

立法會
Legislative Council

Ref : CB2/HS/2/09

LC Paper No. CB(2)1656/09-10
(These minutes have been seen
by the Administration)

**Subcommittee on Package of Proposals for the
Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive
and for Forming the Legislative Council in 2012**

**Minutes of the first meeting
held on Tuesday, 27 April 2010, at 2:00 pm
in the Chamber of the Legislative Council Building**

**Members
present**

: Hon TAM Yiu-chung, GBS, JP (Chairman)
Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, SBS, JP (Deputy Chairman)
Hon Albert HO Chun-yan
Ir Dr Hon Raymond HO Chung-tai, SBS, S.B.St.J., JP
Dr Hon Margaret NG
Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong
Hon WONG Yung-kan, SBS, JP
Hon LAU Kong-wah, JP
Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP
Hon Timothy FOK Tsun-ting, GBS, JP
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, SBS, JP
Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP
Hon WONG Kwok-hing, MH
Hon LEE Wing-tat
Hon Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen, SBS, JP
Hon CHEUNG Hok-ming, GBS, JP
Hon WONG Ting-kwong, BBS, JP
Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah, SC
Prof Hon Patrick LAU Sau-shing, SBS, JP
Hon Cyd HO Sau-lan
Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai, BBS, JP
Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun
Hon WONG Kwok-kin, BBS
Hon IP Wai-ming, MH
Hon IP Kwok-him, GBS, JP
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-ye, GBS, JP
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun

- Members absent** : Hon Mrs Sophie LEUNG LAU Yau-fun, GBS, JP
Dr Hon Philip WONG Yu-hong, GBS
Hon Miriam LAU Kin-ye, GBS, JP
Dr Hon PAN Pey-chyou
- Public Officers attending** : Mr Stephen LAM Sui-lung
Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs
- Mr Joshua LAW Chi-kong
Permanent Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs
- Ms Adeline WONG Ching-man
Under Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs
- Mr Arthur HO Kin-wah
Deputy Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs
- Ms Joyce HO Kwok-shan
Principal Assistant Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs
- Clerk in attendance** : Miss Flora TAI
Chief Council Secretary (2)3
- Staff in attendance** : Mr Arthur CHEUNG
Senior Assistant Legal Adviser 2
- Ms Clara TAM
Assistant Legal Adviser 9
- Ms Amy YU
Senior Council Secretary (2)3
- Mrs Eleanor CHOW
Senior Council Secretary (2)4
- Ms Wendy LO
Council Secretary (2)3
- Mr Ringo LEE
Senior Legislative Assistant (2)1
- Mrs Fanny TSANG
Legislative Assistant (2)3

Ms CHAU Kwan-bing
Legislative Assistant (2)9

Miss Lulu YEUNG
Clerical Assistant (2)3

Action

I. Election of Chairman and Deputy Chairman

Election of Chairman

Mr Albert HO, the member who had the highest precedence among members of the Subcommittee, called for nominations for the chairmanship of the Subcommittee. Mr TAM Yiu-chung was nominated by Mr Andrew LEUNG and the nomination was seconded by Mr WONG Kwok-hing. Mr TAM Yiu-chung accepted the nomination. Mr HO called for other nominations.

2. Ms Emily LAU was nominated by Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong and the nomination was seconded by Dr Margaret NG. Ms Emily LAU accepted the nomination.

3. After ascertaining that there was no further nomination, Mr Albert HO announced that voting be conducted by secret ballot. After all members had cast their votes, Mr HO invited Mr Andrew LEUNG and Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong who had nominated the two candidates to monitor the counting of votes.

4. Mr Albert HO announced that 13 and five members voted for Mr TAM Yiu-chung and Ms Emily LAU respectively. Mr Albert HO declared Mr TAM Yiu-chung elected as the Chairman of the Subcommittee. Mr TAM then took the chair.

Election of Deputy Chairman

5. The Chairman called for nominations for the deputy chairmanship of the Subcommittee. Ms Emily LAU was nominated by Dr Margaret NG and the nomination was seconded by Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong and Mr Ronny TONG. Ms Emily LAU accepted the nomination. The Chairman called for other nominations.

