

**Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services**

**List of follow-up actions**  
**(Position as at 16 June 2009)**

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
1. Recommendations on the setting up of a Conditional Legal Aid Fund in the Law Reform Commission's Report on Conditional Fees	20 October 2008	The Home Affairs Bureau (HAB) to advise on the Administration's current view on the recommendations in the Law Reform Commission's Report on Conditional Fees published in July 2007 pertaining to the setting up of a Conditional Legal Aid Fund to screen applications for the use of conditional fees, brief out cases to private lawyers, finance the litigation, and pay the opponent's legal costs should the litigation prove unsuccessful.	Response awaited.
2. Development of mediation services	20 October 2008	DoJ to provide information on the mediation service providers in Hong Kong.	DoJ will provide the information in due course.
3. Review of the provision of legal aid services	24 November 2008	HAB to provide the following supplementary information -  (a) how Hong Kong compared to other jurisdictions in terms of accessibility of the middle class to legal aid;  (b) the number of successful and unsuccessful Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme (SLAS) cases in recent years, together with the net gain arising from recovery of damages/compensation in successful cases as well as the costs for unsuccessful ones;	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(c) statistics on the amount and percentage of contributions required to be paid by the aided persons under the Ordinary Legal Aid Scheme and SLAS and the number of persons aided under the two Schemes in recent years; and</p> <p>(d) a list of the stakeholders to be consulted on the five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants.</p>	
4. Criminal legal aid fees system	16 December 2008	HAB to advise the status of the grade structure review on the Government Counsel (GC) grade and whether the starting salary of the GC rank would be increased by some 30%. HAB also undertook to further examine with the Hong Kong Bar Association the latter's request of delinking the implementation of the revised criminal legal aid fees system for barristers from that for solicitors should the Administration and the Law Society of Hong Kong fail to reach agreement on the fee rates for criminal legal aid work, and to revert to the Panel in this regard.	Response awaited.
5. Operation of the Resource Centre for Unrepresented Litigants	13 January 2009	The Judiciary Administration (JA) to provide information on proposals regarding provision of assistance to litigants by law students in certain areas. JA also undertook to convey members' views on exploring possible collaboration with law students in providing assistance to unrepresented litigants to the Steering Committee on Resource Centre for Unrepresented Litigants for consideration and to revert to members in this regard.	Response awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		HAB to provide a written response to members' views on and support for the provision of publicly-funded free legal advice service to unrepresented litigants.	Response awaited.
6. Implementation of Civil Justice Reform (CJR)	13 January 2009	JA to provide further information on the work of the Committee established by the Chief Justice to monitor the implementation of CJR, including its terms of reference and scope of work.	Response awaited.
7. Statutory and non-statutory appointments of judges for extra-judiciary functions	13 January 2009	<p>The Administration Wing of the Chief Secretary for Administration's Office was requested to -</p> <p>(a) provide information on the amount of extra resources provided by the Administration to the Judiciary where a serving judge had been asked to undertake work outside the Judiciary;</p> <p>(b) review each of the non-statutory appointments currently held by serving judges and revert to the Panel on whether as a matter of policy such appointments must be taken up by serving judges; and</p> <p>(c) conduct a comprehensive review on the policy and criteria for the appointment of judges to extra-judiciary functions and revert to the Panel on its plan for conducting the review.</p>	<p>The Administration's response was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(2)1010/08-09 on 4 March 2009.</p> <p>Response awaited.</p> <p>Response awaited.</p>
8. Pilot Scheme for	27 April 2009	JA to -	JA's response was issued to members

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
Building Management Cases in the Lands Tribunal		(a) provide data on the outcome of similar pilot schemes in overseas jurisdictions; and  (b) provide information on the estimated savings in judicial resources in monetary terms resulting from the successfully mediated cases in the Pilot Scheme for Building Management Cases in the Lands Tribunal.	vide LC Paper No. CB(2)1837/08-09(01) on 10 June 2009.

Council Business Division 2  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
16 June 2009