

**立法會**  
*Legislative Council*

LC Paper No. FC117/10-11  
(These minutes have been seen  
by the Administration)

Ref : CB1/F/1/2

**Finance Committee of the Legislative Council**

**Minutes of the 6<sup>th</sup> meeting  
held at the Legislative Council Chamber  
on Friday, 14 January 2011, at 5:05 pm**

**Members present:**

Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP (Chairman)  
Prof Hon Patrick LAU Sau-shing, SBS, JP (Deputy Chairman)  
Hon Albert HO Chun-yan  
Ir Dr Hon Raymond HO Chung-tai, SBS, S.B.St.J., JP  
Hon LEE Cheuk-yan  
Hon Fred LI Wah-ming, SBS, JP  
Dr Hon Margaret NG  
Hon James TO Kun-sun  
Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong  
Hon CHAN Kam-lam, SBS, JP  
Hon Mrs Sophie LEUNG LAU Yau-fun, GBS, JP  
Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung  
Hon WONG Yung-kan, SBS, JP  
Hon LAU Kong-wah, JP  
Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBM, GBS, JP  
Hon Miriam LAU Kin-yee, GBS, JP  
Hon Andrew CHENG Kar-foo  
Hon Timothy FOK Tsun-ting, GBS, JP  
Hon TAM Yiu-chung, GBS, JP  
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, SBS, JP  
Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, SBS, JP  
Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee, SBS, JP  
Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP  
Hon Vincent FANG Kang, SBS, JP

Hon WONG Kwok-hing, MH  
Hon LEE Wing-tat  
Dr Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP  
Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, SBS, JP  
Hon Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen, GBS, JP  
Hon CHEUNG Hok-ming, GBS, JP  
Hon WONG Ting-kwong, BBS, JP  
Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah, SC  
Hon KAM Nai-wai, MH  
Hon Cyd HO Sau-lan  
Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, JP  
Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai, BBS, JP  
Hon CHAN Hak-kan  
Hon Paul CHAN Mo-po, MH, JP  
Hon CHAN Kin-por, JP  
Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun  
Dr Hon LEUNG Ka-lau  
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-che  
Hon WONG Sing-chi  
Hon WONG Kwok-kin, BBS  
Hon IP Wai-ming, MH  
Hon IP Kwok-him, GBS, JP  
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yea, GBS, JP  
Dr Hon PAN Pey-chyou  
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun  
Dr Hon Samson TAM Wai-ho, JP  
Hon Alan LEONG Kah-kit, SC  
Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung  
Hon Tanya CHAN  
Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip  
Hon WONG Yuk-man

**Members absent:**

Dr Hon David LI Kwok-po, GBM, GBS, JP  
Dr Hon Philip WONG Yu-hong, GBS  
Hon LI Fung-ying, SBS, JP  
Hon CHIM Pui-chung

**Public officers attending:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Professor K C CHAN, SBS, JP      | Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury   |
| Mr Stanley YING, JP              | Permanent Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury)                                  |
| Ms Alice LAU, JP                 | Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) <sup>1</sup>                        |
| Ms Elsie YUEN                    | Principal Executive Officer (General), Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau (The Treasury Branch) |
| Mr TSANG Tak-sing, GBS, JP       | Secretary for Home Affairs  |
| Mr Raymond YOUNG Lap-moon, JP    | Permanent Secretary for Home Affairs  |
| Mr Jack CHAN Jick-chi            | Acting Head, Asian Games Bid Team, Home Affairs Bureau  |
| Mr Jonathan MCKINLEY, JP         | Deputy Secretary for Home Affairs (2)   |
| Mrs Marigold LAU LAI Siu-wan, JP | Director of Architectural Services  |

**Clerk in attendance:**

|                  |                               |
|------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mrs Constance LI | Assistant Secretary General 1 |
|------------------|-------------------------------|

**Staff in attendance:**

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
| Mr Daniel SIN  | Senior Council Secretary (1) <sup>7</sup>     |
| Mr Frankie WOO | Senior Legislative Assistant (1) <sup>3</sup> |
| Ms Christy YAU | Legislative Assistant (1) <sup>1</sup>        |

---

**Item No. 5 - FCR(2010-11)54**

**HEAD 53 – GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT : HOME AFFAIRS  
BUREAU**

**Subhead 700 General non-recurrent**

The meeting resumed discussion of this item at 5:05 pm.

