

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. PWSC69/16-17
(These minutes have been
seen by the Administration)

Ref : CB1/F/2/1(6)B

**Public Works Subcommittee of the Finance Committee
of the Legislative Council**

**Minutes of the 5th meeting
held in Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex
on Tuesday, 17 January 2017, at 8:30 am**

Members present:

Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP (Chairman)
Hon James TO Kun-sun
Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP
Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP
Hon WONG Ting-kwong, SBS, JP
Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP
Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP
Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung
Hon Claudia MO
Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP
Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS
Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, JP
Hon WU Chi-wai, MH
Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS
Hon CHAN Chi-chuen
Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP
Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP
Hon KWOK Wai-keung
Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung

Hon Alvin YEUNG
Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin
Hon CHU Hoi-dick
Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP
Hon HO Kai-ming
Hon LAM Cheuk-ting
Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding
Hon SHIU Ka-chun
Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH
Hon CHAN Chun-ying
Hon Tanya CHAN
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP
Hon HUI Chi-fung
Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH
Hon KWONG Chun-yu
Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho
Hon Nathan LAW Kwun-chung
Dr Hon YIU Chung-yim
Dr LAU Siu-lai

Members absent:

Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP (Deputy Chairman)
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP
Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP
Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS, JP
Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP
Hon CHAN Han-pan, JP
Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki
Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan
Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT, JP
Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, JP
Hon Jimmy NG Wing-ka, JP
Hon Kenneth LAU Ip-keung, MH, JP

Public officers attending:

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Mr Raistlin LAU Chun, JP | Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) ³ |
| Mr HON Chi-keung, JP | Permanent Secretary for Development (Works) |
| Mr Michael WONG Wai-lun, JP | Permanent Secretary for Development (Planning and Lands) |
| Mr Donald TONG Chi-keung, JP | Permanent Secretary for the Environment |
| Ms Jasmine CHOI Suet-yung | Principal Assistant Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) (Works) |
| Mr Kenneth LEUNG Tak-yan | Chief Civil Engineer (Public Works Programme)(Acting) Transport and Housing Bureau |
| Mr LAM Sai-hung, JP | Director of Civil Engineering and Development |
| Mr WAN Man-leung | Principal Project Coordinator (Housing Projects) ¹ Civil Engineering and Development Department |
| Mr HO Hin-leung | Chief Civil Engineer (1) Housing Department |
| Mr Stephen LEUNG Kin-man | Chief Architect (6) Housing Department |
| Mr SIU Kang-chuen | Chief Traffic Engineer (New Territories East) Transport Department |

Clerk in attendance:

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Ms Sharon CHUNG | Chief Council Secretary (1) ² |
|-----------------|--|

Staff in attendance:

| | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Miss Rita YUNG | Senior Council Secretary (1)2 |
| Mr Raymond CHOW | Senior Council Secretary (1)6 |
| Ms Mandy LI | Council Secretary (1)2 |
| Ms Christina SHIU | Legislative Assistant (1)2 |
| Ms Christy YAU | Legislative Assistant (1)7 |
| Ms Clara LO | Legislative Assistant (1)8 |

Action

The Chairman advised that there were five funding proposals on the agenda for the meeting. All of them were items carried over from the previous meeting of the Subcommittee on 11 January 2017. He reminded members that in accordance with Rule 83A of the Rules of Procedure ("RoP") of the Legislative Council, they should disclose the nature of any direct or indirect pecuniary interests relating to the funding proposals under discussion at the meeting before they spoke on the proposals. He also drew members' attention to Rule 84 of RoP on voting in case of direct pecuniary interest.

Head 711 - Housing

PWSC(2016-17)38 778CL Site formation and infrastructure works for public housing developments at Chung Nga Road and Area 9, Tai Po

2. The Chairman advised that the proposal, i.e. PWSC(2016-17)38, was to upgrade part of 778CL to Category A at an estimated cost of \$1,146.8 million in money-of-the-day prices to carry out site formation works at Tai Po Area 9 site, and construct associated infrastructure works to support the public housing developments at Chung Nga Road East site and Tai Po Area 9 site ("proposed public housing developments"). The Subcommittee had commenced deliberation on the proposal at the meetings on 21 December 2016 and 11 January 2017.

