the motion moved by Mr Albert CHAN urging the Government to put on hold the tendering procedure for the Central Police Station and Victoria Prison. The motion was passed by the Panel.	Response awaited.
3. Reports on Privacy and Media Intrusion and Civil Liability for Invasion of Privacy published by the Law Reform Commission of Hong Kong (LRC)	14.1.05	The Administration was requested to report on its position on the two LRC's reports as soon as possible.	Response awaited.
4. Review of advisory and statutory bodies (ASBs)	8.7.05	The Administration was requested to provide – (a) a paper setting out the reasons for some of the members of district-based advisory committees having served in the same posts for over six years and why the Administration had difficulties in removing them from the committees; (b) a paper on what initiatives were being	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>introduced by the Home Affairs Bureau (HAB) for the purpose of bringing in more talents from different backgrounds to the ASBs under its purview;</p> <p>(c) a paper setting out the criteria of appointment and the principles being used by bureaux in making appointments to ASBs; and</p> <p>(d) response to Mr Albert HO's suggestion that the Administration should work out recommendations on how existing method of appointment for each ASB should be changed so that it could best tap the talents in the relevant field, and arrange for each Panel to discuss the recommendations for the ASBs under its purview.</p>	
<p>5. Government's proposed arrangements for staging the 2008 Olympic and Paralympic Equestrian Events</p>	<p>20.7.05</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide –</p> <p>(a) information on the reprovisioning plan, including photographs and architectural drawing of YMCA Wu Kwai Sha Youth Village for illustration;</p> <p>(b) details of the terms and conditions of the contract which would be signed between the Government and the Beijing Organising Committee for the Games of</p>	<p>The Administration plans to brief the Panel on the subject in due course.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>the 29th Olympiad (BOCOG); and</p> <p>(c) details of the financial arrangements to be worked out by the Government and BOCOG.</p> <p>The Administration to request the Hong Kong Jockey Club (HKJC) to provide the findings and recommendations of the consultancy study commissioned by HKJC on site investigation.</p>	
<p>6. Proposed guidelines for conducting duty visits outside Hong Kong issued to the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) and the Office of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data (PCO)</p>	<p>9.12.05</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide a paper on the monitoring systems for overseas duty visits conducted by -</p> <p>(a) statutory bodies such as the Trade Development Council, the Airport Authority, the Hong Kong Tourism Board, the Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation and the Hospital Authority; and</p> <p>(b) the Commissioner/Independent Commission Against Corruption, the Ombudsman and the Chairperson of the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC).</p> <p>The Administration was requested to –</p> <p>(a) review the governance structure of PCO as well as SFC and any other public organisations such as the Monetary</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>Authority; and</p> <p>(b) review the currently proposed guidelines taking into consideration members' comments and revert to the Panel once it had reached a consensus with EOC and PCO.</p>	
<p>7. Implementation of recommendations made in the Report of the Independent Panel of Inquiry on the Incidents Relating to the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) and the recommendations made in two other reports of the internal reviews conducted by EOC</p>	<p>13.1.06</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide information on the following –</p> <p>(a) to conduct research into the past discussions of LegCo during its scrutiny of the Sex Discrimination Bill to see why the Administration and the legislature had decided at that time that the EOC Chairperson should be a full-time executive position;</p> <p>(b) how the functions and powers between the EOC Chairperson and the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) would be separated; and</p> <p>(c) a detailed analysis of the policy implications of the proposal</p> <p>for consultation with the Panel, before the Bill was drafted.</p> <p>The Administration was also requested to provide a timetable as to when it would conduct</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		the consultation with EOC and the relevant bodies on the proposal of separating the posts of the EOC Chairperson and CEO, and when it would submit the above information to this Panel for discussion.	
8. Management and resumption of private streets	12.5.06	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) membership of the Private Street Resumption Committee; (b) a list of the 79 private streets including the dates of and reasons for their deletion; (c) parties which had been consulted on the decisions to delete the 79 private streets; (d) the authorities which had made the decisions and whether the decisions were supported by the District Officers concerned; (e) why Fort Street and Nation Street were not resumed, and whether there was any plan to repair the blocked drains along Fort Street and to rectify the environmental hygiene problem at Kam Ping Street; (f) the basis for possible compensation claims to be made in respect of the 79 private 	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>streets if they were to be resumed;</p> <p>(g) names of the private streets which had been deleted because of their improved condition, and what follow-up actions the Administration would take to deal with problems in those which had not improved in their condition; and</p> <p>(h) regarding private streets, whether bitumen works would also be carried out for the road after it had been paved by the Administration.</p> <p>The Administration was also requested to give written response to the Chairman's suggestions that the Administration should consider granting an enhanced plot ratio in case of redevelopment as an alternative to financial compensation, and the feasibility of stipulating in the law that the Government would not need to make compensations if 80% to 90% of the affected owners consented not to seek compensations.</p>	
<p>9. Briefing by the Secretary for Home Affairs on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2006</p>	<p>16.10.06</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide information on -</p> <p>(a) the leisure and sports facilities planned to be provided in the next five years at a total</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>capital cost of \$9 billion;</p> <p>(b) the Administration's plan to support the vocational development and the livelihood of elite athletes in Hong Kong; and</p> <p>(c) a new convention on the rights of persons with a disability recently adopted by the United Nations and whether HAB or the Health, Welfare and Food Bureau would undertake a coordinating role for fulfilling the reporting obligation as required under this new convention.</p>	
10. Arts and Sport Development Fund and Arts Development Fund	8.12.06	The Administration was requested to provide supplementary information on the total number of elite athletes who were receiving financial subsidies with a breakdown by amount.	Response awaited.