立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(4)961/15-16(03)

Ref: CB4/PL/PS

Panel on Public Service Meeting on 16 May 2016

Updated background brief on Starting Salaries Surveys for the civil service

Purpose

This paper provides background information on the Starting Salaries Surveys ("SSS") for the civil service, and summarizes the major views and concerns expressed by members when the 1999 starting salaries review and the subsequent starting salaries surveys were discussed by the Panel on Public Service ("the Panel").

Background

The Government's civil service pay policy

- 2. According to the Administration, the Government's civil service pay policy is to offer sufficient remuneration to attract, retain and motivate staff of a suitable calibre to provide the public with an effective and efficient service; and to ensure that civil service remuneration is regarded as fair by both civil servants and the public they serve through maintaining broad comparability between civil service and private sector pay.
- 3. To implement the afore-mentioned civil service pay policy, the Executive Council ("ExCo") endorsed an Improved Civil Service Pay Adjustment Mechanism in 2007. Under the Mechanism, civil service pay is compared with private sector pay on a regular basis through three separate surveys, namely:
 - (a) an annual Pay Trend Survey ("PTS") to ascertain year-on-year pay adjustments in the private sector;

- (b) a SSS every three years to compare the starting salaries of nondirectorate civilian civil service grades with the entry pay of jobs in the private sector requiring similar qualifications; and
- (c) a Pay Level Survey ("PLS") every six years to ascertain whether civil service pay remains broadly comparable with private sector pay.

Determination of starting salaries for basic ranks

4. Non-directorate civilian civil service grades in the civil service are grouped into Qualification Groups ("QGs")¹ according primarily to educational qualifications, experience requirements and/or job nature, etc. Generally speaking, a benchmark pay ("benchmark") is set for each QG in the light of entry pay in the private sector for similar educational qualification as ascertained by SSS. When the benchmark for a QG is determined, the starting salaries of the basic ranks of the grades in that particular QG are set on par with, or one or more points higher than (where justified for reasons of special job requirements or recruitment difficulties), the said benchmark. Where SSS fails to ascertain the entry pay in the private sector for a particular QG, the benchmark for that QG is set through internal relativities with other QGs.

Previous starting salaries reviews

5. The first specific review² on civil service starting salaries was conducted in 1999 by the Standing Commission on Civil Service Salaries and Conditions of Service ("the Standing Commission") ("the 1999 SSS"). The findings and recommendations of the review were set out in the Standing Commission's Report No. 36: Civil Service Starting Salaries Review 1999³. The second review on civil service starting salaries was carried by the Administration in 2006 ("the 2006 SSS")⁴, along with the 2006 PLS. The third, the fourth and the

QGs adopted in the 2015 SSS are in **Appendix I**.

² Before the first specific review in 1999, civil service starting salaries were reviewed as part of the overall civil service salary structure reviews undertaken by the Standing Commission in 1979 and again in 1989.

The Standing Commission's Report No. 36 (June 1999) is available on the website of the Joint Secretariat for the Advisory Bodies on Civil Service and Judicial Salaries and Conditions of Service at http://www.jsscs.gov.hk/reports/en/36/emain.htm.

The findings of the 2006 SSS are available on the Civil Service Bureau's website at http://www.csb.gov.hk/english/admin/pay/files/final_report070515e2.pdf.

the latest (fifth) reviews on civil service starting salaries were conducted by the Standing Commission in 2009⁵, 2012⁶ and 2015⁷ respectively.

6. The disciplined services grades are excluded from the SSSes because of the lack of market comparators. In the previous SSSes, survey findings were applied to the basic ranks of disciplined services grades as advised by the Standing Committee on Disciplined Services Salaries and Conditions of Service ("SCDS").

