

**立法會**  
***Legislative Council***

LC Paper No. CB(1)100/17-18  
(These minutes have been seen  
by the Administration)

Ref : CB1/PL/DEV

**Panel on Development**

**Minutes of the special meeting held on  
Tuesday, 27 June 2017, at 2:30 pm  
in Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex**

**Members present** : Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP (Chairman)  
Hon Kenneth LAU Ip-keung, MH, JP (Deputy Chairman)  
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP  
Hon WONG Ting-kwong, SBS, JP  
Hon CHAN Kin-por, BBS, JP  
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP  
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP  
Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung  
Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, JP  
Hon WU Chi-wai, MH  
Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS  
Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP  
Hon CHAN Chi-chuen  
Hon CHAN Han-pan, JP  
Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP  
Hon Kenneth LEUNG  
Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP  
Hon KWOK Wai-keung  
Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung  
Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP  
Hon Alvin YEUNG  
Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin  
Hon CHU Hoi-dick  
Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP  
Hon LAM Cheuk-ting  
Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding

Hon SHIU Ka-chun  
Hon CHAN Chun-ying  
Hon Tanya CHAN  
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP  
Hon LUK Chung-hung  
Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH  
Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai  
Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho  
Hon Nathan LAW Kwun-chung  
Dr Hon YIU Chung-yim  
Dr Hon LAU Siu-lai

**Members absent** : Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS, JP  
Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP  
Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki  
Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan  
Hon HO Kai-ming  
Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH  
Hon HUI Chi-fung  
Hon KWONG Chun-yu

**Member attending** : Hon James TO Kun-sun

[According to the Judgment of the Court of First Instance of the High Court on 14 July 2017, LEUNG Kwok-hung, Nathan LAW Kwun-chung, YIU Chung-yim and LAU Siu-lai have been disqualified from assuming the office of a member of the Legislative Council, and have vacated the same since 12 October 2016, and are not entitled to act as a member of the Legislative Council.]

**Public officers attending** : **Agenda item I**

Mr Michael WONG Wai-lun, JP  
Permanent Secretary (Planning and Lands)  
Development Bureau

Mr Thomas CHAN Chung-ching, JP  
Deputy Secretary (Planning and Lands)<sup>1</sup>  
Development Bureau

Ms Selene TSOI Sze-long  
Deputy Secretary (Planning and Lands)  
Development Bureau

Mr Tony MOYUNG Hon  
Assistant Director (Acquisition)  
Lands Department

**Attendance by  
Invitation**

**: Agenda item I**

Mr Stanley CHAING  
Chairman  
Lok Ma Chau China — Hong Kong Freight Association

Mr Warren TAM Ka-chun  
District Developer New Territories West  
The Civic Party

Mr LAM Chi-yeung  
Deputy Spokesperson for Development  
Democratic Alliance for the Betterment and Progress of  
Hong Kong

Mr CHAU Loi-yau  
Director  
Ocean First Logistics Limited

Mr MA Ka-chun  
Executive Committee  
Liberal Party Youth Committee

Mr SO Tsz-ngo  
General Manager  
Hai Shi Machinery & Equipment Co Ltd

Mr LEUNG Fuk-yuen

Mr CHAN Sik-kwan  
General Manager  
Kin Xun Environment Recycle Ltd

Mr KONG Hung-san

Mr CHAN Kwok-che

Ms AU YANG Wai-chi  
Manager  
Harvest Hill (Hong Kong) Limited

Mr Eddie CHAO Chi-chun  
Manager  
Double Wave Limited

Mr LAW Wai-sing  
Executive Director  
Chun Sing Air-Sea Worldwide Ltd

Ms LAW Wing-yin  
Manager  
Chun Sing Logistics (HK) Limited

Mr TANG Cheuk-lun  
Director  
Talent Convergence Limited

Mr TANG Cheuk-hang  
Director  
Sum Kwan Limited

Mr YEUNG Siu-lun

Mr YEUNG Siu-cheung

Mr YAU Wah-chau

Mr LAU Ki-fung  
District Councillor  
Democratic Party

Mr LAM Yat-leung

Mr TANG Kwan-shing  
Chairman  
The Association of the New Territories Open Storage  
Operators Limited

