Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services

<u>List of follow-up actions</u> (Position as at 25 March 2011)

| | Subject | Date of meeting | Follow-up actions required | Administration's response |
|----|--|------------------|--|---------------------------|
| 1. | Development of mediation services | 22 October 2009 | The Department of Justice ("DoJ") to provide information on the number and percentage of employment injury compensation cases in which mediation service had been used, if available. | Response awaited. |
| 2. | Drafting of legislation | 15 December 2009 | The Law Drafting Division ("LDD"), DoJ, to revert to the Panel on its consideration of members' suggestion of developing guidelines on the drafting of the long titles of bills. | Response awaited. |
| 3. | Leal Aid in Criminal Cases Rules | 25 January 2010 | Home Affairs Bureau ("HAB") to consider the Law Society of Hong Kong's ("Law Society") proposal for extending legal aid to cases where references are made by the Chief Executive to the Court of Appeal under section 83P of the Criminal Procedure Ordinance (Cap. 221) and incorporating a general provision to empower the Director of Legal Aid to grant legal aid in such circumstances as he thinks fit, and to revert to the Law Society and the Panel on its consideration of the proposal. | Response awaited. |
| 4. | Five-yearly review of the criteria for | 24 May 2010 | The Legal Aid Services Council ("LASC") to revert to the Panel on its recommendations on how assistance could be | Response awaited. |

| | Subject | Date of meeting | Follow-up actions required | Administration's response |
|----|--|------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants | | provided to employees in obtaining legal aid in employer insolvency cases and in recovery of wages. | |
| 5. | Trial in the District Court | 28 June 2010 | DoJ to discuss with the two legal professional bodies on the viability of giving defendants the right to elect a jury trial and report to the Panel on the progress of the discussion in due course. | Panel in the second |
| 6. | Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants | 21 July 2010 | HAB to consult the relevant parties, including DoJ, the Judiciary, the Labour and Welfare Bureau and the Legal Aid Department ("LAD"), on the views and suggestions raised by the Chairman and Members regarding measures to assist employees in wage claims and revert to the Panel in due course. | Response awaited. |
| 7. | Progress of review of the Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme ("SLAS") | 22 November 2010 | LAD to provide information on the number of cases where applicants for legal aid had turned down the grant of legal aid due to the amount contributions required to be paid and the amount of contributions involved in such cases. | Response awaited. |
| | | | HAB to revert to the Panel on its consideration on the various issues relating to contribution by aided persons raised by members. | Response awaited. |

| | Subject | Date of meeting | Follow-up actions required | Administration's response |
|----|--|------------------|---|--|
| 8. | Implementation of Civil Justice Reform | 21 December 2010 | The Judiciary Administration ("JA") to provide a written report on the progress of implementation of Civil Justice Reform. | On 17 January 2011, JA advised that it would provide the report in the fourth quarter of 2011. |
| 9. | Expansion of SLAS | 24 January 2011 | HAB to provide for members' reference an analysis, with different scenarios, on the total amount of contributions payable for employee claims on appeal from Labour Tribunal should such claims be covered under SLAS Part I as proposed by LASC. | Response awaited. |
| 10 | Commission ("LRC") Report on "The Common Law Presumption that a Boy under 14 is Incapable of Sexual Intercourse" | 28 February 2011 | The Security Bureau to provide a written response to the views and concerns expressed by the Hong Kong Committee on Children's Rights, Against Child Abuse and the Hong Kong Human Rights Monitor on the LRC report, and on whether the Administration had any plan to conduct another review on the issue of age of criminal responsibility. | Response awaited. |

Council Business Division 2
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
25 March 2011