Motion on adjournment of discussion on PWSC(2016-17)38

3. The Chairman advised that at the last meeting on 11 January 2017, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung moved a motion to adjourn the discussion on the item pursuant to Paragraph 33 of the Public Works Subcommittee Procedure. Some members had spoken on the motion. He said that the Subcommittee would continue to deal with Mr LEUNG's motion.

4. As no member indicated his intention to speak on the motion at the meeting, the Chairman put to vote the question that the discussion on PWSC(2016-17)38 be adjourned. Mr WONG Ting-kwong enquired whether it was in order for the Subcommittee to vote on the motion to adjourn the discussion on the item right away when the member who moved the motion was not present at the meeting. The Chairman responded that as Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung had moved the relevant motion at the last meeting, the Chairman should put the motion to vote. At the request of Mr CHU Hoi-dick, the Chairman ordered a division and the division bell was rung for five minutes. The Chairman said that seven members voted for, 13 members voted against the motion and no one abstained. The votes of individual members were as follows:

For:

Mr CHAN Chi-chuen
Mr CHU Hoi-dick
Mr Nathan LAW
Dr LAU Siu-lai
(7 members)

Mr Alvin YEUNG
Ms Tanya CHAN
Dr YIU Chung-yim

Against:

Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr WU Chi-wai
Mr LEUNG Che-cheung
Mr LAM Cheuk-ting
Mr CHAN Chun-ying
Mr LAU Kwok-fan
(13 members)

Mr Jeffrey LAM
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr YIU Si-wing
Dr Junius HO
Mr Holden CHOW
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan

Abstain:

(0 member)

5. The Chairman declared that the motion was negated. The Subcommittee resumed discussion on PWSC(2016-17)38.

Market and retail facilities

6. Mr Alvin YEUNG noted that according to the supplementary information paper provided by the Administration (LC Paper No. PWSC47/16-17(01)), the Hong Kong Housing Authority ("HKHA") intended to provide fresh provision retail facilities equivalent to 30 to 40 traditional wet market stalls in the form of street shops in Tai Po Area 9. He enquired

about the specific details of the proposed retail facilities, including the location of such street shops.

7. Chief Architect (6), Housing Department ("CA(6)/HD") replied that the retail facilities which HKHA intended to provide in Tai Po Area 9 were not traditional wet market stalls. As mentioned by the Administration at the last meeting, the operational and financial viability of a traditional wet market in Tai Po Area 9 might not be optimistic. HKHA therefore planned to provide fresh provision retail facilities equivalent to 30 to 40 traditional wet market stalls in the form of street shops, so as to better meet the basic shopping needs of residents in Tai Po Area 9 in future.

8. Mr CHAN Chi-chuen requested the Administration to provide examples of fresh provision retail facilities which were operated in the form of street shops, and explain whether there were any terms in the tenancy documents restricting the types of goods to be sold. Mr HUI Chi-fung asked the Administration to provide examples to illustrate how the operation of street shops were effectively monitored through the terms of the tenancies. He also suggested that consideration be given to relaxing the relevant restrictions and allowing shop tenants to switch to selling dry goods or groceries, or even operating restaurants where necessary (e.g. when shop tenants experienced operational difficulties).

9. CA(6)/HD advised that the proposed street shops were similar to the fresh provision retail facilities in Sham Shui Po and Oi Man Estate where each shop was run independently by an operator. HKHA would ensure that the goods sold in the proposed retail facilities were competitive while being able to meet residents' needs. Given that the proposed public housing developments were expected to be completed in 2023, there was still time to work out the operation mode and design of the street shops. HKHA's existing mode of management of its markets, which had been operating well, would be adopted in the newly-built housing estates. As for the retail mix, sales of wet goods, daily necessities, stationery, etc. would be allowed in the proposed retail facilities. Street shops had the advantage of being flexible in operation. Consideration might be given to merging small street shops into large ones where necessary in response to the residents' shopping needs.