6. Mr Jeffrey LAM was nominated by Mr IP Kwok-him and the nomination was seconded by Mr WONG Ting-kwong and Mr WONG Yung-kan. Mr Jeffrey LAM accepted the nomination.

7. After ascertaining that there was no further nomination, the Chairman announced that voting be conducted by secret ballot. After all members had cast their votes, the Chairman invited Dr Margaret NG and Mr IP Kwok-him who had nominated the two candidates to monitor the counting of votes.

Action

8. The Chairman announced that eight and 10 members voted for Ms Emily LAU and Mr Jeffrey LAM respectively. The Chairman declared Mr Jeffrey LAM elected as the Deputy Chairman of the Subcommittee.

II. Meeting with the Administration

[Package of Proposals for the Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive ("CE") and for Forming the Legislative Council ("LegCo") in 2012, Statement by the Chief Secretary for Administration on the Package of Proposals for the Methods for Selecting CE and for Forming LegCo in 2012 at the Council meeting on 14 April 2010, LC Paper Nos. CB(2)1362/09-10(02) and CB(2)1376/09-10(01)]

9. The Subcommittee deliberated (index of proceedings attached at **Annex**).

10. Members endorsed the proposed order of study tabled at the meeting.

(Post-meeting note: The "Order of Study" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1422/09-10] was issued to members on 29 April 2010.)

Admin

11. The Administration was requested to -

- (a) provide information on the number of organizations within the culture sub-subsector of the "Sports, Performing Arts, Culture and Publication" subsector of the Election Committee that were disqualified from being electors due to the withdrawal of government subvention since 2002; and
- (b) provide a written clarification on whether the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region ("HKSAR") Government could lawfully put forth another package of proposals on the two electoral methods for 2012 in the next legislative session, should the package of proposals currently put forth by the HKSAR Government be vetoed by the Legislative Council ("LegCo").

III Any other business

Meeting schedule

12. Members were invited to consider the following possible meeting schedule in May 2010 -

- (a) Friday, 7 May 2010, from 10:45 am to 12:45 pm;
- (b) Tuesday, 11 May 2010, from 4:30 pm to 6:30 pm;

Action

- (c) Tuesday, 18 May 2010, from 8:30 am to 10:30 am;
- (d) Saturday, 22 May 2010, from 9:00 am to 1:00 pm and 2:30 pm to 5:30 pm;
- (e) Tuesday, 25 May 2010, from 2:30 pm to 4:30 pm; and
- (f) Monday, 31 May 2010, from 2:30 pm to 4:30 pm.

13. Members noted that some of the above time slots might clash with the meetings of other committees. Members raised no objection to the proposed meeting schedule, but the Clerk was requested to identify any other possible meeting slots to avoid, as far as practicable, scheduling meetings which would clash with those of the various investigative committees.

(Post-meeting note: With the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman, the next meeting of the Subcommittee was scheduled to be held on 3 May 2010, instead of 7 May 2010, at 10:45 am.)

Invitation of public views

14. Members agreed to post a notice on the LegCo website to invite public views on the package of proposals for the 2012 elections. In line with usual practice, invitation letters would be sent to all the District Councils to invite their views. Members also agreed to receive public views at the meeting scheduled for 22 May 2010 from 9:00 am to 1:00 pm and 2:30 pm to 5:30 pm. If necessary, the Subcommittee would also receive public views at the meeting scheduled for 25 May 2010 from 2:30 pm to 4:30 pm.

15. The meeting ended at 4:00 pm.

Council Business Division 2
Legislative Council Secretariat
28 May 2010

**Proceedings of the first meeting of the
Subcommittee on Package of Proposals for the
Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive
and for Forming the Legislative Council in 2012
on Tuesday, 27 April 2010, at 2:00 pm
in the Chamber of the Legislative Council Building**

