

Solicitors, Notaries, Agents for Trademarks & Patents

香港總行:香港中瑪墨打街 11 號置地廣場告羅士打大廈 18 橋。電話:(852) 2522 8101 · 圖文 停真:(852) 2845 9292 · 電子郵件:sfks@sfks.com.hk · 視像會議:(852) 2912 4695 Hong Kong Main Office: 18/F, Gloucester Tower, The Landmark, 11 Pedder Street, Central, Hong Kong.
Tel: (852) 2522 8101 • Fox: (852) 2845 9292 • E-mail: sfks@sfks.com.hk • Video Conferencing: (852) 2912 4695 • Interchange: DX-009019 Central Visit our web site at http://www.sfks.com.hk

> Handling Solicitor: Peter Sit Direct Line: 2909 7388

By Email and By Hand

30 April 2012

Your Ref: CB2/SC/11 Our Ref : PS/96363-5/12

Select Committee Legislative Council Complex 1 Legislative Council Road Central Hong Kong

Attn: Mr Raymond Lam

Clerk to Select Committee

Dear Sirs,

Re: Select Committee to Study Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's Involvement as a Member of the Jury in the West Kowloon Reclamation Concept Plan Competition and Related

We act for Mr Leung Chung-ying ("Mr Leung") and we have been handed a copy of the draft verbatim transcript for the hearing on 21 April 2012 together with your letter of 25 April 2012. Your letter requested Mr Leung to return the 'draft verbatim transcript' before 2 May 2012 together with his proposed corrections, if any. You further added that proposed corrections, if any, should be limited to editorial inaccuracies or matters of fact which do not materially alter the general sense of an answer ("Restrictions").

The document you presented to Mr Leung is not exactly a verbatim transcript of the proceeding on 21 April 2012 as the transcriber has used his/her own interpretation in rewording the questions and answers, using more formal Chinese instead of colloquial Punti dialect in the transcription, also changes have been rendered to some of the sentence structure, making the text perhaps more readable.

ASSOCIATES PARTNERS SENIOR ASSOCIATES Cary H.P. Lamus Cecilia T.K. Yungus Peter K. P. Sit ILB 李莱福 +@ C. K. Kwong JP LLD., FCI AND W. C. Tam LLB MCKM 都志強 T. L. Lainus 數天顧 Belinda K. Young LLS 4B 112 的田才 Rocky Y.C. Wong u. . Irene O.Y. Ip & Eq. 10 # M.W. Shuma sa s Alex W. L. Chan u a W O 18 Angela K. N. Wong IL II M (4) 90 陳柏出 Vivian W.S. Ng LL & Chloe S.Y. Wong LL B. Carmen K.M. Liang LL B Margaret Chol tt. 8 tt. m **ASSOCIATES** 45 11.19 W.S. Wong to B the 黄水桶 K. C. Chengles . LLM , ANDAS . ACKAS BIT CO ALL CONSULTANTS Simon M. H. Slutt # 酰文章 S. H. Yeung U.B. LLM. U.D 楊先燦 # Sylvia W.Y. Slu JP as c Man. FOW B THRING LLM
Alfred C.Y. Fung an 5.1. Wong LE B, LL MICRE)
Sidney K.C. HOBBA, LLB ANNA ASIALE Jeffrey T.S. Hung Wing, ACGI, U.M. 144 (15) 409 何解蛇 學樂到 P.C. Leung LL B. NHWA-b Cecilia M.N. Leeuun

林漢様 容沁頃 Martina L.M. Hulber, LLa 8年48月0 兴胜的 MF 120 00

数机物

学数单

In association with AJ Lefèvre Pelletier & ossociés. Poris, France New Zealand

NOTARY PUBLIC # CHINA - APPOINTED ATTESTING OFFICER

..../Pg.2

国際公託人 中國委托公証人 @ CHARTERED ARBITRATOR \$\$3\$中教育



That with respect is not the purpose of a verbatim transcript which should be a 'word for word' record in respect of what had been said by the person. The 'word for word' transcription is a common practice adopted by the Police, the ICAC, the Courts and even the Law Society in its disciplinary proceedings when doing verbatim reports. If a transcription involves the transcriber's own interpretations, reorganization of verbal expressions and sentence structure, the transcription is inaccurate and in our view not usable as a verbatim transcription of the proceeding.

