

From: Judy Lau [REDACTED]
To: yeoh_ek@cuhk.edu.hk, carrieyam@cuhk.edu.hk, sophia_chan@fhb.gov.hk,
ngai_fong@fhb.gov.hk, panel_hs@legco.gov.hk, pid@legco.gov.hk,
[REDACTED], liang.tien@alliant.edu, [REDACTED]

Date: Thursday, June 28, 2018 03:33PM
Subject: Fwd: Consultation on the Accredited Register (AR) Scheme for Clinical Psychologists (Phase 2)

History: → This message has been forwarded.

2018-06-28 15:15 GMT+08:00 Judy Lau [REDACTED] :
Dear HKPS-DCP,

I disagree with the AR Scheme proposal, and below are my views and suggestions: The principles in the proposal are "protection of the public" and "upholding of professional training standards in HK". However, the proposal is requesting that on-site supervision (aka apprentice model) be a training requirement for all applicants, even though the apprentice model has been determined by psychology experts to be an ineffective training model and hence having been abandoned by developed countries such as the USA. Using a proven ineffective model as a registration requirement is against the proposal's own principles of upholding professional training standards and protection of the public. I, therefore, disagree with including on-site supervision as a registration criterion for the AR Scheme. Secondly, the grandfathering clause which requires at least 5 years of working experiences in public sectors and NGOs is extremely harsh and non-inclusive. Because most positions in the public sector and NGOs in HK require HKPS DCP membership as a basic requirement for hiring for many years, this requirement is clearly biased towards DCP's own members and suspicious of being another attempt to further exclude non-DCP individuals. I believe that all CPs with licensure or registration from developed countries should be included in the grandfathering clause, regardless of scope and length of their practices.

Yours sincerely,

Lau Sin Shu

HKID: [REDACTED]