# 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. FC186/17-18 (These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref : FC/1/1(22)

#### **Finance Committee of the Legislative Council**

# Minutes of the 45<sup>th</sup> meeting held at Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex on Friday, 2 June 2017, at 5:40 pm

#### Members present:

Hon CHAN Kin-por, BBS, JP (Chairman) Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP (Deputy Chairman) Hon James TO Kun-sun Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP Prof Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP Hon WONG Ting-kwong, SBS, JP Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, JP Hon WU Chi-wai, MH Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP Hon Charles Peter MOK. JP Hon CHAN Chi-chuen Hon CHAN Han-pan, JP Hon Kenneth LEUNG Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP Hon Dennis KWOK Wing-hang Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP

Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan Hon IP Kin-yuen Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT, JP Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, SBS, JP Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP Hon Alvin YEUNG Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin Hon CHU Hoi-dick Hon Jimmy NG Wing-ka, JP Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP Hon HO Kai-ming Hon LAM Cheuk-ting Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding Hon SHIU Ka-fai Hon SHIU Ka-chun Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH Hon YUNG Hoi-yan Dr Hon Pierre CHAN Hon CHAN Chun-ying Hon Tanya CHAN Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP Hon LUK Chung-hung Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai Hon KWONG Chun-yu Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho Hon Nathan LAW Kwun-chung Dr Hon YIU Chung-yim Dr Hon LAU Siu-lai

#### Members absent:

Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS, JP Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP Hon Claudia MO Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki Hon KWOK Wai-keung Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, JP Hon CHUNG Kwok-pan Hon HUI Chi-fung Hon Kenneth LAU Ip-keung, MH, JP

[According to the Judgment of the Court of First Instance of the High Court on 14 July 2017, LEUNG Kwok-hung, Nathan LAW Kwun-chung, YIU Chung-yim and LAU Siu-lai have been disqualified from assuming the office of a member of the Legislative Council, and have vacated the same since 12 October 2016, and are not entitled to act as a member of the Legislative Council.]

# **Public officers attending:**

Ms Elizabeth TSE Man-yee, JP	Permanent Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury)
Ms Carol YUEN, JP	Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) 1
Mr Alfred ZHI Jian-hong	Principal Executive Officer (General), Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau (The Treasury Branch)
Ms Mimi LEE Mei-mei, JP	Deputy Secretary for Security (1)
Mr Andrew TSANG Yue-tung	Principal Assistant Secretary for Security (E)
Mr Dennis CHING Chung-cham	Assistant Secretary for Security (E1)
Mr Raymond SIU Chak-yee	Assistant Commissioner of Police (Personnel)
Mr LOVATT Andrew Charles	Chief Superintendent of Police (Planning and Development), Hong Kong Police Force
Ms Melinda FONG M Y	Superintendent of Police (Conditions of Service)(Quartering), Hong Kong Police Force
Mrs Sylvia LAM YU Ka-wai, JP	Acting Director of Architectural Services
Mr David CHAK Wing-pong	Project Director (2), Architectural Services Department
Ms Maggie CHIN Man-yi	District Planning Officer (Fanling, Sheung Shui and Yuen Long East), Planning Department

#### **Clerk in attendance:**

Ms Anita SIT

Assistant Secretary General 1

#### Staff in attendance:

Mr Derek LO	Chief Council Secretary (1)5
Ms Ada LAU	Senior Council Secretary(1)7
Mr Raymond SZETO	Council Secretary (1)5
Mr Frankie WOO	Senior Legislative Assistant (1)3
Ms Michelle NIEN	Legislative Assistant (1)5

#### Action

# Item No. 2 — FCR(2017-18)12 RECOMMENDATION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 10 APRIL 2017

#### EC(2016-17)24

# Head 78 — INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT Subhead 000— Operational Expenses

<u>The Chairman</u> advised that this item sought approval from the Finance Committee ("FC") of the recommendation of the Establishment Subcommittee made at its meeting held on 10 April 2017, i.e. the recommendation set out in item EC(2016-17)24 regarding the creation of one permanent post of Assistant Director of Intellectual Property ("ADIP") in the Intellectual Property Department ("IPD").

