Road Traffic (Amendment) Ordinance 2011

Contents

Section	Pag	ge
	Part 1	
	Preliminary	
1.	Short title and commencement)9
2.	Enactments amended	11
	Part 2	
	Amendments to Road Traffic Ordinance (Cap. 374)	
3.	Section 2 amended (Interpretation)	13
4.	Section 4 amended (Application of Ordinance to trams) A141	15
5.	Section 4A amended (Application of Ordinance to village vehicles)	15
6.	Section 36 amended (Causing death by dangerous driving) A141	17
7.	Section 36A amended (Causing grievous bodily harm by dangerous driving)	21
8.	Section 37 amended (Dangerous driving)	23
9.	Section 39 amended (Driving a motor vehicle under the influence of drink or drugs)	23
10.	Section 39A amended (Driving, attempting to drive or being in charge of a motor vehicle with alcohol concentration above prescribed limit)	25

Section			Page
11.	Section 3	39B amended (Screening breath tests) A	1427
12.	Section 3	39C amended (Provision of specimens for analysis) A	1427
13.	Section 3	39E amended (Protection for hospital patients) A	1435
14.	Sections	39J to 39U added	1435
	39J.	Driving motor vehicle without proper control under influence of specified illicit drug	1435
	39K.	Driving motor vehicle with any concentration of specified illicit drug	1447
	39L.	Driving motor vehicle without proper control under influence of drug other than specified illicit drug	1453
	39M.	Persons who may be required to undergo preliminary drug test	1463
	39N.	Drivers may be required to undergo Rapid Oral Fluid Test	1469
	39O.	Failure to undergo preliminary drug test A	1469
	39P.	Provision of specimen for drug analysis	1477
	39Q.	Specimens of blood from persons incapable of consenting	1481
	39R.	Evidence of analysis of specimens	1485
	39S.	Failure to provide specimen of blood or urine A	1489

Section	P	age
	39T. Tests to be included in Impairment Test, approval of instruments for preliminary drug	
	tests, etc	493
	39U. Surrender of licence for 24 hours	495
15.	Section 69A amended (Start of disqualification period) A14	499
16.	Section 70 amended (Re-testing of drivers)	499
17.	Section 72A amended (Power of court or magistrate to	
	order persons to attend driving improvement courses) A14	499
18.	Section 117 amended (Application of Ordinance to private	
	roads)A1:	507
19.	Section 120 amended (Defence)	507
20.	Schedule 1A substituted	507
	Schedule 1A Specified Illicit Drugs	509
	Part 3	
	Amendments to Road Traffic (Driving Licences) Regulations (Cap. 374 sub. leg. B)	
21.	Regulation 8 amended (Previous experience and driving record)	511
22.	Regulation 22 amended (Issue of driving instructors' licences)	511
23.	Regulation 22A amended (Application for driving instructors' licences issued under regulation 22(4) and conditions of issue)	511

Road Traffic (Amendment) Ordinance 2011

A1407

	Ord. No. 24 of 2011
Section	Page
24.	Regulation 23 (Renewal of driving instructors' licences) A1513
25.	Regulation 23A amended (Renewal of certain driving instructors' licences issued under regulation 22(4))
26.	Regulation 28 amended (Cancellation of driving instructors' licences)
	Part 4
Amend	lments to Road Traffic (Driving-offence Points) Ordinance (Cap. 375)
27.	Schedule amended

A1409

Part 1 Section 1

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

Ordinance No. 24 of 2011



Donald TSANG
Chief Executive
22 December 2011

An Ordinance to amend the Road Traffic Ordinance to create new offences in connection with driving motor vehicles after the consumption or use of drugs; to enhance the penalty of disqualification for the offence of causing death by dangerous driving; to provide procedures to obtain specimens of blood and urine for laboratory tests; to provide means to test for impairment by drugs and their presence in body fluids; to provide for temporary surrender of driving licences for specified contraventions; to specify different periods for completing driving improvement courses under different circumstances; to make consequential and related amendments to the Ordinance and its subsidiary legislation and to the Road Traffic (Driving-offence Points) Ordinance; and to provide for related matters.

]

Enacted by the Legislative Council.

Part 1

Preliminary

1. Short title and commencement

(1) This Ordinance may be cited as the Road Traffic (Amendment) Ordinance 2011.

A1411

Part 1 Section 2

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

(2) This Ordinance comes into operation on a day to be appointed by the Secretary for Transport and Housing by notice published in the Gazette.

2. Enactments amended

The enactments specified in Parts 2, 3 and 4 are amended as set out in those Parts.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

Part 2

Amendments to Road Traffic Ordinance (Cap. 374)

3. Section 2 amended (Interpretation)

(1) Section 2, definition of *fail*—

Repeal

"section 39B and 39C"

Substitute

"sections 39B, 39C, 39O and 39S".

(2) Section 2—

Add in alphabetical order

"authorized police officer (獲授權警務人員), in relation to a preliminary drug test, means a police officer authorized under section 39T(3) to carry out that test;

drug (藥物) means—

- (a) a substance specified in Schedule 1A; or
- (b) any substance (other than alcohol or a substance referred to in paragraph (a)) which, when consumed or used by a person, deprives a person (temporarily or permanently) of any of his or her normal mental or physical faculties;

Drug Influence Recognition Observation (識認藥物影響觀測) means a test carried out by an authorized police officer on a person, to detect signs that indicate the effect on the human body of the consumption or use of drugs, so as to assist the police officer to form an opinion as to whether or not the person is under the influence of a drug;

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- Impairment Test (損害測試) means a combination of any or all of the tests specified by the Commissioner of Police under section 39T(1), carried out by an authorized police officer on a person, so as to assist the police officer to form an opinion as to whether or not the person's ability to drive properly is impaired by the consumption or use of drugs;
- preliminary drug test (初步藥物測試) means a Drug Influence Recognition Observation, an Impairment Test or a Rapid Oral Fluid Test;
- Rapid Oral Fluid Test (快速口腔液測試) means a test carried out—
 - (a) on a person's oral fluid;
 - (b) by an authorized police officer;
 - (c) using any instrument approved under section 39T(2); and
 - (d) to detect the presence of any specified illicit drug in the person's oral fluid;
- specified illicit drug (指明毒品) means a substance specified in Schedule 1A;".
- 4. Section 4 amended (Application of Ordinance to trams)

Section 4(1), after "39E"—

Add

", 39J, 39K, 39L, 39M, 39N, 39O, 39P, 39Q, 39R, 39S, 39U".

- 5. Section 4A amended (Application of Ordinance to village vehicles)
 - (1) Section 4A(2), after "36 (other than subsections (2), (2A)"—

Add

", (2AB)".

A1417

Part 2 Section 6

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

(2) Section 4A(2), after "39E"—

Add

", 39J (other than subsections (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6)), 39K (other than subsections (2), (3), (4) and (5)), 39L (other than subsections (2), (3), (4) and (5)), 39M, 39N, 39O (other than subsections (4), (5), (6) and (7)), 39P, 39Q, 39R, 39S (other than subsections (3), (4), (5) and (6)), 39U".

