

**Panel on Public Service****List of follow-up actions**

(Position as at 11 March 2010)

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
1. <u>Briefing by the Secretary for the Civil Service on the policy initiatives of the Civil Service Bureau featuring in the Chief Executive's 2008-2009 Policy Address</u>	20.10.2008	The Administration was requested to look into and provide information on the phenomenon quoted by a member where, as a result of the implementation of the revised starting salaries of the teaching grades in 2007, certificated masters/mistresses (CMs) converted to graduate masters/mistresses after the new starting salaries took effect in August 2007 received salaries allegedly higher than those received by CMs similarly converted before August 2007.	The Administration's response is awaited.
2. <u>Government outsourcing</u>	29.5.2009	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information to the Panel –</p> <p>(a) The number of workers employed under the some 4 000 contracts for the delivery of public services awarded to the private sector over the past eleven years, and information on the wages of workers concerned;</p> <p>(b) A paper to set out the difficulties encountered by government departments as revealed in past outsourcing surveys;</p> <p>(c) Outcome of the Administration's consideration of the Chairman's suggestion that the Efficiency Unit should provide channels for gauging civil servants' views in the course of conducting the biennial outsourcing</p>	<p>The Administration's response is awaited.</p> <p>The Administration's response is awaited.</p> <p>The Administration's response is awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>reviews, such as by consulting civil service staff unions/associations and Departmental Consultative Committees, so as to ensure that civil servants' views on outsourcing could be properly heeded. A sample of the questionnaire used for conducting the surveys should also be provided; and</p> <p>(d) Written responses to the following cases:</p> <p>(1) The case highlighted in the submission from the Land Inspectors Union tabled at the meeting;</p> <p>(2) The case regarding use of security guards employed by contractors to perform the duties of court ushers as highlighted in the submission from the Hong Kong Federation of Civil Service Unions. In particular, the response should explain how concerns about court security so arising would be addressed; and</p> <p>(3) The two cases regarding the Lands Department and the Marine Department respectively as elaborated in the submission from the Public Services Committee of the Hong Kong Confederation of Trade Unions.</p>	<p>The Administration's response is awaited.</p>
3. <u>Use of agency workers</u>	18.1.2010	The Administration was requested to provide to the Panel a comparison of the circumstances under which non-civil service contract staff were employed and those under which agency workers were employed.	The Administration's response is awaited.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
4. <u>An overview of the civil service: establishment, strength, retirement, resignation and age profile</u>	22.2.2010	The Administration was requested to provide to the Panel details on how the Hong Kong Police Force planned to tackle their succession problem.	The Administration's response is awaited.
5. <u>Update on integrity enhancement initiatives for civil servants</u>	22.2.2010	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information to the Panel –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Of the 703 pursuable alleged corruption reports against civil servants received by the Independent Commission Against Corruption, the number of reports involving the Police; and</li> <li>(b) A report on the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) The progress made in introducing amendments to disciplined services regulations and related instructions pursuant to the Court of Final Appeal's judgment that the authority should consider defendants' applications for legal representations in disciplinary proceedings;</li> <li>(2) The status of on-going disciplinary cases earlier suspended pending introduction of the above amendments; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The Administration's response is awaited.

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		(3) The progress in standardizing the different disciplinary practices adopted by different disciplined services under their respective disciplined services legislation.	

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
11 March 2010