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
000206 - 000733	Mr Albert HO Mr Andrew LEUNG Mr TAM Yiu-chung Mr WONG Kwok-hing Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong Dr Margaret NG Ms Emily LAU	Election of Chairman	
000734 - 001243	Chairman Dr Margaret NG Ms Emily LAU Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong Mr Ronny TONG Mr IP Kwok-him Mr Jeffrey LAM Mr WONG Ting-kwong Mr WONG Yung-kan	Election of Deputy Chairman	
001244 - 001425	Chairman	Opening remarks	
001426 - 002020	Chairman Administration	Briefing by Administration on the Package of Proposals for the Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive ("CE") and for Forming the Legislative Council ("LegCo") ("the two electoral methods") in 2012.	
002021 - 003105	Chairman Ms Emily LAU Mr LAU Kong-wah Mr Albert HO Mr Ronny TONG Clerk Ms Cyd HO Mr Jeffrey LAM	Possible meeting schedule in May 2010	
003106 - 003131	Chairman Mr Ronny TONG	Proposed order of study	
003132 - 003900	Chairman Mr Ronny TONG Administration	Mr Ronny TONG's view about possible conflict of interest for the CE elected in 2012 to deal with the model for implementing universal suffrage for CE in 2017. His concern that the CE elected in 2012 might put forward an electoral model for selecting CE in 2017 which were not consistent with the principles of genuine universal suffrage in order to serve his/her own purpose.	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
		<p>The Administration's response that -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) LegCo could provide the necessary checks and balances under the "five-step mechanism" for amending the two electoral methods. Any motion on the amendments of the two electoral methods had to be endorsed by a two-thirds majority of all LegCo Members; and (b) any model on the 2017 CE election put forth by the fourth-term CE must comply with the decision made by the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress ("NPCSC") on 29 December 2007 on issues relating to the methods for selecting CE and for forming LegCo in 2012 and on issues relating to universal suffrage ("the 2007 NPCSC Decision") which had set out the timetable as well as the framework of the model for implementing universal suffrage for CE in 2017. It stipulated that a nominating committee might be formed to nominate a certain number of candidates for the office of CE, who was to be elected through universal suffrage by all registered electors of Hong Kong. <p>Mr TONG's view that such possible conflict of interest could be avoided if the "five-step mechanism" for implementing universal suffrage for CE in 2017 could be initiated and completed by the current-term Hong Kong Special Administrative Region ("HKSAR") Government. His enquiry on whether it was feasible to do so.</p> <p>The Administration's response that the 2007 NPCSC Decision stipulated that the "five-step mechanism" should be initiated at an appropriate time prior to the selection of CE by universal suffrage in accordance with the principles under the Basic Law ("BL"), including gradual and orderly progress and being appropriate to the actual situation in Hong Kong as set out in Article 45 of BL ("BL 45"). It was the Administration's view that the years between 2012 and 2017 was the most appropriate time for initiating the "five-step mechanism" to implement the model for introducing universal suffrage for CE so that the actual situation in Hong Kong would be suitably taken into account.</p>	
003901 - 004010	Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Kong-wah's expression of support for the Administration's proposal of maintaining the nomination threshold at the existing level, i.e. at the ratio of one-eighth of the total membership of the Election Committee ("EC"), as the existing requirement could already allow competition in the CE election.	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
004011 - 004450	Mr LAU Kong-wah Administration	<p>Mr LAU Kong-wah noted that there were different views on the question of whether CE should be allowed to have any political affiliation and enquired about the findings of opinion polls in this regard.</p> <p>The Administration's response that –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) according to the opinion poll conducted by the Constitutional Development Task Force in 2005 regarding the methods for selecting CE in 2007 and for forming LegCo in 2008, the majority of the respondents indicated support for retaining the current requirement that CE should not have any political affiliation. Among the opinion polls conducted during the recent consultation period, the one conducted by the Bauhinia Foundation Research Centre also indicated that more than half of the respondents supported retaining the current requirement. Findings of the opinion polls consistently showed that the majority of the public were of the view that CE should not be affiliated to any political party to ensure that CE would maintain impartiality in his governance; (b) in the light of the general view of the community that the relevant requirement should be maintained, and having regard to the fact that political parties in Hong Kong were currently still in a developmental phase, the HKSAR Government proposed that the relevant requirement should not be changed for the 2012 election; and (c) while CE could not be a member of a political party, he had to form political alliances to secure the support of different political parties for his policies. He might do so by inviting legislators to be members of the Executive Council. 	
