



City University of Hong Kong (SCOPE and CCCU)

22 January 2015

In response to the correspondence from the Education Panel to City University of Hong Kong (CityU) dated 10-Dec-2014, we are pleased to present our views on issues related to the regulation, governance and quality assurance of Self-financing Post-Secondary Education.

We welcome the recommendations and agree that the principles of accountability, inclusiveness and transparency underpinning the report are crucial to the governance and QA of an academic institution. As a publicly funded university, CityU has a comprehensive set of guidelines and codes of practices in place to regulate its operation. We reckon that most of the good practices recommended in the report have already been incorporated into the governance and QA policies and procedures of the School of Continuing and Professional Education (SCOPE) as well as the Community College of City University (CCCU).

We wish to point out that conducting “periodical review of the performance of members” of the governing body would not be feasible, given the honorary nature of the appointment and seniority of the members. Accordingly, paragraph 1.4.3 of the report would probably need to be fine-tuned.

As for SCOPE and CCCU, the compositions of their respective governing bodies and key committees are subject to changes from time to time to ensure effective management of the units.

香港城市大學 - 專業進修學院(SCOPE)和城大專上學院(CCCU)

教育事務委員會在二零一四年十二月十日來函，諮詢香港城市大學（城大）對自資專上教育的規管、治理及質素保證事宜的意見，本大學回覆如下。

本大學歡迎報告中的建議，亦認同報告所提倡的問責、融合及透明度等原則，對學術機構的管治和質素保證至為重要。城大作為政府資助大學，本身已有一整套全面的指引和守則以規管大學日常運作。我們認為報告書所建議的各項良好措施，大部份早已涵蓋在專業進修學院(SCOPE)和城大專上學院(CCCU)的管理和質素保證各項政策與程序中。

我們想指出報告書中提出對管治機構進行「委員定時表現評估」，或難以執行。因委員的出任多為榮譽性質，而且委員多為資歷豐富，地位崇高人士，故此報告第 1.4.3 段或須進一步斟酌。

專業進修學院(SCOPE)和城大專上學院(CCCU)的管治機構和主要委員會的組成會適時變動，以確保能有效管理。