10. Mr WU Chi-wai was concerned that retail facilities operated as street shops generally occupied a larger area than market stalls and shop tenants had to do brisk business in order to cover the operating costs. Given the relatively large scale of the proposed public housing developments, he suggested that the Administration should consider providing a larger-scale market at Chung Nga Road site to serve the residents of the several public housing estates to be completed in the vicinity.

11. In response, CA(6)/HD said that in planning the shopping facilities of newly-built housing estates, the Administration would, in the light of the circumstances of individual developments, take into account a wide range of considerations including the scale of the proposed housing estates, the population size and demographic mix of the area, community needs and the availability of shopping facilities (including the number of markets and fresh provision retail outlets) in the vicinity. The Administration had now revised the planning of the shopping facilities in Tai Po Area 9 to cater to the shopping needs of future residents having regard to the operational and financial viability of such facilities.

12. Dr LAU Siu-lai queried why the Administration did not follow the planning standard for public markets as previously stipulated in the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines ("HKPSG") to provide a corresponding number of stalls in the proposed public housing developments (i.e. approximately 80 to 90 street shops). Dr LAU noted that according to the minutes of meeting of Tai Po District Council held in March 2016, the original proposal was to provide restaurants, daily necessities shops, wet goods shops, supermarkets, etc. in Tai Po Area 9. She enquired whether the proposed retail facilities to be provided in the form of street shops would cover the various types of shops mentioned above; if not, which types of shops would be taken out; and the advantage of operating retail facilities in the form of street shops compared to traditional wet market stalls.

13. CA(6)/HD replied that in determining the number of shopping facilities in newly-built housing estates, HKHA would consider the operational and financial viability as well as suitability of the facilities concerned. Providing retail facilities in the form of street shops would allow flexibility to adjust the proportion of relevant retail facilities in response to market needs on the one hand, and would provide an impetus for operators of such street shops to set competitive prices for their goods on the other. Moreover, the business hours of street shops were more flexible than those of traditional wet markets. He further said that compared to the originally proposed retail facilities which included a large supermarket comprising stalls selling fresh provisions and frozen food shops, the current proposal was to provide street shops for selling fresh provisions, including frozen meat.

Environmental implications of the proposed works

14. Dr YIU Chung-yim relayed the concerns of some green groups that the proposed housing developments involved the removal of a large number of trees. They suggested that in view of the insufficient number of school-age children to fill the school places in Tai Po District, the Administration should consider shelving the construction of a primary school and re-planning the site to protect areas of high ecological value near Fung Yuen, so as to avoid felling of about 1 000 trees. Mr Nathan LAW expressed similar views. He relayed the views of some community groups that the Administration should consider utilizing a vacant primary school premises in Tai Yuen Estate and shelving the construction of a new primary school premises in Tai Po District. Mr LAW urged the Administration to study the feasibility of using the aforesaid vacant school premises.

15. CA(6)/HD said that the school site was earmarked mainly for meeting the needs of future population growth. The site could also be used for reprovisioning of under-equipped schools. As for the disposal of the vacant school premises, the Administration would consider such factors as the age and conditions of the premises, and the suitability of the premises for being re-allocated for school or other educational uses.

16. Ms Tanya CHAN said that given the large number of trees proposed to be felled under the project, the Administration should provide detailed information on the tree survey (including the age, locations, etc. of those trees) and the receptor sites for the 12 trees proposed to be transplanted. She was of the view that newly-planted trees were not comparable to those trees proposed to be removed, given the latter's old age, trunk diameter and positive influence to the environment. She was also concerned about the tree species to be planted in future and their planting locations. She suggested that the Administration should consider transplanting more trees, preferably to parks, as far as possible. She enquired about the number of trees expected to be felled within Fung Yuen woodland and the compensation package to be offered.