6. Section 36 amended (Causing death by dangerous driving)

(1) Section 36(2A)(a)—

Repeal

"?"

Substitute

"5".

(2) Section 36(2A)(b)—

Repeal

"5"

Substitute

"10".

(3) After section 36(2A)—

Add

- "(2AB) A court or magistrate may, in making an order that a person be disqualified in accordance with subsection (2A), order that the person be disqualified for life, if—
 - (a) the person has been convicted of the same offence previously; and

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (b) having regard to the circumstances in which the offence was committed (which may include but is not limited to those set out in subsection (2E)) and the behaviour of the person, the court or magistrate is of the opinion that it is undesirable for the person to continue to be allowed to drive a motor vehicle.".
- (4) Section 36(2B)(a)—

Repeal

"2"

Substitute

"5".

(5) Section 36(2B)(b)—

Repeal

"5"

Substitute

"10".

(6) Section 36(2C)(a)—

Repeal

"2"

Substitute

"5".

(7) Section 36(2C)(b)—

Repeal

"5"

Substitute

"10".

(8) Section 36(2E)(b)—

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

Repeal

"any amount of a drug specified in Schedule 1A"

Substitute

"any concentration of a specified illicit drug".

(9) Section 36(3), after "first conviction"—

Add

"(including for the purposes of subsection (2AB)(a))".

(10) Section 36(10)—

Repeal

"or 39A"

Substitute

", 39A, 39J, 39K or 39L".

7. Section 36A amended (Causing grievous bodily harm by dangerous driving)

(1) Section 36A(7)(b)—

Repeal

"any amount of a drug specified in Schedule 1A"

Substitute

"any concentration of a specified illicit drug".

(2) Section 36A(16)—

Repeal

"or 39A"

Substitute

", 39A, 39J, 39K or 39L".

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

8. Section 37 amended (Dangerous driving)

(1) Section 37(2E)(b)—

Repeal

"any amount of a drug specified in Schedule 1A"

Substitute

"any concentration of a specified illicit drug".

(2) Section 37(9)—

Repeal

"or 39A"

Substitute

", 39A, 39J, 39K or 39L".

9. Section 39 amended (Driving a motor vehicle under the influence of drink or drugs)

(1) Section 39, heading—

Repeal

"or drugs".

(2) Section 39(1)—

Repeal

"or drugs".

(3) Section 39(4)—

Repeal

"or drugs".

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

(4) Section 39(5), Chinese text—

Repeal

"是否曾有一如第(4)款所述的相當可能"

Substitute

"上述的人是否如第(4)款所述當時不可能駕駛有關汽車".

(5) After section 39(5)—

Add

- "(6) On the trial of a person charged with an offence under subsection (1), the person charged may be acquitted of the offence under subsection (1) and be found guilty of one or more offences under section 37 or 38.".
- 10. Section 39A amended (Driving, attempting to drive or being in charge of a motor vehicle with alcohol concentration above prescribed limit)
 - (1) Section 39A, Chinese text—

Repeal subsection (4)

Substitute

- "(4) 任何人如證明以下情況,即當作未有掌管汽車:在關鍵時間,按當時情況,只要該人的呼氣、血液或尿液中的酒精比例,仍相當可能超過訂明限度,該人當時便不可能駕駛該汽車。".
- (2) Section 39A(5), Chinese text—

Repeal

"是否曾有一如第(4)款提述的相當可能"

Substitute

- "上述的人是否如第(4)款所述當時不可能駕駛有關汽車".
- (3) After section 39A(5)—

Add

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

"(6) On the trial of a person charged with an offence under subsection (1), the person charged may be acquitted of the offence under subsection (1) and be found guilty of one or more offences under section 37 or 38.".

11. Section 39B amended (Screening breath tests)

(1) Section 39B(1), Chinese text—

Repeal

"在道路上駕駛或掌管道路上的" (wherever appearing)

Substitute

"駕駛或掌管".

(2) Section 39B(10), Chinese text—

Repeal paragraph (b)

Substitute

"(b) 其提供的方式,使該測試得以令人滿意地達到其目的,".

12. Section 39C amended (Provision of specimens for analysis)

(1) Section 39C, heading, after "for"—

Add

"alcohol".

(2) Section 39C(4), Chinese text—

Repeal

"體內取得"

Substitute

"抽取".

(3) Section 39C(9), Chinese text—

Repeal

"取得"

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

Substitute

"抽取".

(4) Section 39C(10), Chinese text—

Repeal

"取得"

Substitute

"抽取".

(5) Section 39C—

Repeal subsection (11)

Substitute

- "(11) Subject to subsection (11A), a specimen of blood must not be taken from a person unless the person consents to its being taken and it is so taken.
- (11A) A police officer may request a medical practitioner to take a specimen of blood from a person, if—
 - (a) he or she is a person the police officer is entitled to require—
 - (i) to provide a specimen of breath under section 39B(1)(b) or (2); or
 - (ii) to provide a specimen of blood under subsection (1)(b) or (2); and
 - (b) it appears to the police officer that—
 - (i) (if it is a person referred to in paragraph (a)(i)) he or she may be incapable of providing a specimen of breath and of giving a valid consent to the taking of a specimen of blood, and (if it is a person referred to in paragraph (a)(ii)) he or she may be incapable of giving a valid consent to the taking of a specimen of blood; and

- (ii) the incapacity is attributable to medical reasons.
- (11B) It is lawful for a medical practitioner to whom a request is made under subsection (11A), if the medical practitioner thinks fit—
 - (a) to take a specimen of blood from the person; and
 - (b) to provide the specimen to the police officer who made the request.
- (11C) A specimen of blood may be taken pursuant to a request under subsection (11A) only at a breath test centre, a police station or a hospital.
- (11D) If a specimen of blood is taken pursuant to a request under subsection (11A), it must not be subjected to a laboratory test unless the person from whom it was taken—
 - (a) has been informed that it was taken;
 - (b) has been required by a police officer to give consent to the analysis of the specimen for the purpose of evidence in the relevant investigation; and
 - (c) has given that consent.
- (11E) A police officer who makes a requirement referred to in subsection (11D)(b) must warn the person at the time of making the requirement that a failure to give consent may render the person liable to prosecution.
- (11F) Evidence that a specimen of blood was taken from a person referred to in subsection (11A) by a medical practitioner may be given by the production of a document certifying that fact and purporting to be signed by the medical practitioner.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (11G) Evidence that a specimen of blood taken from a person under subsection (11B) was subjected to a laboratory test only after the person from whom it was taken was informed that it was taken and the person consented to the laboratory test may be given by the production of a document certifying that fact and purporting to be signed by the police officer who made the requirement referred to in subsection (11D)(b)."
- (6) After section 39C(13)—

Add

- "(13A) If an accused from whom a specimen of blood was taken under subsection (11B), at the time he or she consented to its analysis, asked to be provided with a part of that specimen, evidence of the proportion of alcohol found in the specimen is not admissible on behalf of the prosecution unless—
 - (a) the specimen in which the alcohol was found is one of 2 parts into which the specimen taken from the accused was divided at the time it was taken; and
 - (b) the other part was supplied to the accused.".
- (7) Section 39C(15), after "this section"—

Add

"or fails to give consent under subsection (11D)(b)".