2. In response to a question raised by Mr CHU Hoi-dick, <u>the</u> <u>Chairman</u> and <u>the Clerk</u> pointed out that as no member had, before the meeting, requested that the funding proposal be put to vote separately, no relevant public officers had been invited to this meeting. Given that some members had indicated their wish to express views or raise questions on the funding proposal, <u>the Chairman</u> directed that each member might speak once for not more than three minutes.

#### The present situation of the supernumerary ADIP post

3. <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> noticed that the supernumerary ADIP post that had taken up the duties and responsibilities pertinent to the patent portfolio had already lapsed on 1 April 2017. He enquired about IPD's interim manpower arrangement.

## Hong Kong's patent system

4. <u>Mr Nathan LAW</u> urged the Administration to expeditiously introduce the Bolar exemption principles into Hong Kong's patent system to facilitate the entry of generic drugs into Hong Kong market, thereby benefiting members of the public in Hong Kong (particularly patients with rare diseases).

5. <u>Mr CHU Hoi-dick</u> enquired whether the Administration would, when reviewing Hong Kong's patent system, study the alternative drug patent option put forward by a renowned scholar in economics, i.e. abolishing the patent rights for pharmaceutical products and replacing it by providing prize money instead to reward persons with achievements in pharmaceutical research, so as to lower the market price of newly developed drugs. <u>Mr CHU</u> also requested the Administration to provide supplementary information in response to his written questions.

# The copyright regime of Hong Kong

6. <u>Mr Dennis KWOK</u> enquired whether the duties of the proposed post included the review of the Copyright Ordinance (Cap. 528) to dovetail with the present needs of Hong Kong's economic development.

7. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> enquired whether the Administration would undertake to include the copyright exceptions under the Marrakesh Treaty to Facilitate Access to Published Works for Persons Who Are Blind, Visually Impaired or Otherwise Print Disabled.

[*Post-meeting note:* The supplementary information provided by the Administration in response to the above questions raised by members was issued to members vide LC Paper No. FC250/16-17(01) on 31 July 2017.]

# Voting on FCR(2017-18)12

8. <u>The Chairman</u> put item FCR(2017-18)12 to vote. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the majority of the members present and voting were in favour of the proposal. The item was approved.

#### Item No. 3 — FCR(2017-18)8 RECOMMENDATION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 16 MARCH 2017

PWSC(2016-17)42

Head 703 - BUILDINGS

Quarters — Internal security

70JA — Redevelopment of Junior Police Officers Married Quarters at Fan Garden, Fanling

9. <u>The Chairman</u> advised that this item sought FC's approval of the recommendation of the Public Works Subcommittee ("PWSC") made at its meeting held on 16 March 2017, i.e. the recommendation set out in item PWSC(2016-17)42 regarding the upgrading of 70JA to Category A at an estimated cost of \$2,827.9 million in money-of-the-day prices for the redevelopment of Junior Police Officers Married Quarters at Fan Garden, Fanling ("the Fan Garden Quarters").

10. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that he was an independent non-executive director of The Bank of East Asia, Limited.

#### Parking spaces for private cars and motorcycles

11. Members noted that the proposed redevelopment project would provide 149 private car parking spaces, 12 motorcycle parking spaces and 79 bicycle parking spaces. <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u>, <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u>, <u>Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung</u>, <u>Mr KWONG Chun-yu</u>, <u>Dr Elizabeth QUAT</u>, <u>Mr CHAN Han-pan</u>, <u>Dr Priscilla LEUNG</u>, <u>Ms Alice MAK</u> and <u>Mr Wilson OR</u> generally considered that the parking spaces for private cars and motorcycles were inadequate. In their view, as police officers would be on duty at the time and location where efficient and convenient public transport services might not be available, they had a greater need for using private cars or motorcycles. Nevertheless, the parking spaces under the redevelopment project failed to meet the actual needs of police officers. In this connection, they suggested that:

(a) the Administration should actively consider increasing the number of parking spaces rather than rigidly determining the number of parking spaces in accordance with the calculation method set out in the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines ("HKPSG");

- (b) the Administration should also, in the light of the practice of police officers in using various modes of transport, adjust the number of car parking spaces/bicycle parking spaces in a flexible manner. For example, if police officers would use motorcycles more often, a certain number of private car parking spaces under the redevelopment project should be reduced, while the number of motorcycle parking spaces should be increased correspondingly; and
- (c) the Administration should expeditiously update the parking standards stipulated in HKPSG which had become outdated.

