# 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. FC49/15-16 (These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref : FC/1/1(1)

# **Finance Committee of the Legislative Council**

# Minutes of the 61<sup>st</sup> meeting held at Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex on Friday, 10 July 2015, at 7:11 pm

### **Members present:**

Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP (Chairman)

Hon CHAN Kin-por, BBS, JP (Deputy Chairman)

Hon Albert HO Chun-yan

Hon LEE Cheuk-yan

Hon CHAN Kam-lam, SBS, JP

Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung

Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP

Hon TAM Yiu-chung, GBS, JP

Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP

Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee, SBS, JP

Hon WONG Kwok-hing, BBS, MH

Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP

Hon Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen, GBS, JP

Hon WONG Ting-kwong, SBS, JP

Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, JP

Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai, SBS, JP

Hon CHEUNG Kwok-che

Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS

Hon IP Kwok-him, GBS, JP

Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP

Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung

Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip

Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP

Hon James TIEN Pei-chun, GBS, JP

Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS

Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, JP

Hon WU Chi-wai, MH

Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS

Hon Gary FAN Kwok-wai

Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP

Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP

Hon CHAN Chi-chuen

Hon CHAN Han-pan, JP

Dr Hon Kenneth CHAN Ka-lok

Hon CHAN Yuen-han, SBS, JP

Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP

Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP

Hon KWOK Wai-keung

Hon Dennis KWOK

Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP

Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung

Hon SIN Chung-kai, SBS, JP

Hon IP Kin-yuen

Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT, JP

Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, SBS, JP

Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH

Hon TANG Ka-piu, JP

Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, JP

Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP

Hon Christopher CHUNG Shu-kun, BBS, MH, JP

Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS

#### **Members absent:**

Hon James TO Kun-sun

Dr Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBM, GBS, JP

Hon Vincent FANG Kang, SBS, JP

Prof Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP, PhD, RN

Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah, SC

Hon Cyd HO Sau-lan, JP

Hon CHAN Hak-kan, JP

Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP

Dr Hon LEUNG Ka-lau

Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP

Hon Alan LEONG Kah-kit, SC

Hon WONG Yuk-man Hon Claudia MO Hon NG Leung-sing, SBS, JP Hon Kenneth LEUNG Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan Hon CHUNG Kwok-pan

# **Public officers attending:**

Mr Janson WONG Chi-sing

Ms Elizabeth TSE Man-yee, JP Secretary for Financial Permanent Services and the Treasury (Treasury) Secretary for Financial Ms Esther LEUNG, JP Deputy Services and the Treasury (Treasury)1 Principal Executive Officer (General), Mr Alfred ZHI Jian-hong Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau (The Treasury Branch) Mrs Sorais LEE, JP Project Manager, Home Affairs Bureau Mr Norman HEUNG Yuk-sai. JP Project Manager (Kowloon), Civil Engineering Development and Department Deputy Project Manager (Kowloon), Ms Alice PANG Civil Engineering and Development Department Principal Mr Vincent MAK Shing-cheung Assistant Secretary for Development (Works) 3 Mr WONG Chung-leung Deputy Director of Water Supplies Mr LEUNG Wing-lim, JP Assistant Director of Water Supplies (New Works) Mr Francis CHAU Siu-hei Principal Assistant Secretary for Development (Works) 2 (Kai Office). Ms YING Fun-fong Head Tak Civil Engineering and Development Department Chief Engineer (Kowloon) 1, Civil Mr Peter CHUI Si-kay Engineering and Development

Department

Engineering

Department

Chief Engineer (Kowloon) 2, Civil

and

Development

#### **Clerk in attendance:**

Ms Anita SIT Assistant Secretary General 1

#### Staff in attendance:

Mr Derek LO Chief Council Secretary (1)5 Mr Daniel SIN Senior Council Secretary (1)7

Mr Raymond SZETO Council Secretary (1)5

Mr Frankie WOO Senior Legislative Assistant (1)3

Ms Michelle NIEN

Legislative Assistant (1)5

Miss Yannes HO

Legislative Assistant (1)6

#### <u>Action</u>

Item No. 1 – FCR(2015-16)29 RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 16, 24 AND 30 JUNE 2015

PWSC(2015-16)31

HEAD 707 – NEW TOWNS AND URBAN AREA DEVELOPMENT Civil Engineering – Land Development 754CL – Infrastructure Works for West Kowloon Cultural District, phase 1

PWSC(2015-16)30

HEAD 707 – NEW TOWNS AND URBAN AREA DEVELOPMENT Civil Engineering – Land Development 763CL – Integrated Basement for West Kowloon Cultural District

- 1. The meeting continued with the combined deliberation on items PWSC(2015-16)31 and PWSC(2015-16)30 taken out from the recommendations of the Public Works Subcommittee made on 16 June 2015 for separate voting.
- 2. <u>Mr Albert CHAN</u> requested to put on record that Members belonging to the People Power were opposed to the proposals.