Past discussions

The 1999 SSS

- 7. The Panel discussed the findings of the 1999 review at its meetings on 22 July and 15 November 1999. Members noted that the review findings showed that civil service entry pay had outstripped that in the private market. The Administration accepted the recommendations of the Standing Commission on the new benchmarks and starting salaries that the benchmarks for most of the 12 QGs of the civilian grades be lowered by 6% to 31 % and those for the disciplined services grades be lowered by 3% to 17%, and that the starting salaries for a majority of the entry ranks for both the civilian grades and disciplined services grades be lowered by one to at most six pay points.
- 8. Panel members expressed divided views on the recommendations on reduction in the starting salaries of basic ranks arising from the review. Whilst some members recognized the need to bring civil service starting salaries in line with those in the private sector, other members shared the concern of civil service unions that the conduct of the review when Hong Kong's economy was at its worst was unfair to civil servants. In this respect, the Administration agreed that civil service pay reviews should be conducted more frequently. On members' concern about the implications of the proposed new benchmarks and starting salaries on the overall labour market, the Administration pointed out

The Standing Commission's Report No. 46: Civil Service Starting Salaries Survey 2009 (March 2010) is available on the website of the Joint Secretariat for the Advisory Bodies on Civil Service and Judicial Salaries and Conditions of Service at http://www.jsscs.gov.hk/reports/en/46/46.pdf.

The Standing Commission's Report No. 49: Civil Service Starting Salaries Survey 2012 (December 2012) is available on the website of the Joint Secretariat for the Advisory Bodies on Civil Service and Judicial Salaries and Conditions of Service at http://www.jsscs.gov.hk/reports/en/49/49.pdf.

The Standing Commission's Report No. 54: Civil Service Starting Salaries Survey 2015 (February 2016) is available on the website of the Joint Secretariat for the Advisory Bodies on Civil Service and Judicial Salaries and Conditions of Service at http://www.jsscs.gov.hk/reports/en/54/R54e.pdf.

that the results of the review were only a move to follow, not to lead, the market.

- 9. The Administration's recommendations on reduction in the starting salaries of basic ranks arising from the 1999 review were submitted to the Establishment Subcommittee ("ESC") for consideration on 12 January 2000. ESC members in general considered that the consultation exercise on the reduction in civil service starting salaries incomplete and rejected the Administration's recommendations.
- 10. At the Administration's request, the Panel discussed the Administration's recommendations⁸ on the new civil service starting salaries again at its meeting on 17 January 2000. Some Panel members expressed concern that the implementation of the recommended revised starting salaries would result in a substantial difference in salaries between serving civil servants and new recruits of the same rank and affect staff morale. The Administration advised that the difference in salaries would only exist in the short term because a new recruit might make up the difference either by promotion or by reaching the maximum pay point of his rank. To address concerns about the implications of the new benchmarks and pay model on serving staff on in-service transfer, the Administration agreed to allow a great majority of serving staff on transfer to another grade to maintain their existing salary levels. Teachers on transfer between schools were also allowed to carry their existing pay upon transfer.
- 11. The Administration's recommendations on the revised civil service starting salaries were re-submitted to and endorsed by ESC on 26 January 2000 and approved by the Finance Committee ("FC") on 18 February 2000. The new starting salaries (lowered by one to at most six pay points) took effect on 1 April 2000.

The 2006 SSS

- 12. The 2006 SSS was conducted by a consultant engaged by the Administration. Based on the findings of the 2006 SSS, the Administration recommended in May 2007 that the benchmarks for nine of the 12 QGs should be increased, and as a result, the starting salaries of some civilian grades and most disciplined services grades be increased by one to at most five pay points.
- 13. The Administration indicated that in order to ensure that the pay of serving civil servants would not be worse off than new recruits (except for new recruits awarded with incremental credits for relevant previous experience), the normal conversion arrangement would be adopted for civil servants appointed

_

⁸ The Administration did not make revisions to its recommendations but provided a supplementary information note [EC(1999-2000)37] to ESC.

- 5 -

to entry ranks on or after 1 April 2000 and were still serving in entry ranks (hereafter referred to as "affected serving civil servants"). Under the normal conversion arrangement, the pay of affected serving civil servants would be:

- (a) brought up to the revised higher entry pay point if their existing pay was below the new starting salary; and
- (b) brought up to the next higher pay point (including incremental jump and omitted points, if any) subject to the maximum pay point of their ranks⁹, if their existing pay was equal to or above the revised higher starting salary.
- 14. The Panel was briefed on the application of the findings of the 2006 SSS to the civil service at its meeting on 21 May 2007. Some members were gravely concerned about the adoption of the normal conversion arrangement for adjusting the salaries of civil servants and teachers in government aided schools appointed to entry ranks on or after 1 April 2000 and were still serving in entry ranks, as the staff concerned would have their salaries revised to a level equivalent to, or only one pay point higher than, the revised starting salaries for new appointees. These Panel members held the view that the proposed conversion arrangement failed to duly recognize the experience and years of service of the serving civil servants and teachers in government aided schools.
- 15. Panel members also noted that for new appointees of certain professional grades such as Government Counsel and Engineers, they might be awarded additional pay points according to the duration of post-qualification experience they possessed when joining the civil service. As a result, these new appointees might receive a higher salary than those serving civil servants in the same professional grade appointed on or after 1 April 2000 with the same level of experience. Members were worried that the disparity in salaries so created between new appointees and serving civil servants would seriously undermine staff morale.
- 16. The Administration explained that in 2000 when starting salaries were adjusted downwards, the Government adopted the position that it would not reduce the salaries of serving staff to take account of the reduction in starting salaries. When serving staff were protected from a downward revision of starting salaries, the Administration considered it necessary to ensure an appropriate balance was incorporated into the conversion arrangement for

_

Except for special cases such as assistant ranks (e.g. assistant engineer), the maximum pay point of which will be adjusted upwards in step with the relevant entry rank (e.g. engineer). Under such circumstances, the award of one additional pay point will be subject to the new, higher maximum pay point of the relevant rank.

affected serving staff when starting salaries were revised upwards. Otherwise, there would be a public conception that civil servants attempted to maximize benefits in the case of a SSS resulting in upward adjustment of starting salaries but refused to bear any pay cut in the opposite scenario. The Administration also pointed out that the normal conversion arrangement had been recommended by the Standing Commission since its inception in 1979. The Standing Commission considered that a point-to-point conversion should not be adopted as it was an "over-generous" arrangement.

- 17. After deliberation, the Panel passed a motion proposing that the new benchmarks for the 12 QGs should be further improved, and urging the Government to review the conversion arrangements for the civil servants and teachers of aided schools employed on or after 1 April 2000 afresh on the basis of the principle that full regard should be given to the length of service and experience of the existing civil servants and serving teachers.
- 18. In its written response to the motion, the Administration re-iterated its view that it was appropriate to adopt the "normal conversion" arrangement for affected serving civil servants. Given that a SSS would in future be conducted every three years, the Administration concurred with the Standing Commission that ensuring even-handedness in treatment for both upward and downward revision in starting salaries was necessary.
- 19. With the approval of FC on 6 July 2007, the new starting salaries based on the findings of the 2006 SSS took effect on 1 August 2007.

The 2009 SSS

- 20. On 15 March 2010, the Administration briefed the Panel on the findings and recommendations of the 2009 SSS. The findings of the 2009 SSS indicated that the benchmark for QG 9 (degree and related grades) was significantly above the entry pay for jobs in the private sector requiring similar educational qualification for appointment. The Standing Commission henceforth recommended that the benchmark for QG 9 should be reduced by two pay points, and correspondingly, the starting salaries of 37 civilian grades (i.e. 26 grades in QG 9, five in QG 11 and six in QG 12) requiring degree qualification for appointment should be reduced by two pay points. The Standing Commission also recommended that the benchmarks for QGs 1 to 8 and QG 10 should remain unchanged.
- 21. Some members considered that the recommended reduction in the starting salaries of the basic ranks of degree grades would deter quality degree holders from aspiring to join the civil service. They pointed out that, if the

starting salaries for QG 9 were so adjusted, the difference in the starting salaries for QG 9 and Group I of QG 3 (higher diploma grades) would only be \$950. Such difference was unreasonable and would give the public the impression that university education had depreciated in value and was not worth the time or costs incurred. They also expressed grave concern about the impact of the proposed downward starting pay adjustment on the pay level of the private sector.

- 22. The Administration advised that the revised starting salaries would still be higher than the upper quartile ("P75")¹⁰ level of market pay for new recruits with the same education qualification, and the maximum salaries would remain unchanged. In addition, unlike higher diploma grades most of which stopped short at below the directorate level, the structure of most degree grades extended to the directorate ranks and therefore staff members in these grades enjoyed better career prospects.
- 23. The Administration's recommendations on the revised benchmark and starting salaries for civilian grades in QG 9 as well as the revised starting salaries of the degree-qualification civilian grades in QG 11 and QG 12 were endorsed by ESC on 17 June 2010 and approved by the FC on 2 July 2010. The revised starting salaries took effect on 1 October 2010.

