

**立法會**  
**Legislative Council**

LC Paper No. CB(4)532/20-21  
(These minutes have been seen  
by the Administration)

Ref : CB4/PL/HS

**Panel on Health Services**

**Minutes of special meeting**  
**held on Wednesday, 8 April 2020, at 12:45 pm**  
**in Conference Room 2 of the Legislative Council Complex**

- Members present** :
- Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, SBS, JP (Chairman)
  - Hon CHAN Hoi-yan (Deputy Chairman)
  - Prof Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP
  - Hon WONG Ting-kwong, GBS, JP
  - Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP
  - Hon CHAN Kin-por, GBS, JP
  - Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS
  - Hon CHAN Chi-chuen
  - Hon CHAN Han-pan, BBS, JP
  - Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP
  - Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki
  - Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung
  - Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan
  - Hon Elizabeth QUAT, BBS, JP
  - Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH
  - Hon CHU Hoi-dick
  - Hon SHIU Ka-fai, JP
  - Hon SHIU Ka-chun
  - Dr Hon Pierre CHAN
  - Hon KWONG Chun-yu
- Members attending** :
- Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP
  - Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP
  - Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP
  - Hon Claudia MO
  - Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS
  - Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, SBS, JP

Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP  
Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP  
Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, SBS, MH, JP  
Hon KWOK Wai-keung, JP  
Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP  
Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP  
Hon Alvin YEUNG  
Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP  
Hon HO Kai-ming  
Hon LAM Cheuk-ting  
Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding  
Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH  
Hon YUNG Hoi-yan, JP  
Hon CHAN Chun-ying, JP  
Hon LUK Chung-hung, JP  
Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai  
Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho  
Hon Vincent CHENG Wing-shun, MH, JP  
Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS

**Members absent** : Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP  
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-ye, GBS, JP

**Public Officers attending** : Item I

Prof Sophia CHAN Siu-chee, JP  
Secretary for Food and Health

Mr Howard CHAN, JP  
Deputy Secretary for Food and Health(Health)1  
Food and Health Bureau

Dr Constance CHAN Hon-ye, JP  
Director of Health

Dr WONG Ka-hing, JP  
Controller, Centre for Health Protection  
Department of Health

Dr K L CHUNG  
Director (Quality & Safety)  
Hospital Authority

Dr Vivien CHUANG  
Chief Manager (Infection, Emergency & Contingency)  
Hospital Authority

Mr Jeff SZE Chun-fai  
Political Assistant to Secretary for Education  
Education Bureau

Mr Bill WONG Kwok-piu  
Principal Assistant Secretary for Commerce and Economic  
Development (Commerce & Industry)<sup>5</sup>  
Commerce and Economic Development Bureau

Mr Kerr LI Ming-yat  
Assistant Director-General of Trade and Industry (Mainland)  
Trade and Industry Department

Mr Tony WONG Chi-kwong, JP  
Deputy Government Chief Information Officer  
Innovation and Technology Bureau

Ms Tina LEUNG Chi-wa  
Controller (Procurement)  
Government Logistics Department

Ms Ida LEE Bik-sai, JP  
Deputy Director of Leisure & Cultural Services  
(Leisure Services)  
Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Miss Vega WONG Sau-wai, JP  
Deputy Director of Home Affairs (2)  
Home Affairs Department

Mr Alex WONG Kwok-chun  
Assistant Director (Subventions)  
Social Welfare Department

**Clerk in attendance** : Ms Maisie LAM  
Chief Council Secretary (2) 5

**Staff in attendance** : Miss Kay CHU  
Senior Council Secretary (2) 5

Mr Ronald LAU  
Council Secretary (2) 5

Miss Maggie CHIU  
Legislative Assistant (2) 5

---

Action

**I. Measures for the prevention and control of Coronavirus Disease in Hong Kong**

[LC Paper Nos. CB(2)771/19-20(01), CB(2)774/19-20(01) to (02), CB(2)786/19-20(01), CB(2)789/19-20(01), CB(2)794/19-20(01) to (03) and CB(2)807/19-20(01)]

At the invitation of the Chairman, Secretary for Food and Health ("SFH") briefed members on the latest situation and measures being taken by the Administration to prevent and control the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 ("COVID-19") in Hong Kong, details of which were set out in the Administration's paper (LC Paper No. CB(2)794/19-20(01)) and the press release issued by the Administration on 8 April 2020 which was tabled at the meeting (LC Paper No. CB(2)809/19-20(01)). At the request of Mr Steven HO, SFH undertook to provide a copy of her speaking note after the meeting for members' reference.