# Voting on PWSC(2015-16)31

3. There being no further question or comment from members, the Chairman put the item PWSC(2015-16)31 to vote. At the request of Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, the Chairman ordered a division. The Chairman said

that 33 members voted for and three members voted against the proposal. The votes of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam Ms Emily LAU Wai-hing Mr TAM Yiu-chung Mr WONG Kwok-hing

Mr Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung Mr Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen

Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr IP Kwok-him
Mr Paul TSE Wai-chun

Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun
Mr Steven HO Chun-yin
Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming

Mr WU Chi-wai Mr YIU Si-wing

Mr MA Fung-kwok Mr Charles Peter MOK
Mr CHAN Han-pan Mr LEUNG Che-cheung
Miss Alice MAK Mei-kuen Mr KWOK Wai-keung

Mr SIN Chung-kai Mr IP Kin-yuen

Dr Elizabeth QUAT Mr Martin LIAO Cheung-kong

Mr POON Siu-ping Mr TANG Ka-piu Dr CHIANG Lai-wan Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok

Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen

(33 members)

Against:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung Mr Albert CHAN Wai-yip Mr CHAN Chi-chuen

(3 members)

4. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the Committee approved the item.

# Voting on PWSC(2015-16)30

5. <u>The Chairman</u> then put the item PWSC(2015-16)30 to vote. At the request of Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division. <u>The Chairman</u> said that 36 members voted for and three members voted against the proposal. The votes of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO Chun-yan
Ms Emily LAU Wai-hing
Mr Frederick FUNG Kin-kee
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Ms Starry LEE Wai-king

<u>Action</u>

Dr LAM Tai-fai Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr IP Kwok-him Mr Paul TSE Wai-chun
Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun Mr James TIEN Pei-chun
Mr Steven HO Chun-yin Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming

Mr WU Chi-wai Mr YIU Si-wing

Mr MA Fung-kwok Mr Charles Peter MOK
Mr CHAN Han-pan Mr LEUNG Che-cheung
Miss Alice MAK Mei-kuen Mr KWOK Wai-keung

Mr SIN Chung-kai Mr IP Kin-yuen

Dr Elizabeth QUAT Mr Martin LIAO Cheung-kong

Mr POON Siu-ping Mr TANG Ka-piu
Dr CHIANG Lai-wan Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok
Mr Christopher CHUNG Shu-kun Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen

(36 members)

Against:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung Mr Albert CHAN Wai-yip

Mr CHAN Chi-chuen

(3 members)

6. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the Committee approved the item.

PWSC(2015-16)24
HEAD 709 –WATERWORKS
Water Supplies – Fresh water supplies
181WF – In-situ reprovisioning of Sha Tin water treatment works (South Works)

7. The Chairman advised that the item PWSC(2015-16)24 sought the Committee's approval for upgrading part of 181WF to Category A at an estimated cost of \$1,658 million in money-of-the-day ("MOD") prices to carry out advance works for in-situ reprovisioning of the South Works of Sha Tin water treatment works ("WTW"), and retaining the remainder of 181WF in Category B.

# Justification for the project

8. <u>Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung</u> sought justification for the project proposal and information on the latest projection on fresh water demand for the districts served by Sha Tin WTW. <u>Deputy Director of Water Supplies</u> ("DDWS") indicated that Sha Tin WTW and Tai Po WTW currently supplied fresh water to metropolitan areas including Central and Western district on Hong Kong Island,

a large part in Kowloon as well as Sha Tin and Tai Po districts. To tie in with the new housing developments being implemented progressively within the combined supply zone of the two WTWs, it was necessary to increase their treatment capacity. Sha Tin WTW consisted of the South and North Works with a reliable output of 820 000 cubic metres ("m³") per day. After more than 50 years of service, the reliable output of the South Works had reduced substantially to 220 000 m³ per day. Upon completion of the main works, the treatment capacity of the South Works would be increased to 550 000 m³ per day.