While we appreciate that the current method of transcription may be the practice of the Select Committee, we are unable to render corrections as there will be changes to almost all the passages under review, which is not the task intended for Mr Leung to undertake. Also the proposed corrections may go beyond the Restrictions set out in your letter.

For the reasons abovementioned, we are returning the document for your further actions. We also return as requested a note to you signed by Mr Leung dated 26 April 2012.

For the same reason abovementioned, we are returning the draft verbatim transcript for 20 March 2012 for your further actions.

Yours faithfully,

SIT, FUNG KWONG & SHUM

Encl.





立法會 LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

來函檔號 YOUR REF :

本函檔號 OUR REF

CB2/SC/11

電話 TELEPHONE 3919 3207 國文傳真 FACSIMLE 2840 0269

電郵地址E-mail:

skwong@legco.gov.hk

By Email and By Hand

9 May 2012

SIT, FUNG, KWONG & SHUM 18/F Gloucester Tower The Landmark 11 Pedder Street Central Hong Kong

Dear Sirs,

Select Committee to Study Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's Involvement as a Member of the Jury in the West Kowloon Reclamation Concept Plan Competition and Related Issues

Draft verbatim transcripts of the Select Committee hearings on 20 March and 21 April 2012

On the instruction of the Chairman of the Select Committee, I reply to your letter of 30 April 2012 concerning the captioned draft verbatim transcripts sent to Mr LEUNG Chun-ying for comments.

You may note that pursuant to paragraph 3(a) of the Practice and Procedure of the Select Committee ("P&P"), the practice and procedure of the Select Committee should be fair and seen to be fair, especially to parties whose interests or reputation may be affected by the proceedings of the Select Committee. Against such background, paragraphs 35 to 38 of P&P prescribe matters relating to minutes of proceedings of the Select Committee. As regards the issues raised in your letter, paragraph 36 of P&P is particularly in point. The paragraph provides that "[m]inutes of evidence, usually in the form of a verbatim transcript, are kept for each meeting at which witnesses are examined. Relevant parts of the draft transcript are forwarded to the person or body giving

香港中區立法會道 1號立法會綜合大樓 LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL COMPLEX, 1 LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ROAD, CENTRAL, HONG KONG

evidence for sight and correction, if any, before incorporated into the minutes of evidence". The principle of fairness is enshrined in the process of providing the witness, in this circumstance, Mr LEUNG, with the relevant parts of the draft transcript for correction. It does not appear that the "restrictions" referred to in your letter would limit Mr LEUNG in any manner in his proposing corrections to the captioned draft verbatim transcripts.

You may also note that without prejudice to the authenticity of the evidence given, the Select Committee has followed the practice of using written Chinese instead of Punti dialect in the verbatim transcripts of its hearings in order to make the written record more readable. You may agree that the nature of the proceedings of hearings of the Select Committee is different from that of the court or disciplinary tribunal. The word for word transcription in judicial or quasi-judicial setting may not be suitably transplanted to the parliamentary setting of proceedings of the Select Committee.

However, if Mr LEUNG is minded to make a request, with reasons, to the Select Committee to provide a copy of word-for-word verbatim transcripts of the captioned hearings in Punti dialect for comments, such request will be submitted to the Select Committee for consideration.

Yours sincerely,

(Thomas WONG)

Clerk to Select Committee

c.c. Chairman of the Select Committee

Solicitors, Notaries, Agents for Trademarks & Patents

香港總行:香港中環畢打街 11 號置地廣場告羅士打大廈 18 樓 • 電話:(852) 2522 8101 • 圖文傳真:(852) 2845 9292 • 電子郵件:sfks@sfks.com.hk • 視像會議:(852) 2912 4695

Hong Kong Main Office: 18/F., Gloucester Tower, The Landmark, 11 Pedder Street, Central, Hong Kong.

