

From: Handa CF LAM ALA Date: 25/08/2003 04:46 PM  
To: WM SUEN/HD/HKSARG@HD  
cc: Elaine LK CHUNG/HPLB/HKSARG@HPLB, Vincent WS TONG/HD/HKSARG@HD, NM  
CHAN/HD/HKSARG@HD, Anthony KH WONG/HD/HKSARG@HD  
Subject: Re: PSPS - Hung Hom Peninsula : Liaison with DoJ

On your e:mail to PSH, one point I wish to clarify. The officer is to give instructions on behalf of the Government (i.e. the bureau, and not the HA/HD) on Government's housing policy (and not the policy of the HA). D of J is representing the Government, and not the HA, and needs such instructions accordingly.

The PSPS developer is also claiming against the HA. Messrs. Johnson Stokes & Master have been instructed to act for the HA. Our legal team will liaise with D of J on the defence against the developer's claim taking into account both the HA and the Government's interest.

Regards,  
Handa

From: WM SUEN SA-BD Date: 25/08/2003 04:40 PM

From: WM SUEN SA-BD Date: 25/08/2003 04:40 PM  
To: CM LEUNG/HPLB/HKSARG@HPLB  
cc: Handa CF LAM/HD/HKSARG@HD, Vincent WS TONG/HD/HKSARG@HD, NM  
CHAN/HD/HKSARG@HD  
Subject: PSPS - Hung Hom Peninsula : Liaison with DoJ

Dear PSH,

Upon your direction over the phone, I discussed with Handa regarding the subject matter. Handa clarified that DoJ requires somebody who could represent HA's view on policy level about PSPS ( e.g., HD's policy of ceasing the sale of PSPS flats, etc.. ).

As CM/BD is working on the operation and project level of the overhung HOS/ PSPS flats, I believe there would be some other parties within HD, e.g., CSU, who may better represent HA's view for the liaison with DoJ.

The above is for your consideration please.

Raymond Suen  
SA/BD