Mr WONG Chi-sui

Ms Jennie LAM Kui-ling  
Committee  
Ma Tso Lung Merchant Association Co Ltd

Mr KU Chun-wing  
Director  
Chun Lik Engineering (HK) Limited

**Clerk in attendance** : Ms Sharon CHUNG  
Chief Council Secretary (1)2

**Staff in attendance** : Miss Rita YUNG  
Senior Council Secretary (1)2

Mr Raymond CHOW  
Senior Council Secretary (1)6

Ms Christina SHIU  
Legislative Assistant (1)2

---

Action

- I Receiving public views on "ex-gratia allowance arrangement for business undertakings affected by Government's development clearance exercises"**  
(LC Paper No. CB(1)801/16-17(01) — Administration's paper on ex-gratia allowance for business undertakings affected by the Government's development clearance exercises)

Action

Meeting with deputations and the Administration

***Submissions from deputations/individuals not attending the meeting***

- (LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(01) — Submission from Container System Limited (Chinese version only)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(03) — Submission from Ms KONG Ka-san (Chinese version only)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(04) — Submission from Ms KONG Sau-fa (Chinese version only)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(08) — Submission from Shap Pat Heung District Resident Association (Chinese version only)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(09) — Submission from New Territories Warehouse And Logistic Business Association (Chinese version only)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(10) — Submission from a deputation (八鄉北環境關注組) (Chinese version only))

Members noted the following submissions tabled at the meeting:

- (a) Submission from Ocean First Logistics Limited (Chinese version only);
- (b) Submission from the Civic Party (Chinese version only); and
- (c) Submission from Mr LAM Yat-leung (Chinese version only).

*(Post-meeting note: The submissions tabled at the meeting were issued to members vide LC Papers Nos. CB(1)1226/16-17(01), (02) and (03) on 28 June 2017.)*

Action

Presentation of views by deputations/individuals

2. At the invitation of the Chairman, a total of 23 deputations/individuals presented their views on ex-gratia allowance ("EGA") for business undertakings affected by the Government's development clearance exercises. A summary of the views of these deputations/individuals is in the **Appendix**.

Response by the Administration

3. At the invitation of the Chairman, Permanent Secretary (Planning and Lands), Development Bureau ("PS(P&L)/DEVB"), gave a consolidated response to the views expressed by deputations/individuals as follows:

- (a) The requirement that only business undertakings operated for at least 10 years immediately preceding the date of the Pre-clearance Survey ("PCS") concerned would be covered under the extended EGA arrangement was to prevent abuse of the compensation mechanism.
- (b) The said 10-year minimum requirement was consistent with the arrangements for occupants of 1982-surveyed or licensed domestic squatters affected by the Government's development clearance exercises, under which the occupants would be eligible for EGA if and only if they had occupied the said squatters continuously for at least 10 years immediately preceding the date of PCS.
- (c) The proposed extended EGA rate for open areas (i.e. at \$390 per square metre ("m<sup>2</sup>")) was adopted from the prevailing EGA rate for open area of workshops which had been worked out based on the prevailing market rate, i.e. at about 1/6 of the compensation for covered areas.
- (d) Only owners of private agricultural land who were affected by land resumption would be awarded an ex-gratia compensation under the existing ex-gratia zonal compensation system, whereas the business operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises would be offered the proposed extended EGA.