17. In response, Director of Civil Engineering and Development ("DCED") said that the proposed works involved the removal of 1 937 trees within or adjacent to the project site, of which 31 were on Chung Nga Road and 1 906 were in Tai Po Area 9 at present. The major tree species in Tai Po Area 9 were white popinac, turn-in-the-wind, acacia, lemon-scented gum, etc. whereas those on Chung Nga Road were candlenut tree, kassod tree, acacia, tree cotton, etc. Among them, 29 would be felled. Principal Project Coordinator (Housing Projects)1, Civil Engineering and Development Department supplemented that site formation works and tree planting had

been carried out within the project site of the proposed public housing developments in the past. The trees planted then were now aged over 30 years. Depending on the health conditions of individual trees, the Administration would transplant those trees as far as possible to suitable locations. The Administration would consult the District Council(s) or local community, if necessary, in due course on the species of newly-planted trees and their planting locations. As the proposed public housing developments were about 250 to 300 metres away from Fung Yuen, the Administration considered that they would not have much impact on Fung Yuen. The Administration undertook to provide the information requested by Ms CHAN after the meeting.

(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide [LC Paper No. PWSC53/16-17\(03\)](#) on 24 January 2017.)

Impacts of the proposed public housing developments on the rehabilitation centre nearby

18. Dr Fernando CHEUNG pointed out that the proposed public housing developments were close to Hong Chi Pinehill Village, which housed an integrative rehabilitation complex providing a wide range of services for persons with intellectual disabilities of different ages and different types, including day activity and vocational training for adults and a number of specialized facilities (including two hostels for moderately mentally handicapped and severely mentally handicapped persons, and a pre-school centre). He enquired whether scientific research had been conducted to assess the impacts (including traffic and air quality impacts) of the proposed public housing developments on the operation of the facilities in the rehabilitation complex. In response, DCED said that the contents of the proposed project had been explained to Hong Chi Association at the planning stage of the works. Relevant traffic and environmental impact assessments had also been conducted for the project. Dr Fernando CHEUNG was dissatisfied with the Administration's response.

Supporting facilities and assistance provided for residents

19. Mr SHIU Ka-chun urged the Administration to follow the practice adopted for On Tat Estate by setting up and engaging a social work team for future residents of the proposed public housing developments to provide assistance to them in an effective manner. He asked the Administration about the additional community facilities planned to be provided in the district and the time when non-governmental organizations/groups would be invited to bid for the provision of relevant services. He urged the Housing

Department to maintain close communication with the Social Welfare Department ("SWD") with a view to commencing the preparatory work as soon as possible. Mr SHIU pointed out that the lack of the necessary community support facilities and transport services for the district was the result of poor planning of Fu Heng Estate. The Administration should avoid making the same mistake in the planning of the proposed public housing developments.

20. CA(6)/HD said that it was not a usual practice for HKHA to engage a social work team to serve residents. HKHA would assist new residents to adapt to the living environment. The Administration also planned to provide different community facilities in the proposed public housing developments, e.g. a neighbourhood elderly centre and two kindergartens in Tai Po Area 9, and five community facilities including a child care centre, a residential care home for the elderly, etc. at Chung Nga Road East. When the construction works of the proposed public housing developments were close to completion, SWD would be informed to follow up on the tendering for the provision of the community services.

21. Mr KWONG Chun-yu considered that proper planning of social welfare facilities should be done before population intake of public housing estates. He asked whether the Administration had estimated the number of users of the proposed community facilities (e.g. the residential care home for the elderly). CA(6)/HD advised that the planning of various facilities under the proposed public housing development project was based on HKPSG. The Administration and HKHA had also consulted relevant departments and local community on the proposed developments.

22. Mr SHIU Ka-chun relayed the concerns of residents of Fu Heng Estate that Alice Ho Mui Ling Nethersole Hospital ("AHNH") did not provide surgery and obstetrics and gynaecology services and there was a shortage of hospital beds. Residents were worried whether the public medical services provided by AHNH could meet the needs of the additional population arising from the proposed public housing developments.

23. In response, CA(6)/HD said that HKHA had consulted the Hospital Authority ("HA") on the proposed public housing developments. HA would consider a host of factors including population growth and demographic structure in the planning of local medical services. AHNH, which provided general specialist emergency and non-emergency medical services for Tai Po residents, would be developed into an ambulatory care and short-stay centre of the New Territories East Cluster and would introduce emergency surgical services.

