# 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. FC294/15-16 (These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref : FC/1/1(28)

### **Finance Committee of the Legislative Council**

# Minutes of the 60<sup>th</sup> meeting held at Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex on Friday, 13 May 2016, at 3:00 pm

#### **Members present:**

Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP Hon Alan LEONG Kah-kit, SC Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip Hon Claudia MO Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP Hon James TIEN Pei-chun, GBS, JP Hon NG Leung-sing, SBS, JP Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, JP Hon WU Chi-wai, MH Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS Hon Gary FAN Kwok-wai Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP Hon CHAN Chi-chuen Hon CHAN Han-pan, JP Dr Hon Kenneth CHAN Ka-lok Hon CHAN Yuen-han, SBS, JP Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP Hon Kenneth LEUNG Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki Hon KWOK Wai-keung Hon Dennis KWOK Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung Hon SIN Chung-kai, SBS, JP Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan Hon IP Kin-yuen Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT, JP Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, SBS, JP Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH Hon TANG Ka-piu, JP Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, JP Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP Hon CHUNG Kwok-pan Hon Christopher CHUNG Shu-kun, BBS, MH, JP Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS

# Members absent:

Hon Albert HO Chun-yan Dr Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBM, GBS, JP Dr Hon LEUNG Ka-lau Hon WONG Yuk-man Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP Hon Alvin YEUNG Ngok-kiu

# **Public officers attending:**

Ms Elizabeth TSE Man-yee, JP	Permanent Secretary for Financial
	Services and the Treasury (Treasury)
Ms Esther LEUNG, JP	Deputy Secretary for Financial
	Services and the Treasury (Treasury)1
Mr Alfred ZHI Jian-hong	Principal Executive Officer (General),
	Financial Services and the Treasury
	Bureau (The Treasury Branch)
Mrs Helen CHAN, JP	Government Economist, Financial
	Secretary's Office
Ms Reddy NG, JP	Principal Economist (5), Financial
	Secretary's Office
Ms Kitty CHOI, JP	Director of Administration
Mr Jose YAM Ho-san	Commissioner for Heritage,
	Development Bureau
Mr Ricky WONG Chi-pan	Chief Assistant Secretary for
	Development (Works) 2, Development
	Bureau
Mr CHAN Ho-yin	Chief Property Services Manager (1),
	Architectural Services Department
Ms Amy SIT Oi-wah	Acting Chief Heritage Manager
	(Antiquities and Monuments),
	Antiquities and Monuments Office
Mr Max WONG	Supervisor (Leadership), The Hong
	Kong Federation of Youth Groups
Ms Irene NG	Coordination (Financial Control), The
	Hong Kong Federation of Youth
	Groups
Ms Iki CHAN	Development Officer, The Hong Kong
	Federation of Youth Groups
Mr CM LEE	Director, LWK and Partners (Hong
	Kong) Limited

#### **Clerk in attendance:**

Ms Anita SIT

Assistant Secretary General 1

#### **Staff in attendance:**

Mr Derek LO Mr Keith WONG Mr Frankie WOO Miss Yannes HO Chief Council Secretary (1)5 Council Secretary (1)5 Senior Legislative Assistant (1)3 Legislative Assistant (1)6

#### <u>Action</u>

Item No. 1 – FCR(2016-17)20 RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 20 APRIL 2016

### PWSC(2016-17)2 HEAD 707 – NEW TOWNS AND URBAN AREA DEVELOPMENT

Social Welfare and Community Buildings – Community centres and halls 201SC – Signature Project Scheme (Yuen Long District) – Construction of a Yuen Long District Community Services Building

#### **Recreation, Culture and Amenities – Open spaces**

- 465RO Signature Project Scheme (Tuen Mun District) Revitalisation of Tuen Mun River and Surrounding Area
- **Recreation, Culture and Amenities Cultural facilities**
- 67RE Signature Project Scheme (Yau Tsim Mong District) Yau Tsim Mong Multicultural Activity Centre

**Recreation, Culture and Amenities – Open spaces** 

460RO – Signature Project Scheme (Kwun Tong District) – Construction of Lift Tower at Shung Yan Street in Kwun Tong

**Recreation, Culture and Amenities – Open spaces** 

463RO – Signature Project Scheme (Eastern District) – Eastern District Cultural Square