Action

- (e) The purpose of introducing the proposed extended EGA arrangement was to provide the affected business operators with an alternative to the elaborate and time-consuming process of statutory claims. The Administration considered that an extension of the coverage to sublessors would complicate the application procedure for the extended EGA and defeat the purpose of the proposal.
- (f) Sublessors affected by the Government's development clearance exercises could still make statutory claims for disturbance payments.
- (g) The extended EGA proposal and the policy for tackling brownfield operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises were two different subject matters but both aimed at addressing the needs of the affectees. The Administration considered it appropriate to take forward the proposal even though the brownfield policy was still under formulation.
- (h) Given the scarcity of land in Hong Kong, relocating the affected brownfield operations to sites of equivalent size was impractical. That said, the Civil Engineering and Development Department ("CEDD") was conducting a feasibility study on accommodating brownfield operations in multi-storey buildings ("MSBs"). Suitable open-air sites would also be identified to accommodate those operations for which moving into MSBs was infeasible. The Administration intended to update the Panel on the policy framework for tackling brownfield sites, which was being studied, in late-2017.

Discussion

4. The Deputy Chairman declared that his family owned a number of land lots in the New Territories and he would not vote on any question about the agenda item. Mr Abraham SHEK, Dr Junius HO, Mr LAU Kwok-fan and Mr Frankie YICK indicated support for the extended EGA proposal.



Action

*Ex-gratia compensation/allowance for affected land owners and business operations*

5. Dr YIU Chung-yim said that outdoor/open-air business operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises receiving nil EGA at the moment would be entitled to an EGA if the proposal under discussion was implemented and if they met the eligibility criteria. Yet, the proposal was not well received by the deputations present. He asked if the Administration understood the reason. Dr YIU also enquired about the respective amounts of the ex-gratia compensation/allowance payable to the affected land owners and business operators, and whether the Administration's expenditure on EGA payment would increase with the implementation of the proposal.

6. Mr Abraham SHEK sought details about the estimated EGA amount payable to the affected outdoor/open-air business operations each year after the implementation of the proposal. He also called on the Administration to hear the views of the affected business operators regarding the proposed extended EGA arrangement.

7. PS(P&L)/DEVB advised that the implementation of the extended EGA proposal would not affect the existing ex-gratia zonal compensation system where the land owners affected by land resumption would be compensated. As for the financial implications of the proposal, it would be difficult for the Administration to make an accurate quantitative assessment at this stage as: (a) the affectees could either make statutory claims for disturbance payments or apply for the extended EGA after the said proposal was implemented; and (b) information about the affected business operations (e.g. their operation periods at specific land lots) was not yet available. That said, the Administration would work out a more accurate estimate of the EGA amount required for individual projects once the proposal was adopted. PS(P&L)/DEVB stressed that, while the Administration fully understood the aspiration of the affectees to receive more EGA, it had to ensure prudent use of public money.

*Eligibility for and amount of the ex-gratia allowance*

8. Mr LAM Cheuk-ting considered it unfair if those unlawfully occupying government land and eventually granted short term tenancies would be awarded the extended EGA under the proposal.

Action

9. Noting that many deputations present had requested the Administration to relax the eligibility requirements (e.g. the requirement of minimum 10-year operation at the same land lot) under the extended EGA proposal, Dr Junius HO, Mr LUK Chung-hung and Mr Frankie YICK enquired if the Administration would accede to the request so as to smoothen the way for future development clearance exercises.

10. Dr Junius HO also considered that the proposed extended EGA rate for open areas (i.e. at \$390/m<sup>2</sup>) was too low, and the requirement that the affectees would be awarded an EGA if and only if they were not in breach of the lease, etc. was too stringent.

11. Mr CHU Hoi-dick shared the views of some deputations that the requirement of minimum 10-year operation was unfair to those outdoor/open-air business operators who had operated for less than 10 years but had made substantial hardware investment in their business. Mr Frankie YICK expressed concern that sublessors who were not the business operators but had made investment on the brownfield sites would not be entitled to the extended EGA under the proposal. He considered such an arrangement unfair to these sublessors.

