

**Panel on Planning, Lands and Works**

**List of follow-up actions**  
(Position as at 20 June 2007)

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
1. Fee proposal under the Town Planning (Amendment) Ordinance 2004 (HPLB)	24.1.2006	<p>The Administration was requested to --</p> <p>(a) provide the details of the basis for determining the various fee items under the fee proposal;</p> <p>(b) reconsider extension of fee waiver to all applications relating to "public causes", "public proposes" or "public interest" as a matter of policy;</p> <p>(c) investigate whether there was any definition for "public interest" in law;</p> <p>(d) provide the estimated costs incurred by the Government in processing various types of planning applications each year; and</p> <p>(e) consult Heung Yee Kuk on the fee proposal with particular regard to fee items applicable to small house applications.</p>	Administration's response awaited.

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
2. Enhancement of continuity of the pedestrian links along the northern shore of the Hong Kong Island and the accessibility and environment of the harbourfront of the Hong Kong Island for public use (HPLB)	25.7.2006	<p>The Administration was requested to take follow-up actions as follows --</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) to provide information relating to the status of the proposed footbridge at Aon China Building;</li> <li>(b) to provide information on the distance and the time needed to walk to the waterfront from the exits of the Mass Transit Railway stations from Sheung Wan to North Point;</li> <li>(c) to provide the expected completion dates of the proposed waterfront enhancement works and expedite the works for those requiring no reclamation;</li> <li>(d) to provide the expected completion dates of the proposed pedestrian links, such as footbridges and elevated walkways; and</li> <li>(e) to provide information on the feasibility of introducing measures to better utilize the space under the Island Eastern Corridor in North Point, such as constructing boardwalks.</li> </ul>	<p>The Administration's written response to items (a) and (b) (LC Paper No. CB(1)700/06-07(01)) was issued to members on 11 January 2007. The Administration has indicated that it will provide information on the remaining items in its next paper on the subject to be submitted in the first half of 2007.</p>

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
3. Development of Greening Master Plans -- Progress Report (ETWB)	27.3.2007	The Administration was requested to explain why priority had been accorded to urban areas in implementing greening master plans, and to review the timetable for implementing greening master plans in the New Territories to see if it could be advanced.	The Administration's written response (LC Paper No. CB(1)1703/06-07(01)) was issued to members on 22 May 2007.
4. Work of the Urban Renewal Authority (HPLB)	23.4.2007	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) the financial results/status (profit/loss) of individual completed/on-going projects launched by URA so far;</p> <p>(b) the estimated profits of the respective developers/partners for projects undertaken through cooperation between URA and developers/partners;</p> <p>(c) a comparison of the average rentals and average premiums in the respective districts at the time when the respective redevelopment projects were announced and after completion of those redevelopment projects;</p> <p>(d) a comparison of the gross floor areas of the respective sites before and after</p>	This item will be further discussed on 26 June 2007.

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>redevelopment; and</p> <p>(e) the vision and future work plan of URA.</p>	
<p>5. Designation of land lots in rural areas for use as container back-up areas and open storage space for containers (HPLB)</p>	<p>24.4.2007</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) the overall area and distribution of land lots in rural areas used as container back-up areas and open storage space for containers under the category of "Existing Use";</p> <p>(b) the prosecution and conviction figures on illegal container back-up areas and open storage space for containers in rural areas since the implementation of the Town Planning (Amendment) Ordinance in mid 2005; and</p> <p>(c) examples of recent court cases to demonstrate how the implementation of the Town Planning (Amendment) Ordinance had improved the enforcement capability of the Planning Department, with information on the fines imposed and the time required for making prosecutions.</p>	<p>Administration's response awaited.</p>

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
6. Wan Chai Development Phase II Review - Recommended Outline Development Plan and proposed amendments to relevant Outline Zoning Plans (HPLB)	29.5.2007	The Administration was requested to provide the following information --  (a) the number of "Other Specified Uses" sites that had been rezoned for commercial use over the past 5 to 10 years; and  (b) the amount of consultancy fees paid to Maunsell Consultants Asia Limited for undertaking various Government consultancy projects over the past 10 years and the consultancy fees it would receive in future if the projects in relation to Wan Chai Development Phase II were approved.	Administration's response awaited.
7. Revised Concept Plan for Lantau (HPLB)	4.6.2007	The Administration agreed to confirm in writing the amount of private land included in the existing and proposed conservation zones under the Revised Concept Plan for Lantau and that the existing interests and rights of owners of private land as stipulated in the land leases would not be affected when their land was within areas designated for nature conservation purposes.	Administration's response awaited