- **Recreation, Culture and Amenities Open spaces**
- 462RO Signature Project Scheme (Kowloon City District) Revitalisation of the Rear Portion of the Cattle Depot

**Recreation, Culture and Amenities – Cultural facilities** 

69RE – Signature Project Scheme (Tai Po District) – Establishment of an Arts Centre by Retrofitting Tai Po Government Secondary School

PWSC(2016-17)5

# HEAD 706 – HIGHWAYS

**Transport – Footbridges and pedestrian tunnels** 

- 169TB Lift and pedestrian walkway system at Cheung Hang Estate, Tsing Yi
- 175TB Lift and pedestrian walkway system between Kwai Shing Circuit and Hing Shing Road, Kwai Chung
- 168TB Lift and pedestrian walkway system at Waterloo Hill
- 164TB Footbridge connecting Tsuen Wan Plaza, Skyline Plaza and adjacent landscaping area
- 158TB Elevated walkway between Tong Ming Street and Tong Tak Street, Tseung Kwan O

<u>The Chairman</u> advised that the item sought the approval of the Finance Committee ("FC") of the recommendations of the Public Works Subcommittee ("PWSC") made at its meeting on 20 April 2016, i.e., the recommendations made in PWSC(2016-17)2 and PWSC(2016-17)5. Members originally requested that one of the projects listed in the first paper, namely, 66RG, be voted on separately at the FC meeting. <u>The Chairman</u> cited from the Administration that FC would be invited to deliberate on 66RG in due course so that the progress of other projects would not be affected. Members did not request separate voting for the remaining recommendations at the FC meeting.

2. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that he was a consultant of the Munich Re and he did not have any direct or indirect pecuniary interest in the item.

3. There being no further questions, <u>the Chairman</u> put FCR(2016-17)20 to vote. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the Committee approved the item.

### Item no. 2 – FCR(2016-17)15 RECOMMENDATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 29 FEBRUARY 2016

# EC(2015-16)14

# HEAD 142 – GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT: OFFICES OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION AND THE FINANCIAL SECRETARY

Subhead 000 – Operational expenses

# Item no. 3 – FCR(2016-17)16 RECOMMENDATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 2 MARCH 2016

# EC(2015-16)13

# HEAD 142 – GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT: OFFICES OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION ANDTHE FINANCIAL SECRETARY

# Subhead 000 – Operational expenses

4. <u>The Chairman</u> advised that item no. 2 sought FC's approval of the recommendation of the Establishment Subcommittee ("ESC") made at its meeting on 29 February 2016, i.e., the recommendation made in EC(2015-16)14, for the retention of a supernumerary post of a Principal Economist for a period of three years in the Economic Analysis Division ("EAD") of the Economic Analysis and Business Facilitation Unit ("EABFU") under the Financial Secretary's Office. Item no. 3 sought FC's approval of the recommendation of ESC made at its meeting on 2 March 2016, i.e., the recommendation made in EC(2015-16)13, on the following –

- (a) the creation of a new rank and permanent post entitled Government Economist at D5 and to be offset by the deletion of the existing rank and permanent post entitled Government Economist at D4; and
- (b) the creation of a new rank and permanent post entitled Deputy Government Economist at D3.

5. <u>The Chairman</u> pointed out that FC had commenced a joint discussion on items no. 2 and 3 at its meeting on 6 May 2016 but the items had not been put to vote.

# Motion to adjourn the discussion on FCR(2016-17)15

6. <u>The Chairman</u> advised that at the previous meeting, <u>Mr LEUNG</u> <u>Kwok-hung</u> moved under Paragraph 39 of the Finance Committee Procedure that the discussion on FCR(2016-17)15 be adjourned. FC proceeded further with the motion. He invited members who wished to speak to do so. <u>Mr TAM Yiu-chung</u> spoke against the motion.

7. There being no members speaking on the motion, <u>the Chairman</u> put Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung's motion to vote. At the request of members, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division and the division bell was rung for five minutes.

8. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that three members voted in favour of and 38 members voted against the motion. One member abstained from voting. The votes of individual members were as follows –

*For:* Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung Mr CHAN Chi-chuen (3 members)

Mr Albert CHAN Wai-yip

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam Ms Emily LAU Wai-hing Mr Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan Mr TAM Yiu-chung Prof Joseph LEE Kok-long Mr WONG Kwok-hing Mr Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung Mr Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen Mr WONG Ting-kwong Ms Starry LEE Wai-king Dr LAM Tai-fai Dr Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun Mr IP Kwok-him Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee Mr Alan LEONG Kah-kit Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun Mr James TIEN Pei-chun Mr NG Leung-sing Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming Mr Steven HO Chun-yin Mr YIU Si-wing Mr MA Fung-kwok Mr Charles Peter MOK Mr CHAN Han-pan Mr LEUNG Che-cheung Mr Kenneth LEUNG Mr Dennis KWOK Mr Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung Mr SIN Chung-kai Dr Helena WONG Pik-wan Mr IP Kin-yuen Dr Elizabeth QUAT Mr Martin LIAO Cheung-kong Mr POON Siu-ping Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok Dr CHIANG Lai-wan Mr Christopher CHUNG Shu-kun Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen (38 members)

Abstained Mr Gary FAN Kwok-wai (1 member)

9. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung's motion was negatived.

# Continuation of the joint discussion on items FCR(2016-17)15 and 16

10. FC continued the joint deliberation on items FCR(2016-17)15 and 16.

11. Mr Albert CHAN criticized the Administration for failing to gauge public sentiments and respond to people's aspirations despite the continual expansion of the establishment of directorate posts. He expressed his opposition to the funding proposal. Criticizing the Administration's population policy and its poverty alleviation efforts, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung said that it would be difficult for him to support the funding proposal. Dr Fernando CHEUNG was concerned that the initiatives in the latest Budget leaned too much on the middle and upper strata of society which would exacerbate the disparity between the rich and the poor. He requested the Economists to provide relevant data analyses on the effects of the financial budgets and other important economic measures on the changes in the poverty rate and wealth gap. Dr KWOK Ka-ki was concerned that the job duties of Economists fell short of public aspiration, and criticized that the analyses made by Economists were often adjusted according to the stand taken by the Government so as to defend government policies and undermine the welfare of the low-income and poor population.

12. <u>Mr LEE Cheuk-yan</u> asked the Administration to clarify whether the job duties of Economists were only limited to making analyses on economic costs while making no attempt to assess the impact of government policies and measures on social costs. <u>Dr Kenneth CHAN</u> expressed a similar concern. <u>Mr LEE</u> made the criticism that economic data from the Government often made the business sector worried such that businesses used this as an excuse to deprive workers of their rights and shift the burden to consumers. <u>Mr Kenneth LEUNG</u> was concerned about whether the contributions made by the Economists were only limited to analyzing and providing economic data, instead of causing any impact on governance.

13. In response to members' concerns, Government Economist advised that the duties of Section V of EAD under EABFU included analyzing Hong Kong's poverty situation, assessing its underlying forms and causes as well as the impact of economic cycles on poverty trends, and assisting in the formulation of relevant policies and measures. In the future, Section V would continue with the above analyses, with focused studies on the disadvantaged and grassroots families, including in-depth studies on the income mobility of recent post-secondary graduates from grassroots families in Hong Kong. She added that the analyses on the poverty line was solely based on data and there was no intention to deliberately distort the poverty situation. Government Economist pointed out that for those topics of great significance which involved important economic considerations, the EABFU would provide the relevant analyses and render technical support while the committees concerned would arrange public consultation. The EAD would also give technical briefings on more complicated economic quantitative assessments and its work was under The EABFU would continue to adopt a professional and public surveillance. objective stand in making economic analyses. Quantified data and indicators would be provided to assess the impacts of policies and measures on the economy. At the request of Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, the Government agreed to provide supplementary papers to FC on the future directions of Section V of the EAD.

[*Post-meeting note*: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. FC284/15-16(01) on 22 July 2016.]