(8) Section 39C(19), Chinese text—

Repeal paragraph (b)

Substitute

"(b) 其提供的方式,使該分析或化驗得以令人滿意地達到 其目的,".

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

13. Section 39E amended (Protection for hospital patients)

(1) Section 39E(1), after "analysis"—

Add

- ", a specimen of oral fluid for a Rapid Oral Fluid Test".
- (2) Section 39E(4), after "analysis"—

Add

- ", a specimen of oral fluid for a Rapid Oral Fluid Test".
- (3) After section 39E(4)—

Add

"(5) To avoid doubt, this section does not apply to the taking of a specimen of blood from a person falling within section 39C(11A) or 39Q(1).".

14. Sections 39J to 39U added

After section 39I—

Add

"39J. Driving motor vehicle without proper control under influence of specified illicit drug

(1) A person who drives or attempts to drive, or is in charge of, a motor vehicle on any road while he or she is under the influence of a specified illicit drug to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle commits an offence and is liable—

- (a) on conviction on indictment, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 3 years; and
- (b) on summary conviction—
 - (i) on a first offence under this subsection, to a fine at level 3 and to imprisonment for 6 months;
 - (ii) subsequent to a conviction on indictment under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months;
 - (iii) subsequent to a summary conviction under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months; or
 - (iv) subsequent to a conviction under section 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months.
- (2) If a court or magistrate convicts a person of an offence under subsection (1), the court or magistrate must order that the person be disqualified in accordance with subsection (3) or (5) unless the court or magistrate for special reasons orders that the person be disqualified for a shorter period or that the person not be disqualified.
- (3) Subject to subsection (5), the period for which the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of not less than 5 years; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of not less than 10 years.
- (4) A court or magistrate may, on convicting a person under subsection (1), in making an order that he or she be disqualified in accordance with subsection (3), order that the person be disqualified for life, if—

- (a) the person has been convicted of the same offence previously; and
- (b) having regard to the circumstances in which the offence was committed and the behaviour of the person, the court or magistrate is of the opinion that it is undesirable for the person to continue to be allowed to drive a motor vehicle.
- (5) If the court or magistrate has ordered a person to attend and complete a driving improvement course under section 72A(1A), the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, for a period of not less than 5 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, for a period of not less than 10 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.
- (6) For the purposes of subsection (2), a person to whom subsection (5) applies is disqualified for a shorter period if the period for which he or she is disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of less than 5 years, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of less than 10 years, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.

- (7) The court or magistrate may deal with the offence as a first offence, or the conviction of an offence as a first conviction (including for the purposes of subsection (4)(a)), if, as at the date on which the offence was committed, at least 5 years have passed since the person's last conviction under subsection (1) or section 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S.
- (8) A person is taken not to have been in charge of a motor vehicle if he or she proves that at the material time the circumstances were such that there was no likelihood of the person's driving the motor vehicle so long as he or she remained under the influence of a specified illicit drug to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle.
- (9) The court or magistrate may, in determining whether there was such a likelihood as is mentioned in subsection (8), disregard any injury to the person and any damage to the motor vehicle.
- (10) For the purposes of subsection (1), a person is under the influence of a specified illicit drug to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle if—
 - (a) the person's ability to drive properly is for the time being impaired; and
 - (b) the concentration of the specified illicit drug or of the combination of such drugs present in the person's blood or urine and to which the charge relates would usually result in a person being unable to drive properly.
- (11) It is a defence for a person charged under subsection (1) to prove that—

- (a) the specified illicit drug or the combination of such drugs found in the person's blood or urine and to which the charge relates was lawfully obtained;
- (b) he or she did not know and could not reasonably have known that the lawfully obtained specified illicit drug or the combination of such drugs found in the blood or urine would render him or her incapable of having proper control of a motor vehicle if consumed or used in accordance with advice; and
- (c) he or she consumed or used that drug or combination of those drugs in accordance with that advice.
- (12) If on the trial of a person charged with an offence under subsection (1), the prosecution proves that the person drove or attempted to drive, or was in charge of, the motor vehicle on a road, and—
 - (a) that a specified illicit drug was present in the person's blood or urine but not that he or she was under the influence of the drug to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle, the person charged must be acquitted of the offence under subsection (1) but may be found guilty of an offence under section 39K; or
 - (b) that the person was under the influence of a drug, but not a specified illicit drug, to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle, the person charged must be acquitted of the offence under subsection (1) but may be found guilty of an offence under section 39L.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (13) On the trial of a person charged with an offence under subsection (1), the person charged may be acquitted of the offence under subsection (1) and be found guilty of one or more offences under section 37 or 38 and, to avoid doubt, subsection (11) does not apply to those offences.
- (14) For the purposes of subsection (11), a specified illicit drug is lawfully obtained if it is a specified illicit drug that is prescribed for or administered or supplied to an accused person by a healthcare professional.
- (15) In this section
 - advice (指示) means, in relation to a drug that is referred to in subsection (14), written or oral advice given to an accused person by the healthcare professional who prescribed, administered or supplied the drug;
 - first conviction (首次定罪) means a conviction on a first offence under subsection (1) (whether a conviction on indictment or a summary conviction);

healthcare professional (醫護專業人員) means—

- (a) a medical practitioner;
- (b) a registered dentist as defined by section 2(1) of the Dentists Registration Ordinance (Cap. 156);
- (c) a person whose name is entered on the register of pharmacists under section 5 of the Pharmacy and Poisons Ordinance (Cap. 138); or
- (d) a person acting under the direction or supervision of a person referred to in paragraph (a), (b) or (c);

subsequent conviction (再次定罪) means—

- (a) a conviction subsequent to a first conviction; or
- (b) a conviction referred to in subsection (1)(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv).