12. Mr LEUNG Che-cheung and Dr Junius HO opined that the Administration's proposal of setting the maximum EGA payment ceiling for open areas at 5 000 m<sup>2</sup> per business operation would be insufficient to compensate the loss suffered by the affectees, as the workshops of many brownfield operations were much larger than 5 000 m<sup>2</sup>. Mr LEUNG urged the Administration to refine the extended EGA proposal and maintain close contact with the stakeholders on the matter.

13. PS(P&L)/DEVB replied that the Administration would duly consider the views expressed by deputations and members at the meeting and revert to the Panel in the fourth quarter of the 2017-2018 financial year, upon reviewing the need, if any, to refine the extended EGA proposal.

*Policy on accommodation of brownfield operations*

14. Mr LAM Cheuk-ting said that he had written a letter to the Secretary for Development in June 2017 requesting the government officials concerned to meet with the business operators in Ma Tso Lung affected by the Kwu Tung North and Fanling North New Development Areas ("KTN/FLN NDAs") project to discuss the compensation and relocation arrangements with them. However, the Administration had declined his request. Mr LAM reiterated his request that the government officials

Action

should meet with the said operators and address their concerns (such as providing a one-stop service to those operators who would like to relocate their business to identified sites but had difficulty in applying for various permits from different government departments). Mr LAU Kwok-fan requested that representatives of the Administration should meet with the business operators affected by the KTN/FLN NDAs project to follow up their concerns.

15. PS(P&L)/DEVB responded that the Administration would be willing to meet with the business operators affected by the aforesaid project and the concerned business associations. By taking into account the need to meet the relevant environment and rezoning requirements, the Administration would consider any measures that could help the affected operators relocate their business. He also said that the Administration would maintain close communication with the stakeholders on the matter.

16. Mr CHU Hoi-dick sought information about the brownfield operations affected by the Wang Chau public housing development project and the Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area ("HSK NDA") project, including the number of brownfield operations in the two areas, the operations that could be accommodated in MSBs and those that could not, as well as the area of land required for relocating these operations.

17. PS(P&L)/DEVB replied that in addition to the feasibility study conducted by CEDD on accommodating brownfield operations in MSBs, the Planning Department ("PlanD") had commenced a survey on the distribution and uses of brownfield sites in the New Territories. The above findings would provide useful information on brownfield operations in Hong Kong.

18. Mr Frankie YICK urged the Administration to adopt a policy of "re-housing before land resumption" to enable the affected outdoor/open-air business operators to continue their business. Moreover, the affectees should be resettled in the current locality. Mr LAU Kwok-fan opined that apart from streamlining the application procedure for the extended EGA, the Administration should render other assistance to the affected business operators so that they could continue their business.

19. Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung held the view that the Administration should accord priority to tackling the issues related to brownfield sites in Wang Chau and HSK as development projects in these two areas would be commenced soon. Mr WU Chi-wai sought details about the relocation

Action

arrangements for the brownfield operations affected by the HSK NDA project and the KTN/FLN NDAs project. In Mr WU's view, the development scale of these projects should be downsized as it would be easier to resettle a smaller number of brownfield operations. Meanwhile, Mr Abraham SHEK urged the Administration to discuss with the Panel and consult stakeholders on the proposed implementation approach for taking forward the HSK NDA project.

20. PS(P&L)/DEVB reiterated that relocating the affected brownfield operations to sites of equivalent size was impractical. Taking the HSK NDA project as an example, the project area included about 190 hectares ("ha") of brownfield sites, but only some 60 ha of land could be reserved in the NDA for logistics facilities, port back-up, storage and workshop uses. The Administration would update the Panel on the policy framework for tackling brownfield sites, which was being studied, in late-2017.

Motion proposed by Mr CHU Hoi-dick

21. The Chairman advised that he had received a motion proposed by Mr CHU Hoi-dick, which was directly related to the agenda item under discussion. Members agreed that the motion be proceeded with at the meeting.

