

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(2)2217/07-08

Ref : CB2/PS2/06

Panel on Home Affairs

Report of the Subcommittee on Heritage Conservation

Purpose

This paper reports on the deliberations of the Subcommittee on Heritage Conservation.

Background

2. In response to the wide public concern over the reprovisioning of the Star Ferry Pier towards the end of 2006, the Home Affairs Bureau (HAB)¹ organised a series of public forums in January and February 2007 to explain the current policy and measures on built heritage conservation and to solicit public views. On 9 March 2007, the Panel on Home Affairs (the Panel) was briefed on the views received during the public discussion and the Administration's plan to announce a package of improvement proposals and measures on built heritage conservation in the latter half of 2007. The Panel also held a special meeting on 20 April 2007 to receive views from interested parties on built heritage conservation.

3. Panel members in general agreed that, before the proposals and measures on built heritage conservation were announced, urgent actions should be taken to save buildings and sites with unique heritage value or characteristics and which were on the verge of demolition. As members were dissatisfied with the Administration's refusal to put on hold projects which might affect buildings or sites with unique heritage value, the Panel decided at its meeting on 11 May 2007 to set up a subcommittee to follow up on projects involving such buildings and sites of historic interest or cultural values.

¹ Since the re-organisation of policy bureaux of the Government Secretariat with effect from 1 July 2007, the policy area of heritage conservation has been transferred from HAB to the Development Bureau.

The Subcommittee

4. The terms of reference and the membership of the Subcommittee are in **Appendices I and II** respectively.

5. Under the chairmanship of Hon CHOY So-yuk, the Subcommittee has held 10 meetings, including a joint meeting with the Panel on Development (formerly known as the Panel on Planning, Lands and Works). A list of the deputations which have given views to the Subcommittee is in **Appendix III**.

Deliberations of the Subcommittee

6. Since its establishment in June 2007, the Subcommittee has discussed projects involving the following buildings and sites of historic interest or cultural values -

- (a) Queen's Pier (paragraphs 7 to 12);
- (b) Nga Tsin Wai Village (paragraphs 13 to 19);
- (c) Wan Chai Market Building (paragraphs 20 to 29);
- (d) open-air bazaar at Tai Yuen Street and Cross Street (paragraphs 30 to 36);
- (e) King Yin Lei at No.45 Stubbs Road (paragraphs 37 to 42);
- (f) Central Police Station Compound (paragraphs 43 to 48); and
- (g) original site of the Central School at Hollywood Road (paragraphs 49 to 53).

Queen's Pier

7. Existing waterfront facilities, including Queen's Pier which was accorded Grade I historical building status by the Antiquities Advisory Board (AAB) on 9 May 2007, are affected by the reclamation under the Central Reclamation Phase III (CRIII). The CRIII contract was awarded in February 2003 and scheduled for completion in mid 2009. CRIII is to provide land for transport infrastructure and a waterfront promenade. It is the Administration's plan to retain the

"preservable" components of Queen's Pier, after its demolition, for future relocation to the Central Waterfront. The most suitable site for relocation will be identified under the Urban Design Study for the New Central Harbourfront (the Study) being undertaken by the Planning Department. The Stage 1 Public Engagement of the Study was launched in May 2007. Public views were collected up to September 2007.

8. The Subcommittee held a meeting on 26 June 2007 to convey to the Administration views on the design options at different locations for re-assembling Queen's Pier. The Subcommittee further held a joint meeting with the Panel on Development on 24 July 2007 to discuss the implementation details for preserving Queen's Pier, including the preservation method, storage arrangement and implementation programme.

9. Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG, Hon CHAN Yuen-han and Prof Hon Patrick LAU consider that Queen's Pier should be re-assembled at the original location in order to maintain the spatial relationship among the City Hall, Edinburgh Place and Queen's Pier. Hon James TO has expressed concern that, amongst the four alternative concepts for re-assembling Queen's Pier and reconstructing the old Star Ferry Clock Tower included for the Stage 1 Public Engagement, there was not an option of reconstructing the Clock Tower and re-assembling the Queen's Pier at their original locations. Mr TO considers that, as Queen's Pier was where new governors of Hong Kong took their oaths upon arrival, re-assembling Queen's Pier at the original location is important in order to preserve the historical significance of Queen's Pier.

10. Hon CHAN Yuen-han has further requested the Administration to consider constructing an artificial lake around the re-assembled Pier and to take into account the strong view of the Hong Kong Institute of Architects that Queen's Pier should be preserved in-situ. Hon CHOY So-yuk has requested the Administration to explore alternative concepts which attempt to re-assemble the Queen's Pier and to reconstruct the old Star Ferry Clock Tower at their original locations, and provide such options for the Stage 2 Public Engagement.

11. The Administration has subsequently included the concept for re-assembling Queen's Pier at its original location for the Stage 2 Public Engagement. Under this concept, the old Star Ferry Clock Tower will also be reconstructed close to its original location as reconstruction at the exact original location is not possible due to underground infrastructure. While its relationship with City Hall and Edinburgh Place will be maintained, the re-assembled Queen's Pier will become a sitting-out area. For aesthetic reasons, a water feature around the re-assembled Pier is proposed. A Clock Tower Gallery will also be built to exhibit the salvaged items.

12. The Administration has informed members that the Stage 2 Public Engagement of the Study launched on 11 April 2008 will last for about three months. A community consolidation forum will be held towards the end of the Stage 2 Public Engagement to consolidate public views before the recommendations of the Study are finalised for the consideration of the Town Planning Board (TPB).

Nga Tsin Wai Village

13. According to government information, the village was probably built in the mid 14th century and is the only remaining walled village in the urban area. The village, located in Wong Tai Sin District, is one of the 25 redevelopment projects announced by the former Land Development Corporation (LDC) and inherited by the Urban Renewal Authority (URA) for implementation.

14. In 1994, AAB discussed this project and raised no objection to its redevelopment. AAB re-confirmed their decision in 1999, whilst requesting LDC to preserve the most historic houses in-situ. In 2005, the Wong Tai Sin District Council (WTSDC) requested URA to expedite the redevelopment of the village in order to improve the living conditions of the villagers, subject to the preservation of three items, namely the Tin Hau Temple, the gate house and the stone tablet "Hing Yau Yu" (慶有餘) on top of the gate house. AAB was informed of this arrangement in 2006 and raised no objection.

15. Members note that nearly 70% of the property interests within the village are now owned by a major land owner. The major land owner already has in hand various approved building plans to develop its own lots for residential uses and has obtained vacant possession of most of its lots.

16. URA presented the conservation plan for this project to the Subcommittee on 2 October 2007. URA has proposed that, in addition to preserving the three identified items, the Central Axis and the lane pattern, as well as eight relatively intact village houses along the Central Axis will be conserved subject to their structural conditions. URA has proposed to develop a conservation park centred around the Central Axis of the village featuring the three identified items and any excavated underground relics. The ambience of this original walled village will be re-created through special landscape design concepts. In order to minimise the impact on the conservation park, URA proposes that the main bulk of the residential towers to be built at this site will be lifted up to provide a vertical clearance of some 15 metres from the ground level. It also proposes that the residential towers will be 40 metres apart, leaving generous space for the open conservation park. The Administration has informed members that the conservation plan is generally supported by the villagers and WTSDC.

17. Members understand that the villagers are anxious about this redevelopment project through which they can get compensation and resettlement to relieve them from their substandard and deteriorating living conditions. Hon CHAN Yuen-han considers that, given the long history and the unique heritage value of Nga Tsin Wai Village, the community should be engaged to discuss the conservation of the village. She expresses disappointment at the conservation plan which only conserves the village partially. She considers that the village should be preserved in-situ and, to compensate the major land owner's loss of development rights, the Administration may consider offering economic incentives, such as the transfer of development rights.

