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| :---: | :---: |
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| ISD | Information Services Department |
| JCC | Joint Co-ordination Centre |
| JR | judicial review |
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| LEAs | law enforcement agencies |
| LegCo | Legislative Council |
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| NPC | National People's Congress |
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| OPCPD | Office of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data |
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| PR | provisional register |
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| proposed CE Election Guidelines | proposed Guidelines on Election-related Activities in respect of the CE Election |
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## Section 1 - The Office of the Fourth-term Chief Executive

1.1 The term of office of the third-term Chief Executive ("CE") is due to expire on 30 June 2012. In accordance with the Basic Law and the Chief Executive Election Ordinance (Cap 569) ("CEEO"), an election was required to be held to return a candidate for appointment to fill the vacancy in the office of the CE for a five-year term commencing on 1 July 2012. The poll was held on Sunday, 25 March 2012, and this date was fixed in accordance with section 10(1) of the CEEO. Pursuant to section 12 of the CEEO, the Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs ("SCMA") published the polling date by a notice in the Gazette on 7 October 2011.
1.2 Annex I to the Basic Law provides that the CE shall be elected by the Election Committee ("EC"). As such, prior to the CE Election, EC subsector ("ECSS") Elections were required to be held to constitute an EC for electing the fourth-term CE. The ECSS Elections were held on 11 December 2011. The new term of the EC commenced on 1 February 2012.

## Section 2 - The Scope of the Report

1.3 The Electoral Affairs Commission ("EAC") is required under section 8(1), (5) and (6) of the Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance (Cap 541) ("EACO") to submit a report on both the 2012 CE Election and the 2011 ECSS Elections to the CE within three months of the conclusion of the CE Election.
1.4 This report describes how the EAC conducted and supervised the various stages of the two elections. It sets out the relationship between the two elections and the legislative framework and electoral guidelines governing the elections. It also covers the detailed electoral arrangements for the two elections, gives an account of the complaint cases received in respect of the elections and puts forth the recommendations by the EAC for future improvements.

## CHAPTER 2

## THE ELECTION COMMITTEE

## Section 1 - The Election Committee and its Sectors and Subsectors

2.1 The EC is constituted under the CEEO for the purpose of electing the CE. The EC constituted on 1 February 2007 under the CEEO expired on 31 January 2012. According to section 9 of the CEEO, the term of office of the EC shall be five years and shall commence on 1 February in the year during which the term of office of the CE is to expire.
2.2 The EC comprises four sectors which are sub-divided into 38 subsectors. Out of these 38 subsectors,
(a) EC members of 35 subsectors are elected by voters in the subsectors at the subsector ordinary elections;
(b) the Hong Kong deputies to the National People’s Congress ("NPC") and Members of the Legislative Council ("LegCo") are ex-officio members of the EC and constitute respectively the NPC subsector and the LegCo subsector; and
(c) the Religious subsector: Members are returned to the EC by way of nomination by the six designated bodies of the subsector.

## Section 2 - Registration of Voters for the Election Committee Subsectors

2.3 The CEEO provides for the annual publication of a provisional register ("PR") and a final register ("FR") of voters for subsectors. Detailed procedures for registration of voters for the subsectors are set out in the Electoral Affairs Commission (Registration) (Electors for Legislative Council Functional Constituencies) (Voters for Election Committee Subsectors) (Members of Election Committee) Regulation ("EAC (R) (FCSEC) Reg"). The Electoral Registration Officer ("ERO") is required to publish, not later than 15 June in a non-District Council ("DC") election year (or 15 August in a DC election year), the PR of voters for subsectors together with an omissions list containing the names of those persons who were formerly registered as subsector voters, but are taken out from the PR and proposed to be omitted from the next FR, based on the information received by the ERO who is satisfied on reasonable grounds that the persons concerned are no longer eligible to be registered or are disqualified from being registered as voters. The ERO is also required to publish the subsector FR not later than 25 July in that year (or 25 September in a DC election year).
2.4 For 2011, which was a DC election year, the subsector PR and the omissions list were published on 15 August 2011 for public inspection until 29 August 2011. By the deadline on 29 August 2011, there was no claim or objection against the entries in the subsector PR and omissions list lodged with the ERO. The ERO also took the opportunity to seek and obtain the approval of the Revising Officers to add to the subsector FR 185 entries of voters whose eligibility for registration was reinstated or subsequently confirmed and to remove 52 entries of voters who had requested de-registration or whose notifications for registration were undelivered. The subsector FR was then published by the ERO on 15 September 2011.

The 2011 DC Election and the 2011 ECSS Elections were held on 6 November 2011 on 11 December 2011 respectively. Since the 2011 ECSS Elections were held only about one month after the 2011 DC Election, arrangements were made in accordance with the CEEO to enable the newly elected DC members to be automatically registered in the two DC subsectors before the ECSS Elections in December 2011. Where the names of any newly elected DC members had already been registered as voters in other subsectors, arrangements were also made to remove these names from the FR of such subsectors at the same time when the persons concerned were automatically registered in the DC subsectors. To reflect the aforesaid registration, the ERO updated the subsector FR mentioned in paragraph 2.4 above and published an updated subsector FR on 18 November 2011.
2.6 Persons whose names appeared on the subsector FR were eligible to make nomination at the ECSS Elections and to vote at the poll on 11 December 2011, unless they had been disqualified from doing so under the law. The subsector FR will continue to have effect until the publication of the next subsector FR in July 2012. A breakdown of the number of voters for the EC subsectors is at Appendix II.

## Section 3 - Publication of the Interim Register and the Final Register of Members of the Election Committee

2.7 According to section 40 of the Schedule to the CEEO, the ERO is required to compile an interim register ("IR") of members of the new term EC and publish the IR within seven days after publication of the results of the ECSS ordinary elections. The ERO is also required to compile an FR of members of the new term EC on the basis of the IR of EC members, taking into account any

### 2.8 The results of the ECSS Elections held on 11 December 2011 were

 published in the Gazette on 15 December 2011. The ERO published the IR for the EC members for public inspection on 21 December 2011. The FR of the new term EC members based on the IR of EC members was published on 1 February 2012. Persons whose names appeared on the FR of EC members were eligible to make nomination at the CE Election and to vote at the poll scheduled for 25 March 2012, unless they had been disqualified from doing so under sections $16(5)^{1}$ and $26^{2}$ of the CEEO. A breakdown of the number of EC members on the FR is at Appendix III. The FR of EC members will cease to have effect upon the publication of the next FR of EC members.[^0]${ }^{2}$ According to section 26 of the CEEO, an EC member whose name appears on the final register shall be disqualified from voting at the poll if he or she-
(a) resigns from the EC;
(b) has ceased to have a substantial connection with the subsector concerned;
(c) has ceased to be registered or eligible to be registered as an elector for a geographical constituency;
(d) is found for the time being under the Mental Health Ordinance (Cap 136) to be incapable, by reason of mental incapacity, of managing and administering his property and affairs; or
(e) is a member of the armed forces of the People's Republic of China or any other country or territory.

## Section 4 - Voters Disqualified from Voting at the 2011 ECSS Elections

2.9 According to section 30 of the Schedule to the CEEO, a registered voter for a subsector is disqualified from voting at the relevant subsector election if he/she has ceased to be eligible to be registered as a voter for that subsector. After the publication of the subsector FR and before the polling day of the ECSS Elections, the ERO would issue a letter to the registered voters who are found to have ceased to be eligible for registration to remind them not to vote at the relevant elections and of the legal consequence if they vote at the elections.
2.10 In the run-up to the polling day of the 2011 ECSS Elections, there were public concerns about suspected ineligible voter cases and that the registered voters of some subsectors, including the Information Technology subsector and the Education subsector, might have ceased to be eligible to be registered as voters and would be disqualified from voting at the ECSS Elections. To allay the aforesaid public concerns, the ERO issued letters to all umbrella organisations shortly before the poll setting out the legislative provisions relating to disqualification from voting, and the offence where a person voted at an election knowing that he was not entitled to vote at that election. The letter also appealed to the umbrella organisations to remind their members not to vote at the ECSS Elections if they had lost their eligibility for voting and sought their assistance in providing the ERO with a list of such persons. Both the Chairman of the EAC and SCMA disseminated and stressed the same message through the media on different occasions.
2.11 During the period between the date of publication of the subsector FR and the polling day of the ECSS Elections, a total of 322 voters in ten subsectors, including the Information Technology subsector and the Education
subsector, were identified as having been disqualified from voting. The ERO issued letters to such persons in November and early December 2011 to remind them not to vote at the ECSS Elections and the legal consequence if they voted at the elections. In response to the Registration and Electoral Office ("REO")'s letter, four voters provided documentary proof of their continued eligibility to the REO and their eligibility for voting was subsequently verified before the poll. The poll registers were also marked with identifiers of these disqualified voters so that should the voters turn up at the polling stations to cast votes, the Presiding Officers ("PROs") concerned could suitably advise and warn them of the legal consequence if they voted in the elections. No disqualified voter was found to have voted at the 2011 ECSS Elections.

## CHAPTER 3

## THE LEGISLATION AND THE GUIDELINES

## Section 1 - The Electoral Legislation

## Ordinances and Subsidiary Legislation

3.1 The supervision and conduct of the 2011 ECSS Elections and 2012 CE Election were governed by the following ordinances:
(a) the EACO which empowers the EAC to perform its various functions in supervising the conduct of the elections;
(b) the CEEO which provides the legal basis for conducting the elections; and
(c) the Elections (Corrupt and Illegal Conduct) Ordinance (Cap 554) ("ECICO") which prohibits election-related corrupt and illegal activities and is enforced by the Independent Commission Against Corruption ("ICAC").
3.2 These ordinances were complemented by the following subsidiary legislation which provide for the detailed procedures for the conduct of the elections:
(a) the Electoral Affairs Commission (Electoral Procedure) (Election Committee) Regulation (Cap 541I) ("EAC (EP) (EC) Reg");
(b) the Electoral Procedure (Chief Executive Election) Regulation (Cap 541J) ("EP (CEE) Reg");
(c) the Electoral Affairs Commission (Nominations Advisory Committees (Election Committee)) Regulation (Cap 541H) ("EAC (NAC) (EC) Reg");
(d) the Electoral Affairs Commission (Registration) (Electors for Legislative Council Functional Constituencies) (Voters for Election Committee Subsectors) (Members of Election Committee) Regulation (Cap 541B) ("EAC (R) (FCSEC) Reg");
(e) the Election Committee (Registration) (Voters for Subsectors) (Members of Election Committee) (Appeals) Regulation (Cap 569B);
(f) the Election Committee (Subscribers and Election Deposit for Nomination) Regulation (Cap 569C);
(g) the Election Committee (Appeals) Regulation (Cap 569A);
(h) the Distribution of Number of Members Among Designated Bodies (Election Committee) Order 2001 (Cap 569D);
(i) the Maximum Scale of Election Expenses (Election Committee) Order (Cap 554I);
(j) the Chief Executive Election (Election Petition) Rules (Cap 569E); and
(k) the Maximum Amount of Election Expenses (Chief Executive Election) Regulation (Cap 554A).

## Voting by Imprisoned Persons Ordinance

3.3 The Administration introduced the Voting by Imprisoned Persons Bill ("VIPB") into the LegCo in May 2009 to remove the disqualification of imprisoned persons and persons convicted of specified election-related or bribery offences from being registered as electors and from voting in the LegCo, DC, CE, ECSS and Village Representative ("VR") Elections. The VIPB was passed by the LegCo on 24 June 2009.
3.4 The EAC subsequently made eight amendment regulations to provide for the detailed practical arrangements for imprisoned persons to register as electors and for registered electors imprisoned, remanded or detained to vote. These arrangements included the setting up of Dedicated Polling Stations ("DPSs") for registered electors imprisoned, remanded or detained to vote.

## The Chief Executive Election (Amendment) Bill 2010

3.5

On 24 and 25 June 2010, the LegCo passed by a two-thirds majority the motions put forth by the Government concerning the draft amendments to the method for the selection of the CE and the method for the formation of the LegCo in 2012. On 29 June 2010, the CE gave consent to the draft amendments. On 28 August 2010, the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress approved and recorded respectively the amendments to Annexes I and II of the Basic Law concerning the methods of the two elections. The Administration introduced the Chief Executive

Election (Amendment) Bill 2010 to the LegCo on 15 December 2010. The Bill sought to amend the CEEO and its subsidiary legislation to implement the amendments to the method for the selection of the CE and some other consequential amendments.
3.6 The main provisions of the Bill are set out below:
(a) the EC will be composed of 1,200 members and the number of members for each of the four sectors of the EC will be increased from 200 to 300 . The number of seats allocated to the existing 32 subsectors in the first three sectors will be increased generally by proportion according to the existing distribution of seats. In addition, the eligibility criteria in the Chinese Medicine subsector were revised and two constituents of the Higher Education subsector have changed their names;
(b) candidates for the office of CE shall be nominated by not less than 150 members of the EC;
(c) only elected DC members for the new term of DCs starting from 1 January 2012 are eligible for registration as voters in the two DC subsectors;
(d) the elected DC members may only be registered as voters in the Hong Kong and Kowloon DCs and the New Territories DCs subsectors as the case may be but not other subsectors and the ERO will be empowered to amend the FRs of voters and arrange for the automatic registration of the newly elected DC members after the DC Election in November 2011;
(e) only elected DC members may be nominated as candidates in the DC subsectors election;
(f) election of a person as a DC member does not affect his/her nomination made earlier in a non-DC subsector;
(g) in any case, a newly elected DC member may only be nominated in one subsector for election;
(h) 10 Special Member seats will be created temporarily to make up the shortfall of 10 seats until the number of LegCo seats increases from 60 to 70 in October 2012. The Special Member seats will be taken up by the remaining candidates who obtain the highest number of votes in the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference, Heung Yee Kuk, Hong Kong and Kowloon DCs and the New Territories DCs subsectors after the successful candidates of the respective subsectors are returned. The term of office of the Special Members will expire upon the commencement of the term of office of the fifth-term LegCo in October 2012; and
(i) the number of seats to be allocated to each of the six designated bodies of the Religious subsector will be increased to ten.
3.7 Committee Stage Amendments to the Bill were made in February 2011. The major amendments set out that:
(a) a candidate shall only be elected as the CE if he/she obtains more than 600 valid votes under an election with one candidate only and a
contested election;
(b) after the required voting process, if no candidates obtain more than 600 valid votes, the election will be terminated and, a new round of nomination and election will commence; and
(c) overseas government organisations are not eligible to be registered as corporate voters in any EC subsector.
3.8 The Bill was passed by LegCo on 3 March 2011. The provisions of the Bill relating to the DC subsectors election came into operation on 25 September 2011 while those relating to the increase in the number of seats for LegCo subsector from 60 to 70 would come into operation on the commencement of the term of office of the fifth-term LegCo. As to the remaining provisions, the commencement date was 1 February 2012 (when the new EC was formed) but, for those required for enabling arrangements to be made for the holding of subsectors elections (other than the DC subsectors elections) to elect member of the EC in 2011, they came into operation earlier for only that purpose on 11 March 2011 (the date when the Amendment Ordinance was gazetted).

## Electoral Legislation (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill 2011

3.9 The Administration introduced the Electoral Legislation (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill 2011 to the LegCo on 4 May 2011. The Bill proposed to amend various pieces of legislation to introduce changes to the electoral and related arrangements for the LegCo, DC, ECSS, CE and VR Elections. Major changes relating to the ECSS and CE Elections include:
(a) providing that a promotional letter sent by a candidate of the ECSS Elections free of postage to a voter of an EC subsector for which the candidate is nominated might contain information on any other candidate nominated for the same subsector; and
(b) increasing the election expenses limit that could be incurred by a candidate in the CE Election from $\$ 9.5$ million to $\$ 13$ million.
3.10 The Electoral Legislation (Miscellaneous Amendments) Bill 2011 was passed by the LegCo on 6 July 2011. The amendments referred to in paragraphs 3.9 (a) and (b) above came into operation on 25 September 2011.

## Amendment Regulations Made by the EAC

3.11 The EAC is committed to improving the electoral procedures and arrangements and shall propose revisions to the subsidiary legislation under the EACO (Cap. 541) in this regard when necessary. On 9 May 2011, the EAC made nine amendment regulations with proposed legislative amendments to nine regulations under the EACO.
3.12 The amendments made to three regulations, namely EAC (R) (FCSEC) Reg, EAC (EP) (EC) Reg and EP (CEE) Reg are related to the ECSS and CE Elections and, in terms of their nature, fall into the following three categories:
(a) amendments consequential to the Chief Executive Election (Amendment) Ordinance 2011 enacted in March 2011;
(b) amendments to refine and align, where appropriate, the electoral
procedures of the ECSS and CE Elections with those of other public elections; and
(c) amendments to refine the voting arrangements for electors in custody.
3.13 The main amendments to the three EAC Regulations as mentioned in paragraph 3.12 above include:
(a) providing for recording the particulars of Special Members in the registers of the EC and removing such particulars when their term of office expires;
(b) providing for compilation and publication of the amended FRs of ECSS voters which would reflect amendments made consequential to the automatic registration of the newly elected DC members after the DC Election in November 2011;
(c) requiring that when determining the validity of a nomination of the ECSS Elections, reference be made to the newly added provisions in the CEEO that:
(i) if a person is newly elected as a DC member, it would not affect the nomination that he/she had made earlier in a non-DC subsector; and
(ii) a newly elected DC member may only be nominated in one subsector for election;
(d) consequential amendments to tie in with the updated voting
arrangements for the CE Election as stipulated in the amended CEEO;
(e) allowing the Returning Officer ("RO") in the ECSS Elections to display, through the Presiding Officer ("PRO"), a revised notice of the no canvassing zone ("NCZ") and/or no staying zone ("NSZ") at or near the polling station if the zones have been varied after the display of the notice of the zones on the polling day; and
(f) miscellaneous amendments to refine the voting arrangements for electors in custody.
3.14 The amendment regulations were published in the Gazette on 13 May 2011 and tabled in LegCo on 18 May 2011. The amendments grouped under category (a) in paragraph 3.12 above came into operation on 1 February 2012 except for the parts relating to the nominations of the ECSS Elections (as set out in paragraph 3.13(c) above) which came into operation on 25 September 2011. As to the other amendments grouped under categories (b) and (c) in paragraph 3.12, they came into operation on 8 July 2011.

## Section 2 - The Electoral Guidelines

3.15 The EAC is empowered under section 6(1)(a) of the EACO to issue guidelines to facilitate the conduct or supervision of an election. The purpose of producing the guidelines is to ensure that all public elections are conducted in an open, honest and fair manner. The guidelines provide a code of conduct based on the principle of fairness and equality for conducting election-related activities. They also give guidance in layman's language on compliance with the relevant electoral legislation.
3.16 The EAC has at all times made its best endeavours in refining the electoral arrangements for elections. Before each ordinary election, the EAC will revise the electoral guidelines. The revision is done on the basis of the guidelines used for previous elections, taking into account the operational experience of each election, as well as suggestions and complaints received from the public and other parties concerned. Before the promulgation of each set of guidelines, public consultation will be conducted and representations are invited from the public and all parties concerned on the proposed guidelines. A public forum will also be held at which the EAC will receive oral representations from the public. The guidelines will then be revised taking into account the views received during the public consultation period before they are finalised for issue to the public.

## The Guidelines for the ECSS Elections

3.17 For the 2011 ECSS Elections, the EAC published the proposed Guidelines on Election-related Activities in respect of the ECSS Elections ("proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines") for public consultation from 23 June to 22 July 2011. The proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines were prepared on basis of the ECSS Elections Guidelines issued in January 2010 with suitable alternations mainly to reflect the legislative amendments made or proposed to be made in respect of the ECSS Elections mentioned in section 1 of this chapter and the amendments proposed to be made in light of operational experience gained as well as suggestions and complaints received from the public and other parties concerned in the recent elections.
3.18 The major changes proposed in the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines, as compared with the ECSS Elections Guidelines issued in January

2010, include the following:
(I) Changes caused by proposed amendments to electoral legislation

Subject to the enactment of the relevant legislative amendments,
(a) updating the number of members to be returned to each sector and subsector of the EC;
(b) adding that (i) the newly elected DC members in the DC election in November 2011 will be automatically registered in the two DC subsectors; (ii) if a newly elected DC member has already been registered as a voter in another subsector, his name would be removed from the FR of such subsector at the same time when he is automatically registered in the DC subsectors; and (iii) the ERO would arrange the publication of the revised FRs for the Hong Kong and Kowloon DCs subsector and the New Territories DCs subsector;
(c) specifying the arrangements for the newly elected DC members in respect of nomination and candidature;
(d) adding that the use of sound amplifying device within the no canvassing zone for the performance of duties by officers of the Correctional Services Department ("CSD") at the DPSs in prison is allowed;
(e) setting out the arrangements for candidates to post joint promotional letters to electors free of postage; and
(f) setting out the arrangements for candidates to submit to the RO by electronic means the declaration and copy of election advertisement ("EA") to be displayed, distributed or otherwise used by electronic means.
(II) Changes made in the light of operational experience and suggestions/complaints received from recent elections
(g) adding that the Introduction to Candidates, if considered to contain information not relating to the direct promotion of the candidature of the candidate concerned, will be subject to alternation or edition;
(h) reminding candidates that they should carefully study the requirements governing free postage for EAs and that they should submit their EA specimens to the respective manager of the post office for approval as early as possible before mass production so as to allow sufficient time for revising the contents of their EA specimens, if necessary;
(i) reminding candidates and their election agents to read carefully the guidance notes on personal data privacy in respect of electioneering activities (prepared by the Office of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data ("OPCPD") at Appendix K to the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines) before they undertake such activities;
(j) reminding candidates to observe the relevant data protection principle as set out in the guidance notes issued by the OPCPD at Appendix K to the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines before using an image of an identified person for a purpose other than the original purpose of
collection;
(k) drawing broadcasters' attention to the observations made by the Court in an election petition as set out in Appendix N to the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines, and advising them, where appropriate, to follow the arrangement therein to give a clear message to audience on the total number and the names of all candidates in the same constituency and to give equal treatment to all candidates concerned when producing multi-episode programmes which are election-related;
(1) reminding candidates that if the EAC receives a complaint about a candidate taking unfair extra publicity and it is subsequently found that the candidate has failed to make his best endeavours in accordance with the guidelines to avoid taking the aforesaid extra publicity, the EAC may make a reprimand or censure in a public statement against him;
(m) setting out the Transport Department ("TD")'s updated requirements for the display of EAs on public light buses and taxis;
(n) reminding candidates that they should give the unspent or unused election donations to charitable institutions or trusts before lodging the return and declaration of election expenses and donation; and
(o) reminding candidates and other persons that any person, who wishes to publish a statement about a candidate or candidates, should make every effort to ensure its accuracy before its publication.
3.19 The guidelines relating to election broadcasting, media reporting and election forums in Chapter 11 of the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines were
the same as those set out in the corresponding Chapter 10 of the proposed DC Election Guidelines. It was originally proposed in the proposed DC Election Guidelines and ECSS Elections Guidelines to extend the existing guidelines on electioneering on licensed TV/radio to TV and radio broadcast on the Internet. However, having regard to the views received during the public consultation in respect of the proposed DC Election Guidelines and the public concern widely expressed on the matter, the EAC eventually decided not to take forward the aforesaid proposal. The decision had taken into consideration that Internet was an open platform and that everyone could broadcast programmes on the Internet and no one could monopolise its use. The aforesaid decision was announced at the press conference for the launch of the public consultation on the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines held on 23 June 2011.
3.20 The EAC consulted the public on the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines for a period of 30 days from 23 June to 22 July 2011 in accordance with section $6(2)$ of the EACO. As in the past, the changes set out in paragraph 3.18 above were highlighted in a Message from the Chairman enclosed in the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines. During the consultation period, members of the public were invited to give their views on the proposed guidelines and to lodge their written representations with the EAC. A public forum was held on 15 July 2011 in the Community Hall of the Causeway Bay Community Centre to receive oral representations. In the course of consultation, the LegCo Panel on Constitutional Affairs was also briefed and consulted on the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines and the views of the LegCo Members were taken into account in finalising the Guidelines. At the close of the public consultation on the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines, a total of four written representations had been received. No oral representation had been received.

## Section 3 - Changes after Public Consultation

3.21 Having carefully considered all the representations received from the public and the views of the LegCo Members and in order to reflect the enactment of the latest legislative amendments, the EAC made a number of changes to the proposed ECSS Elections Guidelines. The major amendments include:
(a) re-focusing on the "fair and equal treatment" principle as the foremost principle in Chapter 11 on election broadcasting, media reporting and election forums;
(b) adding the newly introduced statutory provisions simplifing relief arrangements for rectifying minor errors and/or false statements in the return and declaration of election expenses and donations;
(c) allowing the submission of printed copies of electronic EAs to ROs, as an alternative to electronic means; and
(d) aligning with, where appropriate, the amendments already made to the corresponding chapters of the finalised DC Election Guidelines published on 6 September 2011 for the sake of consistency and clarity.
3.22 The EAC published the finalised ECSS Elections Guidelines on 17 October 2011. The Guidelines were made available for distribution at a number of venues, including District Offices and the REO and could be downloaded from the EAC website. Each candidate of the ECSS Elections was provided with a copy of the ECSS Elections Guidelines when he or she submitted the nomination form.

## The Guidelines for CE Election

3.23 For the 2012 CE Election, the EAC published the proposed Guidelines on Election-related Activities in respect of the CE Election ("proposed CE Election Guidelines") for public consultation from 11 to 24 October 2011. The proposed CE Election Guidelines were drawn up on the basis of the CE Election Guidelines issued in January 2010 with suitable alterations mainly to reflect the legislative amendments made in respect of the CE Election mentioned in section 1 of this chapter and the amendments proposed to be made in light of operational experience gained as well as suggestions and complaints received from the public and other parties concerned in recent elections.
3.24 The major changes proposed in the proposed CE Election Guidelines, as compared with the CE Election Guidelines issued in January 2010, include the following:
(I) Changes caused by amendments to electoral legislation
(a) updating the minimum number of qualified subscribers required for a valid nomination from 100 to 150 ;
(b) updating the number of members to be returned to each sector and subsector of the EC;
(c) setting out the updated voting system for the CE Election;
(d) setting out the revised election expense limit of $\$ 13,000,000$;
(e) setting out the arrangements for candidates to submit to the RO by electronic means the declaration and copy of an EA to be displayed, distributed or otherwise used by electronic means;
(f) setting out the statutory relief mechanism for minor errors and false statements in the return and declaration of election expenses and donations; and
(g) adding that the use of sound amplifying device within the no canvassing zone for the performance of duties by officers of the CSD at the DPSs in prison is allowed.

## (II) Changes made in the light of operational experience and suggestions/

 complaints received from recent elections(h) reminding candidates that they should carefully study the requirements governing free postage for EAs and that they should submit their EA specimens to the respective manager of the post office for approval as early as possible before mass production so as to allow sufficient time for revising the contents of their EA specimens, if necessary;
(i) reminding candidates and their election agents to read carefully the guidance notes on personal data privacy in respect of electioneering activities (prepared by OPCPD at Appendix H to the proposed CE Election Guidelines) before they undertake such activities;
(j) reminding candidates to observe the relevant data protection principle as set out in the guidance notes issued by the OPCPD at Appendix H to the proposed CE Election Guidelines before using an image of an
identified person for a purpose other than the original purpose of collection;
(k) aligning the guidelines for election broadcasting, media reporting and election forums with those adopted in the DC Election Guidelines published in September 2011;
(1) setting out the TD's updated requirements for the display of EAs on public light buses and taxis;
(m) reminding candidates that they should give unspent or unused election donations to charitable institutions or trusts before lodging the return and declaration of election expenses and donation; and
(n) reminding candidates and other persons that any person, who wishes to publish a statement about a candidate or candidates, should make every effort to ensure its accuracy before its publication.
3.25 The EAC consulted the public on the proposed CE Election Guidelines for a period of 14 days from 11 to 24 October 2011 in accordance with section $6(2)$ of the EACO. As in the past, the changes set out in paragraph 3.24 above were highlighted in a Message from the Chairman enclosed in the proposed CE Election Guidelines. During the consultation period, members of the public were invited to give their views on the proposed CE Election Guidelines and to lodge their written representations with the EAC. A public forum was held on 20 October 2011 in the Leighton Hill Community Hall to receive oral representations.

In the course of the public consultation, the LegCo Panel on Constitutional Affairs was briefed and consulted on the proposed CE Election Guidelines and the views of the LegCo Members were taken into account in finalising the Guidelines. At the close of the public consultation on the proposed CE Election Guidelines, a total of two written representations and two oral representations had been received. Having carefully considered all the representations received from the public and the views of the LegCo Members, the EAC considered that it was not necessary to make major amendments to the proposed guidelines. Only minor amendments to the proposed guidelines were made for the sake of clarity.
3.27 The EAC published the finalised CE Election Guidelines on 25 November 2011. The guidelines were made available for distribution at a number of venues, including District Offices and the REO and could be downloaded from the EAC website. Each candidate of the CE Election was provided with a copy of the CE Election Guidelines when he or she submitted the nomination form.
3.28 As in previous CE elections, enquiry service was available for candidates on the interpretation and operation of the CE Election Guidelines. Candidates (including those who had publicly declared their intention to stand for the CE Election, whether or not they had submitted nomination forms) and their election agents were eligible to use the enquiry service. The service, however, did not cover enquiries on the parts of the guidelines relating to the ECICO, which were to be handled by the ICAC since it was the enforcement authority for the legislation. The provision of the enquiry service was laid down in the first chapter of the CE Election Guidelines.
3.29 The enquiry service was available from 2 January 2012 until the end of ordinary business hours before the polling day. For the 2012 CE Election, no candidate (or election agent) used the enquiry service.