Public opinion on the bid proposal

2. Mr TAM Yiu-chung said that at the Chief Executive (CE)'s Question and Answer session held the day before, CE had mentioned about being "back-stabbed" when responding to a question on the Asian Games bid proposal. Mr TAM stressed that CE had subsequently explained to him personally that he was not alluding to members from the Democratic Alliance for the Betterment and Progress of Hong Kong (DAB). Mr TAM said that DAB previously supported the idea of Hong Kong hosting the 2019 Asian Games and Mr IP Kwok-him did make such a suggestion during the motion debate held on 6 January 2010, as there was then wide public support for hosting the event in view of the success of Hong Kong hosting the East Asian Games in 2009. However, when the Administration released the public consultation document nine months after the motion debate, DAB noted that the justifications and cost estimates for the bidding proposal were rather unconvincing. The document also failed to address the community's concern about the high cost of hosting the Games. Given the change of public sentiment and the short period of consultation, DAB members considered that the conditions were not ripe for Hong Kong to bid for hosting the Games since improvement had yet to be made to Hong Kong's sports facilities.

3. Referring to a press report which suggested that the Civic Party had shifted its position on the Asian Games bid proposal, Miss Tanya CHAN clarified that the Civic Party held a firm stance against the Administration's suggestion of hosting the 2023 Asian Games, as evidenced by her amendment to remove such a suggestion in Mr IP Kwok-him's motion during the debate in January 2010. Miss CHAN further said that the opinion survey conducted by the Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) had revealed that many people did not support hosting the 2023 Asian Games and they had expressed much concern about the bidding proposal. The Hong Kong Elite Athletes Association's submission of 29 November 2010 to the Panel on Home Affairs (HA Panel) also mentioned about inadequate provision of sports facilities. She queried why the Administration had advised the HA Panel that more people were turning to support the proposal.

4. SHA remarked that the CUHK survey team's inference was not available when the Administration submitted its paper to HA Panel. He stressed that the Administration had presented its own observation based on CUHK's survey data.

5. Ms Tanya CHAN said that after the HA Panel meeting on 10 December 2010, the Executive Council approved on 14 December 2010 that the Administration should proceed to bid for hosting the 2023 Asian Games through the Sports Federation and Olympic Committee of Hong Kong, China (SF&OC). A special HA Panel meeting was therefore held on 17 December 2010. She asked at which juncture during this period was SHA aware of the difference in interpretation of the public opinion between CUHK and the Administration.

6. SHA replied that the Administration was aware that the community had different views about the hosting of the 2023 Asian Games, and he also took note of the Civic Party's position. He pointed out that some sectors of the community considered that the hosting of the Games would be conducive to the long-term sports development in Hong Kong.

7. Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong said that the Administration should withdraw the ostentatious Asian Games project given the very clear public objection, and implement more down-to-earth measures in promoting sports and supporting elite athletes in Hong Kong instead. To insist on pursuing the bid for hosting the Games would only attract protracted public controversies over the event in the next 12 years. He reminded the Administration to be objective in assessing public sentiments and exercise prudence in spending public money.

#### Sport development strategy

8. Mr LEE Cheuk-yan criticized that contemporary large scale athletic games had become excessively expensive and over-commercialized, and these activities had deviated from their original objective of promoting sportsmanship. He said that the Administration had been sluggish in providing sports facilities and promoting public participation in sports until it decided to bid for hosting the 2023 Asian Games. Without demonstrating the long-term commitment to and strategy for sports development, the proposal to host the Games would only be perceived as the Administration's vanity project at the expense of tax-payers.

9. SHA disagreed that sports development in Hong Kong had been slow as Hong Kong athletes' performance at the recent Guangzhou Asian Games and other major sports events had demonstrated otherwise. He also dismissed the

comment that he was seeking personal honour in making the bid proposal as he would not hold the office when the Games took place 12 years later.

10. Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung said that the Administration only presented piecemeal measures on promoting sports and he did not see any long-term commitment in this regard. He considered that there should be careful planning if Hong Kong was to bid for hosting the Games.

11. Mr Paul CHAN said that while he supported promoting sports development, he had to consider whether the current bid proposal worth supporting having regard to its objective and cost-effectiveness. He noted that the proposal had not received support from the community and considered that this might not be the right time for Hong Kong to make the bid. He commented that the Administration did not have long-term vision and clear strategy on sports development, and little support was provided to elite athletes and to encourage public participation in sports. To host the Asian Games at this stage was putting the cart before the horse. He suggested that the Administration should first improve the remuneration and conditions for elite athletes so that they could focus on their sporting career. Meanwhile, the Administration should adopt a bottom-up approach to inculcate a sporting culture among primary and secondary students, and extend the culture to the community at large.