Admin

*(Post-meeting note: The speaking note of SFH has been issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(2)818/19-20(01) on 10 April 2020.)*

2. Members noted the joint letter dated 20 March 2020 from 22 Members on the meeting arrangement (LC Paper No. CB(2)789/19-20(01)) and the following papers on the subject under discussion:

- (a) letter dated 26 March 2020 from Dr KWOK Ka-ki and the Administration's response to the letter (LC Paper Nos. CB(2)771/19-20(01) and CB(2)786/19-20(01));
- (b) letter dated 2 April 2020 from Ms Tanya CHAN, Panel Clerk's reply letter on behalf of the Chairman and the Administration's response to the letter (LC Paper Nos. CB(2)774/19-20(01) to (02) and CB(2)807/19-20(01));
- (c) letter dated 7 April 2020 from Dr Pierre CHAN (LC Paper No. CB(2)794/19-20(03)); and

Action

- (d) updated background brief prepared by the Legislative Council ("LegCo") Secretariat (LC Paper No. CB(2)794/19-20(02)).

Immigration control measures and quarantine arrangement

3. Noting that all lockdowns imposed on Wuhan and other cities in Hubei Province had been fully lifted on the day of the meeting, Ms Claudia MO was worried about the possible influx of Mainland travellers who were holding valid visit endorsement issued by authorities other than that of Wuhan city but had stranded in Hubei Province during the lockdowns. In her view, there should be a complete closure of all immigration control points to reduce the risk of imported cases. Mr Charles MOK raised a similar concern. Dr KWOK Ka-ki queried about the Administration's timetable for closing all immigration control points completely. SFH advised that under the prevailing immigration control measures, non-Hong Kong residents holding endorsements issued by the relevant authorities in Wuhan were restricted from entering Hong Kong. All Mainland tour groups to Hong Kong had also been suspended.

4. Mr Charles MOK sought explanation as to the reason why there was a difference between the COVID-19 testing arrangements for asymptomatic inbound travellers arriving via the air control point and those arriving via the land boundary control points (i.e. Shenzhen Bay Port and Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Hong Kong Port). He was concerned about whether all inbound travellers who were required to observe the 14-day compulsory quarantine were provided with electronic waistband for monitoring whether they had leave their dwelling places as well as the surveillance work of the Administration in this regard.

5. Director of Health ("DoH") advised that with reference to the advice of the expert group, specimen collection containers would be provided under the Enhanced Laboratory Surveillance Programme for all asymptomatic inbound travellers arriving via the Hong Kong International Airport ("HKIA") and those who had been to Hubei Province in the past 14 days arriving via land boundary control points. The former were mandated to have their deep throat saliva sample be collected at the temporary specimen collection centre set up by the Department of Health ("DH") at the AsiaWorld-Expo, whereas the latter were arranged to do sample collection at home for delivery by their family members or friends to a collection point in specified time. Mr Charles MOK requested the Administration to provide a response in writing to his concerns and enquiries as set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 above. Noting the arrangement that the test result would be deemed negative if no notification was received within three working days after returning a sample,

Admin

Action

Dr Fernando CHEUNG urged the Administration to shorten the turnaround time of the viral tests.

6. Dr KWOK Ka-ki held the view that inbound travellers who had been to Hubei Province in the past 14 days preceding arrival via land boundary control points should only be allowed to enter Hong Kong after having been tested negative for COVID-19 virus in order to prevent the virus from being imported into Hong Kong from the Hubei Province. Pointing out that the epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak had shifted from China to Europe (in particular the United Kingdom) and the United States, Mr LUK Chung-hung sought information on the number of imported COVI-19 cases recorded in the past two weeks with a breakdown by countries and places that the patients concerned had visited for any period of time during the 14 days before the date of arrival; and their respective percentage of the total passenger arrival figure.