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

39K. Driving motor vehicle with any concentration of specified illicit drug

- (1) A person who drives or attempts to drive, or is in charge of, a motor vehicle on any road while any concentration of a specified illicit drug is present in the person's blood or urine (whether or not any other drug is also so present) commits an offence and is liable—
 - (a) on conviction on indictment, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 3 years; and
 - (b) on summary conviction—
 - (i) on a first offence under this subsection, to a fine at level 3 and to imprisonment for 6 months;
 - (ii) subsequent to a conviction on indictment under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months;
 - (iii) subsequent to a summary conviction under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months; or
 - (iv) subsequent to a conviction under section 39J, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months.
- (2) If a court or magistrate convicts a person of an offence under subsection (1), the court or magistrate must order that the person be disqualified in accordance with subsection (3) or (4) unless the court or magistrate for special reasons orders that the person be disqualified for a shorter period or that the person not be disqualified.
- (3) Subject to subsection (4), the period for which the person is to be disqualified—

- (a) for a first conviction, is a period of not less than 2 years; and
- (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of not less than 5 years.
- (4) If the court or magistrate has ordered the person to attend and complete a driving improvement course under section 72A(1A), the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, for a period of not less than 2 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, for a period of not less than 5 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is later.
- (5) For the purposes of subsection (2), a person to whom subsection (4) applies is disqualified for a shorter period if the period for which he or she is disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of less than 2 years, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of less than 5 years, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.
- (6) The court or magistrate may deal with the offence as a first offence, or the conviction of an offence as a first conviction, if, as at the date on which the offence was committed, at least 5 years have passed since the person's last conviction under subsection (1) or section 39J, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (7) It is a defence for a person charged under subsection (1) to prove that—
 - (a) if only one specified illicit drug was present in his or her blood or urine, that drug; or
 - (b) if more than one specified illicit drug was present in his or her blood or urine, every such drug,

was a specified illicit drug that was prescribed for or administered or supplied to the person by a healthcare professional.

- (8) A person is taken not to have been in charge of a motor vehicle if he or she proves that at the material time the circumstances were such that there was no likelihood of the person's driving the motor vehicle so long as any concentration of a specified illicit drug was present in his or her blood or urine.
- (9) The court or magistrate may, in determining whether there was such a likelihood as is mentioned in subsection (8), disregard any injury to the person and any damage to the motor vehicle.
- (10) On the trial of a person charged with an offence under subsection (1), the person charged may be acquitted of the offence under subsection (1) and be found guilty of one or more offences under section 37 or 38 and, to avoid doubt, subsection (7) does not apply to those offences.
- (11) In this section—
- first conviction (首次定罪) means a conviction on a first offence under subsection (1) (whether a conviction on indictment or a summary conviction);
- healthcare professional (醫護專業人員) has the meaning given by section 39J(15);

subsequent conviction (再次定罪) means—

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (a) a conviction subsequent to a first conviction; or
- (b) a conviction referred to in subsection (1)(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv).
- (12) In this section a reference to a conviction for an offence under subsection (1) includes a conviction pursuant to section 39J(12)(a).

39L. Driving motor vehicle without proper control under influence of drug other than specified illicit drug

- (1) A person who drives or attempts to drive, or is in charge of, a motor vehicle on any road while he or she is under the influence of a drug other than a specified illicit drug (*non-specified drug*) to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle commits an offence and is liable—
 - (a) on conviction on indictment, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 3 years; and
 - (b) on summary conviction—
 - (i) on a first offence under this subsection, to a fine at level 3 and to imprisonment for 6 months;
 - (ii) subsequent to a conviction on indictment under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months;
 - (iii) subsequent to a summary conviction under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months; or
 - (iv) subsequent to a conviction under section 39J, 39K, 39O(1) or 39S, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months.

- (2) If a court or magistrate convicts a person of an offence under subsection (1), the court or magistrate must order that the person be disqualified in accordance with subsection (3) or (4) unless the court or magistrate for special reasons orders that the person be disqualified for a shorter period or that the person not be disqualified.
- (3) Subject to subsection (4), the period for which the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of not less than 6 months; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of not less than 2 years.
- (4) If the court or magistrate has ordered a person to attend and complete a driving improvement course under section 72A(1A), the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, for a period of not less than 6 months, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, for a period of not less than 2 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.
- (5) For the purposes of subsection (2), a person to whom subsection (4) applies is disqualified for a shorter period if the period for which he or she is disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of less than 6 months, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and

- (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of less than 2 years, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.
- (6) The court or magistrate may deal with the offence as a first offence, or the conviction of an offence as a first conviction, if, as at the date on which the offence was committed, at least 5 years have passed since the person's last conviction under subsection (1) or section 39J, 39K, 39O(1) or 39S.
- (7) A person is taken not to have been in charge of a motor vehicle if he or she proves that at the material time the circumstances were such that there was no likelihood of the person's driving the motor vehicle so long as he or she remained under the influence of a non-specified drug to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle.
- (8) The court or magistrate may, in determining whether there was such a likelihood as is mentioned in subsection (7), disregard any injury to the person and any damage to the motor vehicle.
- (9) For the purposes of subsection (1), a person is under the influence of a non-specified drug to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the motor vehicle if—
 - (a) the person's ability to drive properly is for the time being impaired; and

- (b) the concentration of the non-specified drug or of the combination of such drugs present in the person's blood or urine and to which the charge relates would usually result in a person being unable to drive properly.
- (10) It is a defence for a person charged under subsection (1) to prove that—
 - (a) the non-specified drug or the combination of such drugs found in the person's blood or urine and to which the charge relates was lawfully obtained;
 - (b) he or she did not know and could not reasonably have known that the lawfully obtained non-specified drug or the combination of such drugs found in the blood or urine would render him or her incapable of having proper control of a motor vehicle if consumed or used in accordance with advice; and
 - (c) he or she consumed or used that drug or combination of those drugs in accordance with that advice.
- (11) On the trial of a person charged with an offence under subsection (1), the person charged may be acquitted of the offence under subsection (1) and be found guilty of one or more offences under section 37 or 38 and, to avoid doubt, subsection (10) does not apply to those offences.
- (12) For the purposes of subsection (10), a non-specified drug is lawfully obtained if it is—
 - (a) a non-specified drug that is prescribed for or administered or supplied to an accused person by a healthcare professional;

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (b) a non-specified drug that is a pharmaceutical product as defined by section 2(1) of the Pharmacy and Poisons Ordinance (Cap. 138)—
 - (i) that is registered as mentioned in regulation 36 of the Pharmacy and Poisons Regulations (Cap. 138 sub. leg. A); and
 - (ii) for the sale of which a prescription is not required by Hong Kong law; or
- (c) a non-specified drug that is a proprietary Chinese medicine, as defined by section 2(1) of the Chinese Medicine Ordinance (Cap. 549), that is registered under section 121 of that Ordinance.
- (13) In this section—

advice (指示) means, in relation to a drug that is referred to in—

- (a) subsection (12)(a)—
 - (i) written or oral advice given to an accused person by the healthcare professional who prescribed, administered or supplied the drug; and
 - (ii) information written on a label, as defined by section 2(1) of the Pharmacy and Poisons Ordinance (Cap. 138), accompanying the prescribed or supplied drug;
- (b) subsection (12)(b), any information written on a label referred to in paragraph (a)(ii) accompanying the drug; and
- (c) subsection (12)(c), any information on the package insert, as defined by section 2(1) of the Chinese Medicine Ordinance (Cap. 549), supplied with the drug;

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

first conviction (首次定罪) means a conviction on a first offence under subsection (1) (whether a conviction on indictment or a summary conviction);

healthcare professional (醫護專業人員) means—

- (a) a medical practitioner;
- (b) a registered dentist as defined by section 2(1) of the Dentists Registration Ordinance (Cap. 156);
- (c) a registered Chinese medicine practitioner or a listed Chinese medicine practitioner, as defined by section 2(1) of the Chinese Medicine Ordinance (Cap. 549);
- (d) a person whose name is entered on the register of pharmacists under section 5 of the Pharmacy and Poisons Ordinance (Cap. 138); or
- (e) a person acting under the direction or supervision of a person referred to in paragraph (a), (b), (c) or (d);

subsequent conviction (再次定罪) means—

- (a) a conviction subsequent to a first conviction; or
- (b) a conviction referred to in subsection (1)(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv).
- (14) In this section a reference to a conviction for an offence under subsection (1) includes a conviction pursuant to section 39J(12)(b).