12. Mr Albert CHAN said that he could not support the proposal as hosting Asian Games often result in huge budget deficit based on the experience in other countries. He criticized that the Administration for not making sufficient efforts to improve professionalism in the management and governance of sports associations. He said that many of these associations were led by amateur, and the management staff of these associations often earned much more than professional athletes.

#### Improvement to community sports facilities

13. Mr WONG Kwok-hing said that members belonging to the Federation of Trade Union supported the Administration's proposal. He requested the Administration to be prudent in using public resources for hosting the event if the proposal was approved by FC. However, if the bid proposal was rejected by FC, he considered that the Administration should still take forward the improvements to the sports facilities and support for athletes, and also formulate long term strategies for promoting sports development in Hong Kong.

14. Ms Cyd HO objected to the proposal and said that the Administration should not rely on hosting the Games to promote sports in Hong Kong. She was worried that the Administration might shelve all sports venue construction programmes if the bid was not successful. She considered that the cost of hosting the event should be much lower when the sports facilities in Hong Kong had been improved.

15. Mr Fred LI said that the Democratic Party had gauged public views on the Administration's proposal, and noted that there were concerns about the huge costs for organizing the Asian Games and the Administration's lack of long-term commitment to sports development in Hong Kong. He said that there was also concern that the Administration might shelve the planned sports venues if the bid proposal was unsuccessful.

16. Ms Miriam LAU said that the Under Secretary for Home Affairs had said in a radio programme on 20 November 2010 that the sports venues and facilities needed for the Asian Games would be implemented according to the timetable, irrespective of whether the Games would be hosted by Hong Kong. However, CE had not affirmed this when responding to her question at the Question and Answer session held the day before. She said that while the Liberal Party supported organizing the Games which would bring economic benefits to Hong Kong, members were concerned about the estimated expenditure and the cost-effectiveness of the proposed projects.

17. Ms Tanya CHAN mentioned that CE had previously undertaken to spend \$7 billion on sports development in the coming 10 years regardless of whether Hong Kong succeeded in hosting the 2023 Asian Games. She sought information on the implementation timetable for these initiatives.

18. SHA responded that the \$7 billion earmarked for sports development as announced by CE was carefully worked out and were reliable current estimates for taking forward the sports facilities and providing support to athletes. The works projects would be taken forward under the Public Works Programme, and would require the recommendation of the Public Works Subcommittee and approval of FC. However, he did not consider it appropriate to speculate that Hong Kong would fail in its bid to host the 2023 Asian Games before an attempt was made.

#### Support to professional athletes

19. Mr LEE Wing-tat commented that there had not been much improvement to the remuneration of professional athletes over the past years. He cited the example of a Division A football player earning a low

remuneration of \$4,000 a month and had to work part-time to support himself. Mr LEE suggested that public resources should better be spent on improving support for athletes rather than on one-off fanfare like the Asian Games. He urged the Administration to improve the academic and career prospects of elite athletes, and also their remuneration to enable them to maintain a reasonable standard of living. He pointed out that universities and large enterprises in overseas countries had established mechanisms to admit talented athletes, but only less than 20 elite athletes had been admitted to the eight higher educational institutions in Hong Kong so far.

20. The Chairman supplemented that the Director of the Hong Kong Sports Institute (HKSI) had previously informed the HA Panel that many overseas elite athletes were allowed to extend their education periods in pursuit of sport training and engagement in sport competitions. She asked if similar arrangements would be provided for local athletes. Dr Priscilla LEUNG remarked that despite discussions on promoting sports in schools at the Panel on Education, the Administration had not been responsive in this respect.

21. SHA responded that hosting major sports events such as the Asian Games was not a fanfare but an integral part of sports development in Hong Kong. As regards supporting the athletes, the Administration had initiated discussions with higher educational institutions and business organizations to formalize arrangements to admit or recruit elite athletes. The Home Affairs Bureau would also explore with the Education Bureau on the feasibility of allowing athletes to spread out their curriculum throughout their entire sporting career.