## CHAPTER 4

## THE VOTING SYSTEMS

## Section 1 - The Election Committee Subsector Elections

## Subsectors with EC members returned by elections

4.1 EC members of 35 subsectors were elected by voters in the subsectors at the subsector ordinary elections. The voting system was the simple or relative majority system, commonly known as the "first-past-the-post" system. A candidate had to be nominated by not less than five subscribers (i.e. registered voters of the subsector/sub-subsector concerned), other than the candidate himself. There were a total of 24 contested subsectors and a total of 11 uncontested subsectors.
4.2 The number of seats in each of the 24 contested subsectors concerned varied from 17 to 60 . A voter might vote for as many candidates as the number of members as assigned to a subsector/sub-subsector under the CEEO at the subsector ordinary elections, and no more. The candidates elected would be the candidate who obtained the highest number of votes followed by the candidate with the second highest number of votes, and so on, until all vacancies were filled. In the event that there was still one more vacancy to fill and the remaining successful candidates had the same number of votes, the RO would have to arrange the drawing of lots to determine which one of these candidates should be elected to fill the last vacancy. The RO would then publicly declare the candidates as elected at the elections and publish the result of the elections in the Gazette.

For the 11 uncontested subsectors (of which one subsector comprised four sub-subsectors), the RO publicly declared, by a notice published in the Gazette, that the candidates validly nominated for the aforesaid subsectors/sub-subsectors were duly elected. For the Culture sub-subsector, the number of validly nominated candidates was less than the number of members allocated to the Culture sub-subsector. As such, the RO publicly declared that the candidates validly nominated for the sub-subsector were duly elected but the number of EC members returned for that sub-subsector was less than the assigned number of members.

## Religious Subsector

4.4 The 60 EC members from the Religious subsector were returned by nominations from six designated bodies. Each designated body might nominate a number of persons selected by it as members representing the Religious subsector on the EC for a new term of office of the EC. If the number of persons nominated by a designated body exceeded the assigned number for the body, the body should indicate which of the nominees were to be given preference in making up the assigned number and then rank the excess nominees in order of priority. If the RO determined that any of the nominees to be given preference by the bodies was not validly nominated, the assigned number was to be made up from the excess nominees in their order of priority. If the number of persons nominated by a designated body exceeded the assigned number for the body but the body did not indicate which of the nominees were to be given preference, the RO should determine which nominees of that body were to make up the assigned number by drawing lots. The nominees on whom the lot fell were to become members of the EC. The RO should declare the nominees who became the members of the EC as such members in accordance with section 19 of the EAC (EP) (EC) Reg.

## Section 2 - The Chief Executive Election

4.5 For the CE Election, a candidate was required to have not less than 150 subscribers (ie members of the EC) nominating him. In accordance with the CEEO, for a contested election, if a candidate obtained more than 600 valid votes in any round of voting, the candidate was returned at the election.
4.6 Where there were only two validly nominated candidates at the close of nominations or 2 candidates remained after the elimination of all other candidates at the end of all the necessary rounds of voting, a single round of voting will be conducted for them. If a candidate obtained more than 600 valid votes in that single round of voting, the candidate was returned at the election. The RO should as soon as possible publicly declare the candidate as elected in the election, and publish the result of the election in the Gazette. However, if no candidate obtained more than 600 valid votes in that single round of voting, no candidate was returned at the election and the RO must publicly declare that no candidate was returned at the election and the election would be terminated. In such case, there would be a new round of nomination and the electoral process would be repeated until a candidate was returned.
4.7 Where there were three or more validly nominated candidates, a candidate who obtained more than 600 valid votes at the first round of voting of the election would be elected. Otherwise, all other candidates would be eliminated except those who obtained the highest and same number of valid votes; or if there was only one candidate who obtained the highest number of valid votes (but did not exceed 600 votes), such candidate and those who obtained the next highest and the same number of valid votes. The remaining candidates would proceed to the next round of voting. If there were only two remaining candidates, only one single round of voting must be conducted for
these two candidates as mentioned in paragraph 4.6 above and the candidate who obtained more than 600 valid votes was returned at the election. Otherwise, the aforesaid voting and elimination process would repeat until at the end of any subsequent round of voting a candidate obtained more than 600 valid votes.
4.8 In the event that only one CE candidate was validly nominated, the candidate would be elected if the number of support votes he obtained exceeded 600. Otherwise, the RO should publicly declare that no candidate was returned at the election and the election would be terminated. There would be another round of nomination and the electoral process would be repeated until a candidate was returned.

## CHAPTER 5

# BEFORE THE POLLING DAY OF THE ELECTION COMMITTEE SUBSECTOR ELECTIONS 

## Section 1 - Appointment of Returning Officers and Assistant Returning Officers

5.1 For the 2011 ECSS Elections, 25 directorate officers of the policy bureaux and departments relevant to the EC subsectors concerned were appointed by the EAC as ROs for the 36 subsectors (excluding the NPC subsector and the LegCo subsector) concerned. To provide assistance to the ROs, 25 Assistant Returning Officers ("AROs") who were senior officers of the policy bureaux and departments relevant to EC subsectors concerned were appointed. In addition, 28 government counsels (including two reserves) from the Department of Justice ("DoJ") were also appointed to serve as the AROs(Legal) for providing legal advice to the ROs on the polling day and during the count on various matters, particularly in determining the validity of questionable ballot papers. The appointment of the ROs was published in the Gazette on 7 October 2011 and 4 November 2011. The list of ROs, AROs and AROs(Legal) is at Appendix IV.
5.2 Miss WONG Shuk-han, Diane, the RO of the Catering subsector, was also appointed as the Chief RO to supervise the operation of the central counting station.

## Section 2 - Appointment of Nominations Advisory Committees

5.3 Four legal professionals, namely Mr WONG Ching-yue, SC, Mr HO Bing-kwan, Mr Kevin CHAN, and Mr LUI Kit-ling, barristers-at-law, were appointed as Nominations Advisory Committees ("NACs") for the period from 19 August to 28 November 2011 to provide legal advice to the prospective candidates, the designated bodies and prospective nominees of the Religious subsector, and the ROs. Their appointments were published in the Gazette on 19 August 2011.

## Section 3 - Nomination of Candidates

5.4 The nomination period for the non-DC subsectors of the 2011 ECSS Elections started on 8 November 2011 and ended on 15 November 2011. This one-week period was announced in a notice in the Gazette published on 7 October 2011. By the close of nominations, the ROs of the 33 subsectors (excluding the Religious subsector and the two DC subectors) received a total of 1,451 nominations. Out of these 1,451 nominations, two were withdrawn (one each from the Tourism subsector and Chinese Medicine subsector), three were ruled invalid by the ROs concerned (one each from the Accountancy subsector, the Higher Education subsector and the Culture sub-subsector) and the remaining 1,446 were ruled valid by the ROs. Of these 1,446 validly nominated candidates,
(a) 277 were returned uncontested to fill 277 seats in 11 subsectors; and
(b) 1,169 were to contest for 639 seats in the remaining 22 subsectors.

As the number of validly nominated candidates for the Culture sub-subsector
fell short of the assigned number of members by one, one seat was eventually not filled in respect of the sub-subsector. The details were set out in Appendix V.
5.5 For the Religious subsector, the RO received a total of 108 nominations from the six designated bodies. Out of these 108 nominations, five (one from Hong Kong Christian Council, two from Catholic Diocese of Hong Kong and two from the Hong Kong Buddhist Association) were ruled by the RO as invalid. The following table shows the number of valid nominations made by each of the six designated bodies:

| Designated body | Assigned number <br> of members | Number of valid <br> nominees |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Catholic Diocese of Hong Kong | 10 | 44 |
| Chinese Muslim Cultural and <br> Fraternal Association | 10 | 12 |
| Hong Kong Christian Council | 10 | 12 |
| The Hong Kong Taoist <br> Association | 10 | 13 |
| The Confucian Academy | 10 | 11 |
| The Hong Kong Buddhist <br> Association | 10 | 11 |

For the Chinese Muslim Cultural and Fraternal Association, Hong Kong Christian Council, Hong Kong Taoist Association, Confucian Academy and the Hong Kong Buddhist Association, the assigned number of members was made up according to the preference and/ or the order of priority as indicated by these
designated bodies in the nomination forms. As the Catholic Diocese of Hong Kong had not indicated which of the nominees were to be given preference, the RO determined which nominees of the body were to make up the assigned number by drawing lots, in the presence of a representative of the designated body and nominees who were able to attend the occasion. The result of the nominations was published in the Gazette on 22 November 2011 and is reproduced at Appendix VI.
5.6 The lists of all validly nominated candidates for each subsector/ sub-subsector concerned (excluding the two DC subsectors), the list of all validly nominated nominees for the Religious subsector and the result of all uncontested subsectors/sub-subsectors (excluding the two DC subsectors) were published in the Gazette on 22 November 2011.
5.7 The nomination period for the DC subsectors of the 2011 ECSS Elections started on 18 November 2011 and ended on 24 November 2011. This one-week period was announced in a notice in the Gazette published on 7 October 2011. By the close of nominations, the RO of the DC subsectors received a total of 132 nominations. Out of these 132 nominations, one was ruled invalid by the RO concerned and the remaining 131 were confirmed valid by the RO.
5.8 The lists of all validly nominated candidates for DC subsectors were published in the Gazette on 30 November 2011.

## Section 4 - Briefings for Returning Officers and Candidates

5.9 To enable all parties concerned to be familiarised with the rules and operation of the elections, a series of briefings were held.
5.10 A briefing session for the ROs and AROs, hosted by the EAC Chairman, was held on 21 October 2011 at the Leighton Hill Community Hall. Also present at the briefing session were the Chief Electoral Officer ("CEO") of the REO and the representatives of the DoJ and the ICAC.
5.11 The EAC Chairman hosted briefing sessions for the candidates and their agents at the Kowloonbay International Trade and Exhibition Centre ("KITEC") for the non-DC subsectors on 18 and 19 November 2011 and, for the DC subsectors, on 28 November 2011. In these sessions, the EAC Chairman briefed the candidates and their agents on the electoral arrangements of the elections and the major provisions of the electoral legislation and guidelines. He was accompanied by the CEO and the representatives from the DoJ, ICAC and Hongkong Post. These departmental representatives briefed the attendees and answered questions on matters relating to the elections which fell within their respective purview.
5.12 After the briefing sessions, the ROs met the candidates and their agents at the same venue and determined, by means of drawing lots, the order of the names of candidates on the ballot papers for different contested subsectors and the designated spots to be allocated to the candidates for display of their EAs.

## Section 5 - Polling and Counting Arrangements

## Recruitment of Polling and Counting Staff

5.13 As in previous ordinary elections, the REO conducted a service-wide recruitment exercise to invite suitable serving civil servants of various government departments to serve as electoral staff for the conduct of the elections. A total of about 3,400 staff were appointed as polling and counting staff for the conduct of the elections.
5.14 Those who were appointed PROs, Counting Supervisors, Deputy Presiding Officers ("DPROs") and Assistant Presiding Officers ("APROs")/Assistant Counting Supervisors were selected from senior government officers. Other electoral staff were appointed from the relatively junior ranks of government officers. Each appointee was required to disclose if he or she had any close relationship with any candidate, and if so, REO would consider if he or she was still appropriate for appointment as electoral staff. This arrangement would help maintain the neutrality and independence of the electoral arrangements and avoid the perception of collusion which might compromise the integrity of the election.

## Training for Polling and Counting Staff

5.15 The polling and counting staff were strongly reminded of the importance in complying with all the electoral steps for upholding the openness, fairness and honesty of the election in the eyes of the public. Operational manuals were compiled for them for reference.
5.16 Polling management training was provided to electoral staff at PRO
and DPRO levels in October 2011 at the Queen Elizabeth Stadium to better prepare them for providing quality polling service to the public and coping with potential problems and crisis on the polling day. Topics included crisis management, quality polling service, training on emotional quotient and experience sharing.
5.17 All the PROs, DPROs, APROs and other polling staff were also required to attend a half-day general briefing session on 30 November 2011 at the Queen Elizabeth Stadium with a view to equipping them with the necessary knowledge for discharging their duties. The session covered polling procedures, compilation of statistical returns and introduction of the latest polling arrangements.
5.18 There was also a general briefing for the staff of the DPSs on the operation of these stations. The general briefing for DPSs was conducted at the Quarry Bay Community Hall on 29 November 2011.
5.19 For counting staff, training programme was mainly conducted from 16 to 25 November 2011. Counting staff had to attend a half-day general briefing session on the operation of the central counting station and a half-day session on hands-on practice of the counting procedures from 21 to 25 November 2011 at the Southorn Stadium, Wanchai. For better utilization of manpower, counting staff assigned to perform duties at the Unloading Area, Ballot Box Reception Area and the Ballot Box Deposit Area were also required to receive training on counting procedures as they might be redeployed to assist in vote counting upon completion of their assigned duties. Furthermore, a half-day practical training course was conducted on 16 and 17 November 2011 at the REO's office in Caroline Hill Road for counting staff assigned to operate the Optical Mark Recognition ("OMR") machines for vote counting.
5.20 All ROs and AROs were invited to join the training programme for counting staff. There was also a tailor-made training programme arranged for them, including a briefing session on counting arrangements and another session conducted by the staff of DoJ on the legal principles in determining questionable ballot papers on 2 December 2011 at Lecture Theatre of Central Library, Causeway Bay.

## Identifying Venues as Polling Stations and Counting Station

5.21110 venues were selected as polling stations for 237,000 voters of the 24 contested subsectors to cast their votes. These venues included schools, community centres and indoor games halls etc. at convenient locations. About 2,100 voters were assigned to each polling station on average. Depending on the geographical area and number of registered voters within each of the 18 districts, about four to ten polling stations were set up in each district. The basic criterion adopted for identifying these venues was that they should be easily and conveniently accessible to voters. All the 110 polling stations were accessible to people with disabilities.
5.22 The voters were allocated polling stations according to their residential address. Under the combined voting arrangement, a voter of a subsector who was also an AR ("authorised representative") of a corporate voter of another subsector would also vote at the same polling station.
5.23 A central counting station was set up at Halls B, C, D and E (with a total area of about $15,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) on Level 3 of the Hong Kong Convention and Exhibition Centre ("HKCEC").

## Polling Arrangements

5.24 On the day preceding the polling day, all polling staff, with the assistance of REO staff, set up the designated venues as polling stations for the elections.
5.25 Each ballot paper issuing desk at a polling station was given a complete booklet of ballot papers for each individual subsector for issue to eligible voters of the ECSS Elections. This measure was adopted in the 2006 ECSS Elections. It could reduce confusion on the issue of ballot papers for each subsector and facilitate the efficient compilation of statistics on the issue of ballot papers and effective supervision of the conduct of poll.
5.26 A voter was required to mark the ballot paper by shading the ovals printed next to the names of the candidates of his choice. The ballot paper bore the name of the subsector at the upper-right corner and was printed in different colours to facilitate easy distinction.
5.27 Outside each polling station, specific areas were designated by the RO as NCZ and NSZ to provide the voters with a free and safe environment on their way to the station. A notice was displayed at a conspicuous spot at or near the station, notifying the public of the delineation of the related NCZ and NSZ.
5.28 Apart from overseeing the nomination and related matters for the subsector assigned to him, each RO was also tasked with overseeing the operation of four to six polling stations on the polling day. The PRO, assisted by the DPRO and APROs, was responsible for ensuring the smooth and efficient operation of the polling station under his charge during the poll and to
work closely with the RO concerned.

## Special Polling Arrangements for Imprisoned, Remanded and Detained Voters

5.29 To enable registered voters who were imprisoned or remanded by the CSD on the polling day to vote, nine DPSs were set up in the penal institutions of the CSD. Owing to security reasons, the poll at these DPSs was conducted from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm. Two DPSs were set up at police stations for the registered voters who were remanded or detained by the law enforcement agencies ("LEAs") (other than the CSD) on the polling day and expressed their wish to vote. Since the LEAs might arrest persons who happened to be registered voters any time on the polling day, these DPSs were open from 7:30 am to 10:30 pm as with other ordinary polling stations.
5.30 The venue set-up at all the DPSs was basically the same as that of the ordinary polling stations ("OPSs"), except that the polling materials were specially designed for security reasons.

## The Fast Response Team

5.31 In line with the practice since the 2008 LegCo Election, a Fast Response Team ("FRT") comprising experienced personnel was appointed to conduct random checks on the operation of the polling stations and the performance of the polling staff to ensure that the stipulated electoral procedures and requirements were strictly followed.
5.32 The FRT comprised two members. Apart from conducting audit inspection of polling stations and advising PROs to take remedial or
improvement measures where necessary, the FRT was also tasked to deal with enquiries on electoral arrangements made by the ROs and PROs, and to render immediate advice and assistance to them. The FRT had to report to the Central Command Centre ("CCC") on any major irregularities and problems observed, and to handle emergency cases relating to polling stations as directed by the CCC.

## Counting Arrangements

5.33 In view of the large number of seats (ranging from 17 to 60 for different contested subsectors) and candidates on each ballot paper (ranging from 19 to 164 candidates for different contested subsectors) for the ECSS Elections, the Voting Validation and Counting Automation System ("VVCAS") was used to facilitate the counting of votes, as in the previous ECSS Elections. The REO procured the VVCAS and OMR machine service and other related goods from an outside service provider. To ensure the smooth operation of the OMR system, the ballot papers were specially designed so that they could be read by the OMR machines and accommodate names of up to 178 candidates in clearly legible words. For ease of operation, each ballot paper would contain no more than one sheet. To facilitate OMR reading of the votes marked on the ballot papers, voters were asked to shade the ovals by the felt pens provided at the polling stations, and placed the marked ballot papers in envelops to better prevent the ballot papers from being folded. To ensure the reliability and integrity of the VVCAS, the service of an independent information technology firm was engaged to design and conduct an user acceptance test, and another independent audit firm was also hired to audit the test result. A total of 14 sets of OMR machines were used while two extra sets of machines were put in the central counting station as standby machines.
5.34 All ballot papers cast at different polling stations were transported to the central counting station for counting after the close of poll. The central counting station comprised two parts, namely the Ballot Box Reception Area and the Ballot Box Deposit Area at Hall B on Level 3 and the counting zones at Halls C and D on Level 3 where the actual counting of votes on the ballot papers took place. There were a total of 38 counting zones and each counting zone was composed of a sorting section, a general section and a visual screening section, overseen by one to two Counting Supervisors.
5.35 The counting process started with the opening of ballot boxes and sorting of ballot papers. Since there were ballot papers of different subsectors in each ballot box, they needed to be sorted by subsectors at the sorting section. The sorted ballot papers were then streamed to the general section of different counting zones which were dedicated to process ballot papers of different subsectors. The counting staff then conducted visual screening to separate ballot papers to be processed manually from those to be scanned by the OMR. The latter group of ballot papers was scanned by the OMR machines for computer reading and tabulation by the VVCAS. The OMR machines with the computer were designed to read the shaded ovals, record the number of votes marked thereon and add up the total. For questionable ballot papers, their validity was determined by ROs, and votes marked on ballot papers and ruled to be valid were keyed into the VVCAS manually.
5.36 Apart from the counting zones, Hall E on Level 3 included an area for candidates and their election/ counting agents to observe the declaration of election results, a press area for the media to cover the event and a public stand for the public to observe the count. As in previous elections, candidates and their election/counting agents were allowed to stand around the counting tables to observe the count from a close distance.
5.37 Based on the experience from the 2006 ECSS Elections, the following measures were adopted to streamline and speed up the vote counting process and the subsequent declaration of election results by ROs:
(a) the new Ballot Box Tracking System ("BBTS") was used to expedite the process of depositing and tracking the ballot boxes;
(b) more counting staff were deployed to the Sorting Section. Each Sorting Section was manned by 12 Counting Officers ("COs") instead of 8 COs as deployed in 2006 ECSS Elections. As such, 2 additional pairs of COs could be formed to separate ballot papers from envelopes and to sort ballot papers into different subsectors, thus speeding up the whole process;
(c) the number of visual screening tables for large subsectors (e.g. Education subsector) was increased having regard to the number of voters of the respective subsectors;
(d) a special team, comprising six officers, was formed to tour around the counting desks to conduct checks on the operation and to render advice and assistance to individual counting teams on the spot; and
(e) to save time, when declaring the election results on stage, the ROs only announced the number of votes received by the elected candidates and full information on the number of votes given to each candidate, elected or defeated, was shown on the notices of election results displayed on a notice board outside the central counting station.

## Compilation of Voter Turnout Statistics

5.38 Each polling station was required to send the hourly voter turnout statistics to the REO Statistical Information Centre ("SIC") by fax on the polling day (or by telephone in the event of machine failure). The voter turnout figures were made available to the public through press release and such information was also uploaded to the 2011 ECSS Elections dedicated website on the polling day.

## Contingency Measures

5.39 To cater for any unforeseen circumstances such as inclement weather or other emergencies such as fire or power failure, preparations were made for the following contingencies, as in previous elections:
(a) the possibility of any postponement or adjournment of the poll in one or more polling stations, or the count in the central counting station;
(b) in relation to (a) above, the need for resumption of an adjourned poll or an adjourned count, as the case may be; and
(c) the possibility of requiring alternative polling stations when access to a polling station was blocked by flooding, fire or other emergencies that rendered the polling station inoperable.
5.40 To ensure the smooth conduct of the poll, the REO had put in place enhanced contingency measures to cater for unforeseen circumstances:

## (a) Emergency depots

An emergency depot was set up in each of the following five regions: Hong Kong Island, Kowloon East, Kowloon West, New Territories East and New Territories West to ensure quick replenishment of supplies where necessary. Each emergency depot was equipped with a reserve pool of stand-by vehicles for delivery of additional supplies and a reserve stock of ballot papers, ballot boxes and other electoral equipment to cater for unexpected situations;
(b) Reserve polling and counting staff

In addition to about 1,600 polling staff appointed for the 110 polling stations, a reserve pool of about 110 polling staff was also appointed to station at the five emergency depots to fill in any places left by polling staff due to unforeseen circumstances. For the counting of votes at the central counting station, a reserve shift of counting staff (about 60 in number) was formed and would be deployed in case the count in respect of some subsectors experienced serious delay and had to go beyond noon of the day following the polling day.

## (c) Counting process

To cater for the unlikely event of the breakdown of the VVCAS, a contingency plan was formulated for switching the counting process from computer to manual mode within a short period of time, if necessary;
(d) Back-up electricity supply

Back-up electricity supply by the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department ("EMSD") was secured to ensure that the count could be continued in the HKCEC in case of power disruption; and
(e) Announcements for emergency arrangements

Full preparation for making public announcements of the implementation of any emergency arrangements was made.
5.41 On the polling day, there was no need to deliver any reserve stock of ballot papers or ballot boxes from the regional depots as a sufficient quantity of electoral equipment had already been provided to each polling station before the poll.

## Notification to Voters

5.42 In accordance with section 31 of the EAC(EP)(EC)Reg, poll cards were sent out to registered voters of the 24 contested EC subsectors informing them where and how to vote at the polls on 11 December 2011 at least 5 days before the polling day. The poll card, together with the Introduction to Candidates of the relevant subsector(s), a map indicating the location of the polling station with a voting guide printed overleaf, a publicity leaflet with clear illustrations on the proper procedures on voting and another leaflet from the ICAC on clean and fair elections were sent to the voters from 28 November to 3 December 2011. For those subsectors with Special Member seats, a supplementary voting guide was also included to remind voters that a ballot paper would be rendered invalid if they vote for more than the number of
ordinary seats allocated to the relevant subsectors. Four mock polling stations at the Causeway Bay Community Centre, Henry G. Leong Yau Ma Tei Community Centre, Exhibition Gallery of Tuen Mun Town Hall and the Tai Po Community Centre were open from 8 to 10 December 2011 for voters to get familiar with the voting procedures. Details of the mock polling stations were contained in the above-mentioned leaflet sent to voters. (Please see paragraph 5.44 ( g ) below.)
5.43 As polls were not required for the 11 uncontested subsectors, a notice of uncontested election, including the relevant Introduction to Candidates, were sent to the registered voters in these subsectors, informing them that they needed not go to the poll.

## Publicity

5.44 The major events of the elections were extensively covered by the print and electronic media. Apart from issuing press releases on the various key events of the elections, the REO also implemented the following publicity measures for the elections:
(a) two sets of TV and radio Announcements in the Public Interest ("APIs") were produced to publicise the 2011 ECSS Elections. One set was to announce the nomination period of the non-DC subsector elections and invite nominations. It was broadcast from 7 to 15 November 2011 in local TV and radio channels, after the 2011 DC Election on 6 November 2011 in order to avoid causing confusion to members of the public. Separately, all elected DC Members were informed by letter issued on 15 November 2011 of the nomination period of the DC subsector elections. Another set was to encourage
voters to turn up for voting and to illustrate the proper procedures to cast a vote. It was broadcast from 16 November to 11 December 2011 in local TV and radio channels. To enhance awareness of the elections among ethnic minority communities, a radio API to encourage voter turnout was also broadcast in ethnic minority languages;
(b) two newspaper advertisements were placed in local newspapers to reinforce messages of the APIs. The advertisement on nomination, covering both the non-DC and the DC subsectors, was placed in six newspapers on 8 November 2011. Another advertisement, which encouraged voter turnout and illustrated how to cast a vote properly, was placed in a total of 17 newspapers from 8 to 11 December 2011;
(c) a dedicated website was set up to provide information on the elections, such as the EAC Guidelines in respect of the ECSS Elections, introduction to the candidates, voter turnout, election results, publicity materials, etc. To facilitate voters of ethnic minorities, election briefs and voting procedures in six ethnic minority languages were uploaded onto the dedicated website. Similar information was also sent to the six support centres for ethnic minorities to enhance awareness of the elections;
(d) posters which were produced to reinforce messages of the APIs were printed and distributed to the 358 umbrella organisations of different subsectors, primary and secondary schools, tertiary institutes, hospitals and government departments;
(e) posters were placed in the Mass Transit Railway ("MTR") escalator
crowns of the East Rail, West Rail and Ma On Shan Rail Lines from 16 November to 11 December 2011 to encourage voter turnout and to illustrate the proper procedures to cast a vote. Escalator crown posters were placed in MTR Island, Tsuen Wan, Kwun Tong, Tseung Kwan O and Tung Chung Lines from 25 November to 8 December 2011;
(f) four mock polling stations were set up at Causeway Bay Community Centre, Henry G. Leong Yau Ma Tei Community Centre, Tuen Mun Town Hall and Tai Po Community Centre from 8 to 10 December 2011 to let registered voters and ARs familiarise themselves with the proper procedures in casting votes. The EAC Chairman also met the media on 7 December 2011 to introduce the electoral arrangements and demonstrate the voting procedures at the mock polling station set up at the Causeway Bay Community Centre; and
(g) a leaflet introducing the details of the mock polling stations and explaining the proper procedures in casting votes was printed and delivered to registered voters and ARs.

## CHAPTER 6

## ON THE POLLING DAY OF THE ELECTION COMMITTEE SUBSECTOR ELECTIONS

## Section 1 - Central Support

6.1 In line with the practice for past elections and by-elections, a CCC manned by REO staff was set up at the REO office in Caroline Hill Road on the polling day to oversee the electoral arrangements for the purpose of providing a wide range of services to voters, candidates/agents, ROs/PROs and members of the public. Except for the Hotline services which continued to operate at the REO Office at Guardian House, all other relevant sections of the REO and bureaux/departments concerned were accommodated in the CCC to facilitate communication and coordination. The CCC was put under direct supervision of the CEO who was deputised by the Principal Electoral Officer ("PEO"). The CCC was moved to HKCEC after the close of the poll. An SIC was also set up at the REO Office in Caroline Hill Road to collate the relevant statistical information such as the hourly voter turnout rates, counting results and the number and types of complaints received by the various complaint-handling parties etc for public information through the media on a regular basis. Both centres operated throughout the poll and the count.
6.2 The set up of the CCC included a Command Desk, seven Helpdesks, a Media Service Support Desk and an Enquiry Hotline. The Command Desk was to oversee the overall conduct of the poll. Helpdesk 1 was responsible for handling enquiries relating to re-deployment of electoral staff, report of absentees, polling procedures, completion of polling forms and the contents of the operational manual for OPSs. Helpdesk 2 dealt with enquiries on setting
up or delivery of polling equipment and provision of Civil Aid Service ("CAS") for OPSs. Helpdesk 3 took care of enquiries on the contents of the Operational Manual, polling arrangement and arrangement of polling equipment for DPSs. Helpdesk 4 was responsible for answering enquiries concerning the transport arrangement for OPSs and DPSs. Helpdesk 5 was responsible for handling specific enquiries on the transport arrangement for CCC. As to enquiries relating to exit polls particulars of candidates printed on ballot papers, they were handled by Helpdesk 6 and Helpdesk 7 respectively. The Media Service Support Desk handled press enquiries and disseminated information to the media at regular intervals. The Enquiry Hotline dealt with enquiries from members of the public and provided support to the polling staff relating to the information on voter registration or entitlement to vote.

