

立法會 *Legislative Council*

立法會 CB(3)1671/98-99 號文件

1999 年 5 月 28 日內務委員會會議文件

定於 1999 年 6 月 9 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

(議員可能在正式預告期限前作出修改)

提問者：

- | | | |
|------|-------|--------|
| (1) | 吳亮星議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (2) | 李永達議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (3) | 陳婉嫻議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (4) | 楊耀忠議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (5) | 馬逢國議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (6) | 張永林議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (7) | 李卓人議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (8) | 涂謹申議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (9) | 程介南議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (10) | 鄭家富議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (11) | 楊孝華議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (12) | 劉健儀議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (13) | 羅致光議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (14) | 何鍾泰議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (15) | 鄧兆棠議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (16) | 張文光議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (17) | 單仲偕議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (18) | 陸恭蕙議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (19) | 劉江華議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (20) | 張永森議員 | (書面答覆) |

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 1671/98-99

**Paper for the House Committee meeting
on 28 May 1999**

**Questions scheduled for the
Legislative Council meeting on 9 June 1999**

(Subject to change by Members before expiry of formal notice period)

Questions by:

- | | | |
|------|----------------------|-----------------|
| (1) | Hon NG Leung-sing | (Oral reply) |
| (2) | Hon LEE Wing-tat | (Oral reply) |
| (3) | Hon CHAN Yuen-han | (Oral reply) |
| (4) | Hon YEUNG Yiu-chung | (Oral reply) |
| (5) | Hon MA Fung-kwok | (Oral reply) |
| (6) | Hon Ambrose CHEUNG | (Oral reply) |
| (7) | Hon LEE Cheuk-yan | (Written reply) |
| (8) | Hon James TO | (Written reply) |
| (9) | Hon CHENG Kai-nam | (Written reply) |
| (10) | Hon Andrew CHENG | (Written reply) |
| (11) | Hon Howard YOUNG | (Written reply) |
| (12) | Hon Mrs Miriam LAU | (Written reply) |
| (13) | Hon LAW Chi-kwong | (Written reply) |
| (14) | Dr Hon HO Chung-tai | (Written reply) |
| (15) | Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong | (Written reply) |
| (16) | Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong | (Written reply) |
| (17) | Hon SIN Chung-kai | (Written reply) |
| (18) | Hon Christine LOH | (Written reply) |
| (19) | Hon LAU Kong-wah | (Written reply) |
| (20) | Hon Ambrose CHEUNG | (Written reply) |

註：
NOTE：

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

初稿

#(1) 吳亮星議員（口頭答覆）

鑑於當局未能在公開招聘中覓得適當人選出任旅遊事務專員，暫時委任了一名外籍官員出任該職位。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）該次公開招聘未能覓得適當人選的主要原因；
- （二）有否評估出任旅遊事務專員一職的人士是否必須通曉中文，並對本地風俗文化有深入了解；及
- （三）會否考慮就降低該職位的入職要求諮詢旅遊業界？

(1) Hon NG Leung-sing (Oral reply)

An expatriate official has been temporarily appointed as the Commissioner for Tourism after the open recruitment exercise failed to identify a suitable candidate for the post. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the major reasons for not being able to identify a suitable candidate in the open recruitment exercise;
- (b) whether it has assessed if the person appointed to the post of Commissioner for Tourism must be proficient in Chinese and have a good understanding of local custom and culture; and
- (c) whether it will consider consulting the travel industry on lowering the requisite requirements for the post?

初稿

#(2) 李永達議員（口頭答覆）

根據現行規定，過往曾透過居者有其屋計劃、夾心階層住屋計劃、自置居所貸款計劃及首次置業貸款計劃獲得協助的置業人士及其配偶，均沒有資格再獲政府協助置業。就此，政府可否告知本會，業主與配偶離異後，其配偶可能已不再是有關物業的聯名業主，但為何仍須受該項規定限制？

(2) Hon LEE Wing-tat (Oral reply)

Under the existing regulations, home buyers and their spouses who have previously received assistance under the Home Ownership Scheme, the Sandwich Class Housing Scheme, the Home Purchase Loan Scheme and the Home Starter Loan Scheme are not eligible for home purchase assistance provided by the Government. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council why the divorced spouse of a property owner should still be subject to such a regulation when he or she might no longer be a joint owner of the property concerned upon divorce?