22. The Chairman advised that the Panel on Home Affairs and the Panel on Education would hold a joint meeting to discuss measures to encourage and facilitate students' participation in sports. Ms Cyd HO asked the Administration to provide information on the measures to support elite athletes and the timetable for the provision of sports facilities to facilitate members to follow up the issues at the Panel on Home Affairs.

23. Mr Alan LEONG said that members belonging to the Civic Party objected to the bid proposal. He considered that the Administration should devote more efforts to help Hong Kong's elite athletes to build a professional career in sport, provide more support to their retirement life, and also enhance the standard of the sports facilities in Hong Kong. Miss Tanya CHAN commented that many parents were reluctant to encourage their children to pursue a career in sports because of the absence of a clear policy for supporting athletes and insufficient training facilities. She urged the Administration to set its priorities right on sports development and promotion.

Cost estimates

24. Mr Fred LI, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung, Professor Patrick LAU, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms Cyd HO and Mr Paul CHAN enquired about the cost estimates and the cost-effectiveness for hosting the event. Mr Fred LI expressed concern that the financial commitment for hosting the 2023 Asian Games would likely be very substantial, as the scale of the athlete villages and the number of athletes would far exceed that of the East Asian Games. Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung criticized the Administration's abrupt and drastic changes to the estimated costs for hosting the Asian Games. He queried whether the Administration had artificially trimmed down the budget simply to mitigate public resistance. He was worried that FC might be asked to approve huge amount of supplementary provisions at a later stage when the actual expenditure exceeded the original project estimate.

25. Prof Patrick LAU said that he had participated in the discussions on the sports venues and facility requirements for organizing the Asian Games, and he recognized the need to comply with the Asian Games standards in respect of the provision of spectator facilities and secondary sports arena in main competition venues during the Games. He noted that the Administration had tried to keep the budget low by providing only temporary features that were essential to the Asian Games. He asked whether the estimated cost represented the current price or the money-of-the-day (MOD) price.

26. SHA replied that the budget for the Games was prepared on the basis of the current price. He stressed that the current proposal was to invite FC to consider the principle of whether Hong Kong should make a bid to host the 2023 Asian Games, and not to approve the actual allocation or spending of public funds at this stage. The detailed budget would have to be worked out in consultation with the Olympic Council of Asia (OCA) and SF&OC if the bid for hosting the 2023 Asian Games was successful, and the cost estimates would then be prepared at MOD prices for FC's consideration.

27. SHA emphasized that Hong Kong could afford the estimated expenses of \$6 billion for making a bid to host the Asian Games. He added that the Beijing Olympic Games and Guangzhou Asian Games had demonstrated that the construction of the athlete village would not become an indefinite commitment for the host city. Private sector participation in the construction of the athlete village was an option to be examined, and the accommodation units could be put on sale after the Games.

Action

28. Ms Miriam LAU commented that the estimates of the expenses for organizing the Games were rather confusing. She queried that the initial assessment of \$94 million for security-related expenses for the Games was unrealistic, given that \$2.3 billion had been budgeted for in the 2010 Guangzhou Asian Games for such purposes. Ms Cyd HO shared the concern that the estimated amount of security expenses would not be sufficient, and that the overall costs for the event would be very substantial.

29. Head, Asian Games Bid Team explained that the budget on security measures were carefully evaluated having taken into account the views of local enforcement agencies, and the relevant expenses incurred during the 2008 Beijing Olympics Equestrian Event held in Hong Kong and the 2005 World Trade Organization ministerial meeting.

30. Mr Albert HO said that it was not the ripe time for Hong Kong to host the Asian Games. He said that a large part of the community did not favour the Administration's proposal to bid for hosting the 2023 Asian Games, and many people did not consider the Asian Games important as compared with other more pressing social issues. Mr HO said that the public perceived the Games as just an expensive fanfare, but there might be more support for hosting Asian Games in, say, 2027 and beyond, when there was considerable progress in sports development in Hong Kong. He criticized the Administration for committing huge amount of public money to organizing the Asian Games while only modest sums were spent on poverty alleviation measures. He asked if the Administration would consider setting up a \$6 billion sports development fund instead.

31. SHA reiterated that members were invited to endorse, in principle, the Administration's proposal to make a bid to host the Games which was 12 years away. He believed that substantial progress could be achieved during these years, and he did not see any reason for postponing the application to OCA to host the Asian Games.