## Section 2 - Complaints Centre

6.3 The Complaints Centre ("CC") was set up at the REO office in Harbour Centre to receive and process election-related complaints lodged with the EAC direct from the public by phone, by fax or through e-mail, and cases referred to the EAC by other complaint-handling parties. It was manned by staff of the EAC Secretariat and operated throughout the polling hours from 7:30 am to $10: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. Details of the complaints handled are set out in Chapter 13.
6.4 Apart from control at the central level, District Liaison Officers, who were staff of the 18 District Offices, were appointed to carry out liaison work at the district level between the PROs of polling stations and the respective ROs as well as the CCC. District Office staff were also appointed as polling staff to station at the 18 District Offices to deal with removal of EAs and other election-related complaints.
6.5 In addition to the above, separate working areas were provided for the EAC and staff of the Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau ("CMAB"), ROs, DoJ, Information Services Department ("ISD"), Hong Kong Police Force, CAS, Auxiliary Medical Service ("AMS") and REO at the central counting station at the HKCEC, to facilitate the performance of their respective roles in the conduct of the elections.
6.6 The Police and the CAS rendered assistance in maintaining the law and order in the NCZs, NSZs and inside the OPSs and central counting station. The Police officers and CSD officers were also stationed at the DPSs at the police stations and the penal institutions of the CSD respectively to provide support to the PROs.

## Section 3 - The Poll

6.7 On the polling day, 110 OPSs were operated. The poll started at 7:30 am and ended at 10:30 pm. In addition, a total of 11 DPSs were set up for registered voters imprisoned or remanded under the custody of the CSD and registered voters who were remanded or detained by the LEAs other than the CSD to cast their votes. Polling hours started at 7:30 am and ended at 10:30 pm except for the DPSs set up at the penal institutions of the CSD, the poll of which was conducted from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm owing to security reasons.
6.8 Generally, the poll went on smoothly throughout the polling day. The turnout rate of voters was encouraging. A total of 65,565 voters turned up to cast their votes, which represented $27.60 \%$ of the total electorate of 237,548 for the contested subsectors. The overall voter turnout rate was comparable to and slightly higher than the rate of $27.43 \%$ in the 2006 ECSS Elections. A
breakdown of the turnout rate by subsector is shown in Appendix VII.

## Section 4 - The Count

6.9 The counting of votes for the 24 contested subsectors was held at the central counting station located at the Halls B, C, D and E on Level 3 of the HKCEC. The Chief RO was responsible for the overall supervision of the central counting station. There were 38 counting zones and each of them was supervised by the respective ROs.
6.10 After the close of poll at $10: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ (4:00 pm for DPSs at the penal institutions of the CSD), all ballot boxes were delivered to the central counting station by the PRO of each OPS and DPS under the escort of police officers and also candidates/agents who wished to do so. The first ballot box at the central counting station was opened by an RO and the ballot boxes were emptied by the EAC Chairman and Members, and SCMA at about 12:15 am on 12 December 2011. Arrangements were then made to sort the ballot papers by individual subsector, verify the ballot paper account, deliver the sorted ballot papers to the counting zones of the relevant subsectors, and conduct initial screening manually to separate ballot papers to be scanned by OMR machines and ballot papers to be processed manually. Actual counting of votes (except for those screened out for manual processing) was conducted by the OMR machines. This was carried out in the presence of the candidates and their agents at the central counting station.
6.11 Of the 65,565 ballot papers cast, 408 ballot papers were unmarked and, therefore, were clearly invalid and must not be counted pursuant to sections 74 A (b) and 77 of the EAC (EP) (EC) Reg. In addition, another 1,181 ballot papers were identified by the ROs as questionable. In the presence of
the candidates and their agents, the ROs concerned, with the assistance of the AROs (Legal), examined carefully the questionable ballot papers to determine their validity. In the end, 514 questionable ballot papers were determined as invalid by the ROs and were not counted ( 137 were with writing or a mark by which the voter could possibly be identified; 3 were substantially mutilated; 247 were not marked in the manner as stipulated in section 56 of the EAC (EP) (EC) Reg (i.e. by shading the ovals opposite the names of the candidates of the voter's choice or by voting for no more than the prescribed number of seats in the relevant subsector) and 127 were void for uncertainty). The remaining 667 questionable ballot papers were determined as valid and were counted. An analysis of the ballot papers not counted is shown in Appendix VIII.

## Section 5 - The Results

6.12 After the initial announcement of the counting results at the Questionable Ballot Paper table, a candidate from the Engineering subsector requested a re-count. The RO concerned allowed the request and the ballot papers of the Engineering subsector were accordingly re-counted with the OMR machines. The results of the re-count tallied with that of the first count and were accepted by the candidate. In addition, the ROs of the Heung Yee Kuk and Hong Kong and Kowloon DCs subsectors had to draw lots to determine the election results of two pairs of candidates, each receiving the same number of votes after the counts. Consequently, for the Heung Yee Kuk subsector, one candidate was elected as an EC Special Member and the other was defeated. Regarding Hong Kong and Kowloon DCs subsectors, one candidate was elected as an EC member and the other as an EC Special Member.
6.13 The time for declaration of counting results for individual subsectors varied. The counting result for the first subsector (i.e. the Hotel subsector) was
announced at around 6:45 am on 12 December 2011 (the day following the polling day). The count of the last subsector (i.e. the Social Welfare subsector) was completed by 10:45 am. All the counting results were announced before 1:03 pm on 12 December 2011. The entire counting process (from opening of the first ballot box up to the announcement of all the results) took about 12 hours 48 minutes to complete. The election results of the 24 contested subsectors were published in the Gazette on 15 December 2011 and are now reproduced at Appendix IX for easy reference.

## Section 6 - EAC Visits

6.14 On the polling day, the Chairman and two Members of the EAC paid visits to some polling stations to observe what was happening on the spot and closely monitored the progress of the poll. Each of them had his individual itinerary throughout the day. They covered a total of 20 OPSs in all 18 districts and four DPSs at the Tung Tau Correctional Institution, Lai Chi Kok Reception Centre, Stanley Prison and the Cheung Sha Wan Police Station. They met at the polling stations at the Sai Ying Pun Community Complex Community Hall and the Siu Sai Wan Community Hall at 10:45 am and 5:45 pm respectively to provide election statistics and answer questions from the media. They assembled again in the evening at the central counting station to open and empty the first ballot box with SCMA, and then met the media to brief them on the completion of the poll and the overall voter turnout. After the close of count at about $1: 30$ pm on 12 December 2011, the EAC met the media again to conclude the elections as a whole. The EAC considered that both the poll and the count of the election was very smooth and that the election was organised and concluded in an open, fair and honest manner.

## CHAPTER 7

## PREPARATION FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE ELECTION

## Section 1 - Appointment of Returning Officer and Assistant Returning Officers

7.1 The Honourable Mr Justice POON Shiu-chor, Jeremy, Judge of the Court of First Instance of the High Court, was appointed by the EAC as the RO for the 2012 CE Election. Mr Justice POON's appointment was gazetted on 23 December 2011.
7.2 As regards AROs, Mr CHAN Shui-fu, Andy, JP (Assistant Director of Home Affairs (1) of the HAD) and Miss FU Ha-man, Dora, JP (Assistant Director of Home Affairs (3) of the HAD) were appointed as the AROs, while Ms CHENG Pui-lan, Roxana (Deputy Solicitor General (Constitutional)), Mr MO Sik-keung, Gilbert (Deputy Law Draftsman (Bilingual Drafting and Administration)) and Ms CHENG Tai-ngar, Dorothy (Senior Assistant Law Officer (Civil Law)(Acting)) of the DoJ were appointed as the AROs(Legal). Their appointments were published in the Gazette on 23 December 2011.

## Section 2 - Briefing for Returning Officer and Assistant Returning Officers

7.3 To assist the RO/AROs in familiarising themselves with the rules and operation of the Election, the REO prepared and issued an operational manual to them for reference. A briefing for the RO and AROs was held on 17 January 2012 at the EAC Conference Room at Harbour Centre, Wan Chai. The EAC Chairman, accompanied by the CEO/REO and representatives from
the CMAB, briefed the RO, AROs and AROs(Legal) on the electoral arrangements and reminded them of the salient provisions in the electoral legislation and guidelines.

## Section 3 - The Nomination Period

7.4 The polling date and the nomination period were published in the Gazette on 7 October and 23 December 2011 respectively. Nomination forms were available from the REO from 23 December 2011 onwards. The nomination period lasted for 16 days from 14 February to 29 February 2012.

## Section 4 - Nomination Forms Received and their Validity

7.5 A total of three nomination forms were submitted to the RO during the nomination period. After examining and verifying the information supplied in the nomination forms, the RO ruled that all the three nomination forms were valid at the close of nomination. The valid nomination forms were submitted by Mr HO Chun-yan on 14 February 2012, Mr TANG Ying-yen, Henry on 20 February 2012 and Mr LEUNG Chun-ying on 23 February 2012, and they were nominated by 188, 390 and 305 EC members respectively.
7.6 Since there were three validly nominated candidates by the close of nomination, the election was a contested one.

## Section 5 - Briefing for Candidates

7.7 To draw the attention of the candidates and their agents to the major provisions of the relevant electoral legislation and the EAC Guidelines and the important points they should be aware of, a briefing session conducted by the

EAC Chairman was held on 4 March 2012 at the Hong Kong Central Library in Causeway Bay. Also present at the session were the CEO/REO, representatives from the DoJ, ICAC and the Hongkong Post.
7.8 After the briefing session, the RO determined, by means of drawing lots, the order of the candidates’ names that would appear on the ballot paper and the designated spots to be allocated to the candidates for displaying their EAs.

## CHAPTER 8

## POLLING AND COUNTING ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE ELECTION

## Section 1 - Recruitment of Polling/Counting Staff

8.1 In view of the relatively small number of EC members and the fact that there would only be one main polling station, one counting station and the very limited number of DPSs expected to be set up in the CE Election, the REO deployed about 450 staff members to serve as polling and counting staff on the polling day, and did not launch any service-wide recruitment exercise as in other elections.

## Section 2 - Training for Polling/Counting Staff

8.2 To equip the recruited staff with the necessary knowledge and skills for discharging their duties, two general briefing sessions were organised on 12 and 13 March 2012 at the Queen Elizabeth Stadium. On-site rehearsals and briefing sessions were also conducted on 23 and 24 March 2012 at the venue prior to the polling day, so that staff of different functional units would be familiar with the venue and operation of the electoral process. Operational manuals were also compiled for the reference of the officers, with one set designated for the PROs, DPROs and APROs, and another set for Polling Officers and Polling Assistants.

## Section 3 - Identifying Venue as Stations

8.3 REO started to identify suitable venues for the CE Election as early as 2007. As a result of an extensive search, REO managed to reserve the Grand Hall on Level 3 (about 6,000 m²) and Halls F and G on Level 5 (about $9,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of the HKCEC for use as the main polling station and the central counting station respectively for the CE Election. With a total area of about $15,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, these conference halls altogether provided a conveniently located and spacious venue, which was accessible to disabled electors, on the dates required for the conduct of the election. Dedicated routes were identified for the transportation of the ballot boxes from the main polling station to the central counting station after the close of poll and for the EC members who wished to go to the central counting station to observe the count after casting their votes.

## Section 4 - Polling Arrangements

Polling hours
8.4 The first round of voting in a contested election (or the poll in an uncontested election) were scheduled to be held from 9:00 am to 11:00 am. Should there be a need for more than one round of voting, the second and third rounds of voting will be held from 2:00 pm to $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ and from 7:00 pm to 8:00 pm respectively on the same day.
8.5 In the event that there was a need for the fourth round or further rounds, it would be held on the following day (i.e. 26 March 2012). For each round of voting, the count would be conducted right after the poll.

## The main polling station

8.6 To avoid long queues towards the close of polling hours, 33 ballot paper issuing desks and 59 voting compartments (including three for the disabled) were set up in the main polling station. Similar to all other elections, an area immediately outside the main polling station was designated as the NCZ and the NSZ. Right outside the main polling station, there was a waiting area for EC members who arrived before the polling hours, and a resting area was provided for EC members who had cast their votes. Apart from displaying the candidates' introductory leaflets at each voting compartment inside the main polling station, an enlarged version of the leaflets were also posted near the entrance of the main polling station.
8.7 In anticipation that demonstration activities might be organised in the vicinity of the venue, Designated Public Activity Areas were delineated by the Police outside the main polling station on the polling day. As security and accessibility by EC members were crucial to the smooth running of the election, the REO worked closely with the Police and the venue management on security and traffic management matters. To enhance the security inside the HKCEC, access control points were set up at the Expo Drive Entrance and on various levels of the HKCEC along the dedicated passageways leading to the main polling station and the central counting station. EC members were each provided with a name badge printed with a barcode for easy identification on the polling day. An EC member was required to display his/ her name badge when entering the HKCEC and the barcode printed thereon would be scanned before he/ she would be permitted to past through these access control points.

## Polling notice

8.8 In accordance with the legal requirement, the REO sent to each EC member a polling notice 10 days before the polling day. The polling notice was issued to EC members together with a letter from the CEO to provide them with essential information on the election. The letter also appealed to the EC Members that they were not allowed to communicate with others, in particular to show their votes on the ballot papers to others, and to film, take photograph, make any audio or video recording inside the polling station, or to remove a ballot paper from the polling stations.
8.9 The package sent to each EC member included the polling notice as required by the law (which contained such information as the polling date, address of the main polling station and polling hours), location map of the main polling station and central counting station, detailed voting instructions as well as polling and counting procedures. Besides, a map showing the extent of the NCZ of the main polling station, the Introduction to the Candidates and an ICAC booklet were also included in the package.
8.10 For security reasons and for easy identification of the EC members, the package sent to each EC members also included a name badge with a unique barcode for the EC member, as mentioned in paragraph 8.7 above. In addition, there were a transportation map showing the designated drop-off and pick-up points and a vehicle entry permit for EC members who came in private vehicles or taxis. To facilitate the assessment of the likely traffic condition of HKCEC on the polling day and activate necessary arrangements with the Police beforehand, EC members were requested to complete a survey form on the mode of transport which they would use to arrive at the main polling station. The EC members were also invited to provide their or their assistants' mobile
phone numbers and/or email addresses to facilitate the dissemination of latest information on electoral arrangements and/or contingency measures by SMS or email in case of need on the polling day.

## Design of ballot papers

8.11 The design of ballot papers followed the form set out in the EP (CEE) Reg. As more than one round of voting might be required, ballot papers to be used in different rounds were differentiated by different colours.

## Voting procedures

8.12 An EC member had to mark the ballot paper inside the voting compartment by using the " $\checkmark$ " chop provided. After marking the ballot paper, the EC member would be required to fold the ballot paper so that the marked side was concealed, and then put the folded ballot paper into the ballot box. The Guidance to Electors on the Voting Procedure was posted inside the polling stations and each voting compartment.
8.13 After casting their votes, EC members could proceed to the central counting station to observe the count or leave the venue. EC members were however encouraged to observe the count and stay until the counting result was known, so that they could proceed to the polling station for another round of voting, if required.

## Special Polling Arrangements for Imprisoned, Remanded and Detained electors

8.14 To enable electors who were imprisoned or remanded by the CSD on the polling day to vote, DPSs were planned to be set up at penal institutions of
the CSD. The CSD advised the REO on 24 March 2012 (i.e. the day before the polling day) that no elector was imprisoned or remanded by the CSD. Hence, there was no need to set up DPSs in penal institutions.
8.15 A DPS was set up at Happy Valley Police Station for the electors who were remanded or detained by the LEAs (other than the CSD) on the polling day and expressed their wish to vote. Since the LEAs might arrest persons who happened to be electors any time on the polling day, the polling hours of the DPS were the same as that of the main polling station.
8.16 The venue set-up at the DPS was basically the same, but of a smaller scale, as that of the main polling station, except that the polling materials were specially designed for security reason.

## Section 5 - Counting Arrangements

## The central counting station

8.17 The central counting station comprised a counting zone, a seating area for EC members, a working area for the media and a seating area for members of the public who wished to observe the counting of votes. Each candidate was allocated a room inside the central counting station.

## The counting procedures

8.18 The ballot papers were counted manually. If any of the candidates obtained more than 600 valid votes cast in any round of voting, he would be returned at the CE Election. If another round of voting was required, the RO would make a public announcement through the electronic media, SMS and
email (for those EC members who had provided REO with their mobile phone numbers and email addresses) and the large display boards set up at both the Expo Drive and Harbour Road Entrances of the HKCEC. EC members who had left the venue were advised to keep a close watch of such announcement and return to the main polling station in time to cast their votes. Alternatively, they might enquire through the REO Hotline and visit the dedicated website for the CE Election on the need to return for another round of voting.
8.19 When the count started, the PRO assumed the role of counting supervisor, i.e. the officer overseeing the counting process. The RO was responsible for determining the validity of questionable ballot papers.

## Section 6 - Preservation of the Secrecy of the Votes

As reported in the media before the polling day, there was concern about the preservation of secrecy of the votes. Specifically, there were speculative reports hinting that the voting process inside the voting compartments could be recorded by some hidden recording devices leading to the disclosure of EC members' choice. Questions were also raised as to whether it was possible to identify the EC members from the votes cast and whether there would be adequate measures to prevent photo-taking of the marked ballot papers as mobile phones were commonly equipped with photo-taking functions and could be brought along by the EC members inside the main polling station. In view of the aforesaid concerns, both the EAC and the Administration went to great lengths in driving home the message of the secrecy of the votes and that there were adequate legislative provisions and effective electoral measures to preserve the secrecy of the votes and, to guard against possible corrupt or illegal acts. Specifically, SCMA explained at the LegCo meeting held on 22 March 2012 that the Government, EAC and LEAs
had all along been performing their duties strictly in accordance with the provisions of the Basic Law and the relevant electoral legislation, regulation and guidelines, with a view to ensuring that all elections, including the CE Election, were conducted in a fair, just, clean and honest manner. In particular, there were provisions under the ECICO prohibiting corrupt and illegal conduct in the CE Election and, inter alia, the use of force or duress to influence a person's voting preference. Should any complaint about the breach of the ECICO received by the EAC and the relevant LEAs, it would be handled seriously.
8.21 SCMA also explained at the same meeting that photo-taking or video recording inside the polling stations would be prohibited and the ballot papers, voting compartments as well as the voting and counting process were all designed to ensure the secrecy of the votes. He also emphasised that the Government would not tolerate any conduct in the polling stations violating the legislation and would take immediate enforcement action according to the law.
8.22 In parallel, in consultation with the EAC Chairman and CMAB, the REO implemented the following measures in the CE Election to allay the public concern about the secrecy of the votes:
(a) shortly before the poll, the REO issued letters to EC members reminding them that in accordance with the relevant electoral legislation, it would be an offence to communicate with other people on voting matters, to film, take photos and to make audio or video recording inside the polling station, and to remove a ballot paper from the polling station. Notices were also prominently put up at the ballot paper issuing desks and inside the voting compartments to remind EC members of these requirements;
(b) the REO arranged for additional polling staff to perform ushering duties inside the main polling station and, in particular, to monitor the voting process to ensure strict compliance with the above-mentioned requirements. For prudence's sake, polling staff guarding the entrance of the main polling station also made their best efforts to remind and appeal to EC members to turn off their mobile/ telecom devices before entering the main polling station;
(c) a venue security search was conducted by the Police of the Grand Hall on Level 3 and Halls F and G on Level 5 of the HKCEC, where the main polling station and the central counting station were located, on the evening prior to the polling day;
(d) owing to the considerable headroom of the Grand Hall of the HKCEC and the existence of many overhead facilities/devices therein, the REO arranged to install a cover on top of each of voting compartments as an additional precaution against overhead surveillance activities and, also, to boost public confidence in voting secrecy; and
(e) while all surveillance cameras inside the main polling station were either removed or switched off beforehand, the REO arranged for the control room monitoring the operation of these surveillance devices to be guarded by public officers throughout the poll.
8.23 Furthermore, when meeting the media in the morning of 24 March 2012 (i.e. one day before the polling day), the EAC Chairman took the opportunity to appeal to the EC members, once again, to heed the legislative requirements mentioned in paragraph 8.22 (a) above. At the media session, in response to questions concerning allegations of improper canvassing activities,
the EAC Chairman emphasised the freedom to vote and secrecy of ballot were the two major principles underpinning Hong Kong's electoral system. An EC member was in no circumstances obliged to disclose his choice of the candidate and there were clear legislative provisions prohibiting the use of or the threat to use force or duress against a person to induce him to vote or not to vote at an election, or to vote or not to vote for a particular candidate. In addition, the EAC Chairman pointed out that according to the law, no person shall communicate to another person any information obtained in a polling station as to which candidate an EC member was about to vote for or had voted for. No person shall also directly or indirectly induce an EC member to display a ballot paper marked by the EC member so as to make known to any person any information as to which candidate the EC member had voted for. He assured that sufficient staff would be deployed inside the main polling station to maintain order and ensure voting secrecy. Subsequently, a press release was issued.

## Section 7 - Contingency Measures

8.24 To cater for any unforeseen circumstances such as inclement weather or other emergencies such as fire or power failure, preparations were made for the following contingencies:
(a) the possibility of any postponement or adjournment of the poll, or the count in the central counting station;
(b) in relation to (a) above, the need for resumption of an adjourned poll or an adjourned count, as the case may be; and
(c) the possibility of activating alternative polling stations when access to the main polling station was blocked by flooding, fire or other
emergencies that rendered the main polling station inoperable.
8.25 To ensure the smooth conduct of the poll, the REO further put in place the following contingency measures to cater for any unforeseen circumstances:
(a) stocking of extra ballot papers, ballot boxes, etc at the HKCEC and REO's Offices to cater for emergency situation;
(b) booking of vehicles for delivery of equipment and emergency transport of EC members and staff;
(c) close monitoring of the flow of traffic to and in the vicinity of the HKCEC on the polling day, with the assistance of relevant government departments and authorities;
(d) securing back-up electricity supply by the EMSD to ensure that the poll and the count could be continued in the HKCEC in the case of power disruption; and
(e) making full preparation for making public announcements of the implementation of any emergency arrangements (including inviting the EC members to provide their mobile phone numbers and email addresses, on a voluntary basis, to facilitate any emergency contact).

## CHAPTER 9

## PUBLICITY FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE ELECTION

## Section 1 - An Introductory Note

9.1 The 2012 CE Election was a prominent event of the territory which attracted the attention of people from all walks of life. Publicity played a vital part in enhancing the transparency of the Election. In the 2012 CE Election, the EAC and other government departments concerned contributed much to publicising the related events. The media also had a wide coverage on the election.

## Section 2 - The EAC and the Media

9.2 The EAC Chairman's briefing with the candidates was well covered by the media. For greater transparency and publicity, an open media session was conducted on 24 March 2012 to enable members of the media to view the interior set-up of the main polling station. At the session, the Chairman met the press and explained the polling procedures in person.
9.3 The REO issued press releases to keep the public informed of the various important events at different stages of the CE Election before and on the polling day.
9.4 To enhance the transparency of the CE Election, the counting process was broadcast live through the electronic media. On the polling day, arrangements were made to facilitate reporters’ coverage of the event, including the use of designated pathways and working areas.

## Section 3 - Publicity Launched by Other Departments

9.5 A comprehensive publicity programme for the 2012 CE Election was launched with a view to reaching out to the EC members as well as the general public, using radio APIs, newspaper advertisements, posters, etc. The publicity programme was launched with the assistance of the CMAB, ISD and ICAC.
9.6 The ISD launched a dedicated website for the 2012 CE Election where all the information relating to the Election was available for public browsing.

## CHAPTER 10

## CENTRAL SUPPORT FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE ELECTION

## Section 1 - The Joint Co-ordination Centre and Other Functional Units

10.1 The REO set up a Joint Co-ordination Centre ("JCC") at HKCEC, which operated from 7:00 am on the polling day, and ceased operation about one hour after all candidates, EC members and the public had left the main polling station and counting station. The JCC included representatives of the REO, HKCEC, CMAB, TD, Hong Kong Police Force, CAS and ISD.
10.2 Apart from the JCC, various functional units were deployed on the polling day to perform or oversee specific operations or tasks, including the polling logistics support unit, ushering and marshalling unit, venue security unit, venue logistics support unit (overseeing the seating area for EC members, refreshment areas, candidates’ rooms, etc), central counting support unit, information technology support unit, enquiry hotline team, media relations unit, administrative support teams at the REO Harbour Centre Office and the Caroline Hill Road Office, and a support unit for the RO's Office. There was also the SIC, which was responsible for receiving reports on voter turnout rates from the PROs and reports on complaints from the RO, PROs and the CC. Individual rooms were also provided for the EAC and staff of the CMAB, RO, DoJ, Hong Kong Police Force, ISD, CAS, AMS, CC, Information Technology Management Unit, CE-elect and REO.

## Section 2 - The Complaints Centre

10.3 Since the main polling station and the central counting station were centrally located in the HKCEC, the CC was set up inside the central counting station at the HKCEC to handle any complaints received. This was to ensure that complaints could be handled more efficiently on-site. It also facilitated efficient communication with the EAC, RO and AROs stationed in the same venue.
10.4 The CC would receive and process election-related complaints lodged by the public over phone, by fax or through the internet. The CC was manned by staff of the EAC Secretariat and operated throughout the polling hours.

## CHAPTER 11

## THE POLL FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE ELECTION

11.1 Polling hours for the first round of the poll started at 9:00 am and ended at 11:00 am on 25 March 2012. Despite some protests outside the HKCEC, the poll generally went on smoothly.
11.2 For easy management and security control, the area outside the main polling station and central counting station was divided into eleven zones, each under the charge of a designated team of REO officers, police officers and security guards from the HKCEC, and were co-ordinated by the JCC. Walkie-talkies were deployed to facilitate communication among the eleven zones and the JCC, so that any potential or actual incidents could be spotted early and handled efficiently.
11.3 For EC members who forgot to bring their name badges, an Electors’ Information Enquiry System was set up at each of the three Name Badge Replacement Points to verify the identity of these EC members and arrange for the issue of replacement badges as needed. The arrangement could efficiently screen out non-EC members without affecting the smooth passage of EC members to the main polling station.
11.4 EC members as well as members of the public who wished to observe the count were reminded through the House Rules displayed at various locations inside the central counting station that it was illegal to display any propaganda materials relating to any candidate or the election in the venue. To this end, a Bag Search Area was set up on Level 3 of the Harbour Road Entrance leading to ensure that no forbidden materials were brought into the
central counting station on Level 5. Where situation so warranted, electoral staff, with the authority delegated by the RO, would personally request persons who misconducted themselves in the station to leave the venue.
11.5 To ensure the secrecy of the votes, additional measures were adopted in the election. For details, please refer to paragraph 8.22 above.
11.6 The voter turnout rate was high. At 10:00 am, the turnout rate was 54.32\% (i.e. 648 EC members had voted). At 10:30 am, the figure increased to $80.72 \%$ (i.e. 963 EC members). These figures were released for the general reference of the public shortly after the said cut-off time. By the close of poll at 11:00 am, a total of $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 2}$ EC members turned up to cast their votes out of the total number of 1,193 (amongst the 1,199 EC members, five EC members were both Hong Kong deputies to the NPC and LegCo Members, and one other EC member passed away before the CE Election). This represented $\mathbf{9 4 . 8 9 \%}$ of the voting electorate.

## CHAPTER 12

## THE COUNT FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE ELECTION

## Section 1 - Set up of the Central Counting Station

12.1 The counting of votes was centrally conducted at the counting station at Halls F and G on Level 5 of the HKCEC. There was a designated area in the central counting station for candidates, their agents and assistants, a designated area for the EC members and a public area for members of the public to observe the count. In case the seats in the public area of the central counting station were filled up, members of the public would be diverted to a reserve public gallery set up at the Chancellor's Room on Level 4 of the HKCEC to observe the counting process through live TV broadcast. Three rooms were reserved for the exclusive use of the three candidates and their agents. Large projector screens were set up in the central counting station so that those who did not sit near the counting zone could also observe the count clearly. To facilitate media coverage of the central counting process and the declaration of results by the RO, an area inside the counting station was reserved as the working area for the press. A Meet-the-Press Area was also designated for the CE-elect, the other two candidates, and the EAC Chairman to meet with the press after the declaration of election result.

## Section 2 - The Counting Arrangements

12.2 After the close of poll, the two ballot boxes containing ballot papers cast in the main polling station were delivered to the central counting station. No vote was cast in the DPS at the Happy Valley Police Station. The empty ballot box at the DPS was also transported to the counting station for opening.
12.3 The count was conducted in the presence of the candidates and their election or counting agents. They could observe the count outside the restricted zone surrounding the counting table. The ballot boxes were unsealed and opened by the RO and the process was witnessed by all those present in the counting zone.
12.4 Counting staff placed the valid ballot papers in separate plastic transparent boxes on the table according to the choice marked by the EC members. They passed the clearly invalid ballot papers and questionable ballot papers to the Counting Supervisor, the role of which was assumed by the PRO. The Counting Supervisor then placed these ballot papers in separate plastic transparent boxes. A total of 75 ballot papers that had been put into the ballot boxes were unmarked. All these clearly invalid ballot papers were not counted.

## Section 3 - Determination of Questionable Ballot Papers

12.5 Of the ballot papers cast into the ballot boxes, eight were identified as questionable. All candidates and their election/counting agents gathered in front of a table to observe the RO's determination of the validity of the questionable ballot papers. The RO was accompanied by Ms CHENG Pui-lan, Roxana, the ARO(Legal). During the process, for reasons of transparency and ease of inspection, the questionable ballot papers were projected onto a large screen individually with the aid of a visualiser. Seven ballot papers were determined as not valid and not to be counted, while one was determined as valid and to be counted. Thereafter, all valid votes (including the one previously considered questionable but determined by the RO as valid) were counted.