The 2012 SSS

- 24. Administration briefed the Panel the findings on recommendations of the 2012 SSS conducted by the Standing Commission on 21 January 2013 and 15 April 2013 respectively. Members noted that the findings of the 2012 SSS showed that existing benchmarks of most QGs with sufficient data for analysis closely reflected the market P75 pay levels. The only two exceptions were QG 9 (Degree and Related Grades) and QG 10 (Model Scale 1 Grades) whose benchmarks were higher than the respective market P75 pay levels by 8.8% (or \$1,973 per month) and 5.7% (or \$580 per month) respectively. Having considered the need to maintain a stable and permanent civil service and the inherent differences between the civil service and private sector, the Standing Commission had recommended that the status quo be maintained for the benchmark for all QGs, including QGs 9 and 10.
- 25. Noting that the market P75 pay level for QG 9 was \$20,432 per month, question was raised about the methodology for getting this figure. The Administration explained that in conducting the 2012 SSS, a series of criteria were adopted to select private sector organizations for participation in the

-

The third quartile level of the total cash compensation of private sector pay.

survey. The criteria included the organizations' steadiness, reputation, number of employees, number of jobs that were reasonable counterparts to the QGs covered in the survey, etc. A total of 136 private sector organizations which satisfied the selection criteria had provided valid data for the survey. Furthermore, having regard to the past practices and the consideration that the government should be a good employer, the Standing Commission had adopted the P75 pay level as the basis for comparison with the civil service benchmark for individual QGs. The market P75 pay level for QG 9 of \$20,432 per month included other cash payments (e.g. variable bonus, etc.) in addition to the basic salary.

- 26. In view of the notable wage increase of technical workers in the construction industry in recent years, suggestion was made as to whether the Administration would consider an upward pay adjustment for civil servants of technical grades/ranks. The Administration advised that a few individual technical departments might encounter short-term difficulties in staff recruitment. The relevant bureaux/departments ("B/Ds") had the responsibility to conduct regular reviews on their manpower situations and take remedial actions. In some special circumstances, B/Ds might exceptionally consider employing existing civil servants beyond their retirement age to help meet the short-term operational needs.
- 27. On the suggestion of establishing a separate QG for sub-degree holders to facilitate recognition of sub-degree qualifications for civil service appointment, the Administration advised that the grades/ranks which accepted sub-degree qualifications were currently grouped under QG 3 Group I (Higher Diploma Grades). The benchmark for a specific QG was set in the light of the entry pay in the private sector for jobs requiring similar educational qualification as ascertained by the previous SSSs. Since the market could not provide sufficient data on the entry pay of sub-degree holders, it would be difficult to assign a new QG for sub-degree holders.

The 2015 SSS

28. The Administration briefed the Panel on the findings and recommendations of the Standing Commission on the 2015 SSS on 21 March 2016. Notably, the findings of the 2015 SSS indicated that the difference between the existing benchmark pay of all QGs (except QG 8 (Degree and Related Grades)) and the upper quartile level of starting salaries in the market was insignificant (in the range of +3.6% to -5.2%). As for QG 8, the market upper quartile level was lower than the existing civil service benchmark pay by 15.3% (around \$3,900 or three pay points on the Master Pay Scale ("MPS")). Having considered the principles and considerations under the "holistic

approach", the Standing Commission recommended that the benchmark pay for all QGs (including QG 8) should remain unchanged. Since market pay for QG 8 consistently recorded a relatively larger dispersion and the lowest rate of adjustment, the Standing Commission also recommended that a specific study should be conducted on the distinctive features and characteristics of this QG and how they should be taken into account in future SSSs.

