

Panel on Transport

List of follow-up actions

(position as at 3 February 2012)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
1. Central Kowloon Route (CKR) - Progress update	27 February 2009	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information to the Panel –</p> <p>(a) A paper detailing the measures to be taken to mitigate the air and noise impacts that might be caused by the Western Tunnel Portal of CKR to local residents including Prosperous Garden residents; and</p> <p>(b) A paper on the estimated noise impacts in terms of decibels as a result of construction of the CKR project, the noise pollution level at the Ferry Street Flyover (FSF) sections fronting Prosperous Garden, explanation of the technical difficulties in retrofitting these sections with noise barriers and why those difficulties could not be overcome, as well as details of the</p>	Information from the Administration is awaited

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
		<p>alternative mitigation measures under contemplation and the estimated mitigation effect expected to be achieved in terms of reduced decibels. The paper should also address the point that CKR might increase traffic flow on these sections of FSF and aggravate the noise problem, so that mitigation measures such as the installation of double-glazed windows and air-conditioning for the affected residents should be considered.</p>	
<p>2. Recent fatal traffic accident in Mong Kok and safety of public light buses (PLBs)</p>	<p>26 June 2009</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to submit reports at quarterly intervals on relevant issues including measures to enhance road safety and specific measures for PLBs, in particular progress made by the Administration in exploring the installation of "blackbox" (i.e. a vehicle monitoring system) and speed limiter; and statistics of law enforcement and undercover operations conducted against speeding of PLB drivers and the justifications behind as appropriate.</p>	<p>The first and second reports were issued vide LC Papers Nos. CB(1)430/09-10(03) and 2683/09-10 on 20 November 2009 and 2 August 2010 respectively. The third report was issued vide LC Paper LC Paper No. CB(1) 1288/10-11(05) on 21 February 2011.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
3. Measures to enhance safety of reversing goods vehicles	24 June 2011	<p>The Administration was requested to take the following actions –</p> <p>(a) Work out a timetable for taking forward the proposal of requiring all new goods vehicles (GVs) to be equipped with the reversing video device for most new GV's which were proven feasible, and provide a paper early in the 2011-2012 legislative session to explain why the legislation for implementation of the proposal could not be enacted, say, in the first quarter of 2012; and</p> <p>(b) Report in the 2011-2012 legislative session progress of additional efforts made to enhance the safety of reversing GV's in response to members' views expressed at this meeting.</p>	Information from the Administration is awaited
4. Briefing by the Secretary for Transport and Housing on the transport policy initiatives featuring in the 2011-2012 Policy Address	18 October 2011	<p>The Administration was requested to provide supplementary information on the implementation progress and timetable of the following projects:</p> <p>(i) Central Kowloon Route;</p>	The supplementary information provided by the Administration was issued vide LC paper No. CB(1)968/11-12 on 2 February 2012

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
		(ii) Trunk Road T2; and (iii) Tseung Kwan O-Lam Tin Tunnel and Cross Bay Link.	
5. Improvement to Pok Oi Interchange	5 January 2012	The Administration was requested to take the following actions – (a) to make it clear in the relevant paper to be submitted to Public Works Subcommittee (PWSC) that for the proposed noise barriers near the planned schools, the Administration would carry out the necessary foundation works only and would not carry out the installation of noise barriers until the Education Bureau had confirmed the construction plan for the schools concerned; (b) to provide, in the paper to be submitted to PWSC, a breakdown of the estimate of \$13.2 million for the cost item "noise barriers", and to confirm that the funding applied for the installation of the noise barriers would not be used if the school construction plan remained uncertain; and	Information from the Administration is awaited

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position / Administration's response
		(c) to ascertain when the Administration would be known whether the funding for the installation of the noise barriers, if approved to be granted, would be used or not.	

Council Business Division 1
Legislative Council Secretariat
3 February 2012