18. The Administration has explained that the conservation plan is a pragmatic approach for achieving a right balance between heritage conservation and development. It preserves not only the three identified heritage items but also much of the village environment and is able to provide large open space. The Administration has also pointed out that neither AAB supports in-situ preservation of the village as two-thirds of the village houses have already been demolished and the remaining structures are very dilapidated.

19. Some members are concerned about whether any historical relics exist underground in the village and suggest that the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO) should seek the consent of the major land owner for it to conduct an investigation at the village. URA has reported that the major owner's representatives opine that the proposed survey can be conducted after the removal of residents. At the request of the Subcommittee, the Administration and URA have agreed to refine the conservation plan to allow as much space as possible for the conservation park.

Wan Chai Market (the Market) Building

20. The Market building, which was constructed in 1937, was accorded Grade III historical building status by AAB in 1990. It forms part of the Wan Chai Road/Tai Yuen Street redevelopment project undertaken by the former LDC and taken over by URA in 2001. The project comprises three separate redevelopment sites, namely, Sites A, B and C. The project, amongst others, includes the construction of a modern purpose built market to replace the existing Market, as well as the demolition and redevelopment of the Market site, known as Site C.

21. The Development Scheme Plan for this project was submitted by the then LDC to TPB in 1995 and was approved by the then Governor-in-Council in 1996.

22. In 1996, a joint venture development agreement was entered into by LDC with the developer for the purpose of implementing this redevelopment project. Approval was given in 2004 for the Market (Site C) to be redeveloped as a residential tower.

23. The redevelopment of Sites A and B has now been completed, with the residential units being sold to private purchasers. The new market constructed at Site A was handed over to the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) in early 2007. Once the stalls in the existing Market have been relocated to the new market, the developer can proceed with redevelopment of Site C in accordance with the approved Master Layout Plan.

24. At its meeting on 11 July 2007, the Subcommittee passed a motion urging the Administration, among others, to preserve the Market by making every endeavour to renegotiate with the developer in order to achieve the objective of in-situ preservation. Some members, including Hon Fernando CHEUNG, Prof Hon Patrick LAU and Hon TAM Heung-man, have expressed the view that the Market building should be preserved given the collective memory associated with the building and its high heritage value.

25. URA has subsequently discussed further with the developer and reached an in-principle agreement to consider the feasibility of taking a "core elements preservation" approach to preserve the Market building. URA has proposed that, subject to detailed structural investigation, major character defining elements of the Market building will be preserved, including the major façade, the main entrance, the curved canopy and fins, and part of the front portion of the structural form. The preserved portions of the Market building will be used mainly for commercial purpose as in the approved scheme.

26. URA has also proposed that the design of the new residential tower on top of the Market building will take into account the original architectural style of the Market. In order to keep the existing building envelope of the Market building, a smaller number of car parking spaces will be provided.

27. Hon CHAN Yuen-han considers that the current approach which preserves only some core elements of the Market fails to serve the conservation purpose for the Market. She considers that the Administration should explore various options of transfer of plot ratio that could be offered to the developer in return for a bigger say in the design of the development.

28. URA has assured members that the main characteristics of the Market building, such as the main entrance, the windows, the grey columns and staircase, which are most remembered will be preserved. The Administration has

explained that, as the developer wants to speed up implementation of the project to minimise impact caused by fluctuations of the market, transfer of plot ratio is not appealing to the developer as it involves a process of negotiations and creates uncertainties.

29. Hon CHOY So-yuk has suggested that the ceiling height of the Market should be maintained and its old floor tiles should be kept as far as possible in order to preserve its characteristics. Prof Hon Patrick LAU has suggested that the round corners of the Market building should also be preserved. URA has agreed to consider the suggestions when working out the detailed design.

