Bills Committee on Electoral Legislation (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill 2011

Follow up on issues raised at the meeting on 17 May 2011 on election petition mechanism

As requested by Members at the meeting on 17 May 2011, this paper provides information regarding the election petition mechanism under the Chief Executive ("CE") election, Legislative Council ("LegCo") election, District Councils ("DC") election and the Village Representatives ("VR") election.

Past election petitions lodged since 1997

2. Members requested information on the past election petitions lodged under the four above-mentioned elections. Based on our record, we set out at **Annex A** the past election petitions lodged in relation to the four elections.

Existing election petition mechanism and the proposed amendments

3. Members requested a comparison of the procedures under the finality provision (i.e. the determination of an election petition arising from a LegCo election, DC election or VR election by the Court of First Instance ("CFI") is final) with the procedures under the proposed leap-frog appeal mechanism contained in the Electoral Legislation (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill 2011, (i.e. an appeal against the decision of the CFI in relation to a LegCo, DC or VR election (including a by-election) can be lodged to the Court of Final Appeal ("CFA") direct, subject to leave being granted by the Appeal Committee of the CFA). A table showing the comparison is at **Annex B**.

4. Regarding the financial implications of the existing election petition mechanism and the proposed leap-frog appeal mechanism, as the costs involved in an appeal would depend on the nature, length and complexity of the case, which in turn would determine the judicial and other resources that have to be put in, it is not feasible to generalise the costs under the two different appeal arrangements.

Period within which an appeal against the CFI's decision on an election petition must be lodged

5. Section 34(2) of the Chief Executive Election Ordinance ("CEEO") (Cap. 569) provides that an application for leave to appeal to the CFA shall be filed within seven working days after the day on which the CFI's judgment on an election petition is handed down. There is no provision in the CEEO which empowers the CFA to extend the period specified in section 34(2).

6. Under the proposed leap-frog appeal mechanism for the LegCo, DC and VR elections, an application for leave to appeal to the CFA must be lodged within seven working days after the day on which the relevant CFI judgment is handed down. At the meeting of the Bills Committee on 17 May 2011, some Members enquired whether the proposed seven-working day appeal period can be extended to 14 working days as suggested by the Heung Yee Kuk and the Shatin Rural Committee.

7. The seven-working day appeal period under the proposed leap-frog appeal mechanism follows that under the CEEO. During the hearing of an election petition at the CFI, counsels from both sides should have already made thorough assessment of the case and taken necessary actions. The proposed seven-working day appeal period can facilitate speedy resolution of disputes in relation to the constitution of the LegCo and DC as well as the office of the VR. While we note that the proposal to extend the appeal period may provide the aggrieved party with more time to make preparations for an appeal, it is also important to minimise the period of uncertainty faced by the individuals concerned who are subject to election petitions and to alleviate the constituents' feeling of uncertainty towards their representative.

8. We will continue to listen to views of Members in the legislative process.

Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau May 2011 ^{CL112}

Annex A

Past Cases of Election Petitions since 1997

There are statutory requirements (section 65 of the Legislative Council Ordinance, section 53 of the District Council Ordinance and section 43 of the Village Representative Election Ordinance) that an election petition arising from the a Legislative Council election, District Council election, Village Representative election must be lodged within <u>two months</u> following the date on which the election result is published in the Gazette.

2. As for the Chief Executive election, section 34(1) of the Chief Executive Election Ordinance stipulates that an election petition must be lodged within <u>seven working days</u> after the day on which the result of the election is declared.

3. Past cases of election petitions in relation to the Legislative Council election, District Council election, Village Representative election and the Chief Executive election and are set out below.

(a) 1998 Legislative Council election (no case)

(b) 1999 District Councils election (one case)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Lin Shui Lin v.	Polling date:	28 November 1999			
Wong Hon Ho (Returning Officer)	Date of election results published in				
	the Gazette:	3 December 1999			
Liu Chiu Wa (2 nd Respondent)	Date of Judgment:	4 May 2000			

- (c) 2000 Legislative Council Election (no case)
- (d) 2002 Chief Executive election (no case)
- (e) 2003 District Councils Election (three cases)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Chu Hon Wah v. Herman Cho (Returning Officer)	Polling date: Date of election results published in the Gazette: Date of Judgment:	23 November 200329 November 20032 December 2004			
Chung Ming v. Chow Ping Tim	Polling date: Date of election results published in the Gazette: Date of Judgment:	23 November 2003 29 November 2003 9 July 2004			
Lam Sum Shing v. Chan Kam Lam (1 st Respondent) Albert Lam Kai Chung (Returning Officer)	Petition withdrawn				

(f) 2003 Village Representative Election¹ (one case)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Liu Fu Sau v.	Polling date:	20 July 2003			
Liu Kwok Wah (1 st Respondent)	Date of election results published in				
Wong Hon Ho	the Gazette:	29 July 2003			
(Returning Officer)	Date of Judgment:	15 March 2011			

¹ The Village Representative Election Ordinance was enacted in 2003. Before that, Village Representative elections were not governed by laws, and there was no election petition mechanism before 2003.