Admin

7. DoH advised that during the period from 25 March to 7 April 2020, about 65.4% and nearly 1% of confirmed cases were imported ones and close contacts of confirmed cases respectively. Among those imported cases, 264, 46, 18 and nine patients respectively had a travel history to the United Kingdom, the United States, France and Spain in the past 14 days preceding arrival. The Chairman requested the Administration to provide a written response after the meeting. SFH added that to further lower the risks of cases of COVID-19 imported from high risk regions from spreading in the community, from 9 April 2020 onwards, asymptomatic inbound travellers arriving by air from the United Kingdom would be required to wait for the viral test results at DH's temporary specimen collection centre. Those tested positive would be admitted to hospital for treatment, whereas those tested negative would go home or to a designated place to continue completion of the 14-day compulsory quarantine. The Administration intended to extend the above measure by phases to all asymptomatic inbound travellers arriving at HKIA.

8. In view of the readily available capacity of hotels, Dr KWOK Ka-ki urged the Administration to consider designating suitable hotels as temporary quarantine centres. Mr YIU Si-wing sought explanation as to the reason why the Administration did not use hotels as temporary quarantine centres. SFH advised that for persons subject to home quarantine, the quarantine premises were mainly residential homes though hotels could be an option. The Administration was open to the suggestion of accommodating asymptomatic inbound travellers arriving by air at designated hotels when waiting for their viral test results but had difficulty to look for a suitable site for such use.

Action

9. Mr Vincent CHENG suggested that the Home Affairs Department should coordinate the provision of disinfection service for the residential premises with confirmed cases identified, in particular those located in old buildings, and the public places of the buildings to better safeguard public health. Noting that there were thousands of buildings of confinees under mandatory quarantine, Mr POON Siu-ping suggested that the Administration should consider recruiting temporary staff to carry out disinfection for the buildings concerned and surveillance of whether the confinees had stayed at the dwelling place at all times. SFH took note of the suggestions. DoH added that at present, the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department ("FEHD") would disinfect the premises where the confirmed cases were residing. In addition, health advice had been given to the management sector on cleaning and disinfection of environment when there was a confirmed case of COVID-19.

Social distancing measures

10. Noting that the majority of confirmed cases identified in the past two weeks were imported ones, Dr CHENG Chung-tai queried about the justification for the Prevention and Control of Disease (Requirements and Directions) (Business and Premises) Regulation (Cap. 599F) which imposed temporary measures on catering business and scheduled premises and the Prevention and Control of Disease (Prohibition on Group Gathering) Regulation (Cap. 599G) which prohibited certain group gatherings in public places ("the two Regulations"). In his view, the implementation of the two Regulations had seriously affected a number of businesses and incurred high social cost. SFH explained that the aim of the two Regulations was to ensure social distancing to delay the spread of COVID-19 in the community and break the chain of infection.

11. Referring to the media report that Ms Tanya CHAN had participated in a group gathering of some 40 persons in a bar having its metal gate closed by half on 2 April 2020 ("the case"), Mr Steven HO requested the Administration to clarify whether Legislative Councillors were exempted from compliance with the two Regulations. Mr Frankie YICK raised a similar question. Mr LEUNG Che-cheung asked whether the gathering of the case had constituted a prohibited group gathering under the Prevention and Control of Disease (Prohibition on Group Gathering) Regulation. Mr HO Kai-ming sought clarification as to whether a public place that had been block booked would remain as a public place. Mr WONG Ting-kwong asked whether the prosecution against the two Regulations had to be made on spot or not. Mr Steven HO called for more stringent enforcement and prosecution action against any non-compliance cases to achieve deterrent effect. Pointing out that maintaining social distancing was a key

Action

tactic for controlling the spread of COVID-19, Ms Elizabeth QUAT made a similar call and added that the Civic Party should disclose details of the case to members of the public. Mr Christopher CHEUNG was concerned about the public health risk arising from the gathering of the case. Ms CHAN Hoi-yan remarked that the case had run contrary to the policy objective of maintaining social distancing. She noted that apart from the case, there were group gatherings in public places organized by individual District Councillors with participation of individual Legislative Councillors. Mr SHIU Ka-fai remarked that the Administration had to make it clear to members of the public if the case had contravened the law so as to enhance deterrent effect. Mr CHAN Chun-ying remarked that public figures including Legislative Councillors should take an exemplary role in preventing and combating the epidemic. Mr Paul TSE stressed that there was a need for the Administration to confirm whether there was a prima facie case of a possible breach of the Regulation, and the enforcement agencies to find evidence to substantiate commission of an offence.