Open-air bazaar at Tai Yuen Street and Cross Street

30. The Wan Chai Road/Tai Yuen Street redevelopment project, amongst others, includes the construction of a modern purpose built market to replace the existing Wan Chai Market and the then Wan Chai Temporary Market. One of the planning intentions is to resite all the licensed fixed pitch hawkers at Tai Yuen Street and Cross Street into the new market.

31. At the Subcommittee meeting on 11 July 2007, the Administration informed members that, in the light of the views received from relevant stakeholders, FEHD had re-considered the earlier plan to relocate all the 158 licensed hawkers at Tai Yuen Street and Cross Street. FEHD had revised the proposal and would relocate only 86 licensed fixed pitch hawkers at Tai Yuen Street (South) and Cross Street (East) in order to provide relief to the anticipated traffic problem arising from the Wan Chai Road and Tai Yuen Street project and to enhance road safety. The Subcommittee further discussed the proposal at a subsequent meeting on 26 July 2007 with relevant stakeholders including hawkers and conservation groups.

32. Members maintain that the entire open-air bazaar should be preserved. They have emphasised to the Administration that relocating the 86 licensed fixed pitch hawkers will affect adversely the attractiveness of the open-air bazaar and, hence, the customer flow, which is tantamount to wiping the bazaar out of existence. Members share the deputations' views that the open-air bazaar is a vibrant and popular bazaar frequented by locals as well as tourists, and it is unacceptable for the Administration to have little regard to the livelihood of the hawkers by relocating them to the new market which in members' view was poorly-designed. Members also consider that there should be other feasible options of traffic arrangements that will not require the relocation of the 86 licensed fixed pitch hawkers. Members consider that, since only very few successful bazaars of a similar scale as this one are left in Hong Kong, the Administration should spare no effort in preserving the entire open-air bazaar.

33. Two motions were passed at the meetings on 11 and 26 July 2007 to urge the Administration to treasure bazaar culture and retain the entire open-air bazaar, and to formulate a conservation policy on open-air bazaars so as to preserve local characteristics and protect local community economy.

34. In response to the Subcommittee's views and the two motions, the Administration has subsequently devised a new plan for preserving the entire bazaar while addressing the traffic concerns. Under the new plan, all licensed fixed hawker pitches at Tai Yuen Street and Cross Street can continue to stay. To address the traffic concern caused by U-turn traffic at Tai Yuen Street (South), the loading and unloading area on the ground floor of the new market at Tai Yuen Street (South) will be used for vehicle U-turn activities by the public. In addition, a series of road safety and traffic management measures at and near Tai Yuen Street (South) will be implemented. The Administration has also undertaken to improve the environment of the bazaar in close consultation with the Wan Chai DC.

35. The Subcommittee welcomes the revised plan and has requested the Administration to improve support facilities such as electricity supply for the hawkers to facilitate their stall operation. The Administration has agreed to follow up on the necessary improvement work for enhancing the support facilities and the environment of the bazaar.

36. Some members have further suggested that, to facilitate bazaar economic activities, the hawker licensing policy should be reviewed with a view to relaxing stringent requirements with regard to the transfer and succession of existing licences. The Administration has undertaken to study relevant issues in the context of the hawker licensing policy review which is expected to be completed by mid-2008, and will report the outcome of the review to the relevant Panel.

King Yin Lei at No.45 Stubbs Road

37. King Yin Lei at 45 Stubbs Road (including the associated buildings and its garden) (the Building) was declared a proposed monument by the Secretary for Development (SDEV), in her capacity as the Antiquities Authority, on 15 September 2007 under section 2A of the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Cap. 53) (A&M Ordinance). According to the Administration, the purposes of the declaration are to give the Building temporary statutory protection from further damage (the Building faced an immediate threat as some works had been carried out at the site to remove the roof tiles, stone features and window frames of the Building), to allow a period of up to 12 months for the Antiquities Authority to consider whether it should be declared as a monument, and to discuss with the owner feasible options for the preservation of the Building.