004451 - 005005	Ms Emily LAU Administration	<p>Ms Emily LAU pointed out that opinion polls conducted in the past few years had indicated consistently that the majority of members of the public favoured implementation of universal suffrage in 2012. Her view that if that was not possible, the Central Authorities should give an unequivocal assurance that genuine universal suffrage for CE and LegCo would be implemented in 2017 and 2020 respectively.</p> <p>The Administration's response that -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) after NPCSC had decided in December 2007 on the timetable for implementing universal suffrage for CE and LegCo in 2017/2020, an opinion poll conducted then showed that over 60% of the respondents accepted the decision. The opinion poll conducted by the Chinese University of Hong Kong during the consultation period likewise 	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
		<p>revealed that 64% of the respondents accepted the 2007 NPCSC Decision which stipulated the timetable for universal suffrage; and</p> <p>(b) the Deputy Secretary-General of NPCSC, Mr Qiao Xiaoyang, had stated that the 2007 universal suffrage timetable decision had legal effect. He also stated that the door to universal suffrage had been thrown open. Hong Kong only needed to attain consensus on the universal suffrage electoral models and complete the five-step mechanism, and then universal suffrage could be implemented.</p>	
005006 - 005701	Chairman Ms Cyd HO Administration	<p>Ms Cyd HO's view that the electoral method for CE in 2012 should provide a mechanism which allowed members of the public to make nominations. For instance, a person who had obtained the support of 3% of all registered voters should be eligible automatically to stand for the CE election.</p> <p>The Administration's response that Ms HO's proposal did not comply with the EC's functions under BL which was to nominate CE candidates as well as to elect CE from among the list of nominees.</p> <p>Ms HO's enquiries on -</p> <p>(a) the allocation of the new seats among the subsectors in the first, second and third sectors of EC and the election method for returning these new seats; and</p> <p>(b) the election method for returning the 117 District Council ("DC") seats in EC.</p> <p>The Administration's response that –</p> <p>(a) as stated in paragraph 4.05 of the “Package of Proposals for the Methods for Selecting the Chief Executive and for Forming the Legislative Council in 2012”, aside from increasing proportionally the number of seats according to the existing distribution of seats, there were also suggestions for splitting the existing subsectors and adding new subsectors. The HKSAR Government considered that any such arrangement could be specified by local legislation, i.e. in the context of the CE Election (Amendment) Bill; and</p> <p>(b) the Administration was open-minded on the electoral method for returning the 117 DC seats in EC and welcomed views in this regard.</p> <p>Ms HO's view that the Administration should make proposals on the allocation of seats in the first, second</p>	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
		and third EC sectors as soon as possible to allow more time for public discussion.	
005702 - 010132	Mrs Regina IP Administration	<p>Mrs Regina IP's view on the importance of enhancing the co-operation between the Executive Authority and the Legislature and her enquiry on how to enhance such co-operation under BL given that CE was not allowed to have any political affiliation.</p> <p>The Administration's response that within the parameters of BL, CE had ample room to form political alliances to secure the support of different political parties for his policies. Apart from appointing legislators to be members of the Executive Council, CE could also appoint persons with political affiliation to become Principal Officials under the Political Appointment System.</p> <p>Mrs IP's view that the Administration should appoint persons who had served as legislators from different political backgrounds as Secretaries or Under Secretaries of Bureaux and maintain closer liaison with the Legislature, with a view to enhancing the co-operation between the Executive Authority and the Legislature.</p>	
010133 - 010645	Dr LAM Tai-fai Administration	<p>Dr LAM Tai-fai's view that the existing requirement that CE should not have any political affiliation would hinder the development of political parties which played a pivotal role in nurturing political talents.</p> <p>The Administration's reiteration of its view that having regard to the general view of the public that the existing requirement should be retained to ensure that CE would maintain impartiality when dealing with requests from different political parties, the HKSAR Government considered that the relevant requirement should be retained for the 2012 election, but could be reviewed in the longer term. There was also ample room for CE to form political alliances under the existing political system.</p>	
