立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 716/17-18

Paper for the House Committee meeting of 22 June 2018

Questions scheduled for the Legislative Council meeting of 27 June 2018

Questions by:

(1)	Hon SHIU Ka-chun	(Oral reply)
(2)	Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG	(Oral reply)
(3)	Hon CHAN Chun-ying	(Oral reply)
(4)	Dr Hon Pierre CHAN	(Oral reply)
(5)	Hon LAM Cheuk-ting	(Oral reply)(New question)
	(Replacing his previous question)	
(6)	Hon Mrs Regina IP	(Oral reply)
(7)	Hon AU Nok-hin	(Written reply)
(8)	Hon Tommy CHEUNG	(Written reply)
(9)	Hon HUI Chi-fung	(Written reply)
(10)	Hon KWOK Wai-keung	(Written reply)
(11)	Hon Tony TSE	(Written reply)
(12)	Hon CHAN Han-pan	(Written reply)
(13)	Hon Claudia MO	(Written reply)
(14)	Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan	(Written reply)
(15)	Hon WU Chi-wai	(Written reply)
(16)	Hon CHAN Hak-kan	(Written reply)
(17)	Hon Paul TSE	(Written reply)
(18)	Hon Charles Peter MOK	(Written reply)
(19)	Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT	(Written reply)
(20)	Hon Kenneth LEUNG	(Written reply)
(21)	Hon Tony TSE	(Written reply)
(22)	Hon LAM Cheuk-ting	(Written reply)

註:

NOTE :

- # 議員將採用這種語言提出質詢
- # Member will ask the question in this language

(5) <u>Hon LAM Cheuk-ting</u> (Oral reply)

When she attended this Council's Question and Answer Session held on the 3rd of last month, the Chief Executive ("CE") advised that the Lands Resumption Ordinance should not be invoked arbitrarily because "owners whose private ownership is being infringed upon ... will apply for judicial review against the Government", and such lawsuits might last for as long as eight to nine years. However, in reply to a written question raised by a Member of this Council on the 30th of last month, the Government indicated that over the past two decades from July 1997 to December 2017, there were only eight judicial review cases lodged by landowners arising from the Government's invocation of the Ordinance for resumption of their private lands. For such cases, the time taken from the Court's granting of leave for judicial review to its handing down of judgments on the judicial review ranged from nine days, the shortest, to no more than one year, the longest. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (1) whether it has assessed if CE's aforesaid statement is erroneous, and if it will mislead this Council and members of the public into believing that invocation of the Lands Resumption Ordinance will very likely give rise to litigations; if it has assessed and the outcome is in the affirmative, whether it will advise CE to rescind that statement; and
- (2) whether it will undertake that it will only invoke the Lands Resumption Ordinance and not to adopt the public-private partnership approach, in order to tap into private developers' agricultural lands for carrying out housing development projects?