

Panel on Development

List of follow-up actions
(Position as at 12 October 2015)

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
1. Tung Chung New Town Extension Study -- Stage 3 Public Engagement (Development Bureau)	3 December 2014	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information in respect of the development of the Tung Chung New Town Extension ("TCNTE") --</p> <p><u>Environmental issues</u></p> <p>(a) regarding the concerns about noise pollution in Tung Chung caused by the movement of aircrafts in the Hong Kong International Airport ("HKIA"), information about <u>the present situation and projections at a 5-year interval until 2030, under the two different circumstances that the third runway of HKIA would be and would not be constructed:</u></p>	The Administration's response was issued to members on 20 July 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1132/14-15(01).

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(i) details of the Noise Exposure Forecast ("NEF") 25 contour;</p> <p>(ii) details of the NEF 20 contour; and</p> <p>(iii) the numbers of aircraft movements at night that would generate noise exceeding 80 decibels ("dB");</p> <p>(b) daily figures for a number of pollutants (including respirable suspended particulars and sulphur dioxide) detected in the air in Tung Chung during the period from 12:00 noon to 2:00 pm;</p> <p><u>Capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line</u></p> <p>(c) whether the MTR Tung Chung Line could meet the transportation needs of the new population given a member's view that the spare capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line was around 4 000 yet around 15 000 more people would use the train service during peak hours upon the completion of TCNTE in 2031;</p> <p><u>Local employment problems</u></p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(d) the measures to be taken by the Administration to tackle the existing manpower mismatch in Lantau, reflected by the existence of a large number of job vacancies in HKIA and the North Lantau Hospital on one hand and the great demand for jobs from local residents of Tung Chung on the other; and</p> <p>(e) whether the Administration would consider some members' suggestion of abolishing the tolls of Tsing Ma Bridge and the proposed Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link to vitalize economic development of Tung Chung.</p>	
2. Work of the Urban Renewal Authority (Development Bureau)	23 June 2015	The Administration was requested to provide information about the respective numbers of housing units in the four buildings provided by the Urban Renewal Authority for rehousing purposes, i.e. Bedford Tower, Rich Building, 12 Soy Street and Shun Shing Mansion, and their respective vacancy rates.	The Administration's response was issued to members on 27 August 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1210/14-15(01).
3. Receiving public views on "Facilitating the	16 July 2015	The Administration was requested to provide written information and relevant documents	The Administration's response was issued to

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
<p>redevelopment of buildings under the Civil Servants' Co-operative Building Society Scheme" (Development Bureau)</p>		<p>to explain --</p> <p>(a) with respect to the views given by some deputations at the meeting that members of the Civil Servants' Co-operative Building Society Scheme ("CBS") had paid half of the land value as the premium in early years when the land was granted to them for developing CBS buildings, why the Administration had claimed that CBS members had paid only one-third of the land value;</p> <p>(b) whether the land for different CBS buildings/buildings under the Government Built Housing Scheme ("GBHS") had been granted with different terms/conditions in respect of the land premium;</p> <p>(c) whether the Administration had changed the principles/methods for assessing the land premium payable to the Government upon the sale of CBS flats or redevelopment of CBS buildings so far after the land grants; if yes, the details; and</p>	<p>members on 27 August 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1211/14-15(01).</p>

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		(d) whether the principles/methods were the same for all CBS/GBHS sites; if no, the reasons.	
4. PWP Item No. 259RS -- Cycle tracks connecting North West New Territories with North East New Territories -- Tuen Mun to Sheung Shui section (Remaining) (Development Bureau)	22 July 2015	The Administration was requested to provide the following information -- (a) whether self-service bicycles rental facilities would be provided at the resting stations or the entry/exit hubs along the proposed cycle track; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons; and (b) explanatory notes, as appropriate, to give details about the expenditures under each cost item (e.g. contract administration, management of resident site staff, construction of the at-grade part of the cycle track) (in the paper to be submitted to the Public Works Subcommittee).	The Administration's response was issued to members on 14 August 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1184/14-15(01).
5. Revision of non-livelihood related fees and charges under the purview of the Water Supplies	22 July 2015	The Administration was requested to provide information to explain why, of the 20 Government fees and charges for services under the purview of Water Supplies Department as set out in the Annex to the	The Administration's response was issued to members on 14 August 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1184/14-15(02).

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
Department (Development Bureau)		<p>Administration's paper [LC Paper No. CB(1)993/14-15(01)] --</p> <p>(a) the existing cost recovery levels varied widely (i.e. between 20.4% and 91.4%); and</p> <p>(b) the cost recovery levels of some items (such as items 1 to 6) were far below the full cost recovery level.</p>	
6. Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area Planning and Engineering Study -- Recommended Outline Development Plan and Stage 3 Community Engagement (Development Bureau)	22 July 2015	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) a comparison between the Conventional New Town Approach and the Enhanced Conventional New Town Approach for developing a New Development Area ("NDA") in terms of the impacts of the two approaches on the existing residents, business operators, farmers, land owners, developers holding land in the areas within and near the proposed NDA, etc.;</p> <p>(b) a map showing the locations of the non-indigenous villages in the area covered by the proposed Hung Shui Kiu</p>	The Administration's response was issued to members on 16 September 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1238/14-15(01).

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>("HSK") NDA project and those villages to be cleared under the project;</p> <p>(c) response to the estimation made by Hon Michael TIEN on the discrepancy between the demand for and the carrying capacity of the railway services in the North West New Territories in 2030;</p> <p>(d) whether and how the carrying capacity of the MTR West Rail Line could cope with the population growth in the North West New Territories due to housing developments in the future 15 years;</p> <p>(e) a breakdown of the 150 000 job opportunities to be created in the proposed HSK NDA by trade and job type; and</p> <p>(f) how the job opportunities to be created in the proposed HSK NDA could address the unemployment/under-employment problems for the residents of Tin Shui Wai.</p>	

Council Business Division 1
Legislative Council Secretariat
12 October 2015