010646 - 011242	Dr Priscilla LEUNG Administration	<p>Dr Priscilla LEUNG's view that the development of political parties was crucial to the grooming of political talents in Hong Kong. The Administration should consider formulating a political party law to facilitate the development and regulation of political parties, particularly if CE was allowed to have political party membership.</p> <p>The Administration's view that at the present stage, widening the scope for political participation was the most practicable way to facilitate the development of political parties. In this regard, the Political Appointment System had been further developed to create more room for talents from different backgrounds, including those with political affiliation, to join the Government. The Administration's proposal of</p>	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
		<p>increasing the number of LegCo seats from 60 to 70 could also create more room for political participation.</p>	
011243 - 011834	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong Administration	<p>Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong sought clarification on whether the nominating committee in 2017 would be formed with reference to the current provisions regarding EC in Annex I to BL should the relevant motion be vetoed by LegCo.</p> <p>The Administration's response that in accordance with the 2007 NPCSC Decision, when universal suffrage for CE was implemented in 2017, the nominating committee "may" (and not "must") be formed with reference to the current provisions regarding EC in Annex I to BL. Hence, there was room for the fourth-term HKSAR Government to raise a proposal for the composition of the nominating committee which was not exactly the same as that of the 2012 EC. In considering the composition of EC and the nominating committee, the overriding consideration was to maintain the principle of balanced participation of the four existing EC sectors.</p>	
011835 - 012458	Chairman Mr Ronny TONG Administration	<p>Mr Ronny TONG's view that the Administration's proposals for the 2012 CE election was much more retrogressive than those put forth by the Administration in 2005 ("2005 proposed package"). Under the 2005 proposed package, it was proposed that all elected DC members be included in EC, as compared to the present proposal of allocating only 75 new seats to elected DC members to be returned through indirect election. With the proposed increase in the number of nominations from 100 to 150, it was a step backward in the democratization of the CE election.</p> <p>The Administration's expression of disagreement with Mr TONG's view that the present proposals for the CE election were retrogressive. The Administration's explanation that unlike the case of the 2005 proposed package, the NPCSC had already made clear its decision on the timetable for universal suffrage when the current proposals were being formulated. In view of such, the Administration proposed that the number of members of each EC sector be increased evenly with a view to maintaining the principle of balanced participation of the four existing sectors and ensuring that the CE elected would have the broad support of different sectors of the community. It would also facilitate the 2012 EC being used as the basis for transforming into the nominating committee when universal suffrage for CE was implemented in 2017.</p> <p>Mr Ronny TONG's view that the number of EC members who were to be returned through direct elections should be increased to at least 300 in order to ensure that Hong Kong people had the right to nominate CE candidates.</p>	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
012459 - 013141	Ms Cyd HO Administration	<p>Ms Cyd HO's reiteration of her view that when formulating the nomination arrangements for the CE election, the Administration had not taken into account the right of members of the public to make nominations. Her elaboration that under the Administration's proposals, only 152 out of the 1 200 members of EC were representatives of the public (i.e. 35 directly elected LegCo Members and 117 elected DC members). The nomination threshold of 150 would mean that a person had to secure over 99% of these elected representatives of the public in order to be a CE candidate.</p> <p>The Administration's clarification that it had not proposed to raise the nomination threshold. Its proposal was to maintain the existing nomination threshold of one-eighth of the total membership of EC. Under the Administration's proposals, there would be an increase in the number as well as the percentage of EC members who were returned through direct elections, which would inject new democratic elements into the CE election.</p> <p>Ms HO's view that under the existing system, it was possible for the CE to plant vote in the CE election. For instance, electors within the "Sports, Performing Arts, Culture and Publication" subsector of EC could be disqualified due to withdrawal of government subvention. The Administration was requested to provide information on the number of organizations within the culture sub-subsector of the "Sports, Performing Arts, Culture and Publication" subsector of EC who had been so disqualified since 2002.</p>	Admin (para 11(a) of minutes)
013142 - 013740	Ms Emily LAU Chairman Administration	<p>Ms Emily LAU's enquiry on the legislative timetable for introducing into LegCo the motions regarding the amendments to the electoral methods for CE and LegCo.</p> <p>The Administration's response that its position was the same as that stated in its letter of 21 April 2010 [LC Paper No. CB(2)1362/09-10(02)], i.e. it was the Administration's plan to obtain LegCo's endorsement of the two motions before the LegCo summer recess in mid-July 2010. However, the exact date on which the two motions would be put to LegCo for endorsement would depend on the progress of work of the Subcommittee.</p>	
