

## Panel on Transport

### List of follow-up actions

(position as at 23 February 2010)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
1. Safety of reversing goods vehicles	25 May 2007	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information to the Panel –</p> <p>(a) A monthly update on the progress of the improvement works on the 132 priority road sections identified in consultation with District Councils for detailed investigations on measures that could be implemented to enhance the safety of reversing vehicles; and</p> <p>(b) The number of goods vehicles which had technical difficulties in installing reversing video devices and those which did not have such difficulties.</p>	<p>The requested monthly updates were issued vide LC Papers Nos. CB(1)1970/06-07, CB(1)2177/06-07, CB(1)2294/06-07, CB(1)2386/06-07, CB(1)99/07-08, CB(1)298/07-08 and CB(1)491/07-08 on 22 June, 23 July, 22 August, 24 September, 22 October, 21 November and 21 December 2007 respectively.</p> <p>Information from the Administration is awaited</p>
2. Central Kowloon Route (CKR) - Progress update	27 February 2009	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information to the Panel –</p>	<p>Information from the Administration is awaited</p>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Latest position / Administration's response</b>
		<p>(a) A paper detailing the measures to be taken to mitigate the air and noise impacts that might be caused by the Western Tunnel Portal of CKR to local residents including Prosperous Garden residents; and</p> <p>(b) A paper on the estimated noise impacts in terms of decibels as a result of construction of the CKR project, the noise pollution level at the Ferry Street Flyover (FSF) sections fronting Prosperous Garden, explanation of the technical difficulties in retrofitting these sections with noise barriers and why those difficulties could not be overcome, as well as details of the alternative mitigation measures under contemplation and the estimated mitigation effect expected to be achieved in terms of reduced decibels. The paper should also address the point that CKR might increase traffic flow on these sections of FSF and aggravate the noise problem, so that mitigation measures such as the installation of double-glazed windows and air-conditioning for the affected residents should be considered.</p>	
3. Establishment of an assessment system for	22 May 2009	The Administration was requested to update the Panel on the finalized assessment system	The Administration's response was issued vide LC Paper No.

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Latest position / Administration's response</b>
provision of hillside escalator links and elevator systems		and the assessment outcomes in due course.	CB(1) 1190/09-10(03) on 22 February 2010.
4. Recent fatal traffic accident in Mong Kok and safety of public light buses (PLBs)	26 June 2009	The Administration was requested to submit reports at quarterly intervals on relevant issues including measures to enhance road safety and specific measures for PLBs, in particular progress made by the Administration in exploring the installation of “blackbox” (i.e. a vehicle monitoring system) and speed limiter; and statistics of law enforcement and undercover operations conducted against speeding of PLB drivers and the justifications behind as appropriate.	The first quarterly report was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)430/09-10(03) on 20 November 2009.
5. Review of Fare Adjustment Arrangement for Franchised Buses	23 October 2009	To ensure that the bus-bus interchange (BBI) schemes for new towns could really meet residents' needs for bus service, and that section fares would be implemented to keep fares affordable, the Administration was urged to conduct an overall review in this regard and provide a paper to the Panel.	The Administration agreed to consider the request.
6. Measures to enhance safety of public light bus operation	27 November 2009	The Administration was requested to provide the following information to the Panel –  (a) Figures on the actual working hours of green minibus (GMB) drivers as ascertained from site inspections to	Information from the Administration is awaited

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Latest position / Administration's response</b>
		<p>ensure compliance with the guidelines on working hours given to public light bus (PLB) operators for reference;</p> <p>(b) The Administration's views on the 11-hour daily driving duty for PLB drivers, and a comparison table of their working hours with their counterparts overseas;</p> <p>(c) A breakdown of the number of accidents involving PLB drivers remunerated differently; and</p> <p>(d) Figures on prosecution actions against the triad infiltration in PLB operation, and extortion of money from red minibus drivers in the name of "terminal fees" or "operating fee".</p>	
<p>7. Further discussion on changes made by MTR Corporation Limited (MTRCL) to staff welfare</p>	<p>27 November 2009</p>	<p>MTRCL was requested to provide to the Panel a detailed paper on outsourcing, listing the services and job categories outsourced in the past, at present and in future; the staff cost of the management and of the frontline maintenance staff; and rail incidents in the past three years together with the job categories involved. In the above outsourcing paper, a comparison of the brain drain rate of MTRCL and those of its</p>	<p>Information from MTRCL is awaited</p>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Latest position / Administration's response</b>
		contractors should be provided.	
8. Recent bus accident in Tseung Kwan O and safety of franchised bus operation	27 November 2009	The Administration was requested to take the following actions –  (a) Provide reports of the whole-day random on-board bus inspections conducted by the Transport Department from time to time, and details on how the inspections were conducted;  (b) Respond in writing to the three submissions respectively from the KMB Staff Union, the Citybus Limited Employees Union and the New World First Bus Company Staff Union; and  (c) Provide figures on the wastage rate of bus drivers employed on contract terms.	Information from the Administration is awaited