14. Dr Kenneth CHAN asked how the funding proposal could fit in with the development strategies of "One Belt, One Road" of the Mainland. Government Economist pointed out that the "One Belt, One Road" study formed a part of the macro economy. With the Mainland as an important market for Hong Kong, studies on the Mainland would help understand the challenges and opportunities brought about by the integration of Hong Kong with China. Section III of the EAD would provide the necessary support and data analyses at the request of policy bureaux. In view of the heavy workload as well as the tight manpower and internal resources of the other four sections under the EAD, it was operationally impracticable for them to share the workload of section V, therefore, it was necessary to retain the supernumerary post of Principal Economist in Section V. In response to the further questions from Dr CHAN, Government Economist said that in the latter part of this year, the Administration would collect data and conduct topical analyses on the recent situation of the NEET group, i.e., young people not in employment, education or training who were not engaged in economic activities either.

15. <u>Ms Claudia MO</u> asked the Administration to comment on the idea of whether too much emphasis had been placed on the importance of tourism to the local economy. <u>Government Economist</u> responded that a macro perspective was used to assess the role played by tourism on the development of the local economy. The tourism industry had provided more than 200 000 job opportunities for Hong Kong and therefore its importance should not be overlooked.

16. There being no further questions from members, <u>the Chairman</u> put FCR(2016-17)15 to vote. At the request of members, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division and the division bell was rung for five minutes.

17. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that 38 members voted in favour of and three members voted against the item. One member abstained from voting. The votes of individual members were as follows –

*For:* 

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan Ms Emily LAU Wai-hing Mr Abraham SHEK Lai-him Mr Vincent FANG Kang Mr Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen Ms Starry LEE Wai-king Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun Mr NG Leung-sing Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming Mr YIU Si-wing Mr CHAN Han-pan Miss CHAN Yuen-han Mr Kenneth LEUNG Mr Dennis KWOK Mr SIN Chung-kai Dr Elizabeth QUAT Mr TANG Ka-piu Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok (38 members)

Against: Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung Mr CHAN Chi-chuen (3 members) Mr CHAN Kam-lam Mr TAM Yiu-chung Mr Frederick FUNG Kin-kee Mr Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung Mr WONG Ting-kwong Mr WONG Kwok-kin Mr Paul TSE Wai-chun Mr James TIEN Pei-chun Mr Steven HO Chun-yin Mr WU Chi-wai Mr MA Fung-kwok Dr Kenneth CHAN Ka-lok Mr LEUNG Che-cheung Mr KWOK Wai-keung Mr Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung Mr IP Kin-yuen Mr POON Siu-ping Dr CHIANG Lai-wan Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen

Mr Albert CHAN Wai-yip

Abstained: Mr IP Kwok-him (1 member)

18. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the Committee approved the item.

19. <u>The Chairman</u> then put FCR(2016-17)16 to vote. At the request of members, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division and the division bell was rung for five minutes.

20. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that 39 members voted in favour of and four members voted against the item. The votes of individual members were as follows –

*For:* 

Mr CHAN Kam-lam Mr TAM Yiu-chung Mr Frederick FUNG Kin-kee Mr Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung Mr WONG Ting-kwong Dr Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun Mr IP Kwok-him Mr Paul TSE Wai-chun Mr James TIEN Pei-chun Mr Steven HO Chun-yin Mr WU Chi-wai Mr MA Fung-kwok Miss CHAN Yuen-han Mr Kenneth LEUNG Mr Dennis KWOK Mr SIN Chung-kai Dr Elizabeth QUAT Mr TANG Ka-piu Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen (39 members)

Against: Mr LEE Cheuk-yan Mr Albert CHAN Wai-yip (4 members) Ms Emily LAU Wai-hing Mr Abraham SHEK Lai-him Mr Vincent FANG Kang Mr Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen Ms Starry LEE Wai-king Mr WONG Kwok-kin Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun Mr NG Leung-sing Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming Mr YIU Si-wing Mr CHAN Han-pan Mr LEUNG Che-cheung Mr KWOK Wai-keung Mr Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung Mr IP Kin-yuen Mr POON Siu-ping Dr CHIANG Lai-wan Mr CHUNG Kwok-pan

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung Mr CHAN Chi-chuen 21. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the Committee approved the item.