12. <u>The Deputy Secretary for Security (1)</u> ("DS(S)1") and <u>the District</u> <u>Planning Officer (Fanling, Sheung Shui and Yuen Long East)</u>, <u>Planning</u> <u>Department</u> ("DPO(FS&YLE)/PlanD") responded that:

- (a) the number of parking spaces for private cars and motorcycles provided under this project had attained the maximum provision stipulated in HKPSG;
- (b) the relevant standards and guidelines in the current HKPSG had come into effect since 2014. The Administration would review those standards and guidelines from time to time and make timely revisions;
- (c) the Administration had provided PWSC with a supplementary information paper (LC Paper No. <u>PWSC119/16-17(01)</u>) on the factors that had been taken into account in determining the number of parking spaces under this project;
- (d) subject to the availability of resources, the Administration might consider fine-tuning the numbers of the two types of parking spaces under the project so as to better meet the needs of the users; nevertheless, the number of parking spaces might not deviate significantly from the standards stipulated in HKPSG ;
- (e) the Hong Kong Police Force ("HKPF") would try and facilitate junior police officers ("JPOs") living and working in the same or adjacent Police region as far as possible; and

(f) the Administration would refer members' suggestions on the planning standards for parking spaces to the Transport and Housing Bureau and the Transport Department for consideration. It was learnt that the Legislative Council Panel on Transport would also discuss issues relating to parking policy.

13. <u>Mr Jeremy TAM</u> enquired whether the Administration could provide additional motorcycle parking spaces in areas within or adjacent to the project boundary for use by residents if HKPSG was subsequently revised to the effect that the maximum provision of motorcycle parking spaces under this project might be raised. He also asked if there was any precedent for additional parking spaces to be provided in an occupied housing development.

14. <u>The Acting Director of Architectural Services</u> ("Acting D Arch S") responded that there were precedents where existing facilities in public buildings had been converted to motorcycle parking spaces. However, as far as the proposed project was concerned, since the plot ratio of the current design had attained a maximum of 6, the development potential of the site had been fully utilized. Hence, if there was a need for additional motorcycle parking spaces for use by residents in the future, it might be necessary to provide the space for such conversion by reducing the areas reserved for other uses. It was also necessary to give careful consideration to the design layout of the project to ascertain the feasibility of such conversion.

15. Members noted that in accordance with the parking standards for private housing developments set out in HKPSG, for residential developments with flat size larger than 40 square metres (" $m^2$ ") but not larger than 70  $m^2$ , the number of parking spaces to be provided under the developments was calculated by multiplying the Demand Adjustment Ratio of 0.7. <u>Mr CHAN Han-pan and Ms Alice MAK</u> considered it inappropriate to calculate the number of parking spaces for police quarters based on the Demand Adjustment Ratio. <u>Mr CHAN</u> suggested that the Administration should slightly increase the size of the proposed F-grade departmental quarters ("DQs") units to, say, 70.1  $m^2$  so that a higher Demand Adjustment Ratio (i.e. 2.1) might be applied in calculating the number of parking spaces under the project.

16. <u>DPO(FS&YLE)/PlanD</u> and <u>DS(S)1</u> reiterated that the number of parking spaces under the project might not deviate significantly from the standards set out in HKPSG. <u>DS(S)1</u> added that the Administration would have to make a choice between the number of quarters units and the number of parking spaces. If necessary, the residents and visitors of the Fan Garden Quarters could use the parking spaces in the nearby private housing developments and the on-street metered parking spaces in the vicinity.