- 9. <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> enquired about the reason for the reduction in the reliable output of the South Works. <u>DDWS</u> responded that the reduced output of the South Works was caused by a number of factors, such as more frequent breakdown of machines.
- 10. Noting that the North Works of Sha Tin WTW was commissioned in less than 10 years after the commissioning of the South Works, Mr CHAN Chi-chuen and Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung asked whether it was more cost-effective to also upgrade the North Works at the same time than just upgrading the South Works. DDWS replied that there was no plan to upgrade the North Works at present as its performance remained normal and the expenditure on maintenance remained cost-effective.
- 11. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> asked whether the Administration had considered making use of the opportunity to improve the facilities of Sha Tin WTW by relocating it to caverns. <u>DDWS</u> pointed out that as a major WTWs, the operation of Sha Tin WTW required a large volume of chlorine gas and supply of raw water from a number of impounding reservoirs through an established network of water tunnels and pipelines. Relocating Sha Tin WTW to caverns was technically difficult and would require making significant alterations to existing systems.

# <u>Programme of works</u>

12. <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> sought explanation for the long time it took to complete reprovisioning of the South Works in 2023. <u>DDWS</u> explained that a longer time for the works was envisaged due to the constraints and challenges posed by the compact environment of Sha Tin WTW and the need to ensure that the operation of the North Works was not affected during the reprovisioning of the South Works.

- 13. Both Mr CHAN Chi-chuen and Dr Fernando CHEUNG were keen to ensure that water supply and the quality of the water supplied would not be affected when conducting the proposed works. DDWS said that during the proposed reprovisioning scheduled to take place between 2018 and 2023, the South Works would be taken out of service. The demand for fresh water for the combined supply zone would be met by the North Works of Sha Tin WTW and Tai Po WTW. It was important that the main works on reprovisioning the South Works could be completed in 2023 to meet an increased demand for fresh water. Stringent water quality control measures would continue to be in place during the proposed reprovisioning of the South Works.
- 14. In response to the enquiry of Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, <u>DDWS</u> advised that in order to meet the tight project schedule, the Administration had started inviting tenders in April 2015 and extended the period of validity of the tenders to 300 days.

# Proposed facilities

- 15. Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, Mr Gary FAN and Dr Fernando CHEUNG sought elaboration on the function of the proposed hydro-turbine house and the percentage of electricity consumption of Sha Tin WTW to be met by the facility. Mr Albert CHAN and Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung were concerned about the cost-effectiveness of the facility. Mr Albert CHAN suggested that the relevant tender document should specify the use of innovative technology in the design of the facility. He also asked whether such facility was currently available in other WTWs.
- DDWS advised that given the large difference in elevation between 16. the High Island Reservoir and Sha Tin WTW, a hydro-turbine house would be constructed to make use of the residual energy in the inflow of raw water to the of the electricity generate part the WTW Cost-effectiveness would be the prime consideration in providing the facility and the contractor to be commissioned would be required to provide the facility in accordance with the specifications as set out in the tender document. capital cost of the facility was about \$15 million and given the electricity charges it could save would be about \$460,000 per year, the cost of the facility would be recovered in 30 years. Similar facility was also provided in Tuen Mun WTW to take advantage of the elevation difference between the WTW and the Tai Lam Chung Reservoir.
- 17. <u>Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung</u> asked whether the design of the logistics centre proposed under the project would make provision for future expansion/upgrading of the North Works. <u>Assistant Director of Water</u>

<u>Supplies (New Works)</u> ("ADWS(NW)") responded that the four-storied logistics centre would provide service to both the South and North Works, and its capacity would be adequate to meet future expansion/upgrading needs.

### Impact of the works on the environment and mitigation measures

- 18. Considering it more effective to reduce noise at source through the deployment of quieter construction machines, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung asked whether consideration would be given to specifying in the construction contract the relevant requirements. ADWS(NW) replied that an Environmental Impact Assessment was conducted for the project and the contractor to be commissioned would need to implement the necessary noise mitigation measures. Quieter machines had in fact been in use widely nowadays. Resident site staff of the Water Supplies Department ("WSD") would monitor the daily operation of the project site to ensure compliance with the relevant requirements.
- 19. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> requested the Administration to take effective measures to contain the generation of asbestos dust, if any, during the demolition of existing structures. <u>DDWS</u> advised that specialist contractors would be employed in the demolition works should asbestos be found.
- 20. Noting the enhanced water treatment capacity of Sha Tin WTW after completion of the main works, <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> was concerned whether its future operation would generate more pollutants. <u>DDWS</u> said that with the use of the most advanced technology upon reprovisioning of the South Works, operation of Sha Tin WTW would be safer and cleaner.
- 21. <u>Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung</u> asked whether the Administration would consider making use of solar energy to reduce the generation of pollutants arising from the operation of Sha Tin WTW. <u>ADWS(NW)</u> responded that solar panels had been planned to be installed at Sha Tin WTW to provide a form of clean energy for use within the WTW.