Traffic impact assessment

24. Mr Nathan LAW requested the Administration to provide information on the residential developments planned for Tai Po in or before 2023 and enquired whether it had assessed the impact of the various residential developments on the overall traffic condition in Tai Po District. Chief Traffic Engineer (New Territories East), Transport Department ("CTE(NTE)/TD") replied that there were currently five planned new residential developments in Tai Po, of which three were located at the south of Lam Tsuen River and planned to be developed into medium/high-density residential buildings, and the other two were located at the north of Lam Tsuen River. These private residential developments, which were at a distance from Tai Po town centre, would not have any impact on the vehicular flow in Tai Po District. He further said that the Transport Department ("TP") had been monitoring the traffic conditions in Tai Po District. Major traffic problems could be solved in the following ways: (1) a public housing estate with new intake (i.e. Po Heung Estate) near Tai Po Market MTR station could provide about 100 public parking spaces for private cars to alleviate the shortage of parking spaces; (2) Kwong Fuk Vehicular Bridge, for which technical assessment was underway, could ease congestion on the link roads connecting the areas in the north and south of Lam Tsuen River; and (3) TP was exploring improvement options to increase the capacity of the junction between Nam Wan Road and Kwong Fuk Road in the long run. In addition, TP was currently implementing a total of about 33 minor traffic improvement projects in Tai Po District.

25. Mr KWONG Chun-yu pointed out that serious traffic congestion in Tin Shui Wai was the result of poor planning. He requested the Administration to undertake that the proposed public housing developments would not increase the burden of the internal and external traffic of Tai Po District. He asked whether projects such as installation of railings were the smallest among the 33 minor works projects.

26. CTE(NTE)/TD reiterated that TP had been keeping a close eye on the traffic conditions in Tai Po District. While confirming that the minor improvement projects did include installation of roadside railings, he held the view that a small project size did not mean that the project was not important.

27. Ms Tanya CHAN sought elaboration from the Administration on the projected junction capacity (i.e. the figures and notes set out in tables 4.3 and 4.4) stated in the traffic impact assessment ("TIA") report of the proposed public housing developments which was tabled at the meeting. Referring to paragraph 4.2.3 of the TIA report, Dr YIU Chung-yim pointed out that the consultant had made an assumption based on the Planning Department's

information that there were no new residential developments in Tai Po District from 2014. However, the Administration mentioned at the meeting that there were five planned new residential developments in Tai Po District. Dr YIU and Ms CHAN were concerned that the TIA conducted by the consultant had not taken into account the impact of the new developments on the local traffic in Tai Po District. Mr Jeremy TAM questioned why the Administration had not provided the relevant data to the consultant for reference. He asked whether this had caused any discrepancies in the TIA; if so, the details.

28. Chief Civil Engineer (Public Works Programme), Transport and Housing Bureau ("CCE(PWP)/THB") and CTE(NTE)/TD explained that the reserve capacity of signal-controlled junctions was indicated by percentage. A positive figure indicated that the junction could accommodate more traffic. As regards priority junctions (controlled by "Stop" or "Give Way" traffic signs and/or the associated road markings), the degree of saturation of traffic was indicated by design flow/capacity ratio and represented by decimal. A ratio above 1 indicated the presence of traffic queues. CTE(NTE)/TD further said that the consultant had conducted a TIA of the known residential developments in Tai Po District and worked out the projection by increasing the estimated traffic flow to be generated by the proposed public housing developments by 10%. As a general practice, TP would require the developer or government department undertaking a development project to submit a TIA report where necessary. Apart from focusing on the additional traffic flow to be generated by the development project, the TIA would also take into account the traffic flow arising from other announced development plans in the surrounding area and the increase in vehicular flow in the district in order to come up with an overall assessment of the affected area and make recommendations on traffic improvements measures. DCED supplemented that TIA was a technical document mainly for industry practitioners' reference.