32. Dr Priscilla LEUNG supported the Administration's proposal which would provide an opportunity for Hong Kong to improve its sports facilities and promote sports development. She said that sports development and poverty alleviation were not mutually exclusive. As some 30% of the people in Hong Kong were in favour of hosting the Asian Games, the Administration should be encouraged to take forward its proposal to bid for organizing the Games in 2023. She pointed out that FC's approval was still required for the project when the bid was successful. She did not consider it necessary to defer the application for hosting the Games.

Action

33. Mr Albert CHAN said that he could not support the Administration's proposal, as many of the host cities of past Asian Games had incurred huge deficit. He said that the Administration was unwise to implement sports facilities construction programmes in times of high inflation.

34. Mr Paul CHAN commented that some sectors of the community were skeptical of the Administration's ability to control cost given its past records of over-spending in infrastructure development. Mr Vincent FANG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin criticized the Administration for making frequent changes to the cost estimates, which had weakened the credibility of the proposal. SHA responded that the Administration had taken the Asian Games bid exercise very seriously and had acted in good faith. The budget was adjusted only after very careful consideration.

35. Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung remarked that an Asian Games that was organized according to Olympic spirit would not need to be extravagant. He noted that some athletes objected to the hosting of the Asian Games and they hoped that more resources and efforts could be put to providing better sports facilities.

Benefits of hosting the Asian Games

36. Mr Jeffrey LAM said that Members belonging to the Economic Synergy supported the funding proposal. He added that some young athletes had indicated to him their hope to have more sports venues and training facilities, and their hope that Hong Kong would host the 2023 Asian Games. Mr LAM considered that the Asian Games could bring tangible and intangible benefits to the hosting city, and suggested the Administration gather information from the organizing authorities in Beijing and Guangzhou about such benefits, such as the prospects of attracting new investments on infrastructure and related activities. He did not agree that hosting the Asian Games would divert significant public resources from poverty alleviation measures, given that the implementation plan for hosting the Games would span over 12 years.

37. SHA advised that the Administration had maintained close liaison with the State General Administration of Sports and the Beijing Organizing Committee for the Olympic Games on the preparation for the Asian Games bid. The cities of Beijing and Guangzhou had taken the opportunity of hosting the Olympic Games and Asian Games to improve its infrastructure; and the cities experienced significant improvement both socially and culturally. SHA expected that hosting the 2023 Asian Games would bring similar benefits to Hong Kong as well as enhancement to its international status.

38. Mr WONG Kwok-hing suggested that the Administration should also take the opportunity of hosting the Games to replace more of the existing non-civil service contract posts in relevant government departments by permanent civil servants, as the implementation would take as long as 12 years. He said that the Administration had responded positively to his request.

39. Ms Miriam LAU said that members belonging to the Liberal Party supported the Administration's proposal to host the 2023 Asian Games as it would help create jobs and bring business opportunities. However, they were concerned about the rather limited and short-lived economic benefits estimated at \$400 million to \$500 million, including those of the tourism sector.

40. Prof Patrick LAU considered that the Administration's proposal to bid for hosting the 2023 Asian Games in Hong Kong would provide a common goal for the whole community to achieve together. Ir Dr Raymond HO said that Members from the Professionals Forum supported the Administration's proposal. As little efforts had been made in the past ten years in sports promotion and development, hosting the Asian Games would help raise the community's awareness on sports development in Hong Kong. Dr Ho considered that promoting social welfare, education and health services and sports development were not mutually exclusive, and Hong Kong had the means to cater for these needs at the same time. He said that fostering wider public participation in sports, especially among the younger generation, was conducive to long-term healthy development of the people, as could be observed from the experience in Guangzhou.

41. Mrs Sophie LEUNG declared that her husband was actively involved in promoting soccer activities. She commented that like many international cities, Hong Kong should rejuvenate itself every three to five years to inject vitality for growth. She said that hosting the Asian Games remained a dream of many young athletes, and that they should be given support to realize their aspiration.

42. Mr Vincent FANG declared that he was engaged in retail business. He expressed support for the Administration's proposal, as the hosting of the Asian Games could help stimulate the economy and promote Hong Kong's international status, while providing an opportunity to improve sports facilities and standard of sports performance. He did not agree that the major sports facilities to be built specifically for the Asian Games would become "white elephants" as they could bring long term benefits. He considered that the Administration should provide more comprehensive and convincing

information on how it planned to organize the event, so that the community could give more positive feedback on the proposal.