#### Reuse of construction waste

22. Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung asked why only 6.7% of the inert construction waste to be generated by the advanced works would be reused on site. DDWS explained that most of the inert construction waste was generated by cutting the adjacent slope to provide room for the proposed logistics centre and had to be delivered to public fill reception facilities instead of being reused at the project site.

23. Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung asked how the Administration would prevent the contractor for the works from disposing construction waste inappropriately at public fill reception facilities. DDWS pointed out that WSD's resident site staff at the works site as well as Government staff at the reception points would inspect the construction waste in accordance with stringent guidelines before and after it was delivered to public fill reception facilities respectively.

### Preservation of trees

Mr Albert CHAN enquired about the ways to preserve important 24. trees as far as practicable under the project, especially the five Aquilaria sinensis which were rare species. Mr CHAN Chi-chuen expressed similar ADWS(NW) responded that of the five Aquilaria sinensis affected by the works, two would be replanted while three would be removed as they were in poor condition and would have a low survival rate after transplanting. As part of the planting proposal, 12 Aquilaria sinensis would be planted within In response to Mr Albert CHAN's suggestion on the project boundary. identifying a field nearby for planting Aquilaria sinensis for public education purposes, ADWS(NW) advised that the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department planted about 10 000 Aquilaria sinensis annually around the On Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung's enquiry on whether the Sha Tin District Council was consulted on the tree felling and compensation proposal, ADWS(NW) answered in the affirmative.

# Voting on PWSC(2015-16)24

25. There being no further question or comment from members, the Chairman put item PWSC(2015-16)24 to vote. At the request of Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, the Chairman ordered a division. The Chairman said that 29 members voted for and no member voted against the proposal. The votes of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Ms Starry LEE Wai-king
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr IP Kwok-him
Mr Albert CHAN Wai-yip
Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming
Mr Gary FAN Kwok-wai
Mr Charles Peter MOK
Dr Kenneth CHAN Ka-lok

Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun
Mr YIU Si-wing
Mr MA Fung-kwok
Mr CHAN Chi-chuen
Mr LEUNG Che-cheung

Action

Miss Alice MAK Mei-kuen
Dr Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung
Mr POON Siu-ping
Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok
Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen
(29 members)

Mr KWOK Wai-keung Dr Elizabeth QUAT Mr TANG Ka-piu Mr Christopher CHUNG Shu-kun

26. The Chairman declared that the Committee approved the item.

PWSC(2015-16)26
HEAD 707 – NEW TOWNS AND URBAN AREA DEVELOPMENT
Civil Engineering – Land development
711CL – Kai Tak development – infrastructure works for developments at the southern part of the former runway

27. The Chairman advised that the item PWSC(2015-16)26 sought the Committee's approval for upgrading 711CL to Category A at an estimated cost of \$5,757.1 million in MOD prices for the construction of infrastructure essential for the developments at the southern part of the former runway at Kai Tak development ("KTD").

# Project estimate

- Mr Albert CHAN expressed concern about the soaring cost of the 28. project proposal. He criticized the Administration for failing to conduct any Both Mr CHAN and Dr Fernando cost-benefit analysis for the project. CHEUNG requested the Administration to take measures to compress the project cost. Head (Kai Tak Office), Civil Engineering and Development Department ("Head (KTO), CEDD") responded that the Administration had conducted cost-benefit analyses for the project. The cost for per kilometre ("km") of carriageway provided under the project was about \$190 million, a rate comparable to other carriageways provided at new development areas. high cost of the project was partly due to the cost for providing the supporting underground structure ("SUS") along Shing Cheong Road and across Cheung Yip Street. It should also be noted that the project provided not only new and improved carriageways, but also an elevated landscaped deck above the realigned Shing Fung Road which served as a semi-enclosure noise barrier and a public open space.
- 29. <u>Mr Gary FAN</u> sought justification for the high cost at \$774.3 million for carrying out the foundation works for the proposed SUS. <u>Head (KTO), CEDD</u> advised that a section of the planned Trunk Road T2 would route

through the former south apron area in the form of a cut-and-cover tunnel running underneath Shing Cheong Road and part of Cheung Yip Street. A higher cost was required for providing the facility as it was a dual two-lane tunnel which involved substantial earthworks, foundation and structural works.