- 3
- (g) 2004 Legislative Council Election (one case)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration
Tse Wai Chun v.	Petition withdrawn
Howard Young	

(h) 2004 Village Representative By-election (one case)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration
Pang Cheung Yin v.	Petition withdrawn
Wong Hon Ho	
(Returning Officer)	

- (i) 2005 Chief Executive election (no case)
- (j) 2007 Chief Executive election (no case)
- (k) 2007 District Councils Election (four cases)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Leung Wing Hung v.	Polling date:	18 November 2007			
Yuen Kwai Choi	Date of election results published in the Gazette: Date of Judgment:	24 November 2007 18 December 2008			
Ms. Tam Heung Man v. Choi Luk Sing Ms. Teresa Wong (Returning Officer)	Petition withdrawn				

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Lau Ting Pong v. Lo Chiu Kit (1 st Respondent) Ms. Agnes Wong Tin Yu (Returning Officer)	Petition withdrawn				
Ms. Chan Yin Chu v. Ricky Or Yiu Lam (1 st Respondent) Peter Chan Ping Fai (Returning Officer)	Polling date: Date of election results published in the Gazette: Date of Judgment: Court of First	18 November 2007 24 November 2007			
	Instance Court of Appeal	27 November 2009 1 March 2011			

(l) 2007 Village Representative Election (one case)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Tang Kum Sui v.	Polling date:	21 January 2007			
Chung Koon-lung (1 st Respondent)	Date of election results published in				
Chung Fong Yan	the Gazette:	26 January 2007			
(2 nd Respondent)	Date of Judgment:	5 November 2007			
Johann Wong					
(Returning Officer)					
Director of Home Affairs (4 th Respondent)					

(m) 2008 Legislative Council Election (one case)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Dur	ation
Mok Charles Peter v.	Polling date:	7 September 2008
Tam Wai Ho (1 st Respondent)	Date of election results published in	12 September 2008
Vincent Fung Hao Yin (Returning Officer)	the Gazette: Date of Judgment:	
	Court of First Instance	9 April 2009
	Court of Appeal	11 December 2009
	On 13 December 2010 Appeal remitted the per Appeal for determinat hearing took place on judgment pending.	etition to the Court of ion. The remitted

(n) 2011 Village Representative Election (three cases)

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Teng Hung Ping v.	Polling date:	23 January 2011			
Lam Yu Tung, Tony (1 st Respondent)	Date of election results published in				
Yeung Tak-keung	the Gazette:	28 January 2011			
(Returning Officer)	Date of Judgment:	Petition ongoing			
Lee Tin Sang v.	Polling date:	9 January 2011			
Lee Koon Sin (1 st Respondent)	Date of election results published in				
Chen Yee, Donald (Returning Officer)	the Gazette:	14 January 2011			
	Date of Judgment:	Petition ongoing			

Petitioner v. Respondent	Duration				
Chan Chi Shing v.	Polling date:	23 January 2011			
Chan Koon Wah	Date of election results published in the Gazette: Date of Judgment:	28 January 2011 27 April 2011			

Original, Existing and Proposed Election Petition Mechanism in respect of Legislative Council ("LC"), District Councils ("DC"), Village Representative ("VR") elections

		Original election petition mechanism (with finality clause)			Current election petition appeal mechanism		Proposed election petition leap-frog appeal mechanism			
		LC	DC	VR	LC (without finality clause) ²	DC (without finality clause) ²	VR (with finality clause)	LC	DC	VR
1.	Lodge election petition to the Court of First Instance	Within 2 months after the publication of the election result in the Gazette		Within 2 months after the publication of the election result in the Gazette			Within 2 months after the publication the election result in the Gazet			
2.	Appeal to the Court of Appeal against the judgment of the Court of First Instance	NA		Within 28 days from the date of the judgment of the Court of First InstanceNA		NA		NA		
3.	Apply for leave to appeal to the Court of Final Appeal		NA		date of the ju	ays from the dgment of the f Appeal	NA	Within 7 working days after the date the judgment of the Court of First Instance		

² On 13 December 2010, the Court of Final Appeal in the *Mok Charles Peter* case determined that the finality provision contained in section 67(3) of the Legislative Council Ordinance was unconstitutional and invalid. On 1 March 2011, the Court of Appeal in *Chan Yin Chu* case also determined that the finality provision contained in section 55(3) of the District Councils Ordinance was unconstitutional and invalid.