39M. Persons who may be required to undergo preliminary drug test

- (1) A police officer may require a person referred to in subsection (2) to undergo one or more of the following—
 - (a) a Drug Influence Recognition Observation;

- (b) an Impairment Test;
- (c) a Rapid Oral Fluid Test.
- (2) A person who may be required to undergo a preliminary drug test under subsection (1) is any person whom the police officer has reasonable cause to suspect—
 - (a) has been driving or attempting to drive, or has been in charge of, a motor vehicle on a road while any concentration of a specified illicit drug was present in his or her blood or urine or while under the influence of any drug; or
 - (b) has been driving or attempting to drive, or has been in charge of, a motor vehicle on a road and has committed a traffic offence while the motor vehicle was in motion.
- (3) If an accident occurs owing to the presence of a motor vehicle on a road, a police officer may require a person, whom the police officer has reasonable cause to suspect was driving or attempting to drive, or was in charge of, the motor vehicle at the time of the accident, to undergo one or more preliminary drug tests.
- (4) A person is not obliged to undergo an Impairment Test under subsection (1) or (3) if—
 - (a) immediately before the requirement to undergo such a test is made, he or she, on being required by a police officer, had undergone a Drug Influence Recognition Observation; and
 - (b) the authorized police officer who carried out the Drug Influence Recognition Observation did not form an opinion that he or she was under the influence of a drug.

- (5) An authorized police officer may require a person referred to in subsection (2) or (3) to provide one or more specimens of oral fluid to carry out a Rapid Oral Fluid Test.
- (6) A police officer or an authorized police officer who makes a requirement under subsection (1), (3) or (5) must warn the person at the time of making the requirement that—
 - (a) a failure to provide the specimen or specimens he or she is required to provide may render him or her liable to prosecution;
 - (b) he or she may be required to undergo more than one of the preliminary drug tests referred to in subsection (1); and
 - (c) a failure to undergo any of those tests he or she is required to undergo may render him or her liable to prosecution.
- (7) A Drug Influence Recognition Observation or a Rapid Oral Fluid Test may be carried out at or near the place where the requirement is made.
- (8) An Impairment Test must be carried out at a police station specified by the police officer who makes the requirement.
- (9) Evidence whether an accused person's ability to drive properly was impaired by the consumption or use of a drug may be given by the production of a document purporting to—
 - (a) be the opinion of the authorized police officer who carried out the Impairment Test as to whether the person's ability to drive properly was impaired; and
 - (b) be signed by the authorized police officer who carried out the Impairment Test.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

39N. Drivers may be required to undergo Rapid Oral Fluid Test

- (1) A police officer may require a person who is driving or attempting to drive, or is in charge of, a motor vehicle on a road, to undergo a Rapid Oral Fluid Test to detect whether any specified illicit drug is present in his or her oral fluid.
- (2) An authorized police officer may require a person referred to in subsection (1) to provide one or more specimens of oral fluid to carry out a Rapid Oral Fluid Test.
- (3) An authorized police officer who makes a requirement under subsection (2) must warn the person at the time of making the requirement that a failure to provide the specimen or specimens he or she is required to provide may render him or her liable to prosecution.
- (4) A Rapid Oral Fluid Test may be carried out at or near the place where the requirement is made.

39O. Failure to undergo preliminary drug test

- (1) A person who, without reasonable excuse, fails to undergo an Impairment Test or to provide a specimen or specimens (if more than one is required) of oral fluid to carry out a Rapid Oral Fluid Test when required to do so under section 39M or 39N commits an offence and is liable—
 - (a) on conviction on indictment, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 3 years; and
 - (b) on summary conviction—
 - (i) on a first offence under this subsection, to a fine at level 3 and to imprisonment for 6 months;

- (ii) subsequent to a conviction on indictment under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months;
- (iii) subsequent to a summary conviction under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months; or
- (iv) subsequent to a conviction under section 39J, 39K, 39L or 39S, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months.
- (2) Without limiting subsection (1), a person fails to provide any specimen of oral fluid unless the specimen—
 - (a) is sufficient to enable the Rapid Oral Fluid Test to be carried out; and
 - (b) is provided in a way to enable the objective of the test to be satisfactorily achieved.
- (3) A person who, without reasonable excuse, fails to undergo a Drug Influence Recognition Observation when required to do so under section 39M commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine at level 1 and to imprisonment for 3 months.
- (4) If a court or magistrate convicts a person of an offence under subsection (1), the court or magistrate must order that the person be disqualified in accordance with subsection (5) or (6) unless the court or magistrate for special reasons orders that the person be disqualified for a shorter period or that the person not be disqualified.
- (5) Subject to subsection (6), the period for which the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of not less than 5 years; and

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of not less than 10 years.
- (6) If the court or magistrate has ordered the person to attend and complete a driving improvement course under section 72A(1A), the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, for a period of not less than 5 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, for a period of not less than 10 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.
- (7) For the purposes of subsection (4), a person to whom subsection (6) applies is disqualified for a shorter period if the period for which he or she is disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of less than 5 years, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of less than 10 years, or until the person has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.
- (8) The court or magistrate may deal with the offence as a first offence, or the conviction of an offence as a first conviction, if, as at the date on which the offence was committed, at least 5 years have passed since the person's last conviction under subsection (1) or section 39J, 39K, 39L or 39S.
- (9) A police officer may arrest a person without a warrant if—

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (a) as a result of a Rapid Oral Fluid Test carried out on the person, the police officer has reasonable cause to suspect that any concentration of a specified illicit drug is present in his or her oral fluid;
- (b) as a result of a Drug Influence Recognition Observation carried out on the person, the police officer has reasonable cause to suspect that he or she has committed an offence under section 39J(1), 39K(1) or 39L(1);
- (c) as a result of an Impairment Test carried out on the person, the police officer has reasonable cause to suspect that he or she has committed an offence under section 39J(1), 39K(1) or 39L(1);
- (d) he or she fails to undergo a Drug Influence Recognition Observation when required to do so under section 39M;
- (e) he or she fails to undergo an Impairment Test when required to do so under section 39M; or
- (f) he or she fails to provide a specimen or specimens of oral fluid to carry out a Rapid Oral Fluid Test when required to do so under section 39M or 39N.

(10) In this section—

first conviction (首次定罪) means a conviction on a first offence under subsection (1) (whether a conviction on indictment or a summary conviction);

subsequent conviction (再次定罪) means—

- (a) a conviction subsequent to a first conviction; or
- (b) a conviction referred to in subsection (1)(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv).

