

Dear Sir,

I am PROPOSING to extend the protection against violence over 'ALL IN THE SAME ACCOMMODATION' in the 'DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LAW'.

I am a Hong Kong Polytechnics graduate and working in an Australian-based corporate. My interest is reading news reports especially in controversial issues. In recently weeks, I have read a lot of news reports about the amendment of the controversial 'DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LAW'.

The testimony of the homosexual organizations are impressing. The violence they testified may be real. These trigger to me an idea of extending the protection against violence from 'COHABITANCE OF OPPOSITE SEX' to 'ALL IN THE SAME ACCOMMODATION'.

The following groups must also be protected from violence:

- University room-mates (especially those come from overseas),
- grand parents and grant children in the same public housing flat
- childless senior citizens of the same flat
- relatives living in the same village estate
- friends in the same holiday village
- classmates in the same classroom
- overseas staff in the same company-rented flat

I DO NOT agree to ONLY extend the 'HOMOSEXUAL COHABITANCE' into protection under the Domestic Violence Law.

WHY are the other 'COHABITANCE' NOT included? Are you DISCRIMINATING classmates, senior citizens, relatives and overseas staff?

When my Australian staff are being excluded from legal protection, I can definitely anticipate legal challenges from my Australian colleagues.

If Legco ONLY extend legal protection from violence to homosexual cohabitation, you are DISCRIMINATING and EXCLUDING the unprivileged and silent majority in society!

Mr. Chan, T.S.