38. Members in general consider that the Administration's failure to take timely intervention in the demolition of the Building has reflected inadequacies of the existing mechanism for preventing privately-owned historic buildings from being demolished. Members note that the Administration has all along relied on the Buildings Ordinance (Cap. 123) which requires an owner to apply for the Building Authority's prior approval for structural works, including demolition of buildings. However, the removal works carried out on the Building on those few days before 15 September 2007 did not involve the structure of the Building and did not affect the safety of the public. The works were, therefore, exempted building works under section 41(3) of the Buildings Ordinance and did not require application to the Building Authority for prior approval.

39. The Administration has informed members that the Director of Buildings has been tasked to review relevant provisions under the Buildings Ordinance with a view to enhancing the mechanism for preventing the demolition of historic buildings and facilitating heritage revitalisation. The Administration has also undertaken to expedite the heritage assessment work for the some 1 400 historic buildings (including 495 already graded buildings), in order to facilitate the conservation of the buildings involved.

40. On 22 February 2008, SDEV attended the Subcommittee's meeting and informed members of her intention to declare, in her capacity as the Antiquities Authority, the Building as a monument under section 3(1) of the A&M Ordinance having regard to the professional assessment of the heritage value of the Building and advice offered by experts that the original appearance of the Building could be restored up to 80% while its heritage value could be basically recovered. Members note that the proposed declaration has the unanimous support of members of AAB. In accordance with the A&M Ordinance, SDEV will seek CE's approval for the declaration of the Building as a monument by notice in the Gazette.

41. At that meeting, the Subcommittee was also briefed on the proposed preservation arrangement for the Building as agreed between the Government and the owner. Under the proposal, the owner will surrender the whole site of the Building to the Government, while the Government will grant an adjacent site of man-made slope of roughly the same size as the Building (about 4 700 m²) to the owner as exchange for new residential development. This site will be subject to the same development parameters as the Building. The site is a man-made slope with little vegetation to the west of the Building. The owner plans to construct five residential houses on the new site within the permissible plot ratio. According to the Administration, the proposed development will not adversely affect the density, traffic load, landscape and greenery of the area or the vista of the neighbourhood. The owner has agreed to carry out and fund the restoration works of the Building under the supervision of AMO and to AMO's satisfaction.

42. Members in general support the proposed option as well as the Administration's plan to put the Building to adaptive re-use and turn it into an attraction for local residents and tourists. Members also welcome the policy of provision of economic incentives to encourage private owners to preserve historic buildings. Some members have enquired about the time frame of the restoration works and the opening of the Building to the public. The Administration has indicated that it aims to consult the public on options of revitalisation of the Building in the second half of 2008, and estimates initially that the restoration works for the Building may take about 12 months.

Central Police Station (CPS) Compound

43. The CPS Compound comprises three groups of buildings, namely the Central Police Station, the former Central Magistracy and Victoria Prison. They were declared monuments under the A&M Ordinance in 1995. After a review of the conservation guidelines for the CPS Compound, AAB recommended to classify F Hall in the upper platform area as a non-historic item in 2004.

44. In early 2004, the Administration planned to invite the private sector through an open tender exercise to preserve, restore and develop the CPS Compound site into a heritage tourism attraction. The public response to that plan included views for the project to be conservation led and for the CPS Compound to be run and managed by non-profit making organisations rather than by commercial or property developers.

45. CE announced in his 2007 Policy Address that, in view of the community's growing concerns over heritage conservation and in the light of the new heritage conservation policy, it was undesirable to continue with the original plan to invite the private sector through an open tender exercise to restore and develop the CPS Compound into a heritage tourism attraction. The Government had accepted in principle a proposal made by the Hong Kong Jockey Club (HKJC) to adopt a conservational approach in revitalising the CPS Compound.