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

39P. Provision of specimen for drug analysis

- (1) A police officer may require a person to provide a specimen of blood or urine, or specimens both of blood and urine, for a laboratory test, if the results of the Rapid Oral Fluid Test carried out on the person indicate that any concentration of a specified illicit drug is present in his or her oral fluid.
- (2) An authorized police officer who has carried out an Impairment Test on a person may require the person to provide a specimen of blood or urine, or specimens both of blood and urine, for a laboratory test, if the police officer is of the opinion that the results of the Impairment Test indicate that his or her ability to drive properly is for the time being impaired.
- (3) A police officer may require a person on whom a preliminary drug test could not be carried out due to a medical reason or any other reasonable cause, to provide a specimen of blood or urine, or specimens both of blood and urine, for a laboratory test, if the police officer has reasonable cause to suspect that—
 - (a) any concentration of a specified illicit drug is present in his or her blood, urine or oral fluid; or
 - (b) he or she is under the influence of any drug.
- (4) A requirement to provide any specimen under subsection (1), (2) or (3) can only be made at a breath test centre, a police station or a hospital.
- (5) A police officer or an authorized police officer who makes a requirement under subsection (1), (2) or (3) must decide whether the specimen is to be of blood or urine or whether both blood and urine specimens are to be taken, but if a medical practitioner is of the opinion that for medical reasons a specimen of blood

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- cannot or should not be taken, only a specimen of urine may be taken.
- (6) A specimen of blood under subsection (1), (2) or (3) may only be taken by a medical practitioner, a registered nurse or an enrolled nurse, specified by a police officer in each case.
- (7) A person must provide the specimen of urine within 1 hour of being required to provide it.
- (8) A police officer or an authorized police officer who makes a requirement under this section must warn the person at the time of requiring the specimen that a failure to provide it may render him or her liable to prosecution.
- (9) Subject to section 39Q, a specimen of blood must not be taken from a person unless he or she consents to its being taken and it is so taken.
- (10) Evidence that a specimen of blood was taken from the accused person with his or her consent by a medical practitioner, a registered nurse or an enrolled nurse may be given by the production of a document certifying that fact and purporting to be signed by the medical practitioner, the registered nurse or the enrolled nurse.
- (11) Evidence that a specimen of urine was taken from the accused person by a police officer or a medical practitioner may be given by the production of a document certifying that fact and purporting to be signed by the police officer or the medical practitioner.
- (12) In this section—

enrolled nurse (登記護士) has the meaning given by section 2(1) of the Nurses Registration Ordinance (Cap. 164);

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

registered nurse (註冊護士) has the meaning given by section 2(1) of the Nurses Registration Ordinance (Cap. 164).

39Q. Specimens of blood from persons incapable of consenting

- (1) A police officer may request a medical practitioner to take a specimen of blood from a person, if—
 - (a) he or she is a person the police officer is entitled to require—
 - (i) to undergo a preliminary drug test under section 39M(1) or (3); or
 - (ii) to provide a specimen of blood under section 39P(1), (2) or (3); and
 - (b) it appears to the police officer that—
 - (i) (if it is a person referred to in paragraph (a)(i)) he or she may be incapable of undergoing a preliminary drug test and of giving a valid consent to the taking of a specimen of blood, and (if it is a person referred to in paragraph (a)(ii)) he or she may be incapable of giving a valid consent to the taking of a specimen of blood; and
 - (ii) the incapacity is attributable to medical reasons.
- (2) It is lawful for a medical practitioner to whom a request is made under subsection (1), if the medical practitioner thinks fit—
 - (a) to take a specimen of blood from the person; and
 - (b) to provide the specimen to the police officer who made the request.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (3) A specimen of blood may be taken pursuant to a request under subsection (1) only at a breath test centre, a police station or a hospital.
- (4) If a specimen of blood is taken pursuant to a request under this section, it must not be subjected to a laboratory test unless the person from whom it was taken—
 - (a) has been informed that it was taken;
 - (b) has been required by a police officer to give consent to the analysis of the specimen for the purpose of evidence in the relevant investigation; and
 - (c) has given that consent.
- (5) A police officer who makes a requirement referred to in subsection (4)(b) must warn the person at the time of making the requirement that a failure to give consent may render him or her liable to prosecution.
- (6) Evidence that a specimen of blood was taken from a person referred to in subsection (1) by a medical practitioner may be given by the production of a document certifying that fact and purporting to be signed by the medical practitioner.
- (7) Evidence that a specimen of blood taken from a person under this section was subjected to a laboratory test only after the person from whom it was taken was informed that it was taken and he or she consented to the laboratory test may be given by the production of a document certifying that fact and purporting to be signed by the police officer who made the requirement referred to in subsection (4)(b).

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

39R. Evidence of analysis of specimens

- (1) A specimen of blood or urine taken under section 39P or 39Q may also be used for a laboratory test for alcohol (in addition to a test for any drug) in connection with an alleged offence under section 39J, 39K or 39L.
- (2) Evidence of the concentration of a drug, or the concentration of a drug and the proportion of alcohol, in a specimen of blood or urine taken under section 39P or 39Q may be given by the production of a document purporting to be a certificate under section 25 of the Evidence Ordinance (Cap. 8) as to the concentration of the drug, or the concentration of the drug and the proportion of alcohol, in the specimen of blood or urine identified in the certificate.
- (3) Evidence of the presence of a drug, or the presence of a drug and the proportion of alcohol, in a specimen of blood or urine taken under section 39P or 39Q may be given by the production of a document purporting to be a certificate under section 25 of the Evidence Ordinance (Cap. 8) as to the presence of the drug, or the presence of the drug and the proportion of alcohol, in the specimen of blood or urine identified in the certificate.
- (4) Evidence of the concentration of a drug, or the concentration of a drug and the proportion of alcohol, in a specimen of blood or urine provided by the accused person is evidence that the concentration of the drug, or the concentration of the drug and the proportion of alcohol, in the blood or urine of the accused person at the time of the alleged offence was not less than that in the specimen.
- (5) Evidence of the presence of a drug, or the presence of a drug and the proportion of alcohol, in a specimen

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- of blood or urine provided by the accused person is evidence of the presence of the drug, or the presence of the drug and the proportion of alcohol, in the blood or urine of the accused person at the time of the alleged offence.
- (6) If at the time a specimen of blood or urine was provided by an accused person under section 39P, he or she asked to be provided with a part of the specimen of blood or urine or a part of each specimen (if both blood and urine specimens are provided by the accused person), evidence of the concentration of or the presence of a drug, or the concentration of or the presence of a drug and the proportion of alcohol, found in the specimen is not admissible on behalf of the prosecution unless—
 - (a) the specimen in which the drug or the drug and alcohol was found is one of 2 parts into which the specimen provided by the accused person was divided at the time it was provided; and
 - (b) the other part was supplied to the accused person.
- (7) If an accused person from whom a specimen of blood was taken under section 39Q, at the time he or she consented to its analysis, asked to be provided with a part of that specimen, evidence of the concentration of or the presence of a drug, or the concentration of or the presence of a drug and the proportion of alcohol, found in the specimen is not admissible on behalf of the prosecution unless—
 - (a) the specimen in which the drug or the drug and alcohol was found is one of 2 parts into which the specimen taken from the accused person was divided at the time it was taken; and
 - (b) the other part was supplied to the accused person.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

