

Panel on Transport**Subcommittee on Matters Relating to Railways****List of follow-up actions**

(as at 3 December 2009)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
1. South Island Line (East) (SIL(East))	16 January 2009	<p>The Administration was requested to-</p> <p>(a) address residents' concerns about SIL (East) project prior to gazettal of the railway scheme under the Railway Ordinance;</p> <p>(b) consider some members' views that a station in Happy Valley should be provided and consider the provision of a subsidiary railway line linking up Happy Valley under the SIL project;</p> <p>(c) provide a plan for illustration of the changes required to be made to the alignment of SIL if a Happy Valley Station was to be provided;</p> <p>(d) provide information on the feasibility study on the construction of a pedestrian subway linking the heart of Causeway Bay with Happy Valley;</p> <p>(e) provide information on the study on</p>	The Administration's paper was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1519/08-09(01) on 12 May 2009.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
		<p>interchange facilities at Admiralty Station; and</p> <p>(f) provide the Administration's assessment on the impact of the commissioning of SIL on other road-based public transport modes.</p>	
<p>2. Further discussion on Kwun Tong Line service disruption on 8 December 2008 and recent railway incidents</p>	<p>16 January 2009</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide an updated paper on the notification regime for railway incidents and matters to cover any proposed new changes to the notification system.</p>	<p>The Administration's paper was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)2128/08-09 on 30 June 2009.</p>
<p>3. Further discussion on the connectivity of Austin Station with neighbouring area</p>	<p>16 January 2009</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to-</p> <p>(a) consider the suggestion of providing a cover on the footpath between Austin Road Subway and China Hong Kong City; and</p> <p>(b) expedite discussion with the West Kowloon Cultural District (WKCD) Office on the provision of a pedestrian subway connection straddling the site currently reserved for WKCD.</p>	<p>The Administration's paper was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)2065/08-09 on 25 June 2009.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
<p>4. Review of the Mass Transit Railway By-laws and North-west Railway By-laws</p>	<p>16 January 2009</p>	<p>MTRCL was requested to address members' concerns as follows-</p> <p>(a) The term "abusive language" (MTR Bylaw 28H(1)(a), NWR Bylaw 22(1)(a)) should be defined along the line suggested by Hon Ronny TONG and Hon James TO; and</p> <p>(b) The definition of "Improper dressing" (MTR Bylaw 28G and NWR Bylaw 23) should be reviewed to enhance clarity.</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>Response awaited.</p>
<p>5. West Island Line</p>	<p>31 March 2009</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide a paper setting out the reasons for not accepting the alternative locations proposed for the ventilation shafts.</p>	<p>The Administration's paper was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1758/08-09(02) on 29 May 2009.</p>
<p>6. Shatin to Central Link</p>	<p>31 March 2009</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide a written response to deputations' views and submissions received.</p>	<p>The Administration's paper was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)2045/08-09 on 24 June 2009.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
7. South Island Line (East)	15 May 2009	<p>The Administration and MTRCL were requested to-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(a) avoid hasty gazettal of the project before it had addressed the major concerns raised by residents, particularly concerns about the viaduct design;(b) provide information on the implications of the tunnel option;(c) consider installing enclosed noise barriers at the viaduct section;(d) report the progress of assessment on the overall impact caused by the project on other public transport modes; and(e) consider arbitration and mediation in handling land resumption issues.	<p>The Administration's paper was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)2602/08-09(01) on 16 September 2009.</p>