22. Mr CHU Hoi-dick spoke on his motion. He opined that the Administration should adopt a policy of developing brownfield sites prior to developing green belt sites, so as to protect the environment. Meanwhile, to sustain the livelihood of the affected workers, the Administration should enable brownfield operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises to be resettled in their current locality. The wording of the motion was as follows:

(Translation)

"In order to ensure that the livelihoods of those engaging in the logistics industry and other brownfield operations will not be affected by the planning for new development areas ("NDAs") and to prevent the continued expansion of the area of brownfield sites, this Panel requests that the Government should establish a "resettling in the current locality" principle by setting aside sufficient lands and providing infrastructure within NDAs, so that the affected operators may resume operations at affordable costs."

Action

23. Mr LUK Chung-hung expressed objection to the motion. Mr LUK said it was difficult to understand why Mr CHU requested the Administration to establish a "resettling in the current locality" principle for brownfield sites in his motion, as the principle went against a view long advocated by Mr CHU, namely developing brownfield sites as a priority. His view was echoed by Mr LEUNG Che-cheung. Mr LUK also considered it impractical to relocate all brownfield operations to sites of equivalent size.

24. Mr CHU Hoi-dick said that "developing brownfield sites prior to developing green belt sites" had no conflict with "resettling brownfield operations in the current locality". Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung remarked that "developing brownfield sites as a priority" aimed to make better use of brownfield sites. Mr Frankie YICK expressed support for the motion.

25. After members had spoken on the motion, the Chairman instructed the Clerk to ring the voting bell. The bell was rung for five minutes.

26. The Chairman put the motion to vote. After counting the numbers of members voting for and against the motion by a show of hands, the Chairman said that 14 members voted for, no member voted against the motion, and six members abstained. The Chairman declared that the motion was carried.

*(Post-meeting note: The Administration's written response to the motion was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1346/16-17(01) on 28 July 2017.)*

Concluding remarks

27. Concluding the meeting, the Chairman thanked the deputations/individuals who attended the meeting for giving views on the extended EGA proposal.

**II Any other business**

28. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 4:28 pm.

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
24 October 2017

**Panel on Development**

**Special meeting on Tuesday, 27 June 2017, at 2:30 pm**

**Meeting to receive views on "ex-gratia allowance arrangement for business undertakings affected by Government's development clearance exercises"**

**Summary of views and concerns expressed by deputations/individuals**

	<b>Name of deputation/individual</b>	<b>Submission/Major views and concerns</b>
1.	Lok Ma Chau China— Hong Kong Freight Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The extended ex-gratia allowance ("EGA") should be granted to those who had been genuinely affected by the Government's development clearance exercises.</li> <li>The Government should develop and provide land and facilities for brownfield operations and give priority to the operators affected by the Government's development clearance exercises in renting these facilities. For each operator, the operation area and rental should remain unchanged before and after relocation.</li> <li>To help the affected operators continue their business, the Government should allow the rezoning of idled farmland to facilitate the resettling of brownfield operations.</li> </ul>
2.	The Civic Party	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LC Paper No. CB(1)1226/16-17(02) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>
3.	Democratic Alliance for the Betterment and Progress of Hong Kong	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Government should relax the eligibility requirements, step up publicity efforts and streamline the application procedure for the extended EGA.</li> <li>The Government should formulate a policy on resettling the brownfield operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises, in particular for those affected by the Kwu Tung North and Fanling North New Development Areas ("KTN/FLN NDAs") project, for which land resumption had commenced.</li> </ul>
4.	Ocean First Logistics Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LC Paper No. CB(1)1226/16-17(01) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>