39S. Failure to provide specimen of blood or urine

- (1) A person who, without reasonable excuse, fails to provide a specimen of blood or urine when required to do so under section 39P or fails to give consent under section 39Q(4)(b) commits an offence and is liable—
 - (a) on conviction on indictment, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 3 years; and
 - (b) on summary conviction—
 - (i) on a first offence under this subsection, to a fine at level 3 and to imprisonment for 6 months;
 - (ii) subsequent to a conviction on indictment under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months;
 - (iii) subsequent to a summary conviction under this subsection, to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months; or
 - (iv) subsequent to a conviction under section 39J, 39K, 39L or 39O(1), to a fine at level 4 and to imprisonment for 12 months.
- (2) Without limiting subsection (1), a person fails to provide a specimen of blood or urine for analysis or laboratory test unless the specimen—
 - (a) is sufficient to enable the analysis or laboratory test to be carried out; and
 - (b) is provided in a way to enable the objective of the analysis or laboratory test to be satisfactorily achieved.
- (3) If a court or magistrate convicts a person of an offence under subsection (1), the court or magistrate must order that the person be disqualified in accordance with subsection (4) or (5) unless the court

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- or magistrate for special reasons orders that the person be disqualified for a shorter period or that the person not be disqualified.
- (4) Subject to subsection (5), the period for which the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of not less than 5 years; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of not less than 10 years.
- (5) If the court or magistrate has ordered the person to attend and complete a driving improvement course under section 72A(1A), the person is to be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, for a period of not less than 5 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, for a period of not less than 10 years, or until the person has attended and completed the course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.
- (6) For the purposes of subsection (3), a person to whom subsection (5) applies is disqualified for a shorter period if the period for which he or she is disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, is a period of less than 5 years, or until he or she has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction, is a period of less than 10 years, or until he or she has attended and completed the driving improvement course at his or her own cost, whichever is the later.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (7) The court or magistrate may deal with the offence as a first offence, or the conviction of an offence as a first conviction, if, as at the date on which the offence was committed, at least 5 years have passed since the person's last conviction under subsection (1) or section 39J, 39K, 39L or 39O(1).
- (8) In this section—

first conviction (首次定罪) means a conviction on a first offence under subsection (1) (whether a conviction on indictment or a summary conviction);

subsequent conviction (再次定罪) means—

- (a) a conviction subsequent to a first conviction; or
- (b) a conviction referred to in subsection (1)(b)(ii), (iii) or (iv).

39T. Tests to be included in Impairment Test, approval of instruments for preliminary drug tests, etc.

- (1) The Commissioner of Police may, by notice published in the Gazette, specify the tests to be carried out for the purpose of assisting a police officer to form an opinion as to whether or not a person's ability to drive properly is impaired by the consumption or use of drugs.
- (2) The Commissioner of Police may, by notice published in the Gazette, approve types of instruments as approved instruments for carrying out a Rapid Oral Fluid Test.
- (3) The Commissioner of Police may authorize in writing members of the police force as authorized police officers to carry out preliminary drug tests.
- (4) Only an instrument approved under subsection (2) may be used for carrying out a Rapid Oral Fluid Test, and more than one such instrument may be used when carrying out a Rapid Oral Fluid Test on a person.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

(5) Only an authorized police officer may carry out a preliminary drug test.

39U. Surrender of licence for 24 hours

- (1) A police officer may require a person to surrender his or her licence for driving to the Commissioner of Police for 24 hours if—
 - (a) the police officer has reasonable cause to suspect that the person has committed a relevant offence;
 - (b) the result of a breath analysis carried out under section 39C indicates that the proportion of alcohol in his or her breath has exceeded the prescribed limit;
 - (c) the result of an Impairment Test carried out under section 39M indicates, in the opinion of the police officer who carried out the test, that his or her ability to drive properly is for the time being impaired; or
 - (d) the result of a Rapid Oral Fluid Test carried out under section 39M or 39N indicates the presence of any concentration of a specified illicit drug in his or her oral fluid.
- (2) In each case referred to in subsection (1), the period of 24 hours begins at the time the requirement is made.
- (3) A person who, without reasonable excuse, fails to surrender his or her licence for driving when required to do so by a police officer commits an offence and is liable on—
 - (a) a first conviction to a fine of \$1,000; and
 - (b) a subsequent conviction to a fine at level 1.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (4) A person who has been required to surrender, or has surrendered, a licence for driving under subsection (1), must not drive or attempt to drive, or be in charge of, a motor vehicle of any class on any road during the 24-hour period for which the licence for driving was required to be surrendered, whether or not he or she holds any other licence for driving referred to in subsection (7).
- (5) A person who contravenes subsection (4) commits an offence and is liable to a fine at level 3 and to imprisonment for 12 months.
- (6) If a court or magistrate convicts a person of an offence under subsection (5), the court or magistrate must order that the person be disqualified—
 - (a) for a first conviction, for a period of not less than 12 months; and
 - (b) for a subsequent conviction under that subsection, for a period of not less than 3 years,

unless the court or magistrate for special reasons orders that the person be disqualified for a shorter period or that the person not be disqualified.

(7) In this section—

licence for driving (車牌) means—

- (a) a driving licence;
- (b) an international driving permit;
- (c) a domestic driving licence; or
- (d) a domestic driving permit;

relevant offence (相關罪行) means—

- (a) an offence under section 39B(6);
- (b) an offence under section 39C(15) (other than a failure to give consent under section 39C(11D)(b));

Part 2 Section 15

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (c) an offence under section 39O(1); or
- (d) an offence under section 39S(1) (other than a failure to give consent under section 39Q(4)(b)).".

15. Section 69A amended (Start of disqualification period)

Section 69A(2)—

Repeal

"the expiration of the term of imprisonment or detention, or of any other term of imprisonment or detention which the person is undergoing at that expiration"

Substitute

"the person finishes serving the term of imprisonment or detention, or finishes serving any other term of imprisonment or detention which the person is undergoing at the time he or she finishes serving the first-mentioned term".

16. Section 70 amended (Re-testing of drivers)

After section 70(4)—

Add

"(5) This section does not apply if the court or magistrate makes an order that a person be disqualified for life.".

17. Section 72A amended (Power of court or magistrate to order persons to attend driving improvement courses)

(1) Section 72A(1A), after "39C"—

Add

", 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O(1), 39S".