Tel: (852) 2522 8101 • Fax: (852) 2845 9292 • E-mail: sfks@sfks.com.hk • Video Conferencing: (852) 2912 4695 • Interchange : DX-009019 Central Visit our web site at http://www.sfks.com.hk

Handling Solicitor: Peter Sit Direct Line: 2909 7388

By Email and By Hand

11 May 2012

Your Ref : CB2/SC/11 Our Ref : PS/96363-5/12

Select Committee Legislative Council Complex 1 Legislative Council Road Central Hong Kong

Attn: Mr Thomas Wong

Clerk to Select Committee

Dear Sirs,

Re: Select Committee to Study Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's Involvement as a Member of the Jury in the West Kowloon Reclamation Concept Plan Competition and Related Issues

Draft Verbatim transcripts of the Select Committee hearings on 20 March and 21 April 2012

Thank you for your letter dated 9 May 2012. We now understand the practice of the Select Committee in the keeping of minutes of evidence and the Select Committee takes the view that 'the word for word transcription in judicial or quasi-judicial setting may not be suitably transplanted to the parliamentary setting of proceedings of the Select Committee'. We appreciate the current practice of using written Chinese instead of Punti dialect in verbatim transcripts of its hearings is 'to make the written record more readable'.

Our letter of 30 April 2012 did not suggest that the Select Committee will have to change its practice just for the current Study. Also our letter of 30 April 2012 did not request the Select Committee to produce a word for word verbatim report for Mr Leung.

..../Pg.2

PARTNERS		SENIOR ASSOCIATES		ASSOCIATES		in association with	In association with
• # Peter K. P. Sit LL B	经本本	Trevor T. K. Lee LL 8	李東權	Cary H.P. Lam LL B	林海標	Lefèvre Pelletier	Al Park
• @ C. K. Kwong JP LL B. FCIArb	膨志強	T. L. Lai B.B.A	黎天麟	Cecilia T.K. Yung L. s	容沁耳		THE STATE OF THE S
W. C. Tam ILB MCWb	課 億才	Belinda K. Yeung u. B	林脇 沙斯	Martina L.M. Huis BA.LL B	3年 竹谷 線文	& associés,	THE .
# M. W. Shum B Soc Sc	岑文传	Rocky Y.C. Wong LL B	黄旭中	Irene O.Y. Ip a Eng ID	葉雲儀	Paris, France	New Zealand
Alex W. L. Chan u. s	阿里州 國	Angela K. N. Wong LL B	黃琦雅	Vivian W.S. Ng LL 8	吳詠詩	r dris, ridrice	ree zedidilo
# Margaret Choi LLB LLM	熱小時	ASSOCIATES		Chloe S.Y. Wong LL B	黄淑莹		
 W. S. Wong LL B LL M 	苗水勝	K. C. Cheng LL B. LL M. AHKIA/b. ACIA/b	鄭紋昌	Carmen K.M. Liang L. B.	梁寫雯		
Simon M. H. Siu LL B	過文學	S. H. Yeung LLB. LLM. LLD	楊先恆	CONSULTANTS	707.45 (80	NOTARY PUBLIC	國際公証人
Jeffrey T. S. Hung HEIX ACGILLI M	共同强	S.I. Wong is 8 . H. M(CH.)	黃雪梨 何翹楚	# Sylvia W.Y. Siti. JP BSC MB A FCIAND FHISIAND LL M Alfred C.Y. Fung B A Cecilia M.N. Leell B	悉訴儀 馮昌治 李美寧	# CHINA - APPOINTED ATTESTING OFFICER @ CHARTERED ARBITRAT	ESSIPPLIAN BULLY
P.C. Leung (CB AHKAA)	梁秉釗	Sidney K.C. Hoasa alla, ahkia-b, acia-b					中國委托公証人 TOR 特許仲裁員



The sole purpose of our above letter was to inform you that it would not be possible for us on behalf of Mr Leung to render meaningful 'corrections' if the document was meant to be a verbatim transcript when it was <u>not</u> prepared as a verbatim transcript. For us and Mr Leung, as all the hearings have been video-taped and the videos have been very helpfully uploaded onto your website, it is not really necessary for us to have a verbatim transcript be that 'word for word' or in the present 'more readable' format in order for us or Mr Leung to maintain a record of what the witnesses had said at the hearings.

In the event the draft report of the Select Committee shall refer to specific questions and answers that are relevant to Mr Leung, Mr Leung wishes that those passages reflecting the questions and answers in the draft report will be transcribed word for word and that he will have an opportunity to review them to ensure their accuracy.

We think this may be a more sensible and less cumbersome approach than to produce a word for word verbatim transcript now for the whole Study which, we have to emphasize again, has never been our or Mr Leung's request.

We hope the above suggestion is useful.

Yours faithfully,

SIT. FUNG KWONG & SHUM