43. Mr Paul TSE said that there were suggestions that organizing the Asian Games would benefit Hong Kong's tourism industry, and the Travel Industry Council of Hong Kong and Hong Kong Tourism Board had indicated support. However, he noted that some practitioners in the tourism sector were concerned that the Asian Games might be held during the tourism peak season; and this would pose problems as there might not be sufficient hotel rooms, coaches and other service support facilities to meet the high volume of visitors during such period. He commented that mega events should not be clustered at the same time, and noted that about 60% of the people in Hong Kong objected to the proposal.

44. Mr WONG Kwok-kin commented that the Administration should have launched more vigorous publicity to promote the proposal of hosting the Asian Games especially the benefits it would bring to the tourism, service and retail sectors and also create employment opportunities. He envisaged that the proposal would not have the majority support of FC, and he hoped the Administration would learn from this experience.

45. Mr CHAN Mo-po said that although he agreed that engaging in sports might help foster healthy personal lifestyle and would benefit mental health of individuals as well as the whole community, these benefits needed not necessarily be achieved through hosting the Asian Games. He also doubted whether the benefits would justify the amount of resources to be spent. After consulting his constituents, Mr CHAN observed that there were more objections against the proposal than those in favour. As there were no overriding public benefits in organizing the 2023 Asian Games, he asked the Administration to drop the proposal in the light of the negative public sentiment. He said that there should be greater community support after improvements were made to the sports facilities and support for athletes.

46. Dr Priscilla LEUNG remarked that since Hong Kong lost the bid of hosting the Asian Games in 2000, there had been little discussion on sports development in LegCo. She said that, even if members did not support the Administration's proposal this time, they should begin to play a more active role to press the Administration for progress in promoting sports.

47. SHA concluded that when the Administration conducted public consultation in late 2010, there was a clear consensus in the community that efforts to promote sports development and improve sports facilities should continue. The hosting of the 2023 Asian Games was instrumental in bringing

about these policy goals in the long term. SHA disagreed that the Administration had not given due attention to public opinion in putting forward the proposal. He said that the Administration had responded to members' views raised in the motion debate last year when LegCo recommended the Administration to host the 2019 Asian Games. It would be unfair to suggest that the Administration had not taken heed of the public sentiment which had changed only recently.

48. The Chairman put the item to vote. As requested by Mr KAM Nai-wai, the Chairman ordered a division. A total of 54 members voted: 14 members voted for the proposal and 40 voted against. The voting indications of individual members were as follows:

*For:*

|                    |                  |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Dr Raymond HO      | Mrs Sophie LEUNG |
| Mr LAU Kong-wah    | Mr LAU Wong-fat  |
| Mr Timothy Fok     | Mr Abraham SHEK  |
| Mr WONG Kwok-hing  | Mr Jeffrey LAM   |
| Mr Andrew LEUNG    | Prof Patrick LAU |
| Dr Priscilla LEUNG | Mr WONG Kwok-kin |
| Mr IP Wai-ming     | Dr PAN Pey-chyou |
| (14 members)       |                  |

*Against:*

|                    |                     |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| Mr Albert HO       | Mr LEE Cheuk-yan    |
| Mr Fred LI         | Dr Margaret NG      |
| Mr James TO        | Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong |
| Mr CHAN Kam-lam    | Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung  |
| Mr WONG Yung-kan   | Ms Miriam LAU       |
| Mr Andrew CHENG    | Mr TAM Yiu-chung    |
| Mr Tommy CHEUNG    | Mr Frederick FUNG   |
| Ms Audrey EU       | Mr Vincent FANG     |
| Mr LEE Wing-tat    | Dr Joseph LEE       |
| Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming | Mr WONG Ting-kwong  |
| Mr Ronny TONG      | Mr KAM Nai-wai      |
| Ms Cyd HO          | Ms Starry LEE       |
| Dr LAM Tai-fai     | Mr CHAN Hak-kan     |
| Mr Paul CHAN       | Mr CHAN Kin-por     |
| Dr LEUNG Ka-lau    | Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che  |
| Mr WONG Sing-chi   | Mr IP Kwok-him      |
| Mrs Regina IP      | Mr Paul TSE         |
| Dr Samson TAM      | Mr Alan LEONG       |
| Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung | Miss Tanya CHAN     |

Action

Mr Albert CHAN  
(40 members)

Mr WONG Yuk-man

49. The Chairman declared that the Committee did not approve the proposal.
50. The meeting was adjourned at 7:15 pm.

Legislative Council Secretariat  
4 October 2011