	<b>Name of deputation/individual</b>	<b>Submission/Major views and concerns</b>
5.	Liberal Party Youth Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Government should adopt a policy of "re-housing before land resumption" to enable the affected brownfield operations to continue their business.</li> <li>• The requirement of minimum 10-year operation by an affectee at the same land lot under the extended EGA proposal was too stringent.</li> <li>• The proposed extended EGA rates for open areas and covered areas were insufficient for the affectees to relocate their business to a new site. Higher rates should be offered to certain types of brownfield operations.</li> </ul>
6.	Hai Shi Machinery & Equipment Co Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Government should relax the requirement of minimum 10-year operation at the same land lot, as it was too stringent for the affectees.</li> </ul>
7.	Mr LEUNG Fuk-yuen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LC Paper No. CB(1)1199/16-17(01) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>
8.	Kin Xun Environment Recycle Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The requirement of minimum 10-year operation at the same land lot was too stringent for the affectees.</li> <li>• The Government should formulate a policy on resettling the brownfield operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises.</li> </ul>
9.	Mr KONG Hung-san	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(02) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>
10.	Mr CHAN Kwok-che	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LC Paper No. CB(1)1199/16-17(02) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>
11.	Harvest Hill (Hong Kong) Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The requirement of minimum 10-year operation at the same land lot was too stringent for the affectees.</li> </ul>
12.	Double Wave Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The brownfield operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises should be resettled in the current locality as far as possible.</li> </ul>
13.	Chun Sing Air-Sea Worldwide Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Government should set out a clear compensation and resettlement arrangements for the brownfield operations affected by the Hung Shui Kiu NDA project.</li> <li>• The Government should allow the rezoning of idled</li> </ul>

	<b>Name of deputation/individual</b>	<b>Submission/Major views and concerns</b>
		farmland to facilitate the resettling of brownfield operations.
14.	Chun Sing Logistics (HK) Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The brownfield operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises should be resettled in the current locality as far as possible.</li> <li>For some brownfield operations, it was impractical to accommodate them in multi-storey buildings. The rentals of these buildings would be high and it would be difficult for large trucks to enter and park in these buildings.</li> </ul>
15.	Talent Convergence Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(05) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>
16.	Sum Kwan Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(06) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>
17.	Mr YEUNG Siu-lun	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The requirement of minimum 10-year operation at the same land lot was too stringent for the affectees.</li> <li>The Government should introduce other measures to assist those affectees not covered by the extended EGA proposal.</li> </ul>
18.	Mr LAU Ki-fung	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The requirement of minimum 10-year operation at the same land lot could prevent abuse of the compensation mechanism.</li> <li>The extended EGA proposal could not provide sufficient incentives for the affected business operators to leave the brownfield sites if there was no resettlement options for them.</li> <li>The Government should study the expected effectiveness of the extended EGA proposal, including the area of brownfield sites that could be released for development, the number of affectees who would like to continue their business.</li> <li>The Government should render assistance to the business operators affected by the KTN/FLN NDAs project who would like to continue their business.</li> </ul>
19.	Mr LAM Yat-leung	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LC Paper No. CB(1)1226/16-17(03) (Chinese version only)</li> </ul>



	<b>Name of deputation/individual</b>	<b>Submission/Major views and concerns</b>
20.	The Association of the New Territories Open Storage Operators Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The requirement of minimum 10-year operation by the same business undertaking at the same land lot was too stringent for the affectees.</li><li>• Affected business undertakings which had changed ownership or had operated at the same land lot for less than 10 years should not be excluded from the extended EGA arrangement.</li><li>• The Government should formulate a policy on resettling the brownfield operations affected by the Government's development clearance exercises.</li></ul>
21.	Mr WONG Chi-sui	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• LC Paper No. CB(1)1169/16-17(07) (Chinese version only)</li></ul>
22.	Ma Tso Lung Merchant Association Co Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• LC Paper No. CB(1)1199/16-17(03) (Chinese version only)</li></ul>
23.	Chun Lik Engineering (HK) Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Government should relax the eligibility requirements under the extended EGA proposal.</li><li>• To help the affected operators continue their business, the Government should allow the rezoning of idled farmland and abandoned fish ponds to facilitate the resettling of brownfield operations.</li></ul>