

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 865/11-12

**Paper for the House Committee meeting
of 8 June 2012**

**Questions scheduled for the
Legislative Council meeting of 13 June 2012**

Questions by:

- | | | |
|------|--|-----------------------------|
| (1) | Hon Mrs Sophie LEUNG LAU Yau-fun | (Oral reply) |
| (2) | Hon James TO Kun-sun | (Oral reply) (New question) |
| | <i>(Replacing his previous question)</i> | |
| (3) | Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah | (Oral reply) |
| (4) | Hon Tanya CHAN | (Oral reply) |
| (5) | Hon LAU Kong-wah | (Oral reply) |
| (6) | Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung | (Oral reply) |
| (7) | Hon Vincent FANG Kang | (Written reply) |
| (8) | Hon WONG Yuk-man | (Written reply) |
| (9) | Dr Hon LEUNG Ka-lau | (Written reply) |
| (10) | Hon Albert HO Chun-yan | (Written reply) |
| (11) | Dr Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long | (Written reply) |
| (12) | Hon IP Wai-ming | (Written reply) |
| (13) | Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee | (Written reply) |
| (14) | Hon CHEUNG Kwok-che | (Written reply) |
| (15) | Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing | (Written reply) |
| (16) | Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee | (Written reply) |
| (17) | Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him | (Written reply) |
| (18) | Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun | (Written reply) |
| (19) | Hon KAM Nai-wai | (Written reply) |
| (20) | Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai | (Written reply) |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

審計署的
《行政長官離港職務訪問的酒店住宿安排報告》

(2) 涂謹申議員 (口頭答覆)

審計署於上月發表了《行政長官離港職務訪問的酒店住宿安排報告》。報告指出，現任行政長官自2007年至本年4月進行了55次職務訪問，入住酒店共142晚，當中49晚由特區政府承擔費用，而有41晚是入住特級套房。外界批評行政長官的行為乃貪得無厭。同時，審計署指出，在多次職務訪問中，沒有足夠文件紀錄顯示行政長官入住特級套房的理據。審計署在報告中亦提供了一些建議，例如當需要提高行政長官膳宿津貼時，應徵求行政長官辦公室常任秘書長(下稱“特首辦常秘”)的批准，以便特首辦內部處理同類申請時更一致。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 鑒於審計署的《行政長官離港職務訪問的酒店住宿安排報告》主要是提交給行政長官及上載到審計署的網頁，但根據《核數條例》第13條，如果審計署署長(下稱“署長”)認為某事宜對公帑支出的入帳構成嚴重不當的事件，署長須向立法會主席報告，現在署長並沒有將報告提交給立法會，令政府帳目委員會不能討論有關事項，署長有否評估以上的做法，會否令立法會監察政府的職責蕩然無存；及
- (二) 現屆政府與下屆政府落實審計署的建議(包括行政長官需要提高膳宿津貼時，須徵求特首辦常秘的批准的建議)的詳細安排為何；候任行政長官有否接納建議，以及就建議作出甚麼回應；實施建議的時間表為何；哪些建議可以在本年6月30日現任行政長官任期完結前實施；哪些建議可以在本年7月18日本屆立法會會期中止前實施？

Audit Commission's Report on hotel accommodation arrangements
for the Chief Executive's duty visits outside Hong Kong

(2) Hon James TO Kun-sun (Oral reply)

Last month, the Audit Commission published the "Report on hotel accommodation arrangements for the Chief Executive's duty visits outside Hong Kong" which stated that the incumbent Chief Executive ("CE") had made a total of 55 duty visits from 2007 to April this year and stayed in hotels for 142 nights, among which 49 nights were paid by the SAR Government and, of these 49 nights, CE was accommodated in superior suites for 41 nights. CE has been criticized as being insatiably avaricious in acting in such a way. At the same time, the Audit Commission has pointed out that on many occasions, adequate documented justification for CE's accommodation in superior suites was not available. The Audit Commission has also made a number of recommendations in the Report, e.g. when it is necessary to enhance the subsistence allowance to CE, approval should be sought from the Permanent Secretary, Chief Executive's Office ("PermSecy/CEO"), in order to provide consistency in the processing of applications within CEO. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) given that the Audit Commission's "Report on hotel accommodation arrangements for the Chief Executive's duty visits outside Hong Kong" is primarily submitted to CE and uploaded to the Audit Commission's web site, but under section 13 of the Audit Ordinance, the Director of Audit ("the Director") shall report to the President of the Legislative Council ("LegCo") a matter which constitutes, in the opinion of the Director, a serious irregularity in the accounting for the expenditure of public moneys, and yet at present the Director has not submitted the Report to LegCo, making it impossible for the Public Accounts Committee to discuss the matter concerned, whether the Director has assessed if his aforesaid practice will render it not possible

for LegCo to perform its function of monitoring the Government; and

- (b) of the detailed arrangements for implementing the Audit Commission's recommendations (including the one about seeking approval from PermSecy/CEO for paying an enhanced subsistence allowance to CE) by the current-term and the next-term Governments; whether the CE-elect has accepted these recommendations, and what his response to such recommendations is; of the timetable for implementing the recommendations; of the recommendations that can be implemented before the term of the incumbent CE ends on 30 June this year, and the recommendations that can be implemented before the prorogation of the current-term LegCo on 18 July this year?