## Section 4 - The Result of the Count

12.6 At the end of the count, the ballot paper account was verified by checking against the summation of all valid votes cast for individual candidates, as well as invalid ballot papers. After the ballot paper account was verified, the RO made known the following counting result to the candidates or their agents:

Candidate

Candidate No. 1 - Mr LEUNG Chun-ying

Candidate No. 2 - Mr HO Chun-yan

Candidate No. 3 - Mr TANG Ying-yen, Henry 285
12.7 As no candidate requested a re-count, the RO declared the results publicly. The count commenced at about 11:55 am and completed at 12:34 pm. About 1 hour and 34 minutes were taken to produce the election result after the close of the poll. The RO officially announced the result at about 12:35 pm. As Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had obtained more than 600 valid votes (i.e. 689), the RO announced that he was elected as the fourth-term CE. The result was published in the Gazette on 25 March 2012. There was no need to trigger any contingency plan on the polling day.
12.8 An overall analysis of the ballot papers (including valid, invalid and questionable ones) in the election is at Appendix X.

## Section 5 - EAC Visits

12.9 As in other elections, the EAC Chairman and Members visited the main polling station and central counting station to observe the polling and counting process and gain first-hand information on the latest developments. Before the commencement of the polling hours for the first round of poll, the EAC arrived at the main polling station at 7:30 am to ensure that preparatory work for the poll was in order. The EAC met the media thereafter. After that, Professor Andrew Chan paid a visit to the DPS at Happy Valley Police Station. Thereafter, the EAC visited the main polling station again to observe the conduct of the poll at around 10:15 am. After the close of the poll, at about 11:50 am, the RO opened and emptied the ballot boxes with the help of the EAC Chairman and Members, SCMA and the Permanent Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs before the start of the count.
12.10 Subsequent to the stand-up sessions of the three candidates, the EAC met the media at around 3:00 pm at the meet-the-press area inside the central counting station. The Chairman expressed the EAC's satisfaction that the CE Election had been conducted in an open, fair and honest manner in accordance with the law. The Chairman also expressed his gratitude to all the parties concerned for the smooth conduct of the election.

## CHAPTER 13

## THE COMPLAINTS

## Section 1 - A General View

13.1 The complaint-handling mechanism is one of the means to safeguard the fairness and integrity of the electoral system. Some complaints revealed deficiencies in a number of areas of electoral arrangements and helped the EAC to bring about better arrangements for future elections. It also provides a monitoring system for candidates to exercise mutual checks among themselves and through these complaints, they will better understand the electoral law and guidelines. The EAC is committed to handling the complaints received fairly and efficiently and ensuring that the complaint-handling mechanism is not abused.

## Section 2 - The Election Committee Subsector Elections

13.2 The complaints-handling periods for the non-DC Subsectors and DC Subsectors for the ECSS Elections started from 8 November 2011 and 18 November 2011 respectively, i.e. the days when the respective nomination periods commenced, and ended on 25 January 2012, i.e. 45 days after the polling day on 11 December 2011. Instead of setting up a Complaints Committee as in some other elections, the EAC assumed the task of handling election-related complaints for the elections. Five different parties were responsible for processing the complaints received: the EAC, ROs, Police, ICAC and, on the polling day, the PROs as well. Members of the public could lodge their complaints to any of these parties. The EAC, supported by the EAC Secretariat, dealt with cases that were within its jurisdiction and not
covered by any statutory provisions involving criminal sanction. As the complaints for the elections could be complex in nature, the EAC appointed Ms Dorothy CHENG, Senior Assistant Law Officer (Civil Law)(Acting) from the DoJ, as the Legal Advisor for the purpose of providing legal advice in handling complaints relating to the elections. The ROs were delegated with the authority to handle those complaint cases that were less complicated. The Police handled cases that involved criminal sanction and the ICAC attended to cases that involved breaches of the ECICO and Prevention of Bribery Ordinance (Cap 201). The PROs handled complaints on the polling day at their respective polling stations and took immediate action on the spot on those cases which required immediate attention.
13.3 By the end of the complaints-handling periods, a total of 100 cases were received from the public by the EAC (56 cases), ROs (11 cases), Police (4 cases), ICAC (7 cases) and PROs (22 cases). Of these, 40 cases were received on the polling day by the EAC (13 cases), the ROs (2 cases), the Police (3 cases) and the PROs (22 cases). The majority of the cases concerned personal data privacy (20 cases) and election advertisements (13 cases). A detailed breakdown of these cases by the receiving party and nature is shown at Appendices $\mathbf{X I}(\mathbf{A )}$ - (B). The outcome of complaint cases investigated is summarised at Appendices XII(A) - (D).

## Section 3 - The Chief Executive Election

13.4 The complaints-handling period for the CE Election started on 3 January 2012 and ended on 9 May 2012, i.e. 45 days after the polling day on 25 March 2012. As in the case of ECSS Elections, the EAC directly handled complaints relating to the election and appointed Ms Dorothy CHENG, Senior Assistant Law Officer (Civil Law) (Acting) from the DoJ as the Legal Advisor
to provide legal advice as needed. The ICAC and the Police, collaborating with the EAC, also assisted in the handling of complaints within their respective purviews. On the polling day, the RO was delegated with the authority by the EAC to handle complaints received in the main polling station and required immediate action on the spot.
13.5 During the complaints-handling period, a total of 119 complaints were received by the EAC, Police and the ICAC. Among the 48 complaint cases received by the EAC, 14 cases were about media reporting. A breakdown of all these complaint cases by receiving party and nature is set out at Appendix XIII(A) - (B). The outcome of complaint cases investigated is summarised at Appendix XIV(A) - (C).

## Section 4 - Judicial Review

13.6 Mr Chan Yuk-lun had lodged an application for leave to apply for judicial review ("JR") to challenge the decision to declare that Mr Ho Chun-yan, Mr Tang Ying-yen Henry and Mr Leung Chun-ying were the candidates validly nominated for the 2012 CE Election on the ground that they were not qualified for nomination for the CE Election. The Court of First Instance handed down its judgment on 22 March 2012 and refused to grant leave to the JR application.

## CHAPTER 14

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Section 1 - A General Remark

14.1 The EAC is generally satisfied with the smooth conduct of both the 2011 ECSS Elections and the 2012 CE Election, which were organised in an open, fair and honest manner. After the completion of both elections, the EAC, following past practices, conducted a comprehensive review of all aspects of the electoral procedures and arrangements with a view to improving the conduct of future elections. The significant areas under review and the related recommendations are set out in the ensuing paragraphs.

## Section 2 - Review and Recommendations

## The 2011 ECSS Elections

## (I) Matters relating to Preparation Work

(A) Setting up of polling stations
14.2 There were several complaints from voters that the polling stations assigned to them were not conveniently located. Some voters pointed out that the number of polling stations set up for the 2011 ECSS Elections were far less than the number of polling stations set up for the 2011 DC Election. They considered that the inconvenient locations of the polling stations might discourage voters to vote.

## Recommendation:

14.3 The EAC noted that in view of the relatively small electorate of the 2011 ECSS Elections, the number of polling stations set up in the elections were understandably much smaller than that in the general/ordinary elections of the LegCo and DC. While the established arrangement had ensured that voters were assigned to vote at polling stations which were close to their registered residential address, it was inevitable that some voters would have to travel longer distance to their assigned polling stations to cast votes when compared to their experience in the 2011 DC Election. It was also unavoidable that many voters could not be assigned the same polling stations which they used to go to for casting votes in the past DC and LegCo elections. The EAC further noted that, in some isolated incidents, more conveniently located venues had indeed been identified by the REO for setting up polling stations but, for various reasons, could not be made available on the polling day. The EAC would like to take the opportunity to appeal to voters for their understanding of the constraints and difficulties faced by the REO in the search for suitable venues for setting up polling stations. More importantly, it would be neither realistic nor cost-effective to make available the same number of polling stations as in the case of a LegCo general election or a DC ordinary election, given the relatively small size of the ECSS electorate. In any case, it is worth noting that the average number of voters assigned to a polling station in the ECSS Elections is only about one-third of that in the 2011 DC Election. Nevertheless, to the extent possible and reasonable, the REO would continue to make every effort to set up polling stations at conveniently-located venues for voters to cast votes in future elections.
(B) Election deposit
14.4 A candidate of the DC subsector submitted his nomination paper together with the required election deposit in the form of cheque to the RO on 22 November 2011, notwithstanding that candidates had been strongly advised to hand in the election deposit in cash or by cashier order if they submitted the nomination in the last three working days of the nomination period to avoid the risk of invalidation of the nomination due to dishonoured cheque. Due to the time required for bank-in arrangements and cheque clearance, the Treasury could only confirm with the REO on 25 November 2011 that the cheque was dishonoured. As nomination already closed on 24 November 2011, the candidate was unable to make good the deposit before the close of nomination. On the advice of the NAC, the nomination of the candidate was therefore ruled not valid by the RO.

## Recommendation:

14.5 To avoid the risk of nomination being rendered not valid as a result of dishonoured cheque, the EAC considers that in future elections, the REO should continue to remind nominees through appropriate channels of the advantage of making the election deposit in cash or by cashier order. Nominees should be made aware of the unwarranted risk of dishonoured cheque and, subsequently, lack of time to make good the nomination at stake.

## (II) Matters relating to Operational Aspects

## (C) Quoting the name of an organisation as political affiliation in Introduction to Candidates

14.6 A complaint had been received from an organisation against a candidate for quoting the name of an organisation under the entry of political affiliation in the Introduction to Candidates without its prior consent. At the time when the complaint was received, the Introduction to Candidates had not been distributed. Subsequently, as a result of clarification with the candidate concerned, the candidate agreed to remove such information. The REO then revised the relevant Introduction to Candidates for distribution to voters.

## Recommendation:

14.7 The EAC considers it important to provide voters with correct information in the Introduction to Candidates. To forestall the recurrence of the above incident in future elections, the REO should remind the candidates in the Guide on Completion of Grid Paper of the need to obtain the prior consent of an organisation before quoting its name in the entry of political affiliation in the Introduction to Candidates.
(D) Delivery of ballot boxes to the counting tables
14.8 In the 2006 ECSS Election, there were concerns about the time taken for the delivery of ballot boxes from ballot box reception and deposit areas to the counting zones as they were located separately on different floors of the HKCEC. Hence, the EAC made a recommendation in the Report on the 2007

CE Election that the central counting station should be large enough to accommodate both the counting zones and the ballot box reception and deposit areas on the same floor. Taking into account the aforesaid recommendation, the REO secured Halls B, C, D and E on Level 3 of the HKCEC, which was large enough to accommodate both the ballot box reception and deposit areas and the counting zones, to serve as the central counting station for the 2011 ECSS Elections.

## Recommendation:

14.9 The arrangement for accommodating both the counting zones and the ballot box reception and deposit areas on the same floor in the 2011 ECSS Elections had contributed significantly to the quick delivery of the ballot boxes from the ballot box reception and deposit areas to the counting zones, thus facilitating the early commencement of the count. The EAC considers the aforesaid set-up conducive to the smooth and efficient conduct of vote counting and should continue to be adopted in future elections, such as the LegCo Election, which require the setting up of a central counting station.

## (E) Design of ballot paper

14.10 In view of the large number of candidates in some subsectors, some candidates enquired as to whether arrangements could be put in place in the 2011 ECSS Elections to allow emblems or other particulars to be shown against individual candidates on the ballot papers for easy identification, as in the DC and LegCo elections. Such arrangements would facilitate voters to identify the candidates of their choice when marking the ballot papers.
14.11 The REO considered it technically infeasible to accede to the request having regard to the large number of candidates (ranging from 19 to 164) contesting for individual subsectors in the 2011 ECSS Elections and the operational need to have all the essential identification particulars of candidates (such as the candidate numbers and names of all candidates) to be printed on one side of the ballot paper to facilitate the reading by OMR.
14.12 As a possible way to facilitate voters to mark the ballot papers, the EAC Chairman stated, when meeting the media after touring a mock polling station on 7 December 2011, that voters could bring along a paper listing the candidate numbers of their choices for easy reference when marking the ballot papers, provided that they would not show that paper to other persons inside the polling station and, they would not leave behind that paper when leaving the polling station. Besides, all polling stations would have the Introduction to Candidates of the various subsectors for reference by voters. Subsequently, a press release was issued to widely publicise the above message and other important points to note by voters.

## Recommendation:

14.13 Given the current design of the ECSS Elections, it is not feasible to accommodate more particulars pertaining to candidates on the ballot papers. The best possible advice has been given to voters on the marking of ballot papers in the circumstances, as explained in paragraph 14.12 above. Such advice should continue to be taken into consideration in conducting future elections of the ECSS.

## (F) Provision of address labels to candidates

14.14 For environmental protection, the REO had continued with the established practice of providing address labels of voters to candidates for free postage of EAs only upon their request. Also, address labels of those voters who had provided their email addresses for receiving EAs were not printed and distributed to candidates. Out of the 1,577 validly nominated candidates, 854 candidates requested address labels and/or Candidate Mailing Label System ("CMLS") CD-ROM. However, 143 candidates failed to collect the requested items eventually. In addition, quite a number of candidates had used only a small amount or even none of the address labels received from the REO and returned the unused address labels to the REO for disposal afterwards.

## Recommendation:

14.15 In future elections, the REO should make stronger appeal to candidates that to avoid wastage, they should plan and consider carefully whether and how they would need the address labels for sending out EAs.
(G) Inaccurate information on address labels and Candidate Mailing Label System CD-ROM
14.16 The 2011 DC Election was held about one month before the 2011 ECSS Elections. Newly elected DC members could only be registered in the two DC subsectors and relevant voters information could only be updated after the DC Election held in November 2011. Some candidates of the DC subsectors reported that out-of-date information on voters was found in the address labels and CMLS CD-ROM distributed to them. The REO launched an investigation into the case promptly and found that the incorrect information
had been included in the aforesaid electoral materials due to a technical error made when updating the database of voters information. As a remedial measure, the REO immediately produced an updated version of the address labels and the CMLS CD-ROM for replacement and distribution to the candidates.

## Recommendation:

14.17 The EAC considers that it is an isolated incident due to a technical error. To forestall the recurrence of similar incidents in future, the REO should enhance the checking process to ensure the accuracy of information before the production of the address labels and CMLS CD-ROM for distribution to the candidates.
(H) Deployment of ballot box tracking system
14.18 A new dedicated computer system namely the BBTS was developed for the 2011 ECSS Elections with a view to enhancing the efficiency and monitoring of the counting process. The system had performed useful functions in registering the arrival of ballot boxes from polling stations, facilitating the allocation of ballot boxes to sorting tables with reference to the size of the polling stations, and tracking the progress in respect of sorting of ballot papers at individual sorting tables. The system was developed and fully tested several months before the Elections. Further on-site tests were conducted before the full operation of the system in the central counting station on the polling day.

## Recommendation:

14.19 The BBTS had enhanced the efficiency of the counting process of the 2011 ECSS Elections and therefore should be used again in future elections. Also, the REO should explore the possibility of deploying the BBTS with suitable modifications in the 2012 LegCo Election, in which all the ballot papers of the Functional Constituencies would be counted in a central counting station.

## The 2012 CE Election

## Matters relating to Preparation Work

(A) Polling hours and advice to EC members
14.20 The duration of the polling hours of the first round of poll was kept at two hours from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. having regard to the increase in the number of EC members from 800 to 1,200. Although EC members were familiar with the location of the HKCEC where the main polling station was situated, they were strongly encouraged to arrive well before 10:00 a.m. (i.e. one hour before the close of the first round of voting) to avoid possible bunching towards the end of the polling time or any delay caused by other unforeseen circumstances on the polling day. A waiting lobby was designated outside the main polling station for early arrivals and admission to the waiting lobby commenced at 8:00 a.m. on the polling day. The appeal to arrive early at the main polling station was generally well heeded by EC members and proved to be very effective as over $50 \%$ of the EC members arrived at the main polling station by around 10:00 a.m. on the polling day.

## Recommendation:

14.21 The arrangements set out in paragraph 14.20 above were conducive to the smooth conduct of the poll and should continue to be adopted in future elections.
(B) Name badges with barcodes for verification
14.22 Following the practice adopted in the 2007 CE Election, a name badge and a polling notice were provided to all EC members prior to the poll. To enhance the venue security, a barcode was printed on each name badge which would be scanned before allowing an EC member to pass through the access control points in the HKCEC. Only a few EC members had not brought along their name badges to the main polling station and needed to be provided with replacement badges.
14.23 Having regard to the increase in the number of EC members, the number of ballot paper issuing desks was increased from 22 to 33, grouped into six color zones. To facilitate polling staff to usher an EC member to the right ballot paper issuing desk efficiently, the relevant color zone, in addition to the code of the ballot paper issuing desk allocated to the EC member, was also printed at the lower part of the name badge.

## Recommendation:

14.24 The requirement that EC members had to display the aforesaid name badges had facilitated the verification work and ensured the smooth access of EC members to the HKCEC. Also, the measure set out in paragraph 14.23 had in particular helped a lot to expedite the process of directing EC members to the
right ballot paper issuing desks. Therefore, similar arrangements should be put in place in future elections.
(C) Traffic control and designation of drop-off and pick-up points
14.25 Having regard to the limited parking spaces available for use at the HKCEC and the busy traffic nearby, the REO had encouraged EC members to go to the main polling station by public transport. Special arrangements were also made in collaboration with the Police and the HKCEC to ensure the smooth vehicular traffic at the venue. EC members arriving at the HKCEC in private vehicles or taxis would be directed to use the designated drop-off points clearly marked on a transportation map sent to each EC member for reference before the polling day. To avoid congestion during the polling hours, EC members were advised beforehand that no vehicles would be allowed to pick up passengers at the designated drop-off points. Instead, other locations at the HKCEC were designated as pick-up points for use by private vehicles driven to pick up EC members. To facilitate vehicular traffic control near the HKCEC, a vehicle entry permit was also given to EC members so that those who preferred to come in private vehicles could display the permit on the windscreen of their vehicles for identification purpose. EC members were also requested to complete a survey form to provide the REO with information on their mode of transport to the main polling station to facilitate an early assessment of the likely traffic condition on the polling day.

## Recommendation:

14.26 As the arrangements mentioned in paragraph 14.25 above had contributed substantially to the effective regulation of traffic in the vicinity of the HKCEC on the polling day and had facilitated EC members to assess how
they should plan and make their trips to the venue, the EAC considers that there is merit in putting in place similar arrangements in future elections.
(D) Order in the main polling station
14.27 In the appeal letters sent to all EC members together with the polling notice, they were reminded of the behavior which was prohibited inside the main polling station. To reinforce the message on secrecy of votes and, in particular, to remind EC members that showing ballot papers or the votes thereon to others inside the polling station would contravene the law, special emphasis was given to the prohibition by law in the aforesaid appeal letter. Specifically, EC members were reminded not to communicate with others inside the main polling station, in particular not to show their vote on the ballot paper to others and to film, take photograph or make any audio or video recording. They were also reminded not to remove a ballot paper from the main polling station. When entering the main polling station, EC members were further reminded to switch off their mobile phone by REO staff.

## Recommendation:

14.28 The EAC considers it necessary to remind EC members of the need to follow relevant rules and regulations in the main polling station in order to ensure an orderly process. The EAC is of the view that the measures set out in paragraph 14.27 above are appropriate and recommends that they should be adopted again, as applicable, in future elections.

## Section 3 - Publication of the Report

14.29 The EAC recommends that this report be made public, at a time the CE thinks appropriate, so that the public may be kept posted as to how the EAC conducted and supervised the 2011 ECSS Elections and the 2012 CE Election.

## CHAPTER 15

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

15.1 Both the 2011 ECSS Elections and the 2012 CE Election have been smoothly conducted. The EAC attributes this to the dedicated and concerted efforts of all parties involved in the preparation for and conduct of the elections.
15.2 The EAC would like to express its gratitude to the following organisations, and government bureaux and departments for their valuable assistance and unflagging support:

Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department
Auxiliary Medical Service
Civil Aid Service
Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau
Correctional Services Department
Customs and Excise Department
Department of Justice
Drainage Services Department
Electrical and Mechanical Services Department
Food and Environmental Hygiene Department
Government Logistics Department
Highways Department
Home Affairs Bureau
Home Affairs Department
Hong Kong Observatory
Hong Kong Police Force
Hongkong Post

Housing Department<br>Immigration Department<br>Independent Commission Against Corruption<br>Information Services Department<br>Judiciary<br>Lands Department<br>Leisure and Cultural Services Department<br>Marine Department<br>Office of the Government Chief Information Officer<br>Official Languages Division of the Civil Service Bureau<br>Radio Television Hong Kong<br>Transport Department

15.3 The EAC is grateful to the RO and AROs for the CE Election, the ROs, AROs and NACs for the ECSS Elections, and the legal advisors for the enquiry service on the guidelines and for handling complaints relating to the CE Election. The EAC would like to thank those polling and counting staff who conscientiously performed their duties and dutifully followed the relevant operational procedures. The EAC is also thankful to staff of the REO, who provided unfailing support in the planning and conduct of the two elections.
15.4 The EAC also wishes to express its appreciation for the work of the media. Wide coverage of the activities relating to the ECSS Elections and the CE Election has indeed contributed much to enhancing the public understanding and transparency of the elections.
15.5 Last but not least, the EAC wishes to acknowledge its gratitude towards those who have complied with the electoral legislation and guidelines throughout the elections and those who exercised their civic duty to cast their
votes at the ECSS Elections and the CE Election.

## CHAPTER 16

## LOOKING FORWARD

16.1 The 2011 ECSS Elections and the 2012 CE Election were smoothly concluded in an open, honest and fair manner. The EAC was satisfied with the overall electoral arrangements.
16.2 At the time of finalising this report, the EAC is heavily engaged in the preparation of the 2012 LegCo Election to be held on 9 September 2012.
16.3 The EAC remains committed to fulfilling its mission of safeguarding the integrity of the public elections in Hong Kong. It will continue with its efforts in keeping a vigilant watch over every election to ensure that openness, fairness and honesty are upheld. The EAC welcomes positive and constructive comments to bring about improvements to future elections.

## Number of Members for the Election Committee, its Sectors and Subsectors

## FIRST SECTOR

ItemSubsector

1. Catering ..... 17
2. Commercial (First) ..... 18
3. Commercial (Second) ..... 18
4. Employers' Federation of Hong Kong ..... 16
5. Finance ..... 18
6. Financial Services ..... 18
7. Hong Kong Chinese Enterprises Association ..... 16
8. Hotel ..... 17
9. Import and Export ..... 18
10. Industrial (First) ..... 18
11. Industrial (Second) ..... 18
12. Insurance ..... 18
13. Real Estate and Construction ..... 18
14. Textiles and Garment ..... 18
15. Tourism ..... 18
16. Transport ..... 18
17. Wholesale and Retail ..... 18300
SECOND SECTOR
Item
Subsector
No. of members
18. Accountancy ..... 30
19. Architectural, Surveying and Planning ..... 30
20. Chinese Medicine ..... 30
21. Education ..... 30
22. Engineering ..... 30
23. Health Services ..... 30
24. Higher Education ..... 30
25. Information Technology ..... 30
26. Legal ..... 30
27. Medical ..... 30
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## THIRD SECTOR

Item
Subsector

1. Agriculture and Fisheries
2. Labour
3. Religious
4. Social Welfare
5. Sports, Performing Arts, Culture and Publication

60
300

## FOURTH SECTOR

Item
Subsector
Constituents
No. of members starting
$\frac{\text { from February } 2012}{\text { (Note 1) }}$

|  |  |  | ```February to September 2012``` | Starting from October 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | National People's Congress ("NPC") | Hong Kong deputies to the NPC | 36 | 36 |
| 2. | Legislative Council | Members of the Legislative Council | 60 | 70 |
| 3. | Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference ("СРРCC") | Hong Kong members of the National Committee of the СРРСС | 55 | 51 |
| 4. | Heung Yee Kuk | Chairman and Vice-chairmen of the Heung Yee Kuk and the Ex-Officio, Special and Co-opted Councillors of the Full Council of the Heung Yee Kuk | 28 | 26 |
| 5. | Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils ("DCs") | Elected members of the Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils ${ }^{\text {(Note 2) }}$ | 59 | 57 |
| 6. | New Territories District Councils | Elected members of the New Territories District Councils ${ }^{\text {(Note 2) }}$ | 62 | 60 |
|  |  |  | 300 |  |

Note 1: 10 Special Member seats will be created temporarily to make up the difference of ten seats until the number of LegCo seats increases from 60 to 70 in October 2012. Four seats of Special Member will be allocated to the CPPCC subsector, two to Heung Yee Kuk subsector, two to Hong Kong and Kowloon DCs subsector and two to New Territories DCs subsector.

Note 2: These members refer to the elected members of the DCs for the new term of office starting from 1 January 2012.

## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections Breakdown of Voters for Election Committee Subsectors

| Subsector |  | No. of Voters Registered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bodies <br> (i) | Individuals <br> (ii) | Total (i)+(ii) |
| First Sector |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Catering | 728 | 7,206 | 7,934 |
| 2 | Commercial (First) | 860 | --- | 860 |
| 3 | Commercial (Second) | 662 | 1,121 | 1,783 |
| 4 | Employers' Federation of Hong Kong | 122 | --- | 122 |
| 5 | Finance | 125 | --- | 125 |
| 6 | Financial Services | 568 | --- | 568 |
| 7 | Hong Kong Chinese Enterprises Association | 306 | 15 | 321 |
| 8 | Hotel | 101 | --- | 101 |
| 9 | Import and Export | 806 | 628 | 1,434 |
| 10 | Industrial (First) | 610 | 0 | 610 |
| 11 | Industrial (Second) | 695 | --- | 695 |
| 12 | Insurance | 135 | --- | 135 |
| 13 | Real Estate and Construction | 482 | 272 | 754 |
| 14 | Textiles and Garment | 3,055 | 133 | 3,188 |
| 15 | Tourism | 1,118 | --- | 1,118 |
| 16 | Transport | 201 | --- | 201 |
| 17 | Wholesale and Retail | 1,795 | 5,084 | 6,879 |
|  | Sub-total | 12,369 | 14,459 | 26,828 |
| Second Sector |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Accountancy | --- | 24,630 | 24,630 |
| 2 | Architectural, Surveying and Planning | --- | 6,778 | 6,778 |
| 3 | Chinese Medicine | --- | 5,864 | 5,864 |
| 4 | Education | --- | 86,618 | 86,618 |
| 5 | Engineering | --- | 9,052 | 9,052 |
| 6 | Health Services | --- | 39,128 | 39,128 |
| 7 | Higher Education | --- | 9,106 | 9,106 |
| 8 | Information Technology | 347 | 5,175 | 5,522 |
| 9 | Legal | --- | 6,583 | 6,583 |
| 10 | Medical | --- | 11,118 | 11,118 |
|  | Sub-total | 347 | 204,052 | 204,399 |
| Third Sector |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Agriculture and Fisheries | 159 | --- | 159 |
| 2 | Labour | 626 | --- | 626 |
| 3 | Social Welfare | 277 | 14,152 | 14,429 |
| 4 | Sports, Performing Arts, Culture and Publication | 2,149 | 209 | 2,358 |
|  | Sub-total | 3,211 | 14,361 | 17,572 |
| Fourth Sector |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference | --- | 141 | 141 |
| 2 | Heung Yee Kuk | --- | 147 | 147 |
| 3 | Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | --- | 200 | 200 |
| 4 | New Territories District Councils | --- | 212 | 212 |
| Sub-total |  | --- | 700 | 700 |
|  | TOTAL | 15,927 | 233,572 | 249,499 |
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## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections

 Breakdown of Election Committee Members on the Final Register| Name of Subsector |  | No. of Members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Sector |  |  |
| 1 | Catering | 17 |
| 2 | Commercial (First) | 18 |
| 3 | Commercial (Second) | 18 |
| 4 | Employers' Federation of Hong Kong | 16 |
| 5 | Finance | 18 |
| 6 | Financial Services | 18 |
| 7 | Hong Kong Chinese Enterprises Association | 16 |
| 8 | Hotel | 17 |
| 9 | Import and Export | 18 |
| 10 | Industrial (First) | 18 |
| 11 | Industrial (Second) | 18 |
| 12 | Insurance | 18 |
| 13 | Real Estate and Construction | 18 |
| 14 | Textiles and Garment | 18 |
| 15 | Tourism | 18 |
| 16 | Transport | 18 |
| 17 | Wholesale and Retail | 18 |
|  | Sub-total | 300 |
| Second Sector |  |  |
| 1 | Accountancy | 30 |
| 2 | Architectural, Surveying and Planning | 30 |
| 3 | Chinese Medicine | 30 |
| 4 | Education | 30 |
| 5 | Engineering | 30 |
| 6 | Health Services | 30 |
| 7 | Higher Education | 30 |
| 8 | Information Technology | 30 |
| 9 | Legal | 30 |
| 10 | Medical | 30 |
|  | Sub-total | 300 |


| Name of Subsector |  | No. of Members |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Third Sector |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Agriculture and Fisheries | 60 |  |  |
| 2 | Labour | 60 |  |  |
| 3 | Religious | 60 |  |  |
| 4 | Social Welfare | 60 |  |  |
| 5 | Sports, Performing Arts, Culture and Publication | $59^{@}$ |  |  |
| Sub-total |  | $\mathbf{2 9 9}$ |  |  |
| Fourth Sector |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | National People’s Congress ("NPC") | $36^{*}$ |  |  |
| 2 | Legislative Council ("LegCo") | $60^{*}$ |  |  |
| 3 | Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference | 55 |  |  |
| 4 | Heung Yee Kuk | 28 |  |  |
| 5 | Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | 59 |  |  |
| 6 | New Territories District Councils | 62 |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 9 9 *}$ |

@ The number of elected members (i.e. 59) of the Sports, Performing Arts, Culture and Publication subsector is less than the number of seats allocated to this subsector (i.e. 60).