013741 - 014638	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong Administration	<p>Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong sought clarification on the following -</p> <p>(a) whether the two motions on amendments to the two electoral methods must be dealt with at the same Council meeting;</p> <p>(b) whether, the HKSAR Government could lawfully put forth another package of proposals on the two</p>	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
		<p>electoral methods for 2012 in the next legislative session, should the package of proposals currently put forth by the HKSAR Government be vetoed by LegCo; and</p> <p>(c) whether the detailed arrangements for the allocation of the 300 new seats in the first, second and third sectors would be prescribed by way of local legislation.</p> <p>The Administration's response as follows -</p> <p>(a) as a matter of procedure, the two motions were separate motions and did not necessarily have to be presented to LegCo for endorsement at the same Council meeting. However, as the two motions were related (e.g. the Administration had proposed to enhance the role of elected DC members in both the CE and LegCo elections), the Administration would strive to gain LegCo's support for the two motions at the same Council meeting;</p> <p>(b) in the event that the proposed package for the 2012 elections were vetoed by LegCo, the HKSAR Government could, in theory, put forth to LegCo another proposed package in accordance with BL and the relevant Interpretation and Decision of NPCSC and the procedures set out therein. However, in reality, there would not be sufficient time for the HKSAR Government to formulate a new package of proposals, submit it to the LegCo for a vote in the next legislative session and to complete the local legislative work within the statutory time limit. Moreover, the HKSAR Government would not have any room from the policy perspective to put forth another package that could contain more democratic elements than the current proposal. The Administration's agreement to provide a written clarification on this issue; and</p> <p>(c) detailed arrangements for the allocation of the seats in the EC sectors were prescribed in local legislation.</p>	<p>Admin (para 11(b) of minutes)</p>
014639 - 015149	Dr Priscilla LEUNG Administration	<p>Dr Priscilla LEUNG's suggestion of allocating some of the new EC seats to representatives of the environmental protection sector, Chinese Medicine practitioners, small and medium size enterprises, real estate agents, youth and ethnic minorities.</p> <p>The Administration's response that -</p> <p>(a) there was already a Chinese Medicine subsector in the second sector (the professions) of EC; and</p>	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
		(b) the Administration welcomed proposals on the allocating of new seats in the different sectors of EC and would take into account such proposals when dealing with the amendments to the relevant local legislation.	
015150 - 015524	Chairman Ms Cyd HO Administration	<p>Ms Cyd HO's reiteration of her view that the Administration should tackle the loophole under the current system whereby CE could plant vote in the CE election. The composition of eligible voters in the "Higher Education" subsector of EC was another case in point, as CE had the power to appoint council members of various local universities, who were eligible voters of the subsector.</p> <p>Ms HO queried the disproportionate number of seats allocated to the "Agriculture and Fisheries" subsector of the third sector of EC. She pointed out that while the subsector had only 160 registered voters, it would have 60 seats in EC if 20 of the 100 proposed new seats in the third sector were allocated to it.</p> <p>The Administration's response that -</p> <p>(a) council members of universities who were appointed by CE accounted for only a very small percentage of all the eligible voters in the "Higher Education" subsector; and</p> <p>(b) the public were welcomed to give views on the allocation of the new seats among the subsectors of the first, second and third sectors of EC.</p>	
015525 - 020031	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong Administration Chairman	<p>Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong's view that the Administration should make clear its proposals on the allocation of the 300 new seats in the first, second and third sectors of EC before the two motions were put to LegCo for endorsement as it would be controversial.</p> <p>The Administration's explanation that the Administration had made detailed proposals for the allocation of the 100 new seats in the fourth sector because over the past few years, there had been much public discussion on the proposals for enhancing the role of DC members in the two elections. On the other hand, as the Administration had not received many views on the allocation of new seats in the other three EC sectors, it had not put forth detailed proposals in that regard.</p>	

Time Marker	Speaker(s)	Subject(s)	Action required
020032 - 020130	Chairman	Invitation of public views Meeting schedule	

Council Business Division 2
Legislative Council Secretariat
28 May 2010