12. SFH advised that the Police would investigate the case in accordance with the law. Under the Prevention and Control of Disease (Prohibition on Group Gathering) Regulation, "public place" meant a place to which the public or a section of the public might or were permitted to have access from time to time. Deputy Secretary for Food and Health (Health)1 ("DS(H)1") added that the prohibition on group gatherings did not apply to an exempted group gathering specified in Schedule 1 to the Regulation. Group gathering necessary for the proceedings in LegCo or a District Council was one of the 12 types of exempted group gatherings, whereas group gatherings organized or participated by individual Legislative Councillors or District Councillors outside LegCo or a District Council did not fall within the meaning of that exempted group gathering. Separately, it should be noted that catering business premises had to observe the requirements set out in the various directions issued by SFH in accordance with the Prevention and Control of Disease (Requirements and Directions) (Business and Premises) Regulation. As to whether a particular person had contravened the law and warranted prosecution, it would be a matter to be determined by the law enforcement agencies based on facts and evidence.

13. Dr Fernando CHEUNG said that Ms Tanya CHAN was performing her function of Legislative Councillor in the said group gathering to discuss with stakeholders of the catering sector issues relating to the difficulties they faced with the implementation of various anti-epidemic measures. He said that Mr Frankie YICK had once met the press with more than 10 stakeholders of the taxi industry outside the Central Government Offices. Mr SHIU Ka-fai pointed out that these participants were divided into small groups of not more than four persons each. He had also met with more than



Action

10 stakeholders of the beauty sector. The meeting took place in his office at the LegCo Complex but not a public place. Mr Christopher CHEUNG said that teleconferencing could also be an option for holding discussion with the stakeholders and shared his experience in this regard.

14. Noting that some Members from the Democratic Party were absent from the meeting, Mr Holden CHOW was concerned about their stance to the case. Mr LUK Chung-hung held the view that some Members applied double standards in the handling of issues relating to prohibited group gathering. Dr Fernando CHEUNG, Dr Helena WONG and Mr KWONG Chun-yu were discontent that the speaking of many Members only politicalized the case but not focused on the latest measures introduced by the Administration for preventing and controlling COVID-19. Ms Alice MAK pointed out that Members were concerned about the case as it was of paramount importance to prevent similar incidents from happening which would undermine the Administration's efforts to combat the disease. Noting that the meeting might not have sufficient time to deal with the motion proposed by her and other members, Ms Starry LEE said that the motion was aimed at requesting the Administration to further explain the legislation in detail to members of the public and to follow up on and investigate the case in a serious manner.

15. Mr Christopher CHEUNG enquired about the penalty under the two Regulations. Dr Junius HO considered that any person contravening the Prevention and Control of Disease (Prohibition on Group Gathering) Regulation should be subject to, among others, compulsory quarantine to enhance deterrent effect. SFH advised that offenders under the Regulation were liable to a fine at level 4 (\$25,000) and to imprisonment for six months. The offence of participating in a prohibited group gathering might be discharged by the payment of a fixed penalty of \$2,000. As regards the Prevention and Control of Disease (Requirements and Directions) (Business and Premises) Regulation, offenders were liable to a fine at level 5 (\$50,000) and to imprisonment for six months.

16. Mr POON Siu-ping considered that there was a need to impose restrictions on group gatherings in public places in order to prevent and control the transmission of COVID-19. He was concerned about whether there was adequate manpower to step up patrols in various public places. DS(H)1 advised that enforcement of the provisions of the Prevention and Control of Disease (Prohibition on Group Gathering) Regulation was carried out by the appointed authorized officers which included health officers, police officers as well as public officers of the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department, FEHD, Home Affairs Department, Housing Department and Leisure and Cultural Services Department.