Public transport services

29. Mr KWONG Chun-yu enquired about the details of the public transport services provided for future residents of the proposed public housing developments. CTE(NTE)/TD said that the Administration planned to construct a public transport interchange within the proposed public housing developments so that public transport operators could provide bus and public light bus services for residents. The Administration would consult Tai Po District Council and local residents on the necessary public transport services two years before the population intake of the proposed public housing developments.

30. Mr CHU Hoi-dick was concerned that free MTR feeder bus services were available in many housing estates in Tai Po District with the exception of Fu Heng Estate. He requested the Administration to provide a response on whether it was reasonable for residents of Fu Heng Estate to take Kowloon Motor Bus ("KMB") Route No. 71A ("Route No. 71A") at their own expense for commuting to and from Tai Po Market MTR station.

(Post meeting note: Mr CHU Hoi-dick submitted a letter ([LC Paper No. PWSC46/16-17\(01\)](#)) (Chinese version only) to the Chairman at the meeting, requesting the Administration to respond to questions about wet markets, TIA, feeder bus services for Fu Heng Estate, rezoning of Green Belt sites, Hong Chi Pinehill School, average living space per person in public rental housing, etc. The Administration's response ([LC Paper No. PWSC46/16-17\(02\)](#)) (Chinese version) was circulated to members on 24 January 2017.)

31. CTE(NTE)/TD said that while the Administration understood that there were calls from residents of Fu Heung Estate for KMB and MTRCL to offer fare concessions to passengers of Route No. 71A interchanging with MTR, whether to provide interchange fare concessions was the commercial decision of MTRCL. The Administration would convey the views to KMB and MTRCL.

32. Mr CHU Hoi-dick, Ms Tanya CHAN and Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung expressed dissatisfaction repeatedly at the meeting that the Administration had provided the TIA report and the technical report of the air quality impact baseline assessment relating to the proposed public housing developments to the Subcommittee only on the day of the meeting.

Motion to adjourn further proceedings of the Subcommittee

33. At 9:37 pm, when speaking on the item, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung moved a motion to adjourn further proceedings of the Subcommittee pursuant to paragraph 33 of the Public Works Subcommittee Procedure.

34. The Chairman said that the Subcommittee would proceed forthwith to deal with Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung's motion. Each member could speak once on the motion, and the speaking time should not be more than three minutes.

35. Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung commented that the Administration should have provided the TIA report and the technical report of the air quality impact baseline assessment relating to the proposed public housing developments for members' perusal as early as possible instead of tabling them at the meeting

unexpectedly. In his view, members who were not industry practitioners could not readily understand the contents of the reports and might need time to seek expert advice. He also questioned the Administration's statement that the proposed public housing developments would have minimal impact on the roads in Tai Po town centre. He further said that the Chairman who did not need to ask questions was like a dumb person. The Chairman said that Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung's remarks about him being a dumb person was not appropriate.

36. Ms Tanya CHAN, Dr LAU Siu-lai, Dr Fernando CHEUNG, Mr Nathan LAW, Dr YIU Chung-yim, Mr CHU Hoi-dick, Mr CHAN Chi-chuen and Mr SHIU Ka-chun spoke in support of the motion proposed by Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung. Dr Junius HO and Mr LAU Kwok-fun spoke against the motion.

37. Mr Jeremy TAM, Mr Alvin YEUNG, Mr KWONG Chun-yu and Mr HUI Chi-fung expressed dissatisfaction with the Administration for tabling the TIA report and the technical report of the air quality impact baseline assessment only on the day of the meeting. Miss Alice MAK expressed dissatisfaction that some members were using filibustering tactics to delay the scrutiny of the funding proposal PWSC(2016-17)38. Mr CHAN Chun-ying was of the view that as the funding proposal only involved site formation and road improvement works, the Administration could re-plan the ancillary transport and market facilities for the proposed public housing developments during the construction stage after obtaining the funding approval, so that the progress of works and population intake would not be affected.

38. The Chairman said that the meeting was about to end although a few members were still waiting for their turns to speak. The Subcommittee would not proceed further with Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung's motion to adjourn further proceedings of the Subcommittee.

39. The meeting ended at 10:29 am.

Council Business Division 1
Legislative Council Secretariat
13 February 2017