* There are 5 persons who are both Hong Kong deputies to the NPC and Members of the LegCo. Their names are recorded in both subsectors on the final register. The Election Committee final register therefore contained a total number of 1194 members.


## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections List of Returning Officers and Assistant Returning Officers

## (I) Returning Officers

| Name of Subsector | Name | Post ${ }^{\text {Note } 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accountancy | Miss LI Sau-fung, Natalie | Principal Assistant Secretary <br> (Financial Services)5, <br> Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau |
| Agriculture and Fisheries | Mr FUNG Ho-yin, Owin | Principal Assistant Secretary (Food)3, Food and Health Bureau |
| Architectural, Surveying and Planning | Mrs KANG LEUNG Wing-yee, Winnie | Principal Assistant Secretary (Harbour), Development Bureau |
| Catering | Miss WONG Shuk-han, Diane (Note 2) | Principal Assistant Secretary (Food)2, Food and Health Bureau |
| Chinese Medicine | Ms CHEUNG Yi, Eureka | Principal Assistant Secretary (Health)3, Food and Health Bureau |
| Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference | Mr CHAN Shui-fu, Andy, JP | Assistant Director (1), Home Affairs Department |
| Commercial (First) <br> Commercial (Second) | Mrs YEUNG CHAN Wai-man, Candy | Principal Assistant Secretary (Commerce and Industry)1, Commerce and Economic Development Bureau |
| Education | Ms HO Lai-sheung, Cora | Principal Assistant Secretary (Ed Infrastructure), Education Bureau |
| Employers' Federation of Hong Kong | Mr CHAN Chun-tak, Nicholas | Assistant Commissioner (Policy Support), Labour Department |
| Engineering | Miss WONG Hoi-wan, Charmaine | Principal Assistant Secretary (Works)1, Development Bureau |
| Finance | Miss LI Sau-fung, Natalie | Principal Assistant Secretary <br> (Financial Services)5, <br> Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau |
| Financial Services | Miss KWAN Belinda | Principal Assistant Secretary <br> (Financial Services)1, <br> Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau |

Note 1: The appointment is post-tied instead of on a personal basis.
Note 2: Miss WONG Shuk-han, Diane was also appointed as the Chief Returning Officer to assist in supervising the operation of the central counting station on the polling day.

## (I) Returning Officers (cont'd)

| Name of Subsector | Name | Post |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Services | Ms CHEUNG King-sing, Estrella | Principal Assistant Secretary (Health)1, <br> Food and Health Bureau |
| Heung Yee Kuk | Mr CHAN Shui-fu, Andy, JP | Assistant Director (1), Home Affairs Department |
| Higher Education | Ms WONG Pui-man, Amy (till 9 October 2011) Mr LAU Ka-ki, Wallace (with effect from 10 October 2011) Note 3 | Principal Assistant Secretary (Higher Education), Education Bureau |
| Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | Miss FU Ha-man, Dora, JP | Assistant Director (3), Home Affairs Department |
| Hong Kong Chinese Enterprises Association | Miss AU Wai-sum, Winsome | Assistant Director-General of Trade and Industry (Mainland), <br> Trade and Industry Department |
| Hotel | Ms Ann CHAN | Assistant Commissioner ( Tourism)1, Commerce and Economic Development Bureau |
| Import and Export | Ms CHEUNG Shuk-ting, Wendy | Principal Assistant Secretary (Commerce and Industry)4, Commerce and Economic Development Bureau |
| Industrial (First) <br> Industrial (Second) | Ms SO Pik-shan, Linda | Assistant Director-General of Trade and Industry (Industries Support), Trade and Industry Department |
| Information Technology | Mr CHENG Kin, Kenneth | Assistant Government Chief Information Officer (E-government Service Delivery), Commerce and Economic Development Bureau |
| Insurance | Miss KWAN Belinda | Principal Assistant Secretary <br> (Financial Services)1, <br> Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau |
| Labour | Mr CHAN Chun-tak, Nicholas | Assistant Commissioner (Policy Support), Labour Department |
| Legal | Ms LAW Chui-mei, Ivy | Principal Assistant Secretary (Conduct and Discipline), Civil Service Bureau |
| Medical | Ms LEE Chung-yan, Angela | Principal Assistant Secretary (Health)2, Food and Health Bureau |
| New Territories District Councils | Miss FU Ha-man, Dora, JP | Assistant Director (3), Home Affairs Department |

Note 3: Mr LAU Ka-ki, Wallace replaced Ms WONG Pui-man, Amy with effect from 10 October 2011.

## (I) Returning Officers (cont'd)

| Name of Subsector | Name | Post |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real Estate and <br> Construction | Mrs KANG LEUNG <br> Wing-yee, Winnie | Principal Assistant Secretary (Harbour), <br> Development Bureau |
| Religious | Miss TSE Wing-yee, Winnie | Principal Assistant Secretary (Civic Affairs)3, <br> Home Affairs Bureau |
| Social Welfare | Mr KOK Wai-fan, Franco <br> Principal Assistant Secretary (Poverty), <br> Labour and Welfare Bureau |  |
| Culture and Publication | Mr LAW Sun-on, Gilford <br> (till 6 November 2011) <br> Ms MAK Tse-ling, Elaine <br> (with effect from 7 November <br> 2011) Note 4 | Principal Assistant Secretary (Culture)1, <br> Home Affairs Bureau |
| Textiles and Garment | Miss AU Wai-sum, Winsome | Assistant Director-General of Trade and <br> Industry (Mainland), <br> Trade and Industry Department |
| Tourism | Ms Ann CHAN | Assistant Commissioner (Tourism)1, <br> Commerce and Economic Development <br> Bureau |
| Transport | Ms CHAN Yuen-han, Jenny | Principal Assistant Secretary (Transport)8, <br> Transport and Housing Bureau |
| Wholesale and Retail | Ms CHEUNG Shuk-ting, <br> Wendy | Principal Assistant Secretary <br> (Commerce and Industry)4, <br> Commerce and Economic Development |

## (II) Assistant Returning Officers



## (II) Assistant Returning Officers (cont’d)

| Name of Subsector | Name | Post |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | Mr WONG Man-chung, Indiana | Administrative Officer (3)1, Home Affairs Department |
| Hong Kong Chinese Enterprises Association | Mr CHEUNG Chun-yu, Jerry (till 12 December 2011) Miss WONG Ka-man, Amy (with effect from 13 December 2011) Note 5 | Principal Trade Officer (Multilateral)8, (European), <br> Trade and Industry Department |
| Hotel | Miss LEUNG Tsz-ying, Almaz | Senior Administrative Officer (Tourism)1, Commerce and Economic Development Bureau |
| Import and Export | Miss WONG Pui-sum, Cherry | Assistant Secretary (Commerce and Industry) 1 A , Commerce and Economic Development Bureau |
| Industrial (First) | Ms LIU Angela | Principal Trade Officer (Committee and Development), Trade and Industry Department |
| Information Technology | Mr LEUNG Chee-kay, David (till 23 October 2011) Mr LO Wing-hong, Bernard (with effect from 24 October 2011) Note 6 | Senior Administrative Officer (E-government Service Delivery)8, Commerce and Economic Development Bureau |
| Insurance | Mr LAM Sze-loong, Adrian | Assistant Secretary <br> (Financial Services)SD1, <br> Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau |
| Labour | Miss LAM Chin-kiu, Crystal | Senior Administrative Officer (Policy Support), <br> Labour Department |
| Legal | Ms WAI Yee-yan, Christine | Assistant Secretary (Manpower), Civil Service Bureau |
| Medical | Mr SHIN Chi-mo, Martin | Chief Executive Officer (Health)1, Food and Health Bureau |
| New Territories District Councils | Mr WONG Man-chung, Indiana | Administrative Officer (3)1, Home Affairs Department |
| Real Estate and Construction | Mr TO Yick-ting, Justin | Assistant Secretary (Buildings)2, Development Bureau |

Note 5: Miss WONG Ka-man, Amy replaced Mr CHEUNG Chun-yu, Jerry with effect from 13 December 2011. Note 6: Mr LO Wing-hong, Bernard replaced Mr LEUNG Chee-kay, David with effect from 24 October 2011.

## (II) Assistant Returning Officers (cont’d)

| Name of Subsector | Name | Post |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Religious | Mr LAW Hok-ming, Herman | Assistant Secretary (3)2, <br> Home Affairs Bureau |
| Social Welfare | Miss LEUNG Man-shan, Neve | Assistant Secretary (Rehabilitation), <br> Labour and Welfare Bureau |
| Sports, Performing Arts, <br> Culture and Publication | Miss TSOI Yuen-san, Venus | Assistant Secretary <br> (Recreation and Sport)2, <br> Home Affairs Bureau |
| Textiles and Garment | Mr CHEUNG Chun-yu, Jerry <br> (till 12 December 2011) <br> Miss WONG Ka-man, Amy <br> (with effect from 13 December <br> 2011) | Note 5 <br> (Eincipal Trade Officer (Multilateral)8, <br> Trade and Industry Department |
| Tourism | Miss LEUNG Tsz-ying, Almaz |  | | Senior Administrative Officer |
| :--- |
| (Tourism)1, |
| Commerce and Economic Development |
| Bureau |, | Mssistant Secretary (Transport)8B, |
| :--- |
| Transport and Housing Bureau |

## (III) Assistant Returning Officers (Legal)

| (A) Name of Subsector | Name | Post |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accountancy | Mr CHEUNG Siu-nam, Rex | Senior Government Counsel, <br> Department of Justice |
| Architectural, Surveying <br> and Planning | Mr SUEN Wai-chung | Deputy Principal Government Counsel, <br> Department of Justice |
| Catering | Miss CHAN Yee-tung, Esther | Government Counsel, <br> Department of Justice |
| Chinese Medicine | Mrs POON AU Suk-ying, <br> Sandy | Senior Legal Aid Counsel, <br> Legal Aid Department |
| Chinese People’s Political <br> Consultative Conference | Mr TAM Man-kam | Senior Solicitor, <br> Land Registry |
| Commercial (First) | Miss TSAO Chiao-yin, Evelyn | Government Counsel, <br> Department of Justice |

Note 5: Miss WONG Ka-man, Amy replaced Mr CHEUNG Chun-yu, Jerry with effect from 13 December 2011.
(III) Assistant Returning Officers (Legal) (cont'd)

| (A) Name of Subsector | Name | Post |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education | Mr CHEUNG Ka-wai, Kelvin | Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Engineering | Ms NG Shuk-kuen, Laura | Senior Public Prosecutor, Department of Justice |
| Financial Services | Mr WONG Wai-chung, Wesley | Principal Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Health Services | Miss LUK Wai-nga, Vienne | Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Heung Yee Kuk | Mr MO Sik-keung, Gilbert | Principal Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Higher Education | Dr YUNG Kin-chung, Boyce | Acting Senior Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | Mr SUEN Sze-yick | Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Hotel | Ms POON Hon-ying, Phyllis | Senior Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Information Technology | Miss WONG Man-ni, Minnie | Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Insurance | Ms WONG Wai-yi, Florence | Solicitor, Land Registry |
| Labour | Miss KWOK Ka-ying, Gloria | Acting Senior Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Legal | Mr LO Hing-cheung, Francis | Deputy Principal Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Medical | Mr KWONG Cho-yan, Simon | Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| New Territories District Councils | Mr TAM Yiu-ho, William | Acting Principal Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Social Welfare | Mr CHAN Lap-yan, Winston | Senior Public Prosecutor, Department of Justice |
| Tourism | Ms LI Yuen-yee, Angel | Deputy Principal Solicitor, Land Registry |
| Transport | Miss POON Wing-shan, Carmen | Government Counsel, Department of Justice |
| Wholesale and Retail | Miss SIU Wing-sze, Cecilia | Senior Government Counsel, Department of Justice |

(III) Assistant Returning Officers (Legal) (cont'd)

| $(B)$ Legal Advisory Team | Name | Post |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Ms CHENG Pui-lan, Roxana | Principal Government Counsel, <br> Department of Justice |
|  | Ms CHENG Tai-ngar, Dorothy | Acting Deputy Principal Government <br> Counsel, <br> Department of Justice |

2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections
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## Breakdown of Validly Nominated Candidates

(A) For uncontested subsector / sub-subsector :

| (i) Name of Subsector | Number of Validly <br> Nominated <br> Candidates | Number of Seats |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Agriculture and Fisheries | 60 | 60 |
| 2. Commercial (Second) | 18 | 18 |
| 3. Employers' Federation of Hong Kong | 16 | 16 |
| 4. Finance | 18 | 18 |
| 5. Hong Kong Chinese Enterprises Association | 16 | 16 |
| 6. Import and Export | 18 | 18 |
| 7. Industrial (First) | 18 | 18 |
| 8. Industrial (Second) | 18 | 18 |
| 9. Real Estate and Construction | 18 | 18 |
| 10. Textiles and Garment | 18 | 18 |
| (ii) Name of Sub-subsector |  |  |
| 11. Performing Arts | 15 | 15 |
| 12. Publication | 15 | 15 |
| 13. Sports | 15 | 15 |
| 14. Culture | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 15 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 8}$ |

(B) For contested subsector :

| Name of Subsector | Number of Validly Nominated Candidates | Number of Seats ${ }^{\text {(Note })}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | February to September 2012 | Starting from October 2012 |
| 1. Accountancy | 65 | 30 | 30 |
| 2. Architectural, Surveying and Planning | 78 | 30 | 30 |
| 3. Catering | 34 | 17 | 17 |
| 4. Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference | 55 | 55 | 51 |
| 5. Commercial (First) | 21 | 18 | 18 |
| 6. Chinese Medicine | 71 | 30 | 30 |
| 7. Education | 65 | 30 | 30 |
| 8. Engineering | 49 | 30 | 30 |
| 9. Financial Services | 29 | 18 | 18 |
| 10. Health Services | 67 | 30 | 30 |
| 11. Heung Yee Kuk | 30 | 28 | 26 |
| 12. Higher Education | 46 | 30 | 30 |
| 13. Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | 65 | 59 | 57 |
| 14. Hotel | 19 | 17 | 17 |
| 15. Information Technology | 61 | 30 | 30 |
| 16. Insurance | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| 17. Labour | 78 | 60 | 60 |
| 18. Legal | 66 | 30 | 30 |
| 19. Medical | 83 | 30 | 30 |
| 20. New Territories District Councils | 66 | 62 | 60 |
| 21. Social Welfare | 164 | 60 | 60 |
| 22. Tourism | 25 | 18 | 18 |
| 23. Transport | 21 | 18 | 18 |
| 24. Wholesale and Retail | 23 | 18 | 18 |
| Total: | 1300 | 766 | 756 |

Note: 10 Special Member seats will be created temporarily to make up the difference of ten seats until the number of Legislative Council seats increases from 60 to 70 in October 2012. Four seats of Special Member will be allocated to the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference subsector, two to Heung Yee Kuk subsector, two to Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils subsector and two to New Territories District Councils subsector.
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## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections Nominations for Religious Subsector

The following persons, being validly nominated for the Religious subsector, were declared to be members of the Election Committee by the Returning Officer of the subsector concerned :

| Designated Body |  | Name of Nominees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Catholic Diocese of Hong Kong | CHAN CHIU CHUN |
|  |  | MOK KEE CHEONG JUANITO |
|  |  | CHANG YU MAN |
|  |  | CHEUNG TSUN TING ROMAN |
|  |  | CHOW SIU HUNG |
|  |  | POON WAI CHUN |
|  |  | KWOK WAI TING JOSEPH |
|  |  | NG CHEUK YIN JASON |
|  |  | CHOW MUOOK BILL PATRICK |
|  |  | TSUI KAM YIU LUKE |
| 2 | Chinese Muslim Cultural and Fraternal Association | TUET CHE YIN AYYUB |
|  |  | SAT CHE SANG |
|  |  | YEUNG YEE WOO EBRAHIM |
|  |  | HA KAY WAI HARRY |
|  |  | TUET SUI HONG ALI |
|  |  | WONG HONG KWAN SHEILA JAMILLAH |
|  |  | TSUI KAM FAI YACOB |
|  |  | YEUNG YUE MAN |
|  |  | UDDIN SAEED |
|  |  | OMAR RAHAMUTULLAH MOHOMED |
| 3 | Hong Kong Christian Council | CHAN SAI KEUNG |
|  |  | LAU KAM SING |
|  |  | CHAN YUT WAH |
|  |  | LI PING KWONG |
|  |  | NG SZE YUEN |
|  |  | CHEUNG ANG SIEW MEI |
|  |  | HUI CHIU YING DAVID |
|  |  | SZETO WING FU |
|  |  | TONG ERIC WING MUN |
|  |  | CHU SAI PING CHARLES |
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| Designated Body |  | Name of Nominees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | The Hong Kong Taoist Association | TONG WAI KI |
|  |  | LEUNG TAK WAH |
|  |  | NG YIU TUNG |
|  |  | TSANG CHUNG NAM |
|  |  | MA TSZ CHOI |
|  |  | LEE YIU FAI |
|  |  | LEUNG FAT |
|  |  | HAU WING CHEONG |
|  |  | YIP WING SHING |
|  |  | TANG KAM HUNG |
| 5 | The Confucian Academy | TONG YUN KAI |
|  |  | LEW MON HUNG |
|  |  | SHUM CHOI SANG |
|  |  | NG WING CHI |
|  |  | TONG WAI LOP |
|  |  | NG HON LEUNG |
|  |  | YUEN SE KIT |
|  |  | YEUNG BING KIN ALAN |
|  |  | YEUNG MAN LEE |
|  |  | LUI TIN TSANG |
| 6 | The Hong Kong Buddhist Association | KOK KWONG |
|  |  | SIK CHI WAI |
|  |  | SIK TO PING |
|  |  | LAU CHUN FUI |
|  |  | SIK YIN CHI |
|  |  | SIK KU TAY |
|  |  | LAI SZE NUEN |
|  |  | LAM HON KEUNG KEITH |
|  |  | HO TAK SUM |
|  |  | SIK MIU KWONG |

## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections

## Voter Turnout Rates

| Election Committee Subsector | $\frac{\text { 08:30 }}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\frac{09: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\begin{gathered} \frac{10: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{11: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{12: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\frac{13: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\xrightarrow[\text { Turnout }]{\text { 14:30 }}$ \% | $\begin{gathered} \frac{15: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { 16:30 }}{\substack{\text { Turnout } \\ \%}}$ | $\frac{17: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\begin{gathered} \frac{18: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{19: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { 20:30 }}{\substack{\text { Turnout } \\ \%}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Turnout } \\ \frac{21: 30}{} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Turnout } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { 22:30 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accountancy | 62 | 233 | 443 | 916 | 1,463 | 2,049 | 2,681 | 3,340 | 3,944 | 4,446 | 5,117 | 5,759 | 6,270 | 6,802 | 7,617 |
| $(24,630)$ | 0.25 | 0.95 | 1.80 | 3.72 | 5.94 | 8.32 | 10.89 | 13.56 | 16.01 | 18.05 | 20.78 | 23.38 | 25.46 | 27.62 | 30.93 |
| Architectural, Surveying and Planning | 33 | 104 | 171 | 355 | 557 | 785 | 981 | 1,204 | 1,442 | 1,623 | 1,863 | 2,108 | 2,275 | 2,479 | 2,746 |
| $(6,778)$ | 0.49 | 1.53 | 2.52 | 5.24 | 8.22 | 11.58 | 14.47 | 17.76 | 21.27 | 23.95 | 27.49 | 31.10 | 33.56 | 36.57 | 40.51 |
| Catering | 13 | 51 | 103 | 207 | 317 | 412 | 497 | 571 | 646 | 712 | 793 | 881 | 955 | 1,026 | 1,126 |
| $(7,895)$ | 0.16 | 0.65 | 1.30 | 2.62 | 4.02 | 5.22 | 6.30 | 7.23 | 8.18 | 9.02 | 10.04 | 11.16 | 12.10 | 13.00 | 14.26 |
| Chinese Medicine | 49 | 158 | 279 | 477 | 653 | 823 | 957 | 1,128 | 1,275 | 1,387 | 1,558 | 1,755 | 1,932 | 2,102 | 2,273 |
| $(5,864)$ | 0.84 | 2.69 | 4.76 | 8.13 | 11.14 | 14.03 | 16.32 | 19.24 | 21.74 | 23.65 | 26.57 | 29.93 | 32.95 | 35.85 | 38.76 |
| Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference | 0 | 3 | 11 | 27 | 35 | 48 | 54 | 60 | 66 | 66 | 77 | 85 | 88 | 94 | 99 |
| (141) | 0.00 | 2.13 | 7.80 | 19.15 | 24.82 | 34.04 | 38.30 | 42.55 | 46.81 | 46.81 | 54.61 | 60.28 | 62.41 | 66.67 | 70.21 |
| Commercial (First) | 5 | 23 | 40 | 92 | 135 | 169 | 189 | 211 | 264 | 295 | 332 | 373 | 399 | 422 | 454 |
| (817) | 0.61 | 2.82 | 4.90 | 11.26 | 16.52 | 20.69 | 23.13 | 25.83 | 32.31 | 36.11 | 40.64 | 45.65 | 48.84 | 51.65 | 55.57 |
| Education | 204 | 746 | 1,496 | 2,899 | 4,252 | 5,612 | 7,111 | 8,694 | 10,319 | 11,556 | 13,509 | 15,283 | 16,678 | 18,150 | 20,084 |
| $(86,618)$ | 0.24 | 0.86 | 1.73 | 3.35 | 4.91 | 6.48 | 8.21 | 10.04 | 11.91 | 13.34 | 15.60 | 17.64 | 19.25 | 20.95 | 23.19 |
| Engineering | 51 | 156 | 312 | 597 | 929 | 1,282 | 1,576 | 1,866 | 2,173 | 2,410 | 2,757 | 3,044 | 3,273 | 3,528 | 3,856 |
| $(9,052)$ | 0.56 | 1.72 | 3.45 | 6.60 | 10.26 | 14.16 | 17.41 | 20.61 | 24.01 | 26.62 | 30.46 | 33.63 | 36.16 | 38.97 | 42.60 |
| Financial Services | 3 | 10 | 29 | 59 | 93 | 133 | 168 | 204 | 239 | 255 | 283 | 306 | 327 | 350 | 372 |
| (539) | 0.56 | 1.86 | 5.38 | 10.95 | 17.25 | 24.68 | 31.17 | 37.85 | 44.34 | 47.31 | 52.50 | 56.77 | 60.67 | 64.94 | 69.02 |
| Health Services | 58 | 163 | 341 | 707 | 1,084 | 1,496 | 1,942 | 2,380 | 2,882 | 3,216 | 3,761 | 4,262 | 4,634 | 5,074 | 5,784 |
| $(39,128)$ | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.87 | 1.81 | 2.77 | 3.82 | 4.96 | 6.08 | 7.37 | 8.22 | 9.61 | 10.89 | 11.84 | 12.97 | 14.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Election Committee Subsector | $\begin{gathered} \underline{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { 09:30 }}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\begin{gathered} \frac{10: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{11: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{12: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{13: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\begin{gathered} \frac{14: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{15: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{16: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\begin{gathered} \frac{17: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Turnout } \\ \text { 18:30 }}]{\text { and }}$ \% | $\xrightarrow[\text { Turnout }]{\text { 19:30 }}$ \% | $\begin{gathered} \frac{20: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underline{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{22: 30}{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heung Yee Kuk | 2 | 4 | 15 | 44 | 66 | 77 | 87 | 100 | 109 | 112 | 120 | 124 | 127 | 128 | 130 |
| (147) | 1.36 | 2.72 | 10.20 | 29.93 | 44.90 | 52.38 | 59.18 | 68.03 | 74.15 | 76.19 | 81.63 | 84.35 | 86.39 | 87.07 | 88.44 |
| Higher Education | 26 | 83 | 183 | 349 | 591 | 785 | 999 | 1,206 | 1,443 | 1,633 | 1,869 | 2,108 | 2,324 | 2,517 | 2,829 |
| $(9,106)$ | 0.29 | 0.91 | 2.01 | 3.83 | 6.49 | 8.62 | 10.97 | 13.24 | 15.85 | 17.93 | 20.52 | 23.15 | 25.52 | 27.64 | 31.07 |
| Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | 8 | 19 | 30 | 57 | 91 | 103 | 109 | 118 | 130 | 139 | 152 | 160 | 166 | 174 | 188 |
| (200) | 4.00 | 9.50 | 15.00 | 28.50 | 45.50 | 51.50 | 54.50 | 59.00 | 65.00 | 69.50 | 76.00 | 80.00 | 83.00 | 87.00 | 94.00 |
| Hotel | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 27 | 33 | 38 | 44 | 51 | 56 | 60 | 67 | 69 | 72 | 75 |
| (99) | 2.02 | 3.03 | 5.05 | 15.15 | 27.27 | 33.33 | 38.38 | 44.44 | 51.52 | 56.57 | 60.61 | 67.68 | 69.70 | 72.73 | 75.76 |
| Information Technology | 22 | 78 | 163 | 326 | 514 | 687 | 869 | 1,065 | 1,238 | 1,390 | 1,597 | 1,809 | 1,934 | 2,076 | 2,264 |
| $(5,502)$ | 0.40 | 1.42 | 2.96 | 5.93 | 9.34 | 12.49 | 15.79 | 19.36 | 22.50 | 25.26 | 29.03 | 32.88 | 35.15 | 37.73 | 41.15 |
| Insurance | 2 | 7 | 15 | 23 | 36 | 42 | 48 | 52 | 59 | 64 | 69 | 75 | 81 | 85 | 90 |
| (121) | 1.65 | 5.79 | 12.40 | 19.01 | 29.75 | 34.71 | 39.67 | 42.98 | 48.76 | 52.89 | 57.02 | 61.98 | 66.94 | 70.25 | 74.38 |
| Labour | 15 | 46 | 70 | 122 | 165 | 206 | 245 | 280 | 314 | 331 | 354 | 382 | 402 | 411 | 432 |
| (591) | 2.54 | 7.78 | 11.84 | 20.64 | 27.92 | 34.86 | 41.46 | 47.38 | 53.13 | 56.01 | 59.90 | 64.64 | 68.02 | 69.54 | 73.10 |
| Legal | 21 | 67 | 133 | 255 | 402 | 576 | 755 | 938 | 1,173 | 1,311 | 1,504 | 1,727 | 1,891 | 2,062 | 2,329 |
| $(6,583)$ | 0.32 | 1.02 | 2.02 | 3.87 | 6.11 | 8.75 | 11.47 | 14.25 | 17.82 | 19.91 | 22.85 | 26.23 | 28.73 | 31.32 | 35.38 |
| Medical | 69 | 208 | 334 | 574 | 832 | 1,072 | 1,326 | 1,637 | 1,912 | 2,156 | 2,492 | 2,839 | 3,101 | 3,363 | 3,787 |
| $(11,118)$ | 0.62 | 1.87 | 3.00 | 5.16 | 7.48 | 9.64 | 11.93 | 14.72 | 17.20 | 19.39 | 22.41 | 25.54 | 27.89 | 30.25 | 34.06 |
| New Territories District Councils | 8 | 19 | 33 | 64 | 89 | 115 | 134 | 145 | 154 | 160 | 168 | 174 | 183 | 189 | 197 |
| (212) | 3.77 | 8.96 | 15.57 | 30.19 | 41.98 | 54.25 | 63.21 | 68.40 | 72.64 | 75.47 | 79.25 | 82.08 | 86.32 | 89.15 | 92.92 |
| Social Welfare | 65 | 186 | 317 | 624 | 959 | 1,394 | 1,804 | 2,219 | 2,698 | 3,067 | 3,647 | 4,264 | 4,814 | 5,302 | 6,100 |
| $(14,415)$ | 0.45 | 1.29 | 2.20 | 4.33 | 6.65 | 9.67 | 12.51 | 15.39 | 18.72 | 21.28 | 25.30 | 29.58 | 33.40 | 36.78 | 42.32 |


| Election Committee Subsector | $\begin{gathered} \underline{\text { Turnout }} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 09:30 <br> Turnout \% | 10:30 <br> Turnout \% | 11:30 <br> Turnout \% | $\xrightarrow[\text { Turnout }]{\text { 12:30 }}$ \% | $\frac{\text { 13:30 }}{\text { Turnout }}$ \% | $\frac{14: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ <br> Turnout \% | 15:30 <br> Turnout \% | $\frac{16: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ <br> Turnout \% | $\frac{17: 30}{\text { Turnout }}$ <br> Turnou <br> \% | 18:30 <br> Turnout \% | 19:30 <br> Turnout \% | 20:30 <br> Turnout \% | 21:30 <br> Turnout \% | $\xrightarrow[\text { Turnout }]{\text { 22:30 }}$ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tourism | 10 | 26 | 46 | 103 | 166 | 218 | 275 | 326 | 378 | 418 | 468 | 516 | 556 | 584 | 616 |
| $(1,063)$ | 0.94 | 2.45 | 4.33 | 9.69 | 15.62 | 20.51 | 25.87 | 30.67 | 35.56 | 39.32 | 44.03 | 48.54 | 52.30 | 54.94 | 57.95 |
| Transport | 2 | 13 | 23 | 39 | 56 | 70 | 82 | 93 | 103 | 116 | 123 | 136 | 147 | 154 | 157 |
| (196) | 1.02 | 6.63 | 11.73 | 19.90 | 28.57 | 35.71 | 41.84 | 47.45 | 52.55 | 59.18 | 62.76 | 69.39 | 75.00 | 78.57 | 80.10 |
| Wholesale and Retail | 37 | 136 | 278 | 481 | 664 | 833 | 975 | 1,091 | 1,237 | 1,344 | 1,489 | 1,613 | 1,720 | 1,819 | 1,960 |
| $(6,733)$ | 0.55 | 2.02 | 4.13 | 7.14 | 9.86 | 12.37 | 14.48 | 16.20 | 18.37 | 19.96 | 22.11 | 23.96 | 25.55 | 27.02 | 29.11 |
| TOTAL | 767 | 2,542 | 4,870 | 9,412 | 14,176 | 19,020 | 23,902 | 28,972 | 34,249 | 38,263 | 44,162 | 49,850 | 54,346 | 58,963 | 65,565 |
| $(237,548)$ | 0.32 | 1.07 | 2.05 | 3.96 | 5.97 | 8.01 | 10.06 | 12.20 | 14.42 | 16.11 | 18.59 | 20.99 | 22.88 | 24.82 | 27.60 |
| Note: Figures in brackets denote registered el | torates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections Summary of Ballot Papers that were Not Counted

| Name of Subsector／ Sub－subsector | Number of Ballot Papers Rejected and Reasons for Rejection＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | Total |
| Accountancy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 25 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 89 |
| Architectural，Surveying and Planning | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 39 |
| Catering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 53 |
| Chinese Medicine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 13 | 65 |
| Chinese People＇s Political Consultative Conference | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Commercial（First） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 198 |
| Engineering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 33 |
| Financial Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Health Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 96 |
| Heung Yee Kuk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Higher Education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 32 |
| Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Information Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 22 |
| Insurance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Labour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 21 |
| Legal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 28 |
| Medical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 47 |
| New Territories District Councils | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Social Welfare | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 62 | 71 |
| Tourism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Transport | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| Wholesale and Retail | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 0 | 41 | 11 | 96 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 408 | 137 | 3 | 247 | 127 | 922 |