# Item no. 4 – FCR(2016-17)17 RECOMMENDATION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 25 NOVEMBER 2015

# PWSC(2015-16)45

HEAD 708 – CAPITAL SUBVENTIONS AND MAJOR SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT

# 19QW – Revitalisation Scheme – Revitalisation of the Former Fanling Magistracy into the Hong Kong Federation of Youth Groups (HKFYG) Institute for Leadership Development

22. <u>The Chairman</u> advised that the item sought FC's approval of the recommendation of PWSC made at its meeting on 25 November 2015 in PWSC(2015-16)45 for the upgrading of 19QW, entitled Revitalisation of the Former Fanling Magistracy into the Federation of Youth Groups ("HKFYG") Institute for Leadership Development ("the Institute"), into Category A at an estimated cost of \$111.6 million in money-of-the-day prices. Some members requested that the recommendations be voted on separately at the FC meeting.

23. <u>The Chairman</u> pointed out that the PWSC had discussed the item at three meetings and a total of more than three hours were used on the deliberation. The Administration had submitted two supplementary information papers (LC PWSC19/15-16 and PWSC50/15-16).

24. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that he was a consultant of the Munich Re and he did not have any direct or indirect pecuniary interest in this item.

### Participants of programmes offered by the Institute

25. Expressing his reservations about the funding proposal, <u>Mr Albert</u> <u>CHAN</u> was concerned that the proposed hostel block might be used by the HKFYG to receive the children of the rich and powerful from the Mainland. He urged the HKFYG to guarantee that the hostel block would mainly be open to local young people. Expressing support for the funding proposal, <u>Mr TAM</u> <u>Yiu-chung</u> believed that the Institute would be used by the HKFYG improperly. 26. <u>Supervisor (Leadership), HKFYG</u> pointed out that the aim of the Institute was to increase the sense of responsibility for the community among young people while at the same time enhance their international perspective and leadership skills. The paper submitted to FC had set out clearly that the HKFYG would reserve 80% of the places for its programmes to local young people while the remaining 20% would be allocated to participants from all over the world. In addition, most of the 90 bed spaces provided in the hostel block were bunk beds with "no-frills" design. In reply to a question from Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, <u>Supervisor (Leadership), HKFYG</u> advised that the HKFYG would submit annual reports to the Government on the operation of the Institute, including the proportion of local and overseas participants to its training programmes. With respect to lease arrangements, priority for the bed spaces would be accorded to groups organizing leadership training programmes.

27. <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> enquired whether the facilities in the Institute were disability-friendly. <u>Supervisor (Leadership), HKFYG</u> advised that the Institute was barrier-free and three hostel rooms were exclusively for use by persons with disabilities.

# Monitoring the income and expenditure as well as operation of the Institute

28. <u>Mr LEE Cheuk-yan</u> noted that the Government would collect a nominal annual rent at \$1 from the HKFYG for leasing the site of the Institute and the Institute would operate under a self-financing mode. He was concerned about how the HKFYG would handle the profits gained by operating the Institute and how the operation of the Institute was to be monitored. Expressing a similar concern, <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> noted that the main source of income of the Institute was from organizing leadership training programmes, leasing hostel facilities and providing catering service. He asked about the fees charged for these services and when the Institute was expected to achieve a fiscal balance.

29. In response, <u>Commissioner for Heritage</u>, <u>Development Bureau</u> pointed out that the HKFYG had to set up a special-purpose company in the capacity of a charitable organization for the project. The surplus arising from the operation of the project would have to be injected in full into the operation of the Institute. Since the Institute was located in a revitalised historical building, the requirements for routine repair and maintenance would be more stringent. With respect to monitoring the operation of the Institute, the Government would sign a lease agreement with the HKFYG which would list out the conditions and service indicators that the HKFYG had to meet. The HKFYG would need to submit reports and annual statements on a regular basis.

The financial statements concerned would need to be audited by a professional accountant to ensure that the operation was sound and that profits earned from the project would not be used to support other projects. The Administration would also review the operation of the Institute on a regular basis to ensure that the requirements were met. <u>Supervisor (Leadership), HKFYG</u> added that the fees charged by the Institute would be set with reference to the fees currently charged by the HKFYG for its non-profit-making services and those services offered by universities. The fee for a one-day course would be around \$90; the accommodation fee would be about \$120 per night; and a meal would be charged at around \$20. With reference to the experience in similar projects, it was expected that the Institute would achieve a fiscal balance during the third year of its operation.

- 30. At 5:01 pm, <u>the Chairman</u> declared that the meeting be adjourned.
- 31. The meeting adjourned at 5:01 pm.

Legislative Council Secretariat 30 August 2016