17. Given a media report in 2016 that a police officer living at the Sheung Shui Police Married Quarters illegally parked a car in the vicinity of the quarters, <u>Mr KWONG Chun-yu</u> enquired whether HKPF had reviewed the provision of parking spaces at DQs after the incident. <u>DS(S)1</u> said that the aforesaid incident might not necessarily be related to the provision of parking spaces at police quarters.

#### Development parameters and project costs

18. <u>Mr Wilson OR</u> declared that a family member of his was a police officer. <u>Mr OR, Mr Holden CHOW</u> and <u>Dr Junius HO</u> expressed support for the Administration's proposal. They asked whether the plot ratio of the Fan Garden site could be raised so that more quarters units could be constructed.

19. <u>DPO(FS&YLE)/PlanD</u> responded that the Administration must take into consideration the implications of the development project on the surrounding environment and infrastructural capacities when determining the development intensity of the site. The domestic plot ratios of the sites in areas around Fanling and Sheung Shui were all below 6, and the buildings in the vicinity of the Fan Garden Quarters were relatively low-rise. Based on the Administration's assessment, if the plot ratio of the project was raised, the traffic capacity and sewage treatment facilities in the area would be overloaded.

- 20. <u>Dr YIU Chung-yim</u> sought information on the followings:
  - (a) whether there was a need to revise the relevant Outline Zoning Plan ("OZP") before implementing the proposed project;
  - (b) whether the current OZP had imposed height restrictions on the buildings on the Fan Garden site;

- (c) the site area, total construction floor area ("CFA") and construction costs of the project; whether the Project Cost Management Office had assessed the cost estimates of this project;
- (d) why the phased expenditure of the project had been estimated up to year 2024-2025 despite its expected completion by the fourth quarter of 2020; and
- (e) the amount of total CFA of the project that would be reduced if the two narrow and elongated strips of government land sandwiched between the Fan Garden site and Fan Leng Lau Road were not incorporated into the project site.

21. <u>Dr YIU Chung-yim</u> and <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> asked whether the Administration had consulted members of the local community on the project.

- 22. <u>DPO(FS&YLE)/PlanD</u> and <u>Acting D Arch S</u> responded that:
  - (a) there was no need to revise the OZP as this redevelopment project had not changed the use of the Fan Garden site;
  - (b) the OZP had not imposed building height restrictions on the Fan Garden site;
  - (c) the project site occupied an area of about 13 850 m<sup>2</sup>, with a total CFA of about 95 828 m<sup>2</sup>. The estimated construction unit cost, including the building and building services costs, was \$18,568 per m<sup>2</sup> of CFA. The Project Cost Management Office had not expressed objection to this cost estimate;
  - (d) there would still be expenses after the completion of the building works, such as expenses on maintenance costs, provision of furniture to residents, etc.;
  - (e) if the two narrow and elongated strips of unleased and unallocated government land were not incorporated into the project site, the total floor area of the project would be reduced by about 5 000 square feet; and
  - (f) the Administration had consulted the North District Council on the redevelopment project and secured its support.

## Environmental impact of the redevelopment project

23. <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> enquired whether the preliminary environmental review for the proposed project had covered the impact of the redeveloped Fan Garden Quarters on landscape and air quality, and whether there was a need to conduct more in-depth environmental reviews before commencing the project.

24. <u>Acting D Arch S</u> advised that as this project was not a designated project under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499), there would be no need to prepare an environmental impact assessment report in future. According to the preliminary environmental review, the redeveloped quarters would have no negative impact on air quality.

### Allocation and shortfall of disciplined services quarters units

25. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> expressed support for the proposed redevelopment project. He asked if the sitting residents of the Fan Garden had been arranged to move to other quarters, and whether those residents could be relocated in situ upon completion of the redevelopment project.

26.  $\underline{DS(S)1}$  responded that all the sitting residents of the DQs at the Fan Garden site had moved out in or before December 2016. Some residents had been allocated units in other quarters, while some had moved to their owned or rented accommodation. All the 1 184 units in the DQs under the redevelopment project would be allocated to married JPOs who were on the waiting list for the allocation of quarters.

