

香港特別行政區政府
The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

發展局(規劃地政科)

香港添馬添美道二號
政府總部西翼十七樓



Development Bureau
(Planning & Lands Branch)

17/F, West Wing
Central Government Offices
2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar

本局檔號 Our Ref. DEVB(PL-CR)7/2010 Pt. 2

來函檔號 Your Ref.

電話 Tel.: 3509 8807

傳真 Fax: 2905 1002

24 July 2013

Clerk to the Panel on Development
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central
Hong Kong
(Attn: Ms Sharon CHUNG)

Dear Ms CHUNG,

**Panel on Development
- List of Follow-up Actions**

At the meeting of the LegCo Panel on Development held on 25 June 2013, Members requested for further information on the applications received, selected and rejected by the Urban Renewal Authority during the first two rounds of its Demand-led Redevelopment Project (Pilot Scheme). I have pleasure in attaching a short note providing further information on the two rounds of applications for Members' reference.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Winnie So'.

(Winnie So)

for Secretary for Development

c.c.

Urban Renewal Authority (Attn: Mr Lawrence Yau)

Internal
SEO(A)

**Demand-led Redevelopment Project (Pilot Scheme)
of the Urban Renewal Authority**

The Urban Renewal Authority (URA) launched the Pilot Scheme for Demand-led Redevelopment Projects in July 2011. The first round applications were invited between July 2011 and October 2011. The second round applications were invited between June 2012 and August 2012. Invitation for applications for the third round is currently open and will close on 30 September 2013.

2. Details on the number of applications received, selected and rejected as well as the main reasons for rejection for the first two rounds of the Pilot Scheme are set out below.

1st Round Applications

- 25 applications received
- 3 applications selected and commenced

For the 22 unsuccessful applications, the reasons for rejection are -

<i>Reason</i>	<i>No. of Cases</i>
Failing the ownership consent threshold of 67%: the applications were submitted by owners who owned less than 67% of the undivided shares of each individual lot under the applications	17
Site area failing the minimum requirement: each of the sites was less than 400 sq.m. in size	4
Low priority accorded: the application met all the application criteria but attained a low score, and was hence not selected	1
Total :	22

2nd Round Applications

-34 applications received

-4 applications selected and commenced

For the 30 unsuccessful applications, the reasons for rejection are -

<i>Reason</i>	<i>No. of Cases</i>
Failing the ownership consent threshold of 67%: the applications were submitted by owners who owned less than 67% of the undivided shares of each individual lot under the applications	15
Site area failing the minimum requirement: each of the sites was less than 400 sq.m. in size	4
Failing the building condition assessment: the buildings were not assessed by URA to be “poor” or “varied” in terms of building condition	5
Low priority accorded: the applications met all the application criteria but attained a low score, and were hence not selected	6
Total :	30