

**Panel on Financial Affairs**

**List of follow-up actions**  
(Position as at 28 April 2010)

Subject	Date(s) of relevant meeting(s)	Follow-up actions	Outcome
1. Impact of duty-free cigarettes on tax revenue	---	Members of the Bills Committee on Dutiable Commodities (Amendment) Bill 2009 expressed concern that the tobacco duty rate increase might lead to upsurge in the sale of duty-free cigarettes, which would reduce tax revenues. The Administration was requested to provide information on sales figure of duty-free cigarettes and the duty potential of the duty-free cigarettes sold within six months to one year after enactment of the Bill. The matter was referred to the Panel on Financial Affairs for follow-up.	Response awaited.
2. Depreciation allowances in respect of machinery and plants under "import processing" arrangements	14 December 2009	(a) To facilitate the Panel's understanding of the impact of the application of section 39E on Hong Kong enterprises providing machinery or plants for use by Mainland enterprises under "import processing" arrangements, the Administration was requested to provide information on the number of tax assessment cases in the past few years where Hong Kong enterprises had been denied depreciation allowances pursuant to the above-mentioned provision (including but not limited to the number of cases where the enterprises concerned had raised objections to the assessments).	For (a), response awaited  For (b), the Administration's response was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1371/09-10(02) on 11 March 2010.

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date(s) of relevant meeting(s)</b>	<b>Follow-up actions</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
		<p>(b) The Administration was requested to respond to the following motion passed by the Panel:</p> <p>"本委員會促請政府：</p> <p>(1) 改變以一刀切的方式處理本港各企業在內地使用的機械或工業裝置（包括模具）所應享有的折舊免稅額，以致一些沒有避稅意圖或行為的本港企業未能取得該免稅額而致多付稅款；</p> <p>(2) 停止錯誤引用《稅務條例》第 39E 條向沒有避稅意圖或行為的本港企業追討有關稅款，以及，</p> <p>(3) 立即啟動有關的法例檢討機制，按實際情況檢討及修改第 39E 條，使條文與時並進，避免打擊無辜企業，妨礙他們的升級轉型，影響到本港經濟發展和就業機會。"</p> <p>(Translation)</p> <p>"That this Panel urges the Government to:</p> <p>(1) change the broad-brush approach adopted for granting depreciation allowances to Hong Kong enterprises in respect of their machinery or plants (including moulds) used in the Mainland, as such approach renders some local enterprises with no tax avoidance intention or acts unable to claim such</p>	

Subject	Date(s) of relevant meeting(s)	Follow-up actions	Outcome
		<p>allowances, and hence paying more taxes;</p> <p>(2) cease invoking section 39E of the Inland Revenue Ordinance incorrectly for recovery of taxes from Hong Kong enterprises with no tax avoidance intention or acts; and</p> <p>(3) activate immediately the relevant mechanism for legislative revision to review and amend section 39E according to actual circumstances, in order to modernize the provision and avoid impacting on the blameless enterprises and hindering their upgrading and restructuring processes, which will in turn affect the economic development and employment opportunities in Hong Kong."</p>	
<p>3. Review of notification and appeal system for disclosure of information under comprehensive avoidance of double taxation agreements</p>	<p>29 December 2009 (date of referral from Bills Committee)</p>	<p>As requested by the Bills Committee on Inland Revenue (Amendment) (No. 3) Bill 2009, the Administration will report to the Panel on Financial Affairs on the effectiveness of the proposed notification and appeal system for disclosure of information under comprehensive avoidance of double taxation agreements 18 months after implementation.</p>	<p>Response awaited</p>
<p>4. Legislative proposals to</p>	<p>4 January</p>	<p>In relation to a member's concern that the proposal to</p>	<p>Response awaited</p>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date(s) of relevant meeting(s)</b>	<b>Follow-up actions</b>	<b>Outcome</b>
enhance the efficiency of the existing tax appeal mechanism	2010	<p>empower the Board of Review to give pre-hearing directions and to sanction non-compliance may have undue effects on taxpayers in seeking tax appeals, the Administration was requested to substantiate its legislative proposal when that is introduced into the Legislative Council by including the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="887 592 1641 703">(i) the number of hearings of the Board which had been deferred due to late submission of documents; and</li><li data-bbox="887 751 1641 823">(ii) the number of wasted days of the Board resulting from the late submission of documents.</li></ul>	