27. <u>Dr Elizabeth QUAT</u> said that the Democratic Alliance for the Betterment and Progress of Hong Kong supported the proposed redevelopment. She also urged the Administration to identify sites as soon as possible for the construction of more disciplined services quarters, so as to address the shortfall of quarters and the relatively long waiting time. <u>Ms Alice MAK</u> suggested that the Administration should adjust the mechanism for allocating quarters units to shorten the waiting time.

28. <u>Mr KWONG Chun-yu</u> enquired about the overall provision of police quarters upon completion of the redevelopment. He also requested the Administration to provide information on the points system for police quarters.

29. <u>DS(S)1</u> said that while a total of about 29 600 married staff in the disciplined services were eligible to apply for DQs, only about 22 400 quarters units were currently available for allocation, representing a shortfall rate of about 25%. Eligible JPOs had to wait for about four years on average to be allocated a DQs unit. It was expected that the waiting time would be shortened to 3.5 years upon completion of the redevelopment project, with about 2 000 people on the waiting list. The Administration would continue to identify suitable sites for building DQs to meet the demand of married disciplined services staff. <u>The Assistant Commissioner of Police (Personnel)</u> said that information on the points system for police quarters would be provided in writing.

[*Post-meeting note:* The supplementary information provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. FC213/16-17(01) on 6 July 2017]

30. <u>Mr Wilson OR</u> asked whether the Administration could increase the number of DQs unit allocation exercises per year so that police officers on the waiting list could move into the vacated units as soon as possible.

31.  $\underline{DS(S)1}$  stated that currently, there were five quarters unit allocation exercises for JPOs each year. HKPF was consulting police staff associations on the proposed increase in the number of such allocation exercises.

32. <u>Mr Nathan LAW</u> and <u>Dr Junius HO</u> asked whether the Administration would consider converting F-grade and G-grade units of disciplined services quarters into smaller H-grade units to increase the overall supply of quarters units. <u>Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung</u> enquired about police officers' eligibility to apply for H-grade DQs units.

33. <u>DS(S)1</u> advised that among various types of DQs units, the shortfall rates of F-grade and G-grade units were the highest (standing at 31.6% and 42% respectively) while there was an oversupply of H-grade units. That being the case, the proposed mix of units for the redevelopment project could better meet actual needs. Married police officers whose salaries reached Points 4 to 12 of the Police Pay Scale could apply for H-grade DQs units, but these units might not be suitable for married police officers with relatively large households.

# Police officers' housing benefits

34. <u>Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung</u> asked whether only married police officers could be allocated DQs units. <u>Mr CHU Hoi-dick</u> held that HKPF should also have regard to unmarried police officers' demand for housing. As unmarried persons needed less living space and the Government's resources were limited, he suggested that HKPF should provide unmarried police officers with quarters units on a multiple-occupancy basis. <u>Dr Priscilla</u> <u>LEUNG</u> and <u>Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung</u> opined that Mr CHU's suggestion was worth exploring by the Administration.

35. <u>Mr Nathan LAW</u> also took the view that the Administration could consider providing unmarried junior disciplined services staff with vacant units of non-departmental quarters ("NDQs") for accommodation on a multiple-occupancy basis. This could increase the supply of disciplined services quarters units and reduce the administrative expenses involved in selling or leasing out vacant NDQs units.

- 36.  $\underline{DS(S)1}$  said that:
  - (a) as the number of household members of married police officers might increase, their demand for housing was keener than that of unmarried police officers. Therefore, given the limited resources, the Administration's policy was to accord priority to married police officers in the provision of quarters units;
  - (b) in view of the current shortage of DQs units available for allocation to married JPOs, the Administration did not have the resources to provide unmarried police officers with DQs units for the time being;
  - (c) nevertheless, under the existing policy, police officers (whether married or unmarried) were entitled to other types of housing benefits, such as the Home Financing Scheme and Non-accountable Cash Allowance. The Administration had no plan to change the policy on housing benefits for police officers;
  - (d) in addition, eligible unmarried police officers could apply for the Civil Service Public Housing Quota or purchase subsidized sale flats; if their salaries reached a certain level, they could also apply for another type of quarters; and

(e) regarding vacant NDQs units, the Administration's existing policy was to sell or lease out those confirmed as surplus units.