(2) Section 72A—

Repeal subsections (3), (3A) and (3B)

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

Substitute

- "(3) A person who is ordered to attend and complete a driving improvement course under subsection (1) or (1A) must, at the person's own cost, attend and complete that course in accordance with subsection (3A), (3B) or (3C) as may be applicable to the person.
- (3A) The person must attend and complete the driving improvement course within 3 months beginning on the date the order is made to attend and complete it if the person—
 - (a) has not been ordered to serve a term of imprisonment or detention and is not the subject of a disqualification order; or
 - (b) has not been ordered to serve a term of imprisonment or detention, but is the subject of a disqualification order with a disqualification period of less than 3 months.
- (3B) The person must attend and complete the driving improvement course within the last 3 months of the disqualification period if the person—
 - (a) has not been ordered to serve a term of imprisonment or detention, but is the subject of a disqualification order with a disqualification period of 3 months or more; or
 - (b) has been ordered to serve a term of imprisonment or detention and is also the subject of a disqualification order with a disqualification period that ends after a period of 3 months or more beginning on the date the person finishes serving that term.

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- (3C) The person must attend and complete the driving improvement course within 3 months beginning on the date the person finishes serving the term of imprisonment or detention if the person—
 - (a) has been ordered to serve a term of imprisonment or detention, but is not the subject of a disqualification order; or
 - (b) has been ordered to serve a term of imprisonment or detention and is also the subject of a disqualification order with a disqualification period that ends—
 - (i) before the person finishes serving that term; or
 - (ii) within a period of less than 3 months beginning on the date the person finishes serving that term.".
- (3) Section 72A(4)—

Repeal

"comply with subsection (3) or (3A)"

Substitute

"attend and complete a driving improvement course within the compliance period".

(4) Section 72A(4)—

Repeal

"period of 3 months referred to in subsection (3), or the period specified in subsection (3B) for the purposes of subsection (3A),"

Substitute

"compliance period".

(5) Section 72A(9)—

Repeal

Part 2 Section 17

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

"or (3A)".

(6) Section 72A(9E), after "period"—

Add

", or that period as extended under subsection (4), or, in relation to an order made under subsection (9A), the period specified in the order".

(7) Section 72A(11)—

Repeal the definition of compliance period

Substitute

- "compliance period (遵從限期) means, in relation to a person who has been ordered under subsection (1) or (1A) to attend and complete a driving improvement course, the period specified in subsection (3A), (3B) or (3C) for attending and completing that course, as may be applicable to the person;".
- (8) Section 72A(11), English text, definition of *Registrar*—

Repeal the full stop

Substitute a semicolon.

(9) Section 72A(11)—

Add in alphabetical order

- "disqualification order (停牌令) means an order made under section 36(2), 36A(2), 37(2), 39(2), 39A(2), 39B(7), 39C(16), 39J(2), 39K(2), 39L(2), 39O(4), 39S(3), 41(3), 55(2) or 69(1)(a) that a person be disqualified;
- disqualification period (停牌期) means, in relation to a disqualification order in which the court or magistrate has specified the period for which the convicted person is to be disqualified with reference to a fixed period and the completion of a driving improvement course, the fixed period;

Part 2 Section 18

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

- term of imprisonment or detention (監禁或拘留刑期) means a period of deprivation of liberty a person is ordered to undergo or is undergoing—
 - (a) on conviction for an offence for which a disqualification order is made; or
 - (b) on conviction for any other offence.".
- (10) After section 72A(13)—

Add

- "(14) The requirement in subsection (1A) to order a person to attend and complete a driving improvement course does not apply if the court or magistrate makes an order that the person be disqualified for life.".
- 18. Section 117 amended (Application of Ordinance to private roads)

Section 117, after "39E"—

Add

", 39J, 39K, 39L, 39M, 39N, 39O, 39P, 39Q, 39R, 39S, 39U".

19. Section 120 amended (Defence)

Section 120(1), after "39C"—

Add

", 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O, 39S".

20. Schedule 1A substituted

Schedule 1A—

Repeal the Schedule

Substitute

Part 2 Section 20

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

"Schedule 1A

[ss. 2 & 39I]

Specified Illicit Drugs

- 1. Heroin or any metabolite derived from heroin
- 2. Ketamine
- 3. Methamphetamine (methylamphetamine)
- 4. Cannabis or any active ingredient of cannabis
- 5. Cocaine or any metabolite derived from cocaine
- 6. 3, 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)".

Part 3 Section 21

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

Part 3

Amendments to Road Traffic (Driving Licences) Regulations (Cap. 374 sub. leg. B)

21. Regulation 8 amended (Previous experience and driving record)

Regulation 8(3)—

Repeal

"section 36 or 39"

Substitute

"section 36, 36A, 39, 39A, 39B, 39C, 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S".

22. Regulation 22 amended (Issue of driving instructors' licences)

Regulation 22(2)(c)—

Repeal

"section 36 or 39"

Substitute

"section 36, 36A, 39, 39A, 39B, 39C, 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S".

23. Regulation 22A amended (Application for driving instructors' licences issued under regulation 22(4) and conditions of issue)

Regulation 22A(3)—

Repeal

"section 36 or 39"

Substitute

"section 36, 36A, 39, 39A, 39B, 39C, 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S".

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

24. Regulation 23 (Renewal of driving instructors' licences)

Regulation 23(3)(b)—

Repeal

"section 36 or 39"

Substitute

"section 36, 36A, 39, 39A, 39B, 39C, 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S".

25. Regulation 23A amended (Renewal of certain driving instructors' licences issued under regulation 22(4))

Regulation 23A(4)—

Repeal

"section 36 or 39"

Substitute

"section 36, 36A, 39, 39A, 39B, 39C, 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S".

26. Regulation 28 amended (Cancellation of driving instructors' licences)

Regulation 28(1)(b)—

Repeal

"section 36 or 39"

Substitute

"section 36, 36A, 39, 39A, 39B, 39C, 39J, 39K, 39L, 39O(1) or 39S".

Ord. No. 24 of 2011

Part 4

Amendments to Road Traffic (Driving-offence Points) Ordinance (Cap. 375)

27. Schedule amended

(1) The Schedule, item 4—

Repeal

"or drugs".

(2) The Schedule, item 4C, column 3, after "test"—

Add

", or failing to give consent to laboratory test of a specimen of blood,".

(3) The Schedule, after item 4C—

Add

"4D Section 39J(1) Driving, attempting to drive 10 or being in charge of a motor vehicle under the influence of a specified illicit drug

4E Section 39K(1) Driving, attempting to drive 10 or being in charge of a motor vehicle while any concentration of a specified illicit drug is present in the blood or urine

Part 4 Section 27	Ord. No. 24 of 20			f 2011
	4F	Section 39L(1)	Driving, attempting to drive or being in charge of a motor vehicle under the influence of a drug other than a specified illicit drug	10
	4G	Section 39O(1)	Failing to undergo an Impairment Test or provide a specimen of oral fluid without reasonable excuse	10
	4H	Section 39S(1)	Failing to provide a specimen of blood or urine for laboratory test, or failing to give consent to the analysis of a specimen of blood, without reasonable excuse	10".