- 29. Members generally supported the recommendations of the Standing Commission on the 2015 SSS. Some members were however of the view that the existing benchmark pay for the remaining eight QGs should also be increased by, say, 10%, to ensure fairness amongst all QGs.
- 30. The Administration advised that drastic changes in the starting salaries of civil service grades would undermine stability of civil service pay and bring about adverse consequences. For example, it might lead to the situation whereby the pay of serving civil servants was just the same as that of the new recruits at the same rank, thereby affecting staff morale. That explained why the holistic approach should be adopted for applying the findings of SSSs and illustrated the importance of maintaining the stability of civil service pay. Although the benchmark pay of some QGs were higher than their respective market upper quartiles, no downward adjustment to the starting salaries of these QGs had been made having regard to the principles and considerations under the holistic approach in applying survey findings. The Administration further advised that pay was not the sole consideration for people joining the civil service. Other considerations were job security and the opportunities to handle duties and acquire experience not available in the private sector, such as law enforcement and execution of public health policies.
- 31. A member suggested that the Administration should include the qualifications recognized by the Qualifications Framework, launched by the Education Bureau in 2008 to promote life-long learning, under the Qualification Benchmark System in the conduct of future SSSs.

Latest position

32. According to the relevant Legislative Council Brief issued by the Administration on 3 May 2016, the Chief Executive ("CE")-in-Council has taken a decision on the application of the findings of the 2015 SSS to the civil service. In gist, the CE-in-Council decided that the starting salaries of the civil service should remain unchanged. The CE-in-Council also agreed that a specific study on QG8 should be conducted. The Administration will brief the Panel on the CE-in-Council's decision on 16 May 2016.

Relevant papers

33. A list of relevant papers is in **Appendix II**.

Council Business Division 4
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
12 May 2016

Civil Service Qualification Groups (QGs) adopted in the 2015 Starting Salaries Survey

QG	Grades and Qualification Requirements	Existing Benchmark
1	Grades not requiring Level 2 or equivalent in five subjects in HKDSEE (or five passes in HKCEE)	MPS 1 (\$11,575)
2	HKDSEE Grades Group I: Grades requiring Level 2 or equivalent in five subjects in HKDSEE (or five passes in HKCEE)	MPS 3 (\$13,120)
	Group II: Grades requiring Level 2 or equivalent in five subjects in HKDSEE plus considerable experience (or five passes in HKCEE plus considerable experience)	
	Group III: Grades requiring Level 3 or equivalent in five subjects in HKDSEE (or two passes at Advanced Level in HKALE plus three credits in HKCEE)	MPS 8 (\$17,995)
3	Higher Diploma, Associate Degree and Diploma Grades Group I: Higher Diploma or Associate Degree Grades	MPS 13 (\$24,280)
	Group II: Diploma Grades	MPS 8 (\$17,995)

QG	Grades and Qualification Requirements	Existing Benchmark
4	Technical Inspectorate and Related Grades: Higher Certificate or equivalent qualification plus experience	MPS 13 (\$24,280)
5	Technician, Supervisory and Related Grades Group I: Certificate or apprenticeship plus experience	MPS 6 (\$15,845)
6	Technician, Supervisory and Related Grades Group II: Craft and skill plus experience, or apprenticeship plus experience	MPS 5 (\$14,950)
7	Professional and Related Grades Group I: Membership of a professional institution or equivalent Group II: Grades with pay structure related to grades in Group I	MPS 27 (\$47,235)
8	Degree and Related Grades	MPS 14 (\$25,505)
9	Model Scale 1 Grades	MOD 0 (\$11,570)
10	Education Grades*	-
11	Other Grades*	-

^{*} No benchmark pay is set for the grades under QG 10 (Education Grades) and QG 11 (Other Grades) due to their unique job nature and disparate entry requirement

Appendix II

Starting Salaries Survey

List of relevant papers

Meeting/ Date of meeting	Minutes / Paper	LC Paper No.
Panel on Public Service 22.7.1999	Legislative Council Brief issued by Civil Service Bureau on 20 July 1999	File Ref: <u>CSBCR/PG/4-085-</u> <u>001/2/99</u>
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(1)350/98-99</u>
Panel on Public Service 15.11.1999	Administration's paper on "Starting Salaries Review"	CB(1)317/99-00(02)
	Administration's paper on "Starting Salaries Review Implications for the Disciplined Services"	<u>CB(1)62/99-00</u>
	Summary of submissions on the Civil Service Starting Salaries Review 1999 prepared by the Secretariat	<u>CB(1)288/99-00</u>
	List of submissions	<u>CB(1)289/99-00</u>
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(1)1002/99-00</u>
Establishment Subcommittee	Paper	EC(1999-2000)28
12.1.2000	Minutes of meeting	ESC31/99-00