# Proposed elevated landscaped deck

- 30. <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> queried the cost-effectiveness of the proposed semi-enclosure noise barrier comprising an elevated landscaped deck and roadside noise barriers above the realigned Shing Fung Road, given that the former cost \$982.3 million while the latter cost only \$230.4 million. <u>Mr Gary FAN</u> noted with concern that the construction cost for per metre of the proposed deck would be as high as \$700,000, which was much higher than the cost for ordinary noise barriers. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> doubted that the deck would bring benefit only to the hotels and luxury flats in the southern part of KTD in future.
- 31. Principal Assistant Secretary for Development (Works) 2 explained that apart from serving as a semi-enclosure noise barrier, the elevated landscaped deck would also be a landmark structure at the former runway, providing 1.1 hectares of public open space and a leisure walkway connecting the Metro Park under planning with the Kai Tak Cruise Terminal, Tourism Node and Runway Park. Lifts and staircases together with at-grade pedestrian crossings would be provided to enhance the connectivity of the deck with adjacent footpaths, pedestrian streets and waterfront promenades. Fung Road realignment was a designated project under Schedule 2 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) for which noise mitigation measures had to be provided. If the deck was not built, a substitute for it would still be required with a cost saving at about \$300 million. Manager (Kowloon), Civil Engineering and Development Department added that the cost of noise barriers should not be compared directly between projects given their differences in scale, size, width and depth of foundation works required.
- 32. In response to Mr Gary FAN's enquiry on the projection on the use of the elevated landscaped deck by pedestrians, <u>Head (KTO)</u>, <u>CEDD</u> advised that the deck would be used by many people as large scale public activities were expected to be held on the Runway Park and the Metro Park to which the proposed deck connected.

### Proposed road works

- 33. Mr Gary FAN enquired about the breakdown on the types and number of vehicles that would be using the roads under the proposed project. Head (KTO), CEDD responded that breakdown by vehicle type was not available immediately, but the projected total traffic flow of the improved Cheung Yip Street, Shing Fung Road and Kai Tak Bridge during busy hours would be 1 770 and 1 600 and 2 100 passenger car units respectively.
- 34. In response to Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung's enquiry, <u>Head (KTO)</u>, <u>CEDD</u> advised that the proposed single two-lane vehicular underpass branching off from the realigned Shing Fung Road was to tie in with the development schedule of the Tourism Node located at the tip of former runway. <u>Chief Engineer (Kowloon) 1, Civil Engineering and Development Department added that the proposed vehicular underpass would dovetail the underground exit point of the Tourism Node and free up at-grade level space for use of pedestrians.</u>
- 35. The Chairman directed that the meeting be extended by 15 minutes.

### Voting on PWSC(2015-16)26

36. There being no further question or comment from members, the Chairman put item PWSC(2015-16)26 to vote. At the request of Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, the Chairman ordered a division. The Chairman said that 28 members voted for and two members voted against the proposal. The votes of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO Chun-yan
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun
Mr YIU Si-wing
Mr MA Fung-kwok
Dr Kenneth CHAN Ka-lok
Mr LEUNG Che-cheung
Mr Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung
Mr Martin LIAO Cheung-kong
Mr TANG Ka-piu
Mr Christopher CHUNG Shu-kun
(28 members)

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Abraham SHEK Lai-him
Ms Starry LEE Wai-king
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr IP Kwok-him
Mr James TIEN Pei-chun
Mr Gary FAN Kwok-wai
Mr Charles Peter MOK
Miss CHAN Yuen-han
Mr KWOK Wai-keung
Dr Elizabeth QUAT
Mr POON Siu-ping
Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok
Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen

- 14 -

**Action** 

Against:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung (2 members)

Mr CHAN Chi-chuen

- 37. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the Committee approved the item.
- 38. The meeting was adjourned at 9:17 pm.

<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 14 December 2015