Action

17. Noting that many enterprises and their employees were hard hit by the implementation of the two Regulations, Mr Holden CHOW suggested that the Administration should provide direct them with financial support. Mr CHENG Chung-tai called for the provision of financial support for those employees suffered from the implementation of anti-epidemic measures. Mr KWONG Chun-yu urged for an early introduction of a second-round Anti-epidemic Fund. Referring to the latest direction issued by SFH on 8 April 2020 in accordance with the Prevention and Control of Disease (Requirements and Directions) (Business and Premises) Regulation to, among other, direct that beauty parlours and massage establishments be closed from 10 to 23 April 2020, Mr SHIU Ka-fai considered that the Administration should provide subsidy to operators of these premises to less their burden on operational expenses due to the tightening of the social distancing measures. Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok sought clarification as to whether hair salon providing both hair cut and nail treatment services would fall within the definition of "beauty parlour" under the Prevention and Control of Disease (Requirements and Directions) (Business and Premises) Regulation. DS(H)1 advised that "beauty parlour" was defined under the Regulation to mean any premises on which one or more of the specified types of services, which included nail treatment services, were provided.

18. Noting that LegCo had not scrutinized the Prevention and Control of Disease (Requirements and Directions) (Business and Premises) Regulation and the Prevention and Control of Disease (Prohibition on Group Gathering) Regulation which came into operation on 28 and 29 March 2020 respectively after their respective gazettal on 27 and 28 March 2020, Mr CHAN Chi-chuen considered that there were ambiguities in the two Regulations, in particular the definitions of public place and the exempted group gatherings, which would hinder law compliance and enforcement. In his view, the Panel should hold a special meeting and request the attendance of, among others, representatives of the Department of Justice and the enforcement departments to answer questions from members on the two Regulations. Mr WONG Ting-kwong remarked that there was so far no opportunity for Members to scrutinize the two Regulations as the procedure for the election of Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the House Committee of the Sixth LegCo had not yet completed albeit that a number of meetings had been held for the purpose.

19. SFH advised that the relevant frequently asked questions and answers had been posted on the dedicated COVID-19 website. The Administration would continue to explain to members of the public the details of the two Regulations. Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok urged the Administration to make better use of the dedicated website to step up

Action

publicity of the anti-epidemic measures and make clarifications in response to disease-related fake news and rumours.

20. Expressing concern about how to ensure that foreign domestic helpers who in general would go out and meet friends during their rest days would comply with the regulation on the prohibition of group gatherings in public places, Ms Elizabeth QUAT suggested that their employers should consider appealing these helpers to work on rest days with pay when the regulation was in force. Raising a similar suggestion, Mr CHAN Chun-ying called on the Administration to consider subsidizing employers of foreign domestic helpers in this regard. The Chairman drew members' attention that the Panel had invited via the Food and Health Bureau representatives from the Labour and Welfare Bureau to attend the meeting but to no avail. SFH assured members that publicity and public education (such as distribution of leaflets) would be stepped up through various channels to appeal to foreign domestic helpers to comply with the requirement.

Response measures of the Hospital Authority

21. Dr KWOK Ka-ki expressed concern that there were cases whereby persons who were under quarantine and subsequently had received DH's notification of being tested positive for COVID-19 had to wait for a few days for admission. He urged the Administration and the Hospital Authority ("HA") to, with reference to the practices adopted in other places, converting available venues such as the Hong Kong Convention and Exhibition Centre to makeshift hospital. Mr CHAN Chun-ying called on the Administration and HA to shorten the lead time for the admission of confirmed cases to reduce the public health risk. SFH advised that efforts had been and would continuously be made by HA in this regard. Director (Quality & Safety), HA ("D(Q&S), HA") added that as of the day of the meeting, HA could immediately arrange confirmed cases for admission.

22. Ms Starry LEE expressed concern over the presence of cases of false negative results in the testing for COVID-19 virus, which, in her view, would pose risk of spreading the disease in the community. Controller, Centre for Health Protection, DH advised that false negative results were attributable to various factors, such as the collection technique of deep throat saliva samples and the viral load of the patients concerned. Subject to clinical assessment, repeated tests would be arranged where necessary.

23. Prof Joseph LEE remarked that various measures implemented by HA could hardly set its staff's mind at rest. These included revising the infection control guidelines from time to time during the epidemic, only

Action

allowing doctors but not nurses to wear N95 respirators when performing aerosol generating procedures and requiring frontline staff to use N95 respirators for multiple patients and decontaminate goggles and face shields by themselves for reuse. There were also concerns from frontline personnel about the environment standards of those pressure wards which were converted from general wards for patients who had recovered but had not yet been tested negative for the virus and that some nurse stations located close to the negative pressure wards. D(Q&S), HA advised that HA's stockpile of PPEs had gradually increased. While the supply of N95 respirator was still tight, HA would not require frontline staff to reuse this item.