37. <u>Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung</u> held that if the Administration exempted disciplined services staff from the requirement that their income must not exceed the limit when applying for public rental housing ("PRH") units so that it would be easier for disciplined services staff to be allocated PRH units, the Administration could build less DQs and reduce government spending.

38. <u>Dr Priscilla LEUNG</u> said that a relative of hers was a police officer. She expressed support for this funding proposal, and took the view that the Administration should continue providing police officers with housing benefits in the form of DQs to satisfy the unique needs of police officers and their families in respect of housing and neighbourhood support.

39. <u>Ms Alice MAK</u> expressed support for the funding proposal. She pointed out that given the limited supply of PRH, it was often difficult for serving police officers to be allocated PRH units.

40.  $\underline{DS(S)1}$  responded that:

- (a) the Administration's policy was to provide police officers with housing benefits subject to the availability of resources;
- (b) due to the special nature of the duties of police officers, it was more likely for them than for civilian officers to face dangers and emergencies. The Administration therefore considered it appropriate to provide married police officers with DQs units so as to attract talent and retain and incentivize staff; and
- (c) from 2015-2016 to 2018-2019, the Hong Kong Housing Authority would increase the PRH quota for eligible civil servants by 1 000 in total.

# Community facilities in the vicinity of Fan Garden in Fanling

41. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> requested the Administration to provide supplementary information on whether relevant government departments had been consulted on the redevelopment project in planning for various facilities and services (including welfare, education and child care services) for the newly moved in families of police officers. <u>DS(S)1</u> pointed out that in proposing the redevelopment project, the Administration had made sure that there were enough community facilities, open space, schools, etc. in the district to cater for the increase in population arising from the redevelopment project and to meet the HKPSG requirements for relevant facilities.

[*Post-meeting note:* The supplementary information provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. FC213/16-17(01) on 6 July 2017]

# Extension of meeting

42. At 7:34 pm, <u>the Chairman</u> said that he would extend the meeting for 15 minutes. No member raised objection.

# Motion proposed to be moved by a member under paragraph 37A of the Finance Committee Procedure

43. At 7:34 pm, FC voted on whether the motion proposed by Mr CHU Hoi-dick under paragraph 37A of the Finance Committee Procedure to express views on this item should be proceeded with forthwith. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the majority of the members present and voting were against the question that the proposed motion be proceeded with forthwith.

# Voting on FCR(2017-18)8

44. <u>The Chairman</u> put item FCR(2017-18)8 to vote. At the request of members, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that 26 members voted in favour of and 1 member voted against the item. Three members abstained from voting. The votes of individual members were as follows:

#### For:

Mr Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yanMrMr WONG Ting-kwongMrMr Michael TIEN Puk-sunMrMr Frankie YICK Chi-mingMrMr MA Fung-kwokMrMs Alice MAK Mei-kuenMrDr Helena WONG Pik-wanMrMr Alvin YEUNGDrMr HO Kai-mingMrMs YUNG Hoi-yanMrMr CHEUNG Kwok-kwanMrMr LAU Kwok-fanMr(26 members)Mr

Mr Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee Mr Steven HO Chun-yin Mr YIU Si-wing Mr Charles Peter MOK Mr Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung Mr POON Siu-ping Dr Junius HO Kwan-yiu Mr Holden CHOW Ho-ding Mr Holden CHOW Ho-ding Mr Wilson OR Chong-shing Mr CHAN Chun-ying Mr LUK Chung-hung Mr Jeremy TAM Man-ho

Against: Dr CHENG Chung-tai (1 member)

Abstained: Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung Mr CHU Hoi-dick (3 members)

Mr CHAN Han-pan

- 45. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the item was approved.
- 46. At 7:42 pm, <u>the Chairman</u> declared the end of the meeting.

Legislative Council Secretariat 13 March 2018