46. The Subcommittee was briefed by the Administration and HKJC on the relevant proposals on 13 November 2007. HKJC has proposed to revitalise the CPS Compound into a heritage site, offering arts, cultural, retail and food and beverage facilities through the preservation of existing historical buildings and the addition of a new iconic structure to provide for the much needed arts and cultural venues. HKJC has pledged to conform with the conservation requirements set by AMO. HKJC has estimated that the capital cost of the project will amount to about \$1,800 million, and that the revitalised Compound will become financially self-sustainable after approximately three years of operation.

47. Members have expressed the following concerns on the development plan for the Compound -

- (a) Hon Albert HO considers that the Administration and HKJC should select a design through an open competition in order to gain public acceptance;
- (b) some members, including Hon CHOY So-yuk, Hon CHAN Yuen-han, Hon Albert CHAN, Hon Emily LAU, Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG and Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki, have expressed concern that the proposed new iconic structure is too tall and overwhelming, and will unnecessarily increase the development density of the area and pose neon pollution;
- (c) the historical background of the CPS Compound should be featured under the development plan for the Compound;
- (d) sufficient public facilities should be provided in the redeveloped CPS Compound for the enjoyment of the community, and uses of such facilities should be affordable by the general public;
- (e) the financial arrangements proposed for the project should seek to ensure the long-term viability of the developed CPS Compound;
- (f) Hon CHOY So-yuk has suggested that the facilities in the redeveloped Compound should be made available for staging Cantonese opera and other Chinese Xiqu as well as western cultural performances all year round; and
- (g) HKJC should consult widely on the proposal and pay particular attention to local residents' views. Professional groups, including local architects and artists, should be actively engaged in the six-month public consultation exercise.

48. Members have requested the Administration and HKJC to take into account all views expressed during the public engagement exercise. In response, HKJC has undertaken to suitably adjust its design taking into account views collected, while the Administration will make reference to public views before taking a final decision on the proposal.

Original site of the Central School at Hollywood Road (the Site)

49. The Central School was the first Government school which started operation at Gough Street in 1862. It was relocated from Gough Street to the Site in 1889. In 1894, the school was renamed as Queen's College and moved to its present site on Causeway Road in 1950. The school buildings at Hollywood Road were demolished in 1948 to make way for the development of the Police Married Quarters which still remain within the Site.

50. The Site, measuring about 6 000 m², is bounded by Hollywood Road to the northeast, Staunton Street to the southwest, Aberdeen Street to the southeast and Shing Wong Street to the northwest. The Site contains three main platforms descending from Staunton Street to Hollywood Road with two vacant blocks of the former police married quarters - Upper Block (near Staunton Street) and Lower Block (in middle of the Site) on the central platform. There is also a block formerly used as the Junior Police Call Club House on the lower platform.

51. The Site was included in the List of Sites for Sale by Application in March 2005. CE announced in his 2007 Policy Address that the Site would be removed from the List of Sites for Sale by Application for a year and proposals would be invited on its revitalisation.

52. The Subcommittee welcomes the removal of the Site from the List of Sites for Sale by Application and has requested the Administration to consult widely the Central and Western DC (C&WDC), local residents and any interested parties in drawing up proposals on the revitalisation of the Site. Prof Hon Patrick LAU has suggested that consideration should be given to organising open competitions on how the Site should be revitalised. Noting that the Site is in the midst of other heritage spots, such as Man Mo Temple, Graham Street Market and the CPS Compound, Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG considers that a holistic approach should be adopted for the planning of the Site and the heritage spots in the vicinity, with a view to promoting the area as a heritage hub of Hong Kong. Hon CHAN Yuen-han has suggested that the opportunity should be taken to promote Hollywood Road which is full of local characteristics as a tourist attraction.

53. The Administration has agreed that it will explore revitalising the Site with a view to integrating it with the area along and around Hollywood Road under a holistic approach for heritage conservation, in order to create synergy between the Site and other heritage spots in its vicinity. The Administration has stressed that C&WDC will be its important partner in revitalising the Site. In response to the request of the Subcommittee, the Administration has agreed to report on the future use of the Site after considering the views collected through the public engagement exercise launched between February and May 2008.