Meeting/ Date of meeting	Minutes / Paper	LC Paper No.
Panel on Public Service 17.1.2000	Legislative Council Brief issued on 15 December 1999	<u>CB(1)616/99-00</u>
17.1.2000	A letter dated 25 January 2000 from the Secretary for the Civil Service on the impacts of the new civil service starting salaries on the staff employed by contractors/consultants under the Architectural Services Department	CB(1)894/99-00(01)
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(1)1004/99-00</u>
Establishment Subcommittee	Paper	EC(1999-2000)37
26.1.2000	Minutes of meeting	ESC33/99-00
Finance Committee	Paper	FCR(1999-2000)63
18.2.2000	Minutes of meeting	FC73/99-00
Panel on Public Service 21.5.2007	Relevant Legislative Council Brief	File Ref: <u>CSBCR/PG/4-085-</u> <u>001/46-2</u>
	Administration's Response to the Motion on the Application of the Findings of the 2006 Starting Salaries Survey	<u>CB(1)1870/06-07(01)</u>
	Minutes of meeting	CB(1)1916/06-07
	Relevant Establishment Subcommittee paper	EC(2007-08)7
Establishment Subcommittee	Paper	EC(2007-08)7
13.6.2007	Minutes of meeting	ESC36/06-07

Meeting/ Date of meeting	Minutes / Paper	LC Paper No.
Finance	Paper	FCR(2007-08)26
Committee 6.7.2007	Minutes of meeting	FC127/06-07
Panel on Public Service 15.3.2010	Administration's paper on 2009 Starting Salaries Survey: findings and recommendations of the Standing Commission on Civil Service Salaries and Conditions of Service	CB(1)1331/09-10(04)
	Paper on starting salaries survey for the civil service prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat (Background brief)	<u>CB(1)1332/09-10</u>
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(1)1913/09-10</u>
Panel on Public Service 24.5.2010	Administration's paper on 2009 Starting Salaries Survey: Application to the Civil Service (Legislative Council Brief)	File Ref.: <u>CSBCR/PG/4-085-</u> <u>001/63</u>
	Paper on starting salaries survey for the civil service prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat (Background brief)	CB(1)1332/09-10
	Minutes of meeting	CB(1)103/10-11
Establishment Subcommittee 17.6.2010	Paper	EC(2010-11)9
	Minutes of meeting	ESC41/09-10
Finance Committee 2.7.2010	Paper	FCR(2010-11)27
	Minutes of meeting	FC166/09-10

Meeting/ Date of meeting	Minutes / Paper	LC Paper No.
Panel on Public Service 20.2.2012	Administration's paper on "Starting Salaries Survey and Pay Level Survey"	CB(1)1028/11-12(03)
	Updated background brief on starting salaries survey and pay level survey for civil service	<u>CB(1)1028/11-12(04)</u>
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(1)2452/11-12</u>
Panel on Public Service 21.1.2013	Administration's paper on "2012 Starting Salaries Survey: Findings and Recommendations of the Standing Commission on Civil Service Salaries and Conditions of Service"	CB(4)303/12-13(04)
	Updated background brief on the Starting Salaries Surveys for the civil service	CB(4)303/12-13(05)
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(4)474/12-13</u>
Panel on Public Service 15.4.2013	Legislative Council Brief on "2012 Starting Salaries Survey: Application to the Civil Service"	File Ref: <u>CSBCR/PG/4-085-</u> <u>001/71</u>
	Updated background brief on the Starting Salaries Surveys for the civil service	CB(4)545/12-13(03)
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(4)772/12-13</u>
Panel on Public Service 16.2.2015	Administration's paper on "Starting Salaries Survey 2015"	CB(4)488/14-15(05)
	Updated background brief in Starting Salaries Surveys for the civil service	<u>CB(4)488/14-15(06)</u>
	Minutes of meeting	<u>CB(4)833/14-15</u>

Meeting/ Date of meeting	Minutes / Paper	LC Paper No.
Panel on Public Service 21.3.2016	Administration's paper on "2015 Starting Salaries Survey: Findings and Recommendations of the Standing Commission on Civil Service Salaries and Conditions of Service"	CB(4)715/15-16(04)
	Updated background brief on Starting Salaries Surveys for the civil service	<u>CB(4)715/15-16(05)</u>

Council Business Division 4
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
12 May 2016