24. Dr Pierre CHAN was of the view that the current situation of HA referred to by Prof Joseph LEE was partly caused by the earlier inaccurate estimation that the stockpile of PPE of HA could last for three months and 1 400-odd isolation beds could be made available in 72 hours by phases if necessary. He suggested that wards not yet opened in Tin Shui Wai Hospital, Hong Kong Children's Hospital and North Lantau Hospital could be retrofitted into standard negative pressure wards. D(Q&S), HA advised that HA would take into account, among others, the clinical condition of the patients concerned and the possible impact on other HA's services when considering the options available.

25. Given that HA had significantly adjusted non-emergency and non-essential medical services since February 2020, Dr Pierre CHAN proposed allocating additional resources to expand the public-private partnership ("PPP") programmes to refer patients waiting for cancer treatment or non-emergency examinations to receive the services in the private sector. SFH advised that HA would continue to examine the introduction of different PPP initiatives as appropriate.

The Mainland's anti-epidemic measure in relation to cross-boundary goods vehicle drivers

---

26. Referring to the new requirements implemented from 10 April 2022 onwards by the relevant Mainland authorities that all cross-boundary goods vehicle drivers who entered Shenzhen via Shenzhen port or Zhuhai via Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge had to present proof of a negative result of a nucleic acid test conducted within the previous seven days, Dr Junius HO was concerned that some drivers might face difficulties in complying with these requirements, thereby affecting the supply of food and daily necessities to Hong Kong. SFH advised that the Transport and Housing Bureau was closely liaising with the relevant Mainland authorities and the

Action

transport trade on the issue, with a view to ensuring the normal operation of cross-boundary freight logistics in the joint efforts to combat the pandemic.

Motions proposed by members

27. The Chairman said that due to time constraint, a motion proposed by Ms Alice MAK and another motion jointly proposed by Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Ms Starry LEE and Ms Elizabeth QUAT, the wording of which was tabled at the meeting, could not be proceeded with at the meeting but would be put on record (see the Appendix). Members raised no queries.

**II. Any other business**

28. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 2:00 pm.

Council Business Division 4  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
18 February 2021

衛生事務委員會  
Panel on Health Services

在 2020 年 4 月 8 日特別會議上因時間所限  
未獲處理的擬提出的議案

**Proposed motions not proceeded with at the special meeting on 8 April 2020  
due to time constraint**

議案一：

本委員會要求嚴格執行《預防及控制疾病條例》(第 599 章)下，各種因應防疫抗疫需要而訂立的新規例，確保所有市民，包括立法會議員遵守該些規例，對違法人士，不論其身份地位進行檢控，向社會發出正確的信息，令本港各界能夠同心協力地進行防疫抗疫工作。

動議人：麥美娟議員

(Translation)

Motion 1:

This Panel requests the strict enforcement of the various new regulations made under the Prevention and Control of Disease Ordinance (Cap. 599) to meet the anti-epidemic needs to ensure that all members of the public, including Members of the Legislative Council, will comply with the regulations, and offenders will be prosecuted irrespective of their social status or positions, conveying a correct message to the community in order that various sectors of Hong Kong will join in the concerted efforts in the anti-epidemic work.

Moved by : Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen

議案二：

對於有立法會議員疑違反"限聚令"，並作出不實的解釋，對公眾自覺嚴格遵守有關法律造成極壞效果，本委員會要求政府更加深入向公眾解釋法例的細節，並嚴肅跟進調查事件，以彰顯法律面前人人平等，及警惕社會保持謹慎防疫措施。

動議人：李慧琼議員、葛珮帆議員、黃定光議員

(Translation)

Motion 2:

As it is suspected that a Member of the Legislative Council has contravened the "prohibition on group gathering" and made a wrongful explanation, posing a very negative impact on public awareness in strict compliance with the relevant legislation, this Panel requests the Government to further explain the legislation in detail to members of the public, as well as follow up on and investigate the case in a serious manner, so as to demonstrate that all persons are equal before the law and remind the public to stay vigilant by taking prudent anti-epidemic measures.

Moved by : Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, Hon Elizabeth QUAT, Hon  
WONG Ting-kwong