Concluding Remarks

54. The Subcommittee notes that the Administration has become more responsive to the increased public concern over heritage conservation. The Subcommittee hopes that the views of members exchanged with SDEV, who has attended most of the Subcommittee meetings, will contribute towards policy decisions that will meet the community's aspirations in this regard.

Report to the Panel

55. The Subcommittee submitted this report to the Panel at its meeting held on 13 June 2008. The Panel agreed that the following major issues discussed by the Subcommittee should be followed up with the Administration in the next term -

- (a) in considering the most suitable site for re-assembling Queen's Pier, the Administration should take into account the strong view expressed by some Subcommittee members that Queen's Pier should be re-assembled at its original location;
- (b) the Administration should take heed of the common concern expressed by some Subcommittee members and C&WDC that the new iconic structure proposed to be built under the HKJC's proposal to revitalise the CPS Compound was too tall and would have adverse impact on the surrounding area; and
- (c) the Administration should respond to Hon CHAN Yuen-han's view that the community should be engaged to discuss the conservation of Nga Tsin Wai Village given its unique heritage value and, in order not to delay the compensation arrangements for the villagers, URA should consider acquiring the affected properties before completion of the relevant statutory planning procedures.

Panel on Home Affairs

Subcommittee on Heritage Conservation

Terms of reference

The Subcommittee will review the planning for redevelopment and/or preservation projects relating to buildings or sites with unique heritage value, including the Nga Tsin Wai Village project, the Dragon Garden project and other similar projects.

Panel on Home Affairs

Subcommittee on Heritage Conservation

Membership list

Chairman Hon CHOY So-yuk, JP

Members Hon James TO Kun-sun
Hon CHAN Yuen-han, SBS, JP
Hon WONG Yung-kan, SBS, JP
Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBM, GBS, JP
Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP
Hon Timothy FOK Tsun-ting, GBS, JP
Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip
Hon LI Kwok-ying, MH, JP
Hon Daniel LAM Wai-keung, SBS, JP
Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung
Hon CHEUNG Hok-ming, SBS, JP
Prof Hon Patrick LAU Sau-shing, SBS, JP
Hon TAM Heung-man

(Total : 14 Members)

Clerk Ms Joanne MAK

Legal Adviser Miss Kitty CHENG

Date 13 November 2007

Subcommittee on Heritage Conservation

List of organisations/individuals which/who have attended meetings of the Subcommittee to present views

I. Organisations

1. Hong Kong Vegetable Food & Grocery Hawkers Welfare & Fraternity Association Limited
2. Green Sense
3. Designing Hong Kong Harbour District
4. Heritage Watch
5. World City Committee
6. Community Cultural Concern
7. The Conservancy Association
8. Wanchai Street Market Concern Group
9. Civic Party
10. Concern Group for Hawkers' Rights in Wan Chai's Cross Street and Tai Yuen Street
11. Federation of Hong Kong Kowloon New Territories Hawker Associations
12. Central & Western Concern Group
13. Professional Commons
14. SEE Network

II. Individuals

1. Miss LAU Mi-chun
2. Mr TUNG Wai

3. Miss SO Mei-yuk
4. Mr LI Yin
5. Mr YIP Kwong-yin
6. Mr POON Tak-ying
7. Miss CHAN Kwai-cheong
8. Mr LO Kwai-luen
9. Ms Ada WONG Ying-kay, JP
10. Ms Mary Ann KING Pui-wai
11. Mr Steve CHAN Yiu-fai
12. Miss LEE Siu-wai
13. Miss LAW Yuen-yee
14. Mr SO Kwong
15. Mr NG Siu-ho
16. Mr NG Hon-ying
17. Mr NG Yau-kwong
18. Miss Tanya CHAN
19. Ms CHENG Lai-king
20. Mr Roger HO