＊Reasons for rejection of ballot papers：
A－Endorsed with the words＂重複＂and＂TENDERED＂
B－Endorsed with the words＂損壞＂and＂SPOILT＂
C－Endorsed with the words＂未用＂and＂UNUSED＂
D－Unmarked
E－With writing or a mark by which the elector can possibly be identified
F－Substantially mutilated
G－Not marked in accordance with section 56 of the EAC（EP）（EC）Reg
H－Void for uncertainty

## Results of the 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections

|  | Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Accountancy | 1 | LI KA CHEUNG ERIC | 3,406 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | MA CHUN FUNG HORACE | 1,055 |  |
|  |  | 3 | AU SIU CHEUNG ALBERT | 1,926 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | LAM CHI YUEN (NELSON) | 3,067 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | CHENG YUK WO (ERIK) | 800 |  |
|  |  | 6 | NG KAM WAH WEBSTER | 881 |  |
|  |  | 7 | YEUNG KAI CHEUNG PATRICK | 1,339 |  |
|  |  | 8 | CHAU SUET FUNG DILYS | 2,276 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | PO KAM MAN | 2,734 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | HO CHIU PING (DENNIS HO) | 1,901 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | CHIU LAI KUEN SUSANNA | 2,037 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | CHONG YIU KAN SHERMAN | 534 |  |
|  |  | 13 | LUNG PUI LAN STELLA (STELLA CHO) | 2,640 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | HO HUNG WAI (BEN) | 1,182 |  |
|  |  | 15 | LAU SIM (VIVIAN) | 2,860 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | YUEN TSZ CHUN | 856 |  |
|  |  | 17 | LAM OI YAN FIONA | 1,325 |  |
|  |  | 18 | YEUNG CHUN WAI ANTHONY | 950 |  |
|  |  | 19 | MOK KOON YIP (EDWIN) | 1,070 |  |
|  |  | 20 | TAM HOK LAM TOMMY | 1,226 |  |
|  |  | 21 | LO WA KEI ROY | 1,109 |  |
|  |  | 22 | LEUNG KAI CHEONG KENNETH | 2,855 | Elected |
|  |  | 23 | CHOW SIU LUI | 1,861 |  |
|  |  | 24 | CHAN MEI BO MABEL | 1,832 |  |
|  |  | 25 | WONG HIN WING (SIMON) | 1,368 |  |
|  |  | 26 | WAN HO YUEN TERENCE | 2,612 | Elected |
|  |  | 27 | SHING MO HAN YVONNE (YVONNE LAW) | 2,460 | Elected |
|  |  | 28 | FUNG YING WAI WILSON | 1,760 |  |


| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 29 | CHENG CHUNG CHING RAYMOND | 1,033 |  |
|  | 30 | LEE KIN (ARTHUR) | 1,198 |  |
|  | 31 | YEUNG CHI WAI (EDWIN) | 1,801 |  |
|  | 32 | TOU KIN CHUEN (ROGER) | 746 |  |
|  | 33 | LAU VIVIAN VI KUEN | 802 |  |
|  | 34 | SHUI CHEUK TING (FELIX) | 1,648 |  |
|  | 35 | WU TAK LUNG (BERNARD) | 1,341 |  |
|  | 36 | MACPHERSON AYESHA ABBAS | 2,447 | Elected |
|  | 37 | FUNG HENRY | 2,634 | Elected |
|  | 38 | YAM CHI MING STEPHEN | 1,034 |  |
|  | 39 | CHAN CHI KONG (MORISON) | 1,790 |  |
|  | 40 | WONG LUNG TAK PATRICK | 2,013 | Elected |
|  | 41 | MULLENS FRANCIS ROBERT | 1,152 |  |
|  | 42 | YAN MAN SING FRANKIE | 2,727 | Elected |
|  | 43 | CHOW KWONG FAI EDWARD | 2,015 | Elected |
|  | 44 | CHAN KWOK WAI (PATRICK K W CHAN) | 1,369 |  |
|  | 45 | CHOW YEUNG TUEN RICHARD | 822 |  |
|  | 46 | SUN DAVID TAK KEI | 2,467 | Elected |
|  | 47 | SUN PO YUEN (RICHARD SUN) | 2,012 | Elected |
|  | 48 | LAM SIN LAI JUDY (JUDY TSUI) | 2,528 | Elected |
|  | 49 | CHOI YI TAK (ROSANNA) | 1,984 | Elected |
|  | 50 | WONG WANG TAI | 645 |  |
|  | 51 | CHAN CLEMENT KAM WING | 1,487 |  |
|  | 52 | TSAI WING CHUNG PHILIP | 2,560 | Elected |
|  | 53 | SHIU SHU MING | 688 |  |
|  | 54 | YUEN SHU TONG | 2,686 | Elected |
|  | 55 | LAI KAR YAN (DEREK) | 2,071 | Elected |
|  | 56 | TONG CARLSON | 2,171 | Elected |


| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 57 | WAI CHI KIN VICTOR | 2,644 | Elected |
|  |  | 58 | CHOY KAI SING | 2,557 | Elected |
|  |  | 59 | YIP NGAI HANG | 604 |  |
|  |  | 60 | LEUNG WING ON LOUIS | 1,138 |  |
|  |  | 61 | IP KOON WING ERNEST (ERNEST IP) | 1,923 | Elected |
|  |  | 62 | CHAN SUI KUEN AGNES | 2,153 | Elected |
|  |  | 63 | YUNG KIN | 1,679 |  |
|  |  | 64 | SO KAI KUEN (TERENCE SO) | 1,288 |  |
|  |  | 65 | KUNG YIU FAI RONALD | 2,324 | Elected |
| 2 | Architectural, Surveying and Planning | 1 | TSE WAI CHUEN TONY | 1,036 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | LAU SZE WAN SERENA | 825 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | HO SING TIN BEAUCHAMP EDWARD | 842 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | LIM WAN FUNG BERNARD VINCENT | 920 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | CHUNG HUNG KWAN BARNABAS | 1,080 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | WONG BAY | 1,065 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | LIU LING HONG (STEPHEN) | 849 | Elected |
|  |  | 8 | CHAN TUNG NGOK TONY | 437 |  |
|  |  | 9 | NG WING SHUN ANTHONY VINCENT | 910 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | CHEN MARVIN | 330 |  |
|  |  | 11 | CHAN HON WING | 278 |  |
|  |  | 12 | HO HIN NGAI | 596 |  |
|  |  | 13 | NG HANG KWONG | 737 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | LU HING YIU BRYANT | 428 |  |
|  |  | 15 | LAU HING TAT PATRICK | 324 |  |
|  |  | 16 | PUN KWOK SHING | 273 |  |
|  |  | 17 | POON WING CHEUNG LAWRENCE | 868 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | LAI YUK FAI STEPHEN | 740 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | POON SING CHI STEPHEN | 467 |  |


| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | POON CHO YIU RONALD | 518 |  |
|  | 21 | POON KAN YOUNG (KENNETH) | 623 |  |
|  | 22 | WONG PO LUNG JOHN | 649 | Elected |
|  | 23 | BROOKE CHARLES <br> NICHOLAS | 904 | Elected |
|  | 24 | CHAN KA YAN CLARENCE | 552 |  |
|  | 25 | CHEUNG HAU WAI | 625 |  |
|  | 26 | NISSIM ROGER ANTHONY | 632 |  |
|  | 27 | LAU MAN KWAN JULIA | 676 | Elected |
|  | 28 | LAU CHUN YIP ANTHONY | 374 |  |
|  | 29 | LAU KAM SING DICKIE | 358 |  |
|  | 30 | BROWNLEE IAN THOMAS | 410 |  |
|  | 31 | CHENG SHING KWONG (ERIC CHENG) | 308 |  |
|  | 32 | KWONG SUM YEE ANNA | 753 | Elected |
|  | 33 | SHEN EDWARD | 545 |  |
|  | 34 | WAN MAN YEE | 711 | Elected |
|  | 35 | LU YUEN CHEUNG RONALD | 903 | Elected |
|  | 36 | YIM SEN KEE (ROCCO) | 1,185 | Elected |
|  | 37 | HO HOK KEUNG | 545 |  |
|  | 38 | CHAN CHING YING <br> ELIZABETH | 495 |  |
|  | 39 | PONG YUEN YEE | 368 |  |
|  | 40 | CHAN SIU HONG HONBY | 519 |  |
|  | 41 | NG WING FAI STANLEY | 706 | Elected |
|  | 42 | SMITH PETER COOKSON | 520 |  |
|  | 43 | TSENG YEN WEI WILLIAM | 352 |  |
|  | 44 | SO CHUN HIN | 376 |  |
|  | 45 | LAU CHUN KONG | 535 |  |
|  | 46 | CHAN CHIU KWOK (CHARLES CHAN) | 355 |  |
|  | 47 | LAM LIK SHAN (LESLY) | 834 | Elected |
|  | 48 | WONG KIN YEE | 602 |  |


| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 49 | KAM HEUNG WAH | 405 |  |
|  | 50 | LEE TSUNG HEI DAVID CHRIS | 590 |  |
|  | 51 | YEUNG MAN KAI | 544 |  |
|  | 52 | CHI WUH CHERNG DANIEL | 384 |  |
|  | 53 | WU MOON HOI MARCO | 1,209 | Elected |
|  | 54 | LEUNG TONY KA TUNG | 497 |  |
|  | 55 | LAU WING KWONG DENNIS | 669 | Elected |
|  | 56 | CHAN YUK MING | 956 | Elected |
|  | 57 | HUI MAN BOCK BERNARD | 508 |  |
|  | 58 | HO KUI YIP | 729 | Elected |
|  | 59 | LAM CHUN CHIU RINGO | 166 |  |
|  | 60 | SZE KYRAN | 471 |  |
|  | 61 | CHEUNG TAT TONG | 894 | Elected |
|  | 62 | LEE KING FUN | 770 | Elected |
|  | 63 | CHEUNG CHUNG LEUNG RICHARD | 338 |  |
|  | 64 | TSANG CHING LUN EDWIN | 535 |  |
|  | 65 | LAM KWONG KI (DOMINIC) | 575 |  |
|  | 66 | WAI CHUI CHI ROSMAN | 492 |  |
|  | 67 | WONG SAN (SAMSON) | 813 | Elected |
|  | 68 | LEE SHUN YUK GEORGINA | 343 |  |
|  | 69 | IP FOOK CHUEN THOMAS (TOM IP) | 324 |  |
|  | 70 | CHENG KAM WAH | 474 |  |
|  | 71 | TAM PO YIU (P.Y.) | 300 |  |
|  | 72 | LAM WO HEI HILARY | 920 | Elected |
|  | 73 | TANG WAI MAN TONY | 540 |  |
|  | 74 | WONG HO MING AUGUSTINE | 758 | Elected |
|  | 75 | CHAU KWONG WING | 901 | Elected |
|  | 76 | MAN CHI CHUEN (ANTONY MAN) | 630 |  |
|  | 77 | HO KWOK KWAN (THOMAS) | 346 |  |
|  | 78 | PANG HO CHUEN LAWRENCE | 299 |  |


|  | Name of ubsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Catering | 1 | CHAN CHO KWOK | 221 |  |
|  |  | 2 | LEE KWONG HOI | 249 |  |
|  |  | 3 | CHEUNG LOY CHUN (CHEUNG SING HUNG) | 545 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | CHAN WING ON | 668 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | LO HO WAN | 578 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | CHONG YAM MING | 574 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | HIEW CHIN | 523 | Elected |
|  |  | 8 | WONG KIT LUNG SIMON | 607 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | YEUNG WAI SING | 617 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | LI HIU LEE | 263 |  |
|  |  | 11 | YEUNG KOON YAT | 683 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | LAU PAK FAI | 184 |  |
|  |  | 13 | WOO CHU (WOO YIU LUN) | 610 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | CHOW SING MO FRANKIE | 196 |  |
|  |  | 15 | FUNG CHUNG KAI ANDY | 558 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | LAU KWONG CHOI | 210 |  |
|  |  | 17 | CHAN YUE KWONG MICHAEL | 710 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | CHAN WAI KEUNG | 160 |  |
|  |  | 19 | CHAN SHOU MING | 518 | Elected |
|  |  | 20 | ZEMAN ALLAN | 731 | Elected |
|  |  | 21 | WONG NAI PING | 206 |  |
|  |  | 22 | NG TAK LEUNG | 303 |  |
|  |  | 23 | CHUNG WAI PING | 652 | Elected |
|  |  | 24 | TANG CHING HO | 506 | Elected |
|  |  | 25 | HO CHI KEUNG | 201 |  |
|  |  | 26 | CHOW KWOK MING NELSON | 203 |  |
|  |  | 27 | LEE CHUNG YIN JOHN BOSCO | 195 |  |
|  |  | 28 | KWOK YIU CHUNG | 230 |  |
|  |  | 29 | WU PAO SHUEN FRANKIE | 473 | Elected |
|  |  | 30 | CHAN CHIK HON | 217 |  |
|  |  | 31 | LEE TAK LUN ANDREW | 322 |  |


| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 32 | LOPES MANUEL CRISOSTOMO | 254 |  |
|  |  | 33 | TAM SIU SING | 551 | Elected |
|  |  | 34 | TSE PO TAT | 219 |  |
| 4 | Chinese Medicine | 1 | CHAN KUN SUN | 496 |  |
|  |  | 2 | CHUI KAM KI | 279 |  |
|  |  | 3 | LAM KAR WING | 600 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | CHEUNG WAI SANG | 769 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | WONG KIT | 1,139 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | YUEN KAI SHUN | 662 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | SIU CHEE SHEUNG | 428 |  |
|  |  | 8 | CHAN HON HUNG | 281 |  |
|  |  | 9 | CHEUNG KWOK WAH | 761 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | FENG JIU | 1,340 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | POON CHUNG YUEN | 411 |  |
|  |  | 12 | LIU LUNG FU WILLIAM | 366 |  |
|  |  | 13 | LEE WING YIN | 231 |  |
|  |  | 14 | TSE MAN YIN | 681 | Elected |
|  |  | 15 | HO KWOK WAI | 589 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | LUK SHUN HOI | 521 |  |
|  |  | 17 | HO KA CHEONG | 774 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | LAW DAO BONG | 264 |  |
|  |  | 19 | WONG SHUI | 439 |  |
|  |  | 20 | CHAN TAI WO | 289 |  |
|  |  | 21 | WONG BING HUNG | 228 |  |
|  |  | 22 | CHAN LUN YIN | 216 |  |
|  |  | 23 | CHAN CHI HANG | 325 |  |
|  |  | 24 | HUI MUNG CHUN | 431 |  |
|  |  | 25 | YU WUN PAN GRACE | 724 | Elected |
|  |  | 26 | YU HUNG CHIU | 291 |  |
|  |  | 27 | GAN PEI TZENG | 484 |  |
|  |  | 28 | CHAN MOU KEUNG HAYDN | 537 | Elected |
|  |  | 29 | LEUNG SUK CHING (JOANNA) | 428 |  |


| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | YEUNG CHEUK MING | 557 | Elected |
|  | 31 | CHIU SIU PING | 863 | Elected |
|  | 32 | CHAN TING CHUNG | 323 |  |
|  | 33 | PANG CHEUNG HI JACK (PANG CHI NUNG) | 282 |  |
|  | 34 | LI KIM HO | 241 |  |
|  | 35 | LAU MEI YUE | 528 |  |
|  | 36 | CHAN PING SHU | 324 |  |
|  | 37 | KWAN CHI YEE | 963 | Elected |
|  | 38 | CHAN WING KWONG | 870 | Elected |
|  | 39 | NGAN KING WAN | 319 |  |
|  | 40 | CHAN LAN YING | 536 | Elected |
|  | 41 | LING KWAI CHUN | 535 | Elected |
|  | 42 | LEE KWOK YEE | 183 |  |
|  | 43 | HUI LAI PING | 327 |  |
|  | 44 | LO TING YU | 611 | Elected |
|  | 45 | LI NING HON | 1,028 | Elected |
|  | 46 | LAU PAK CHUN | 306 |  |
|  | 47 | LAM YAU | 327 |  |
|  | 48 | CHAN KONG SANG | 1,092 | Elected |
|  | 49 | LI YING FAI (LI YING FAI) | 286 |  |
|  | 50 | LI KAM FUNG | 305 |  |
|  | 51 | CHAU SHUK YING | 734 | Elected |
|  | 52 | CHEUNG YIN CHUEN | 194 |  |
|  | 53 | NG CHUNG NANG | 340 |  |
|  | 54 | LI WING KEUNG | 246 |  |
|  | 55 | HOU PING | 290 |  |
|  | 56 | TAO MIN FAI | 224 |  |
|  | 57 | NG CHUN LOI | 597 | Elected |
|  | 58 | TSEA PING CHUNG | 595 | Elected |
|  | 59 | CHU HUNG MAN | 656 | Elected |
|  | 60 | TSUI MAN LOONG (TSUI CHAK YAN) | 331 |  |


| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 61 | YU KWOK WAI | 327 |  |
|  |  | 62 | TO KWONG BIU | 252 |  |
|  |  | 63 | YICK KIT CHING | 236 |  |
|  |  | 64 | NG CHEUK LAM | 697 | Elected |
|  |  | 65 | LAM WAI CHING (LAM CHING) | 594 | Elected |
|  |  | 66 | YU MING CHU | 524 |  |
|  |  | 67 | HO KWOK CHEUNG | 826 | Elected |
|  |  | 68 | NG HONG SHING | 225 |  |
|  |  | 69 | FUNG TAN | 860 | Elected |
|  |  | 70 | LEUNG WING NANG ALBERT | 829 | Elected |
|  |  | 71 | TSANG CHIU HING | 569 | Elected |
| 5 | Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference | 1 | LEE YIN YEE | 83 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | CHOW WING KIN ANTHONY | 90 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | KWOK PING SHEUNG WALTER | 88 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | LAU LAWRENCE JUEN YEE | 85 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | SOHMEN ANNA PAO | 87 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | NG LEUNG HO | 84 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | WU ANNIE SUK CHING | 81 | Elected |
|  |  | 8 | WOO KWONG CHING PETER | 90 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | WANG MING CHUN ELIZABETH | 92 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | LAM SHU CHIT | 83 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | HUNG CHAO HONG | 89 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | KAI YAU MING | 83 | Elected |
|  |  | 13 | HO TSU KWOK CHARLES | 70 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | FUNG DANIEL RICHARD | 88 | Elected |
|  |  | 15 | FONG WONG KUT MAN NELLIE | 88 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | WU TING YUK ANTHONY | 79 | Elected |
|  |  | 17 | WONG KING KEUNG (PETER) | 87 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | LO MAN TUEN | 93 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | LEUNG WAI HO | 76 | Elected |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | CHAN CHING HAR ELIZA | 78 | Elected |
|  | 21 | SZE CHI CHING | 87 | Elected |
|  | 22 | LEUNG KWOK CHING | 75 | Elected |
|  | 23 | CHUNG SHUI MING TIMPSON | 84 | Elected |
|  | 24 | CHAN SHING SAU | 77 | Elected |
|  | 25 | YANG MUN TAK MARJORIE | 79 | Elected |
|  | 26 | TSE PING | 82 | Elected |
|  | 27 | LUI FRANCIS YIU TUNG | 86 | Elected |
|  | 28 | WONG MAN YIN MARIANNE (MARIANNE) | 83 | Elected |
|  | 29 | LEE KA KIT | 86 | Elected |
|  | 30 | CHENG KAR SHUN | 87 | Elected |
|  | 31 | TAI TAK FUNG | 86 | Elected |
|  | 32 | HUI RAFAEL JUNIOR (HUI SI YAN) | 72 | Elected |
|  | 33 | LO HONG SUI | 82 | Elected |
|  | 34 | CHAU ON TA YUEN | 81 | Elected |
|  | 35 | LI TZAR KUOI VICTOR | 70 | Elected |
|  | 36 | WONG CHO BAU | 80 | Elected |
|  | 37 | HOO ALAN | 84 | Elected |
|  | 38 | WU YING SHEUNG GORDON | 90 | Elected |
|  | 39 | LIU CHANGLE | 84 | Elected |
|  | 40 | LEUNG LEUNG SHING | 78 | Elected |
|  | 41 | TAI HAY LAP | 83 | Elected |
|  | 42 | YUNG WING KI SAMUEL | 38 | Elected* |
|  | 43 | TAM KAM KAU | 34 | Elected* |
|  | 44 | LEUNG CHUN YING | 69 | Elected |
|  | 45 | LO WAN SING VINCENT | 80 | Elected |
|  | 46 | WONG WAI CHING | 31 | Elected* |
|  | 47 | SZE CHEUNG PANG | 78 | Elected |
|  | 48 | CHONG SHAW SWEE ALAN | 85 | Elected |
|  | 49 | HUI WING MAU | 85 | Elected |
|  | 50 | LAU HON CHUEN | 87 | Elected |

(*The candidate is elected as a Special Member)
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 51 | GAO GUNTER | 71 | Elected |
|  |  | 52 | WONG KWOK KEUNG | 86 | Elected |
|  |  | 53 | CHENG CAROLINE YI | 25 | Elected* |
|  |  | 54 | CHOI CHEE MING | 78 | Elected |
|  |  | 55 | CHAN KING WAI | 70 | Elected |
| 6 | Commercial (First) | 1 | YUEN YIU CHUEN | 183 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | YEUNG KWOK KI ANTHONY | 237 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | YU PANG CHUN | 243 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | SHAW MARCUS JOYTAK (MARKUS SHAW) | 166 |  |
|  |  | 5 | KWOK PING LUEN RAYMOND | 315 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | CHOW CHUNG KONG (C K CHOW) | 291 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | YU CHEN ON EMIL | 212 | Elected |
|  |  | 8 | CHUGH MANOHAR THAKURDAS | 203 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | LIE-A-CHEONG TAI CHONG DAVID | 239 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | NG TIN HOI STEPHEN | 286 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | HARILELA ARON HARI | 210 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | WOO MO FONG SUSAN | 227 | Elected |
|  |  | 13 | CHENG WAI CHEE CHRISTOPHER | 285 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | HUI HON CHUNG | 245 | Elected |
|  |  | 15 | TIEN PEI CHUN JAMES | 338 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | CAUTHERLEY GEORGE WILLIAM HUNTER (GEORGE CAUTHERLEY) | 126 |  |
|  |  | 17 | CHOW VEE TSUNG OSCAR | 202 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | PANG YIU KAI | 216 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | WONG YUEN SHAN (STEPHEN WONG) | 147 |  |
|  |  | 20 | CHAN CHOK KI (CLIFF) | 225 | Elected |
|  |  | 21 | YUEN SO SIU MAI BETTY | 271 | Elected |

(*The candidate is elected as a Special Member)

Appendix IX
(Page 12/51)

| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes <br> Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Education | 1 | LEE YIU HUNG | 883 |  |
|  |  | 2 | YU LAI HA | 1,425 |  |
|  |  | 3 | WONG YUI TAK LOUIS | 12,941 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | CHAN TAT MING | 12,435 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | HUNG CHI KIT | 1,135 |  |
|  |  | 6 | MAK TSE HOW LING ADA | 4,159 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | NG CHOI CHU SHIRLEY | 1,206 |  |
|  |  | 8 | MA CHING CHENG | 600 |  |
|  |  | 9 | CHING SAU WAI PEGGY (PEGGY) | 3,689 |  |
|  |  | 10 | SHEE SHING CHUNG EDDIE | 12,819 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | FUNG TAK WAH | 13,078 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | YU KAI CHUN | 1,427 |  |
|  |  | 13 | CHENG SAU LEUNG DON | 12,406 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | MAK WAI YIN | 1,292 |  |
|  |  | 15 | TING KWING CHAN | 12,733 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | HO CHI WAI | 12,644 | Elected |
|  |  | 17 | FUNG PIK YEE | 13,247 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | CHAN HON SUM | 15,016 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | NG KING WAH CHARLIE | 1,417 |  |
|  |  | 20 | WONG WING LIT | 909 |  |
|  |  | 21 | AU PAK KUEN | 14,713 | Elected |
|  |  | 22 | CHEUNG YUI FAI | 3,021 |  |
|  |  | 23 | LIU CHUN KUEN | 1,192 |  |
|  |  | 24 | WONG KAM YIU JIMMY | 2,764 |  |
|  |  | 25 | HO TIN YAU (DOMINIC) | 3,163 |  |
|  |  | 26 | CHOI KWOK KWONG | 4,289 | Elected |
|  |  | 27 | LEE LAI MING | 12,935 | Elected |
|  |  | 28 | CHING CHEUNG YING | 12,777 | Elected |
|  |  | 29 | LO KON KEUNG | 924 |  |
|  |  | 30 | LAM YIN FUNG JESSIICA | 12,419 | Elected |
|  |  | 31 | HUNG LING FAI SIMON | 1,601 |  |
|  |  | 32 | IP KIN YUEN | 14,009 | Elected |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 33 | TSANG HIN KWONG | 964 |  |
|  | 34 | CHOW WAI CHUN | 12,843 | Elected |
|  | 35 | CHEUNG KAM FAI | 1,217 |  |
|  | 36 | CHOW LO SAI PAULINE | 4,593 | Elected |
|  | 37 | CHIU SIN ON | 1,124 |  |
|  | 38 | LEE KAM CHUNG | 2,918 |  |
|  | 39 | WONG WAI SHING | 2,638 |  |
|  | 40 | FUNG YUN YEE | 12,846 | Elected |
|  | 41 | HUI HON WING | 1,689 |  |
|  | 42 | TSO KAI LOK | 4,105 | Elected |
|  | 43 | CHAN HAN YING EMILY | 12,962 | Elected |
|  | 44 | YU YEE WAH EVA | 1,885 |  |
|  | 45 | CHOI HOK FU | 1,275 |  |
|  | 46 | LORE KIN WAI PAUL | 838 |  |
|  | 47 | FUNG MAN CHING | 3,430 |  |
|  | 48 | KWOK WING KEUNG | 2,437 |  |
|  | 49 | HUI WAI TIN | 2,858 |  |
|  | 50 | TANG FEI | 3,800 |  |
|  | 51 | CHEUNG FU WING | 12,436 | Elected |
|  | 52 | HO KING ON | 3,121 |  |
|  | 53 | FONG KING LOK | 11,899 | Elected |
|  | 54 | CHOW PING YAN | 3,640 |  |
|  | 55 | PUN TIN CHI | 14,672 | Elected |
|  | 56 | HON LIN SHAN (JAMES) | 14,155 | Elected |
|  | 57 | LEUNG SIU TONG | 3,544 |  |
|  | 58 | YUNG SHEK SHING | 768 |  |
|  | 59 | KAM SHAU WAN SANLY | 12,695 | Elected |
|  | 60 | WONG HEUNG LIN DORIS | 1,326 |  |
|  | 61 | WONG HAK LIM | 14,452 | Elected |
|  | 62 | KING CHI YU | 11,831 | Elected |
|  | 63 | POON YING MING | 12,545 | Elected |
|  | 64 | HO HON KUEN | 6,975 | Elected |
|  | 65 | WONG NGA YAN | 1,267 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Engineering | 1 | YEUNG FEI JENNY | 1,321 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | IP SHING TIM | 1,183 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | YEUNG KAI KIN (HERBERT) | 331 |  |
|  |  | 4 | KO CHI WAI (GARY) | 1,140 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | LI SIU LAM | 552 |  |
|  |  | 6 | TANG WAI MING (RAYMOND) | 1,224 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | SO YIU KWAN (SIMON) | 914 |  |
|  |  | 8 | WONG CHI MING | 853 |  |
|  |  | 9 | CHU PUI KWAN REUBEN | 1,432 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | LI YIU MAN | 948 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | CHOY KIN KUEN | 1,284 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | LO WAI KWOK | 1,149 | Elected |
|  |  | 13 | LEE SHING SEE | 1,331 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | HO KWOK KEUNG | 885 |  |
|  |  | 15 | MAK CHAI KWONG | 1,483 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | HO VINCENT SIMON | 645 |  |
|  |  | 17 | LUK WANG KWONG (JOHN) | 1,025 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | KWOK CHI TAK PHILIP | 1,010 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | LI CHAK MAN | 878 |  |
|  |  | 20 | LAI KWONG TAK ALBERT | 1,230 | Elected |
|  |  | 21 | WONG CHAK YAN | 1,493 | Elected |
|  |  | 22 | TSE KWOK CHAU | 649 |  |
|  |  | 23 | LEE PING KUEN | 1,503 | Elected |
|  |  | 24 | POON LOK TO OTTO | 1,629 | Elected |
|  |  | 25 | YU WAI LE WILLIS | 869 |  |
|  |  | 26 | LEUNG YEE TAK | 762 |  |
|  |  | 27 | CHAN HING CHUEN (MARK CHAN) | 450 |  |
|  |  | 28 | WONG CHUN FAI JEFFREY | 1,016 | Elected |
|  |  | 29 | YING LEE WAI (DANIEL) | 1,074 | Elected |
|  |  | 30 | YUEN PAK LEUNG | 855 |  |
|  |  | 31 | SIU YIN WAI | 909 |  |
|  |  | 32 | TSO CHE WAH | 801 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 | WONG TSAN HUNG | 1,028 |  |
|  | 17 | CHUNG WAI YEE (JOANNE) | 1,121 |  |
|  | 18 | KWAN SIU YUK | 771 |  |
|  | 19 | NG FUNG LEUNG BACON | 1,157 |  |
|  | 20 | KWONG YIU SUM BENJAMIN | 1,179 |  |
|  | 21 | CHEUNG NGAN TAI LIZA | 1,474 | Elected |
|  | 22 | CHUA SEK CHON PETER | 1,048 |  |
|  | 23 | PONG SCARLETT OI LAN | 2,206 | Elected |
|  | 24 | WONG YEE HING ESTHER | 869 |  |
|  | 25 | CHAN WAI CHEUNG FRED | 551 |  |
|  | 26 | FUNG WAI YIP KERRY | 1,021 |  |
|  | 27 | WONG JOY YING (ANNA WONG) | 1,088 |  |
|  | 28 | YUEN CHI MAN ANDERS | 1,645 | Elected |
|  | 29 | TONG WAH KUN | 764 |  |
|  | 30 | YEUNG WING CHIU | 1,491 | Elected |
|  | 31 | KWOK CHOI FUNG | 1,091 |  |
|  | 32 | CHUI CHUN MING WILLIAM | 1,816 | Elected |
|  | 33 | YAU FUK LOI RICO | 821 |  |
|  | 34 | LEE MING PUI MAVIS (YUEN LEE MING PUI MAVIS) | 1,203 | Elected |
|  | 35 | TSO SHING YUK ALICE | 2,037 | Elected |
|  | 36 | SO YIU WAH | 1,321 | Elected |
|  | 37 | LAU PING FAT | 797 |  |
|  | 38 | LAU MO YEE | 1,206 | Elected |
|  | 39 | WONG KAM HUNG | 466 |  |
|  | 40 | LAI SHUET FUN ADELA | 1,182 |  |
|  | 41 | SO CHUI KUEN | 2,470 | Elected |
|  | 42 | LAU SIU ON | 1,112 |  |
|  | 43 | LEUNG FUNG YEE | 1,590 | Elected |
|  | 44 | WOO GEORGE | 891 |  |
|  | 45 | KU WAI YIN ELLEN | 1,177 |  |
|  | 46 | PANG CHAK HAU | 1,316 | Elected |
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(*The candidate is elected as a Special Member)
(@The candidate is elected as a Special Member pursuant to a result determined by drawing lots)
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13 | YAN HONG | 540 |  |
|  | 14 | LEE WING YEE ELIZA | 1,480 | Elected |
|  | 15 | WONG CHI WAI | 1,380 | Elected |
|  | 16 | CHENG LEE MING | 473 |  |
|  | 17 | LAI DING KEE | 481 |  |
|  | 18 | CHAN CHING KIU STEPHEN | 1,490 | Elected |
|  | 19 | CHIANG YIK MAN | 1,341 | Elected |
|  | 20 | POON PING HONG (SIMPSON POON) | 638 |  |
|  | 21 | HSIAO WEN LUAN WENDY | 575 |  |
|  | 22 | FONG CHI WAH | 1,405 | Elected |
|  | 23 | KWOK SIU TONG | 775 | Elected |
|  | 24 | TSE WING LING JOHN | 816 | Elected |
|  | 25 | CHEUNG YAN LEUNG STEPHEN | 914 | Elected |
|  | 26 | FUNG WAI WAH | 1,699 | Elected |
|  | 27 | CHAN CHI MING | 736 |  |
|  | 28 | LI CHIN WA | 1,415 | Elected |
|  | 29 | HUNG WING TAT | 805 | Elected |
|  | 30 | HO KIN CHUNG | 777 | Elected |
|  | 31 | LEUNG KAI CHI | 1,382 | Elected |
|  | 32 | LI CHE LAN LINDA | 1,456 | Elected |
|  | 33 | WAN JENNIFER MAN FAN | 532 |  |
|  | 34 | HO LOK SANG | 736 |  |
|  | 35 | MAHNCKE HANS RICHARD | 579 |  |
|  | 36 | CHAN KING MING | 1,598 | Elected |
|  | 37 | KWAN CHI KIN | 1,347 | Elected |
|  | 38 | LEUNG MAN LAY LOUIS | 1,347 | Elected |
|  | 39 | TANG ZIKANG | 470 |  |
|  | 40 | CHENG YU SHEK JOSEPH | 1,903 | Elected |
|  | 41 | WU WUTIAN | 518 |  |
|  | 42 | LI KUI WAI (CHARLES) | 538 |  |
|  | 43 | YOUNG CHIEN MING ENOCH | 644 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 44 | LUK YIU MAN RAYMOND | 1,310 | Elected |
|  |  | 45 | CHEUNG CHOR YUNG | 1,678 | Elected |
|  |  | 46 | CHAN KA LOK | 1,718 | Elected |
| 13 | Hong Kong and Kowloon District Councils | 1 | LI TAK HONG | 125 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | CHOW KIT BING JENNIFER | 132 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | WONG KAM CHI | 131 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | CHAN YUEN HAN | 121 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | CHAN CHUNG BUN BUNNY | 123 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | CHAN KENG CHAU | 131 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | NGAN CHUN LIM | 43 |  |
|  |  | 8 | CHAN SIU TONG | 127 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | KAN CHI HO | 131 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | NG KAM CHUN (STEPHEN) | 135 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | CHAN MAN KI MAGGIE | 135 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | KONG CHACK HO | 121 | Elected |
|  |  | 13 | CHEUNG YAN HONG | 136 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | LAM YUK CHUN | 128 | Elected |
|  |  | 15 | YANG WING KIT | 122 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | WONG KIN PAN | 129 | Elected |
|  |  | 17 | WONG KIN HING | 130 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | CHEUNG WING SUM AMBROSE | 124 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | FUNG MEI WAN | 131 | Elected |
|  |  | 20 | LAM KA FAI AARON | 125 | Elected |
|  |  | 21 | CHUNG KONG MO | 133 | Elected |
|  |  | 22 | LAI WING HO JOE | 131 | Elected |
|  |  | 23 | FUNG WAI KWONG | 34 |  |
|  |  | 24 | ZIMMERMAN PAULUS JOHANNES (PAUL ZIMMERMAN) | 34 |  |
|  |  | 25 | LEE PIK YEE | 127 | Elected |
|  |  | 26 | YIU PAK LEUNG | 120 | Elected |
|  |  | 27 | FUNG SE GOUN FERGUS | 113 | Elected |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28 | CHEUNG KWOK KWAN | 126 | Elected |
|  | 29 | CHUNG SHU KUN CHRISTOPHER | 119 | Elected |
|  | 30 | CHENG WING SHUN VINCENT | 130 | Elected |
|  | 31 | SO LAI CHUN | 134 | Elected |
|  | 32 | WONG CHUN PING | 121 | Elected |
|  | 33 | CHAN OI KWAN | 127 | Elected |
|  | 34 | WONG CHOR FUNG | 109 | Elected\# |
|  | 35 | HO HIN MING | 118 | Elected |
|  | 36 | WONG SHU MING ROWENA | 123 | Elected |
|  | 37 | CHAN WAI MING | 130 | Elected |
|  | 38 | SIU YUEN SHEUNG | 126 | Elected |
|  | 39 | CHAN WAI KWAN ANDIE | 124 | Elected |
|  | 40 | KUNG PAK CHEUNG | 129 | Elected |
|  | 41 | CHAN KOK WAH BEN | 121 | Elected |
|  | 42 | AU NOK HIN | 35 |  |
|  | 43 | LAM KAI FAI | 112 | Elected |
|  | 44 | PUN KWOK WAH | 129 | Elected |
|  | 45 | YEUNG TSZ HEI (BENNY) | 118 | Elected |
|  | 46 | CHAN WAH YU NELSON | 127 | Elected |
|  | 47 | HO HON MAN | 125 | Elected |
|  | 48 | HUNG LIN CHAM | 133 | Elected |
|  | 49 | HUNG KAM IN | 130 | Elected |
|  | 50 | LAU WAI WING | 129 | Elected |
|  | 51 | LEE CHI HANG SIDNEY | 121 | Elected |
|  | 52 | CHENG LAI KING | 34 |  |
|  | 53 | CHAN FU MING | 121 | Elected |
|  | 54 | OR CHONG SHING WILSON | 130 | Elected |
|  | 55 | CHAN CHIT KWAI (STEPHEN) | 131 | Elected |
|  | 56 | CHU CHING HONG | 120 | Elected |
|  | 57 | LO YEE HANG | 125 | Elected |
|  | 58 | LEE KWUN YEE KENNY | 129 | Elected |

(\#Pursuant to a result determined by drawing lots )
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 59 | CHIU KA YIN ANDREW (ANDREW CHIU) | 33 |  |
|  |  | 60 | POON CHUN YUEN | 119 | Elected |
|  |  | 61 | WONG TAT TUNG | 120 | Elected |
|  |  | 62 | CHAN CHOI HI | 131 | Elected |
|  |  | 63 | KWOK WAI KEUNG | 109 | Elected@ |
|  |  | 64 | SHIU KA FAI | 126 | Elected |
|  |  | 65 | LEUNG WAI KUEN EDWARD | 103 | Elected* |
| 14 | Hotel | 1 | LI NING | 52 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | LU LINCOLN | 51 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | LO YUK SUI | 36 |  |
|  |  | 4 | LUI CHE WOO | 56 | Elected |
|  |  | 5 | CHAN WAI SHING | 48 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | LUI WAI YU PADDY | 55 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | CHOW WAI KAM (RAYMOND) | 50 | Elected |
|  |  | 8 | YIM NICHOLAS KWOK MING | 54 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | YUEN YU MING | 53 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | LUK CHAU KWONG ERIC | 54 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | HIRST ANDREW RICHARD RUSHWORTH | 47 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | CHAN TIN YAU KEVEN | 58 | Elected |
|  |  | 13 | HARILELA GOBIND NAROOMAL GARY | 49 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | CHENG KAI MAN WILLIAM | 12 |  |
|  |  | 15 | YEUNG BIK YIU BELINDA | 47 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | YEUNG SIU TUNG TONY | 47 | Elected |
|  |  | 17 | LEE YUK FONG DOREEN | 52 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | NG KAM HUNG | 52 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | WU THOMAS JEFFERSON | 52 | Elected |
| 15 | Information Technology | 1 | FONG KIN KIU (KEN) | 397 |  |
|  |  | 2 | CHEUNG TO | 255 |  |
|  |  | 3 | TAI CHING FUNG | 171 |  |
|  |  | 4 | YIP YUK FAI | 1,053 | Elected |

(*The candidate is elected as a Special Member)
(@The candidate is elected as a Special Member pursuant to a result determined by drawing lots)
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | TANG WING ON | 979 | Elected |
|  | 6 | CHAN SAI MING | 485 |  |
|  | 7 | TANG SHUK MING (WINNIE) | 706 | Elected |
|  | 8 | YAU CHO KI JOE | 1,026 | Elected |
|  | 9 | WONG KAM FAI WILLIAM | 723 | Elected |
|  | 10 | CHAO YUK MING GARY | 338 |  |
|  | 11 | MAK TANG PIK YEE AGNES | 694 | Elected |
|  | 12 | LEUNG SIU CHEONG | 1,078 | Elected |
|  | 13 | CHAN WING SHING VINCENT | 486 |  |
|  | 14 | CHENG CHUN WAH GARY | 394 |  |
|  | 15 | KWAI SZE KIT | 282 |  |
|  | 16 | BRADBEER ROBIN SARAH | 1,121 | Elected |
|  | 17 | HUANG ERWIN STEVE | 1,072 | Elected |
|  | 18 | TSANG KIM FUNG | 999 | Elected |
|  | 19 | QUAT ELIZABETH (EQ) | 709 | Elected |
|  | 20 | LEE WOON MING WENDY | 657 | Elected |
|  | 21 | CHAN CHUNG YEE HUBERT | 536 |  |
|  | 22 | CHEUNG YIU SHING (JACKY) | 467 |  |
|  | 23 | LAM CHO SHUN (JOE) | 418 |  |
|  | 24 | LEUNG SIU PAN BENNY | 231 |  |
|  | 25 | YOUNG WO SANG | 1,023 | Elected |
|  | 26 | LAI WING HUNG | 418 |  |
|  | 27 | CHENG PAN PAN | 922 | Elected |
|  | 28 | LEE SUNNY WAI KWONG | 744 | Elected |
|  | 29 | XU YAN | 516 |  |
|  | 30 | MOK CHARLES PETER | 1,466 | Elected |
|  | 31 | CHIU DUNCAN | 499 |  |
|  | 32 | KWAN NGAN HING EDITH (EDITH MOK) | 593 |  |
|  | 33 | CHENG CHUNG NGAM (ROCKY) | 540 |  |
|  | 34 | IP NGAI SANG (JOHNNY IP) | 263 |  |
|  | 35 | SHING PAN YU JAMES | 113 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | TSUI SAI CHEUNG | 290 | Elected |
|  | 19 | TSOI CHUNG KIN | 201 | Elected |
|  | 20 | NG WAI YEE | 291 | Elected |
|  | 21 | CHAN HON FAN | 218 | Elected |
|  | 22 | CHIU CHAN ON | 256 | Elected |
|  | 23 | SIU LEUNG HING | 123 |  |
|  | 24 | LI HING WAH | 73 |  |
|  | 25 | FUNG KIN CHUNG | 255 | Elected |
|  | 26 | LEE TAK MING | 93 |  |
|  | 27 | CHAN WAI LUN | 281 | Elected |
|  | 28 | PANG KONG CHEUNG | 268 | Elected |
|  | 29 | TSE KING WA | 241 | Elected |
|  | 30 | LAM KOON LEUNG | 206 | Elected |
|  | 31 | NG CHAU PEI | 307 | Elected |
|  | 32 | CHOW KWAI YING | 252 | Elected |
|  | 33 | TSANG CHI KONG | 179 | Elected |
|  | 34 | SO PAK TSAN | 251 | Elected |
|  | 35 | LAM SHUK YEE | 284 | Elected |
|  | 36 | TSANG CHI MAN | 262 | Elected |
|  | 37 | CHEUNG SUI FONG | 257 | Elected |
|  | 38 | CHENG KAI MING | 78 |  |
|  | 39 | FUNG KUEN KWOK | 256 | Elected |
|  | 40 | LAU KAM IU | 93 |  |
|  | 41 | LAU HON WA | 69 |  |
|  | 42 | TSANG KWAN | 259 | Elected |
|  | 43 | CHAN YIU LUNG | 54 |  |
|  | 44 | LEE CHE KIN | 272 | Elected |
|  | 45 | LAI KAI SUI | 248 | Elected |
|  | 46 | LIU PO CHU | 112 |  |
|  | 47 | MAK PUI TUNG KEVIN | 173 |  |
|  | 48 | LEUNG CHAU TING | 271 | Elected |
|  | 49 | NG SAI CHUNG | 238 | Elected |
|  | 50 | LO TAI CHI | 109 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | CHAN YIU CHONG <br> (CHRISTOPHER Y. C. CHAN) | 381 |  |
|  | 4 | WONG KWOK TUNG | 856 | Elected |
|  | 5 | LEUNG DEREK JEREMY | 234 |  |
|  | 6 | YEUNG ALVIN NGOK KIU | 731 | Elected |
|  | 7 | MAK YIP SHING ANDREW | 977 | Elected |
|  | 8 | HARRIS GRAHAM ANTHONY | 1,141 | Elected |
|  | 9 | CHEUNG CHUNG CHUEN GEORGE | 400 |  |
|  | 10 | HO YEE LIN ELAINE | 504 |  |
|  | 11 | CHAN KING SANG EDWARD | 1,320 | Elected |
|  | 12 | CHEUNG TAT MING ERIC | 1,410 | Elected |
|  | 13 | LAI NADINE | 487 |  |
|  | 14 | CHANG LAI SHAN ELIZA | 541 |  |
|  | 15 | HUI TIN FOOK DAVID | 890 | Elected |
|  | 16 | TAM MAN LEUNG VIRGINIA (VIRGINIA TAM) | 441 |  |
|  | 17 | LEE WAI YIN ANGELA | 607 |  |
|  | 18 | WONG SAI KIT (NATHAN) | 442 |  |
|  | 19 | TANG WAI CHUNG | 843 | Elected |
|  | 20 | HO KIU CHOR SIDNEY | 814 | Elected |
|  | 21 | LAM KIN HUNG PATRICK | 457 |  |
|  | 22 | YIP HOI LONG RICHARD | 844 | Elected |
|  | 23 | SIU CHUN PONG RAYMOND | 410 |  |
|  | 24 | CHAN HIU FUNG NICHOLAS | 468 |  |
|  | 25 | WONG SHUI HUNG | 832 | Elected |
|  | 26 | CHOI MARGARET | 511 |  |
|  | 27 | YIP WING YIU STEVEN | 433 |  |
|  | 28 | WONG WING CHEONG PHILIP | 477 |  |
|  | 29 | MAN HO CHING (JONATHAN MAN) | 817 | Elected |
|  | 30 | LAM KAREN | 245 |  |
|  | 31 | LAM SAN KEUNG | 498 |  |
|  | 32 | CHAN CHIN WANG KEITH | 381 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 33 | WOO HING YIP ERIC | 390 |  |
|  | 34 | CHAU YU KA JASMINE | 795 | Elected |
|  | 35 | DYKES PHILIP JOHN | 1,177 | Elected |
|  | 36 | TONG MAN LUNG (RODEN) | 409 |  |
|  | 37 | NASIR AMIRALI BAKIRALI | 523 |  |
|  | 38 | HUNG WAN SHUN STEPHEN | 572 |  |
|  | 39 | CHAN BOW YE BONITA | 523 |  |
|  | 40 | CHENG SHUI TAI | 805 | Elected |
|  | 41 | CLANCEY JOHN JOSEPH | 953 | Elected |
|  | 42 | CHAN CHAK MING (C. M. CHAN) | 429 |  |
|  | 43 | TAM CHUN KIT (JEFFREY) | 773 | Elected |
|  | 44 | KAN WAI MUN CARMEN | 484 |  |
|  | 45 | TONG LAI YIN (KEVIN) | 248 |  |
|  | 46 | SIN PING HO | 800 | Elected |
|  | 47 | BROCK DENIS GARETH | 499 |  |
|  | 48 | UNG MON IN | 113 |  |
|  | 49 | TSUI KEI PANG | 498 |  |
|  | 50 | CHAN TZE CHIN | 373 |  |
|  | 51 | KWOK WING HANG (DENNIS) | 820 | Elected |
|  | 52 | LAM KIN MAN | 714 | Elected |
|  | 53 | CHAR SHIK NGOR STEPHEN | 808 | Elected |
|  | 54 | LIU SING LEE | 937 | Elected |
|  | 55 | LEUNG WING HANG VITUS | 503 |  |
|  | 56 | LI WAI IP (LI WAI-IP PHILIP) | 858 | Elected |
|  | 57 | MA CHING NAM | 488 |  |
|  | 58 | HO CHUN KI FREDERICK | 783 | Elected |
|  | 59 | LEE WAI MAN MAURICE | 515 |  |
|  | 60 | WOO RODERICK BUN | 594 |  |
|  | 61 | SHEK RANDY SHU MING | 824 | Elected |
|  | 62 | POON SUK YING DEBORA | 871 | Elected |
|  | 63 | PUN HEI HECTAR | 1,090 | Elected |
|  | 64 | CHAU CHI CHUNG | 443 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes <br> Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 65 | VIDLER MICHAEL JOHN | 878 | Elected |
|  |  | 66 | LAM YUET MING | 531 |  |
| 19 | Medical | 1 | LUK WAI LEUNG SUNNY | 186 |  |
|  |  | 2 | CHAN HIN LEE HENRY | 457 |  |
|  |  | 3 | LI KWOK TUNG DONALD | 735 |  |
|  |  | 4 | LAW CHUN BON ALEXANDER | 630 |  |
|  |  | 5 | CHENG CHI MAN | 586 |  |
|  |  | 6 | LEUNG TAT CHI GODWIN | 484 |  |
|  |  | 7 | WONG BUN LAP BERNARD | 719 |  |
|  |  | 8 | CHOW CHUN BONG | 811 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | LAM TZIT YUEN DAVID | 943 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | CHOI KIN | 1,690 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | YAU FONG CHI | 299 |  |
|  |  | 12 | LING JOHN YU KONG | 533 |  |
|  |  | 13 | MOK TONY SHU KAM | 886 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | FANG DAVID | 1,330 | Elected |
|  |  | 15 | CHOW CHUN KWAN JOHN | 645 |  |
|  |  | 16 | SUN TIN FUNG DAVID | 592 |  |
|  |  | 17 | HO HUNG KWONG DUNCAN | 900 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | MA WAI KIT | 489 |  |
|  |  | 19 | CHAN SIU KIM | 478 |  |
|  |  | 20 | LI SUM WO | 916 | Elected |
|  |  | 21 | SHIH TAI CHO LOUIS | 1,329 | Elected |
|  |  | 22 | SO KIT YING LOLETTA | 1,260 | Elected |
|  |  | 23 | WONG CHI WAI | 430 |  |
|  |  | 24 | CHAN YEE SHING | 1,272 | Elected |
|  |  | 25 | YUEN MANG HO | 601 |  |
|  |  | 26 | HO CHUN PANG | 178 |  |
|  |  | 27 | YEUNG JOSEPH WING SANG | 224 |  |
|  |  | 28 | SIU YUK LEUNG SEAMUS | 516 |  |
|  |  | 29 | FU KAM FUNG KENNETH | 569 |  |
|  |  | 30 | CHEUNG CHIN PANG | 211 |  |
|  |  | 31 | IP WING YUK | 915 | Elected |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32 | KONG YIM FAI ALBERT | 476 |  |
|  | 33 | PONG CHIU FAI | 509 |  |
|  | 34 | LEE TSZ YAN SAMSON | 842 | Elected |
|  | 35 | LEUNG FUN SHING VINCENT | 383 |  |
|  | 36 | TSE HUNG HING | 1,403 | Elected |
|  | 37 | POON TAK LUN | 1,045 | Elected |
|  | 38 | LEUNG SAI MAN SIGMUND | 597 |  |
|  | 39 | HUANG CHEN YA | 701 |  |
|  | 40 | CHU KIN WAH | 1,096 | Elected |
|  | 41 | LAU CHU PAK | 982 | Elected |
|  | 42 | CHOW PAK CHIN | 1,087 | Elected |
|  | 43 | TAM KIN MING | 671 |  |
|  | 44 | KWOK KA KI | 1,298 | Elected |
|  | 45 | KONG MING HEI BERNARD | 559 |  |
|  | 46 | MAK WAI PING | 252 |  |
|  | 47 | LEE CHOK HUEN | 229 |  |
|  | 48 | LEE MAN HO JOSEPH | 306 |  |
|  | 49 | WEI WILLIAM | 1,193 | Elected |
|  | 50 | YAM YIN CHUN LORETTA | 797 |  |
|  | 51 | AU YIU KAI | 853 | Elected |
|  | 52 | WONG SHOU PANG ALEXANDER | 623 |  |
|  | 53 | LIU WING HONG | 407 |  |
|  | 54 | WAT JASON YIU KIN | 770 |  |
|  | 55 | HO CHUNG PING | 1,157 | Elected |
|  | 56 | LI KIN KONG MICHAEL | 639 |  |
|  | 57 | CHAN KAM YUE | 93 |  |
|  | 58 | CHAN TIN SANG AUGUSTINE | 180 |  |
|  | 59 | NG CHI HO | 499 |  |
|  | 60 | PANG KA HUNG PETER | 568 |  |
|  | 61 | DAY WEIDA | 522 |  |
|  | 62 | CHUI KA LUN KELLEN | 498 |  |
|  | 63 | KWOK PO YIN SAMUEL | 934 | Elected |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 | LEUNG CHI WAI | 140 | Elected |
|  | 13 | SIU LONG MING | 141 | Elected |
|  | 14 | CHOW WING KAN | 133 | Elected |
|  | 15 | YUE SHIN MAN ANNA | 147 | Elected |
|  | 16 | MAN KWONG MING | 139 | Elected |
|  | 17 | WAN WO FAI | 144 | Elected |
|  | 18 | LEUNG WAI MAN | 132 | Elected |
|  | 19 | LEE KWAI CHUN | 146 | Elected |
|  | 20 | CHAN KAI WAI | 140 | Elected |
|  | 21 | TANG KA LEUNG | 139 | Elected |
|  | 22 | LEE HUNG SHAM LOTHAR | 129 | Elected |
|  | 23 | TANG WING CHEONG | 144 | Elected |
|  | 24 | CHAN MAN KUEN | 146 | Elected |
|  | 25 | CHAN KWOK KAI | 144 | Elected |
|  | 26 | LAM SIU CHUNG FRANKIE | 39 |  |
|  | 27 | CHENG CHO KWONG | 146 | Elected |
|  | 28 | LO SIU KIT | 154 | Elected |
|  | 29 | MAN YU MING | 144 | Elected |
|  | 30 | WONG YIU CHUNG | 14 |  |
|  | 31 | WONG FUK KAN | 142 | Elected |
|  | 32 | WAN YUET CHEUNG | 150 | Elected |
|  | 33 | LEUNG KIN MAN | 126 | Elected |
|  | 34 | NG KOON HUNG | 140 | Elected |
|  | 35 | CHAN WAN SANG | 143 | Elected |
|  | 36 | LAU WAI CHEUNG PETER (PETER) | 141 | Elected |
|  | 37 | CHEUNG WAI CHING CLARICE | 138 | Elected |
|  | 38 | LAU KWOK FAN | 133 | Elected |
|  | 39 | CHAN SIU KUEN | 142 | Elected |
|  | 40 | LAM CHUEN | 142 | Elected |
|  | 41 | TSUI FAN | 142 | Elected |
|  | 42 | LEUNG KA FAI | 145 | Elected |
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(*The candidate is elected as a Special Member)
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | WONG HUNG SANG | 2,437 | Elected |
|  | 11 | NGAI KONG YIU | 2,630 | Elected |
|  | 12 | TSANG WING KEUNG | 715 |  |
|  | 13 | WONG YUK HAY | 356 |  |
|  | 14 | LO SIU CHING | 781 |  |
|  | 15 | FAN KWOK FAI | 147 |  |
|  | 16 | CHEUNG YUK CHING LUCIA | 452 |  |
|  | 17 | WONG MAN SING BARRY | 685 |  |
|  | 18 | CHAN WING KIN | 2,149 | Elected |
|  | 19 | NG CHIU YAN | 697 |  |
|  | 20 | YUM KWOK TUNG PIUS | 2,405 | Elected |
|  | 21 | FUNG CHEUNG TIM | 696 |  |
|  | 22 | YIP KIN CHUNG | 2,132 | Elected |
|  | 23 | CHAN HIU FAI | 306 |  |
|  | 24 | HO KIN CHUNG TIMOTHY | 578 |  |
|  | 25 | TUNG CHI FAT | 504 |  |
|  | 26 | CHAN SIU MING | 1,663 |  |
|  | 27 | LEUNG PO CHU JACQUELINE | 125 |  |
|  | 28 | FU MAN SANG KENNY | 347 |  |
|  | 29 | HO KING CHEUNG | 2,212 | Elected |
|  | 30 | CHAN YEE FEI | 459 |  |
|  | 31 | WONG YU CHEUNG | 2,823 | Elected |
|  | 32 | LEE WAN PING VINCENT | 1,742 |  |
|  | 33 | YIU HUNG CHI | 322 |  |
|  | 34 | NGAN MAN HUNG RAYMOND | 2,752 | Elected |
|  | 35 | WAN YEE NUI REGINA | 822 |  |
|  | 36 | LEE KAR MUT CARMEL | 2,577 | Elected |
|  | 37 | CHUI WING TAK ERNEST | 2,853 | Elected |
|  | 38 | LAI WING HOI FREDERICK | 1,352 |  |
|  | 39 | POK FOOK SUN | 2,727 | Elected |
|  | 40 | AU YEUNG TAT CHOR | 2,125 | Elected |
|  | 41 | WONG SIU PING | 277 |  |
|  | 42 | CHOI SHING KIU PHILIP | 828 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 43 | CHAN CHUN WAH (SAM CHAN) | 502 |  |
|  | 44 | CHEN CHAU LIN | 293 |  |
|  | 45 | CHEUNG FAN LAN | 183 |  |
|  | 46 | FU YIM TING | 154 |  |
|  | 47 | TAM HIN WAI | 2,273 | Elected |
|  | 48 | YAU TAT YU | 2,816 | Elected |
|  | 49 | HUNG HIN CHING | 517 |  |
|  | 50 | MAK HOI WAH | 3,313 | Elected |
|  | 51 | CHEUNG YEUK TIP CHRISTINE | 371 |  |
|  | 52 | NG YUT MING | 2,798 | Elected |
|  | 53 | WANG GUOBO | 243 |  |
|  | 54 | HO WAI CHI (STELLA) | 1,694 |  |
|  | 55 | YEUNG MEI | 1,137 |  |
|  | 56 | LAW CHI KWONG | 3,952 | Elected |
|  | 57 | FUNG WING CHEONG | 251 |  |
|  | 58 | LAI NA | 343 |  |
|  | 59 | YU SAU CHU | 1,120 |  |
|  | 60 | TSANG KIN CHIU | 2,153 | Elected |
|  | 61 | LI KIN YIN (MARK LI) | 2,702 | Elected |
|  | 62 | CHU CHI KEUNG | 3,302 | Elected |
|  | 63 | LI MAN YI | 135 |  |
|  | 64 | TANG WAI HUNG | 865 |  |
|  | 65 | YU CHI MING | 2,062 | Elected |
|  | 66 | LAM KEUNG | 2,179 | Elected |
|  | 67 | LO TAI SUEN ADA | 206 |  |
|  | 68 | LEE YUK LUN | 245 |  |
|  | 69 | LAU YUEN KUM | 111 |  |
|  | 70 | NG SUK LING | 399 |  |
|  | 71 | LING WAI HANG | 474 |  |
|  | 72 | LEUNG PING KIN | 144 |  |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 73 | LEUNG KIM PONG (FRANK LEUNG) | 1,115 |  |
|  | 74 | AU YEUNG KWOK WAI ERIC | 440 |  |
|  | 75 | AU KIT YING ANITA | 576 |  |
|  | 76 | CHUN SHING CHI | 1,035 |  |
|  | 77 | YEUNG LAW KOON CHUI (AGNES) | 1,399 |  |
|  | 78 | LUI YAM KIT (GIGGS) | 1,945 | Elected |
|  | 79 | CHAN LUI LING YEE LILIAN | 249 |  |
|  | 80 | KWAN YUI HUEN | 2,052 | Elected |
|  | 81 | CHAN MAN HO | 423 |  |
|  | 82 | CHAN KIN HUNG CHARLES | 2,064 | Elected |
|  | 83 | CHIM MOON CHEUNG BOBY | 431 |  |
|  | 84 | LAU KA YEUNG | 2,008 | Elected |
|  | 85 | MOK HON FAI JAMES | 736 |  |
|  | 86 | LEE KIN KAN | 2,221 | Elected |
|  | 87 | WOO LEUNG HEE | 2,802 | Elected |
|  | 88 | HO KIT WAN | 1,061 |  |
|  | 89 | MAK HON KAI | 625 |  |
|  | 90 | TSE HOI KIN | 1,425 |  |
|  | 91 | SU XIQING | 1,710 |  |
|  | 92 | LUI WAI LING ANNISSA | 513 |  |
|  | 93 | LEE CHI HUNG CLIFFORD (CLIFFORD) | 1,970 | Elected |
|  | 94 | LO KIN HEI | 2,010 | Elected |
|  | 95 | YEUNG SUM | 3,969 | Elected |
|  | 96 | HO PO YING AMY | 2,287 | Elected |
|  | 97 | LEUNG WING YEE | 2,015 | Elected |
|  | 98 | MOK CHEUK TO | 194 |  |
|  | 99 | NG WING TUNG PETER | 2,470 | Elected |
|  | 100 | NG PAK TUNG (SAM NG) | 494 |  |
|  | 101 | LAM YUK MUN | 159 |  |
|  | 102 | TIK CHI YUEN | 3,664 | Elected |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 103 | WONG WAI LING IRIS | 2,143 | Elected |
|  | 104 | NG SIN YING | 245 |  |
|  | 105 | LEUNG KIN HUNG | 777 |  |
|  | 106 | CHOW KIN MAN | 207 |  |
|  | 107 | CHEUNG CHOR KIN | 2,062 | Elected |
|  | 108 | CHUA HOI WAI | 2,857 | Elected |
|  | 109 | CHUNG WOON FAN FLORA | 435 |  |
|  | 110 | YAU HOW BOA | 766 |  |
|  | 111 | KONG KWAI SANG | 1,474 |  |
|  | 112 | LEUNG YUK KI | 2,445 | Elected |
|  | 113 | KAN CHI WAI | 2,121 | Elected |
|  | 114 | MAK WAI CHEE | 240 |  |
|  | 115 | KO CHUN WA | 840 |  |
|  | 116 | CHOI DOI KWAN EVA | 211 |  |
|  | 117 | HO FOEI LIN | 1,165 |  |
|  | 118 | CHEUNG WAI KWAN | 386 |  |
|  | 119 | BAIG RAEES BEGUM | 2,586 | Elected |
|  | 120 | LAM CHEUNG WAI | 240 |  |
|  | 121 | WONG KA YAN ESTHER | 299 |  |
|  | 122 | SHIU KA CHUN | 3,187 | Elected |
|  | 123 | HUNG PAK CHEUNG (FREDIE) | 1,838 | Elected |
|  | 124 | YEUNG KA CHING | 3,189 | Elected |
|  | 125 | WONG HUNG | 4,070 | Elected |
|  | 126 | SO KIT YIN | 1,986 | Elected |
|  | 127 | LEUNG TONG LIT CHARLES | 2,013 | Elected |
|  | 128 | CHOW YIU HONG | 1,616 |  |
|  | 129 | CHONG CHAN YAU | 4,419 | Elected |
|  | 130 | CHAN PO CHIU | 1,250 |  |
|  | 131 | LAU YUEN YEE JANUS | 216 |  |
|  | 132 | LU ON ON | 109 |  |
|  | 133 | CHAN KIN PONG | 174 |  |
|  | 134 | TSUI CHEUNG LING | 1,400 |  |
|  | 135 | CHAN WAI LING | 144 |  |
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|  | Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 136 | LO KWAN NGA ANN | 300 |  |
|  |  | 137 | WONG CHUN PIU DANIEL | 344 |  |
|  |  | 138 | LEUNG CHING SHAN | 1,473 |  |
|  |  | 139 | PANG SHING FOOK | 362 |  |
|  |  | 140 | TSIEN WONG BIK KWAN TERESA | 1,320 |  |
|  |  | 141 | TAI YUET MAY | 61 |  |
|  |  | 142 | WONG NGA YING | 518 |  |
|  |  | 143 | CHENG SHING LUNG EDWIN | 179 |  |
|  |  | 144 | NG KAM CHUEN | 1,098 |  |
|  |  | 145 | KUNG WAI SUM | 1,461 |  |
|  |  | 146 | HSU SIU MAN | 723 |  |
|  |  | 147 | LAY YAN PIAU | 2,366 | Elected |
|  |  | 148 | TOH PING FUNG | 375 |  |
|  |  | 149 | NG KA KEE SCARLETT | 161 |  |
|  |  | 150 | FONG CHEUNG FAT | 592 |  |
|  |  | 151 | YUK TAK FUN | 1,348 |  |
|  |  | 152 | FUNG CHI HO BENJAMIN | 271 |  |
|  |  | 153 | TSANG WAI SEN | 201 |  |
|  |  | 154 | LO CHIU KWAN | 2,050 | Elected |
|  |  | 155 | CHAN WAI LUN | 1,507 |  |
|  |  | 156 | CHAN MAN LUEN YING | 374 |  |
|  |  | 157 | TANG LEUNG SHUN GARY | 1,001 |  |
|  |  | 158 | CHEUNG CHI WAI | 2,285 | Elected |
|  |  | 159 | CHENG YIU TUNG (DODO) | 1,917 | Elected |
|  |  | 160 | MOK SHING WONG | 92 |  |
|  |  | 161 | KWOK CHI YING | 1,673 |  |
|  |  | 162 | LEUNG CHUEN SUEN | 2,261 | Elected |
|  |  | 163 | CHAN WAI TO | 1,481 |  |
|  |  | 164 | YU KEI YEUNG | 2,018 | Elected |
| 22 | Tourism | 1 | NG HI ON | 291 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | HO PAK TING RONNIE | 325 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | TUNG YAO CHUNG JOSEPH | 297 | Elected |
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|  | Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 9 | TSUI HON YAN PAUL | 26 |  |
|  |  | 10 | LEE LUEN FAI | 112 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | HO YEE TAK JACKSON | 110 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | CHENG HAK WO | 97 | Elected |
|  |  | 13 | CHAN SHU SANG | 36 |  |
|  |  | 14 | FONG CHI FAI FERDINAD | 93 | Elected |
|  |  | 15 | LI KWAN WOOD | 79 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | CHAN KWOK SING | 97 | Elected |
|  |  | 17 | LI TAK SUM | 90 | Elected |
|  |  | 18 | SZETO KA SING | 90 | Elected |
|  |  | 19 | CHENG WAI PO SAMUEL | 82 | Elected |
|  |  | 20 | HAI CHI YUET | 101 | Elected |
|  |  | 21 | TONG YEUK FUNG | 26 |  |
| 24 | Wholesale and Retail | 1 | LAI KAN | 498 | Elected |
|  |  | 2 | WONG KONG HUI KENLAY (UY KONG HUI) | 571 | Elected |
|  |  | 3 | MA KING HUEN | 654 | Elected |
|  |  | 4 | WONG SHUN YUEN | 331 |  |
|  |  | 5 | LEUNG YAT CHEONG | 573 | Elected |
|  |  | 6 | CHU KAI TO JOSEPH | 675 | Elected |
|  |  | 7 | LI YING SANG TOMMY | 951 | Elected |
|  |  | 8 | MA YUNG YI | 569 | Elected |
|  |  | 9 | LI TZE LEUNG | 1,003 | Elected |
|  |  | 10 | CHU KA LOK PETER | 966 | Elected |
|  |  | 11 | LI SHENG CHI | 543 | Elected |
|  |  | 12 | SUN TAI LUN | 704 | Elected |
|  |  | 13 | LAU LUEN HUNG THOMAS (THOMAS LAU) | 1,009 | Elected |
|  |  | 14 | KWAN PAK HOO BANKEE | 529 | Elected |
|  |  | 15 | KWOK SIU MING (SIMON) | 1,086 | Elected |
|  |  | 16 | LEE KAM KAI (LEE KWONG LAM) | 454 |  |
|  |  | 17 | CHOW LIANG SHUK YEE SELINA | 1,362 | Elected |
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| Name of <br> Subsector/Sub-subsector |  | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 | CHAO CHEN KUO | 467 |  |
|  |  | 19 | MONG TAK YEUNG DAVID | 1,008 | Elected |
|  |  | 20 | LAM CHUN WING | 486 |  |
|  |  | 21 | CHENG SO NGOR | 498 | Elected |
|  |  | 22 | MAK SUI KING CAROLINE | 569 | Elected |
|  |  | 23 | YU SAU NING HOMER | 452 |  |
| 25 | Agriculture and Fisheries | - | KWOK SO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAM KUN SO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAI CHUEN TAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KEUNG PAK HO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG CHEUNG FAT | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG KAN CHAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN SO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEUNG LAI PING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO SAU SANG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHENG FU WAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG FOR | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEUNG KWONG YUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHENG KING MAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | FUNG CHOI YUK | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEUNG CHEE CHUEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WAN CHUNG PING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEUNG FOR YAU | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN CHI MANG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAI TAK CHUEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | FUNG SHU FAT | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TSANG KWOK KEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KWONG CHI WAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TANG NUEN FUN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HO CHUN YIN STEVEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN CHUN CHUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | SHEK CHUNG SANG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAU KOK FAI GALANT | - | UNCONTESTED |
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| Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | WONG YUEN TAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | WU CHUN YUET | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | CHEUNG MUK LAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LO SUI LAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | WAN KAM CHUEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | KWOK CHI YAU | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LAU SUK YIN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LAU KAM FUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | FUNG KIN CHUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LEUNG KOON WAH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | YEUNG SHEUNG CHUN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | HO YUK SANG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LAW KING SHING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LEUNG PING KWAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | CHEUNG TAK SHING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | SO CHI KEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | WONG HOI HANG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LO YAM KEUNG EDDIE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | CHENG TING FOO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | CHAN YU YUK | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | WAN LOI HEI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | CHAN KIN YIP | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LI HEXIE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LEE CHOI WAH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | WONG FOR KAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LEUNG TO KAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | CHEUNG SIU KEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | CHENG SIU WAH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | PANG WAH KAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LAI SHING CHAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | WONG CHI MAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LEE LEUNG KEI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  | - | LAW YU BOR | - | UNCONTESTED |
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|  | Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes <br> Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Commercial (Second) | - | CHOI KOON SHUM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHONG HOK SHAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | FONG MAN HUNG DAVID | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG CHU LIEN FAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN YAU NAM IAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN PUN DAVID | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE TAK LUN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LI KWOK HUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | YU KWOK CHUN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG SAU CHING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WU KWOK CHEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAM KWONG YU | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TSANG CHI MING RICKY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHOW KUEN KUEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | MA CHUNG LAI LAWRENCE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | YEUNG CHUN KAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAM MING SUM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAU YUE SUN | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 27 | Culture (Sub-subsector) | - | FEI BARBARA | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHOW WING SHING VINCENT | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN PO CHU PEARL | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHOU YUN CHEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE KOK KEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN HEI HING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | AU WENG HEI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE KAM YIN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN KIN BUN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN WING WAH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEN DARWIN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE CHACK FAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN HANG KWAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KO CHI SUM | - | UNCONTESTED |
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|  | Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of <br> Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Employers' Federation of Hong Kong | - | LO YAU LAI WINSTON | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LING LEE CHING MAN ELEANOR | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | APPS VICTOR STANLEY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KWONG CHING WAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG KWONG YIU | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN CHO CHAK JOHN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TSUI YIU CHEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | RENWICK BRIAN HAMILTON | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | MAK KIN WAH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | PRATT CHRISTOPHER DALE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | ABATE DUNCAN ARTHUR WILLIAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG CHI MING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HU SHAO MING HERMAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | PONG LOUIS WAI YAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NIGHTINGALE ANTHONY JOHN LIDDELL | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | SO CHAK KWONG | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 29 | Finance | - | WONG HON HING (DEREK WONG) | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN FUNG CHEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LI MAN KIU ADRIAN DAVID | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TAN STEPHEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | YUNG LINCOLN CHU KUEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG TUNG SHUN PETER | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG SHOU YEH DAVID | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE WING KWAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KAI CHI ON | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO CHUNG HING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | MA CHING YUK | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO CHI WAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | IP TAK CHUEN EDMOND | - | UNCONTESTED |
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|  | Name of <br> Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - | LIN SUN MO WILLY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEUNG HOK SAU CHARLES | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | POON PETER | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHIANG LAI WAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN FUNG PING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEN JIANWEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEN CHUNG NIN ROCK | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HUI WAH KIT MICHAEL | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE CHRISTINA MAISENNE | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 32 | Industrial (First) | - | SUN KAI LIT CLIFF | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HO CHI SHING DAVID | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAU YIU TONG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | SO WING KEUNG (PAUL SO) | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAU CHIN HO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NGAN PO LING PAULINE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE KAM HUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HO CHI KAI NELSON | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHENG MAN CHUNG DANIEL | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO CHUNG WING VICTOR | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE WAI MAN EDDY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TING WOO SHOU KENNETH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEN CHENG JEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KWOK CHUN WAH (JIMMY) | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TAM TIN FONG MARTIN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHUNG CHI PING ROY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TING WAI CHEUNG BERNIE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN CHUN TUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 33 | Industrial (Second) | - | SZE IRONS | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NGAN NG YU YING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NGAI KAM FAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG WING KA | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN WING KEE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO FOO CHEUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |

Appendix IX
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|  | Name of <br> Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes <br> Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - | WONG YAU KAR DAVID | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | FONG PING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | SZETO KIN VITUS | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG KA WO SIMON | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HSU TSUN FAI MARVIN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | YEUNG CHI HUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG WANG PUN DENNIS | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TANG TO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TSUI PING KWONG (EDWARD) | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | YU SUN SAY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG CHING WUN (KENNETH) | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN SUK LING SHIRLEY | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 34 | Performing Arts (Sub-subsector) | - | LAM SHIU MING DANEIL | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LAM KIN NGOK PETER | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHONG KOON NAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | FUNG TIM CHEE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LI KUO HSING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN WING MEI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG SEE YUEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TAM WING LUN ALAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG PAK MING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEUNG HONG TAT | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TONG HING CHI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HUNG CHO SING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TSUI YIU MING SIUMING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHIAU SING CHI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE ALBERT | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 35 | Publication (Sub-subsector) | - | SHEN PENG YING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG CHING YEE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE CHO JAT | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN MAN HUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG EDWARD SING | - | UNCONTESTED |

Appendix IX
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|  | Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - | WONG HAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN CHUNG LING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LI HING SANG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | SHEK HON KEI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO CHI HONG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HUI CHIU MING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | SINN KWOK CHUNG PATRICK | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | YEUNG KAM KAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TSANG HIP TAI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE KA KUI | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 36 | Real Estate and Construction | - | LEE IRENE YUN LIEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WOO CHUN KUEN DOUGLAS | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HO ON SING THOMAS | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO KA SHUI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LI KA SHING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG CHEE SIONG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEUNG WING KONG JOSEPH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KERR KEITH GRAHAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HO CHIU FUNG DAISY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE SHAU KEE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN ALLAN SAU KIT | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KWOK PING KWONG THOMAS | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | JONES RUSSELL GARFIELD | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEUNG CHI KIN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LOONG HON BIU | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TSANG CHIU KWAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE WING KEE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN RONNIE | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 37 | Sports (Sub-subsector) | - | HUI CHUN FUI | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LO KIT SING STEVEN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN WAI LUN ANTHONY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE KWAN WAH | - | UNCONTESTED |

Appendix IX
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|  | Name of Subsector/Sub-subsector | Candidate <br> Number | Candidate Name | Votes Obtained | Result of Election |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - | YUE KWOK LEUNG TONY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NG SAU KEI WILFRED | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TONG WAI LUN WILLIAM | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | PUI KWAN KAY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | KO HAK LING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN LIM CHEE AMY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG KAM PO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHENG KA HO | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HO CHUNG HO PHILIBE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG PO KEE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG MAN CHIU RONNIE | - | UNCONTESTED |
| 38 | Textiles and Garment | - | YEN GORDON | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WONG KAI CHI KENNETH | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHEN TONG SANG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | FANG KENNETH HUNG | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHAN OI CHING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEE HARRY NAI SHEE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | YEUNG CHUN FAN (YEUNG FAN) | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | NGAN KAM WAI ALBERT | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEUNG KA YUEN LAWRENCE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TUNG WOO PING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LU EVELYN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | WOO PAT NIE | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | LEUNG HUNG TAK BRIAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | FUNG WAI YIU | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | CHUNG KWOK PAN | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | HUI CHEUNG WING | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TAN HENRY | - | UNCONTESTED |
|  |  | - | TAM NORMAN | - | UNCONTESTED |

## 2012 Chief Executive Election <br> Summary of Ballot Papers

| Breakdown of valid ballot papers |  |  | Breakdown of invalid ballot papers <br> Unmarked | Breakdown of rejected questionable ballot papers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obtained by Mr Leung Chun-ying (Candidate No. 1) | Obtained by Mr Ho Chun-yan (Candidate No. 2) | Obtained by Mr Tang Ying-yen, Henry (Candidate No. 3) |  | Has on it any writing or mark by which the elector can possibly be identified | Void for uncertainty | Total |
| 689 | 76 | 285 | 75 | 2 | 5 | 1,132 |

Appendix XI(A)

## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections Breakdown of Complaint Cases Received from the Public <br> During the Complaints-handling Period

(from 8 November 2011 to 25 January 2012)

| Nature |  | Received by |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | the <br> Electoral Affairs Commission | the Returning Officers | the Presiding Officers | the Police | $\begin{aligned} & \text { the } \\ & \text { ICAC } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | Election advertisements | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 2 | Entitlement to vote | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | Allocation/designation of polling station | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4 | False statements | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5 | False claim of support | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 6 | Corruption/bribery/treating/undue influence/impersonation/deception/duress | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 7 | Disturbances to electors caused by loudspeakers/broadcasting vehicles/telephone canvassing/others | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 8 | Personal data privacy | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 9 | Polling arrangements | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 10 | Against Returning Officer or his staff | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 11 | Against polling staff | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 12 | Nomination and candidature | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 13 | Election expenses | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 14 | False registration of electors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 15 | Counting arrangements | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | No offence alleged | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 17 | Others | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
|  | Total | 56 | 11 | 22 | 4 | 7 | 100 |

## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections Breakdown of Complaint Cases Received from the Public on the Polling Day

| Nature |  | Received by |  |  |  | Total$2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | the Electoral Affairs Commission | the Returning Officers | the Presiding Officers | the <br> Police |  |
| 1 | Election advertisements | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Entitlement to vote | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | Allocation/designation of polling station | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | Disturbances to electors caused by loudspeakers/broadcasting vehicles/telephone canvassing/others | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | Personal data privacy | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 6 | Polling arrangements | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| 7 | Against polling staff | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| 8 | Nomination and candidature | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | Others | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
|  | Total | 13 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 40 |

2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections

## Outcome of Complaint Cases Received During the Complaints-handling Period

 Investigated by the Electoral Affairs Commission(Showing position as at 25 May 2012)

| Nature |  | No. of cases <br> directly received from the public | No. of cases referred from other government departments/ parties | Total no. of cases received | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Action completed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Investigation underway |  |  | Withdrawn | No further action | Referral <br> made to other <br> relevant <br> government <br> departments/ <br> parties | Not substantiated | Substantiated |  |
| 1 | Election advertisements |  | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 8 |
| 2 | Entitlement to vote |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3 | Allocation/designation of polling station | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| 4 | False statements | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 5 | False claim of support | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 6 | Corruption/bribery/treating/ undue influence/impersonation | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 7 | Disturbances to electors caused by loudspeakers/ broadcasting vehicles/ telephone canvassing/others | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 8 | Personal data privacy | 18 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| 9 | Polling arrangements | 6 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| 10 | Against polling staff | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 11 | Nomination and candidature | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | Election expenses | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 13 | Counting arrangements | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | Others | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Total | 56 | 20 | 76 | 10 | 1 | 28 | 20 | 16 | 1 | 76 |

## 2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections

Outcome of Complaint Cases Received During the Complaints-handling Period Investigated by the Returning Officers
(Showing position as at 25 May 2012)

| Nature |  | No. of cases directly received from the public | No. of cases referred from other government departments/ parties | Total no. of cases received | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Action completed |  |  |  |
|  |  | Investigation underway |  |  | Withdrawn | No further action | Referral <br> made to other <br> relevant <br> government <br> departments/ <br> parties | Not substantiated | Substantiated |  |
| 1 | Election advertisements |  | 5 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| 2 | Personal data privacy |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | Against Returning Officer or his staff | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | Nomination and candidature | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Others | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Total | 11 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 15 |

2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections
Outcome of Complaint Cases Received During the Complaints-handling Period Investigated by the Police
(Showing position as at 25 May 2012)

| Nature |  | No. of cases directly received from the public | No. of cases referred from other government departments /parties | Total no. of cases received | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Investigation underway |  |  | Action completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Referral made to other relevant government departments/ parties |  |  | Not substantiated | No <br> further action | Record only | Arrested | Warned at scene |  |
| 1 | Noise nuisances |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Other nuisances | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | False registration of electors | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | Others | 1 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  | Total | 4 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |

2011 Election Committee Subsector Elections

## Outcome of Complaint Cases Received During the Complaints-handling Period

 Investigated by the ICAC(Showing position as at 25 May 2012)

| Section | Nature | No. of cases directly received from the public | No. of cases referred from other government departments/ parties | Total no. of cases received | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Investigation underway | Action completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Referral <br> made to other <br> relevant <br> government <br> departments/ <br> parties | Not substantiated | Pending legal advice | No further action | Warning | Caution |  |
| (I) Offences under the Elections (Corrupt and Illegal Conduct) Ordinance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S 11 | Bribery in relation to voting | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S 12 | Treating | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| S 16 | Corrupt conduct with respect to voting | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S 26 | False statement about a candidate | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| S 27 | False claim of support | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S 37 | Failing to comply with requirements when lodging election return | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| (II) No offence alleged |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total |  | 7 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 |

## 2012 Chief Executive Election <br> Breakdown of Complaint Cases Received from the Public During the Complaints-handling Period

(from 3 January 2012 to 9 May 2012)

| Nature |  | Received by |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | the <br> Electoral Affairs Commission | the Police | $\begin{aligned} & \text { the } \\ & \text { ICAC } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | Election advertisements | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2 | False statements | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 3 | Corruption/bribery/treating/undue influence/impersonation/deception/duress | 3 | 0 | 9 | 12 |
| 4 | Personal data privacy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Nomination and candidature | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 6 | Noise/other nuisances | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 7 | Election expenses | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 8 | Unfair and unequal treatment by the media | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 9 | Complaints outside EAC's ambit | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 10 | Criminal damage | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 11 | Dispute cases | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | No offence alleged | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 13 | Others | 11 | 38 | 1 | 50 |
| Total |  | 48 | 52 | 19 | 119 |

Appendix XIII(B)

## 2012 Chief Executive Election <br> Breakdown of Complaint Cases Received from the Public on the Polling Day

| Nature |  | Received by |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | the Police | $\begin{aligned} & \text { the } \\ & \text { ICAC } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | Deception in relation to voting | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Other nuisances | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Others | 8 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 9 | 1 | 10 |

## 2012 Chief Executive Election <br> Outcome of Complaint Cases Received During the Complaints-handling Period Investigated by the Electoral Affairs Commission

(Showing position as at 25 May 2012)

| Nature |  | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Action completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Investigation underway | Withdrawn | No further action | Referral <br> made to other <br> relevant <br> government <br> departments/ <br> parties | Not substantiated | Partially substantiated | Substantiated |  |
| 1 | Election advertisements | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2 | False statements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3 | Corruption/bribery/ treating/undue influence/ impersonation | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | Personal data privacy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Nomination and candidature | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 6 | Election expenses | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 7 | Unfair and unequal treatment by the media | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 8 | Complaints outside EAC's ambit | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 9 | Others | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | Total | 12 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 48 |

## Appendix XIV(B)

## 2012 Chief Executive Election <br> Outcome of Complaint Cases Received During the Complaints-handling Period Investigated by the Police

(Showing position as at 25 May 2012)

| Nature |  | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Investigation Underway | Action completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Referral <br> made to <br> other <br> relevant <br> government <br> departments <br> /parties | Not substantiated | No further action | Record only | Arrested but released | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arrested } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { prosecuted } \end{gathered}$ | Warned at scene |  |
| 1 | Criminal damage |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 2 | Dispute cases | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Noise nuisances | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | Other nuisances | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Others | 4 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
|  | Total | 5 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 52 |

## 2012 Chief Executive Election <br> Outcome of Complaint Cases Received During the Complaints-handling Period Investigated by the ICAC

(Showing position as at 25 May 2012)

| Section | Nature | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Investigation underway | Action completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Referral <br> made to <br> other <br> relevant <br> government <br> departments/ <br> parties | Not substantiated | Pending legal advice |  | Warning | Caution |  |
| (I) Offences under the Elections (Corrupt and Illegal Conduct) Ordinance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S 11 | Bribery in relation to voting | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| S 12 | Treating | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| S 13 | Duress in relation to voting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| S 14 | Deception in relation to voting | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S 23 | Incurring election expenses without proper authorisation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S 26 | False statement about a candidate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| (II) Offences under the Prevention of Bribery Ordinance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S 9 | Corrupt transactions with agents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| (III) No offence alleged |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| (IV) Others |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total |  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 19 |


[^0]:    1 According to section 16(5) of the CEEO, an EC member whose name appears on the final register shall be disqualified from making nominations if he or she-
    (a) resigns from the EC;
    (b) is serving a sentence of imprisonment for the time being (in the case of nomination) or on the polling date (in the case of voting);
    (c) has ceased to have a substantial connection with the subsector concerned;
    (d) has ceased to be registered or eligible to be registered as an elector for a geographical constituency;
    (e) has in Hong Kong or in any other place been sentenced to death or imprisonment (by whatever name called) and has not either served the sentence or undergone such other punishment as a competent authority may have substituted for a sentence, or received a free pardon;
    (f) is found for the time being under the Mental Health Ordinance (Cap 136) to be incapable, by reason of mental incapacity, of managing and administering his property and affairs;
    (g) is a member of the armed forces of the People's Republic of China or any other country or territory; or
    (h) is or has been convicted, within the three years before the polling date, of having engaged in corrupt conduct or illegal conduct in contravention of the Elections (Corrupt and Illegal Conduct) Ordinance (Cap 554); or of an offence against Part II of the Prevention of Bribery Ordinance (Cap 201); or of any offence prescribed by the EAC Regulations.