初稿

#(3) 陳婉嫻議員（口頭答覆）

據悉，當局把原本不屬公共屋邨範圍的地方（例如斜坡、連接屋邨的道路及行人天橋），納入根據租者置其屋計劃（“租置計劃”）出售的屋邨的政府土地契約（“土地契約”）及公契內，以致有關公屋業主日後須負責該等地的維修及保養。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）租置計劃第二期推行至今，因不同意土地契約及公契的新訂條款而於房屋委員會（“房委會”）接納認購書前撤回申請的個案數目，及於房委會接納認購書後退出申請的個案數目；該等個案分別佔全部撤回或退出申請個案的百分率，這些數字與租置計劃第一期的有關數字有何分別；
- （二）房委會會否因應申請人的要求，更改土地契約及公契的條款；及
- （三）有何機制消除房委會與申請人在此方面的分歧？

初稿

(3) Hon CHAN Yuen-han (Oral reply)

It is learnt that places such as slopes and roads and footbridges connecting different public housing estates, which were originally excluded from the estate area, have been included in the Government Leases ("Leases") and Deed of Mutual Covenants ("DMCs") of the estates identified for sale under the Tenants Purchase Scheme ("TPS"). As a result, the relevant owners will have to shoulder the responsibility for the repairs and maintenance of those places in future. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the respective numbers of withdrawals made prior to the acceptance of the tenants' offers by the Housing Authority ("HA") and rescinded cases after the offers have been accepted, since the launch of TPS Phase 2, for reasons of disagreement with the new terms of the Leases and DMCs; the percentages of those cases against the total number of withdrawn/rescinded cases; how these figures compare with those of TPS Phase 1;
- (b) whether the HA will revise the terms of the Leases and DMCs having regard to the applicants' requests; and
- (c) of the mechanism in place to iron out the differences between the HA and the applicants in this respect?

初稿

Handling of complaints by Hong Kong residents arising from their activities in the Mainland

#(4) 楊耀忠議員（口頭答覆）

鑑於香港與內地的交往日益頻繁，港人在內地引起的糾紛不斷增加，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）過去 3 年，政府每年收到多少宗涉及內地的投訴；請按類別統計列出；政府是如何處理這些投訴的；
- （二）駐京辦事處成立後共收到多少宗港人的投訴；請按類別統計列出；駐京辦事處是如何處理這些投訴的；及
- （三）現時港人在內地碰到困難時在內地和香港分別有何投訴渠道；政府會否擴大駐京辦事處的職能，去協助在內地有需要的港人；若然，詳情為何；若否，原因為何？

初稿

#(5) 馬逢國議員 (口頭答覆)

政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 現時有多少條生產線已獲海關關長批予製造光碟的特許；以光碟數量計算，該等生產線每日的總生產力為何；及
- (二) 根據香港海關的光碟進出口紀錄，本港製造的光碟在過去 6 個月的出口量為何？

(5) Hon MA Fung-kwok (Oral Reply)

Will the Government inform this Council of:

- (a) the current number of production lines granted a licence to manufacture optical discs by the Commissioner of Customs and Excise, and their total production capacity each day in terms of the number of optical discs manufactured; and
- (b) the number of locally-manufactured optical discs exported from Hong Kong in the past six months, according to the Customs and Excise Department's records of the import and export of optical discs?

初稿

Potential breach of the Basic Law and relevant International Covenant as a result of dissolution of the Provisional Municipal Councils

#(6) 張永森議員（口頭答覆）

根據行政當局於 1999 年 4 月 23 日向本會提交之《提供市政服務（重組）條例草案》，倘若獲得通過，將於 1999 年 12 月 31 日解散兩個市政局。然而基本法第 39 條列明《公民權利及政治權利國際公約》適用於香港的有關規定繼續有效，而公約的第 25 條 1 款第 1 點列明公民有權利直接或經由自由選擇之代表參與政事。兩個市政局是現存三級議會中唯一擁有財政自主權制定市政服務政策的機構，是市民參與政事和制定市政服務的體現，根據基本法，公約的此部分應繼續有效。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）《提供市政服務（重組）條例草案》是否抵觸基本法第 39 條；若否，理據何在；及
- （二）政府以甚麼對該等機制保留市民透過民選議會，財政自主以制定市政服務政策的權利？

初稿

#(7) 李卓人議員（書面答覆）

教育統籌局局長曾於 1998 年 7 月 22 日立法會會議席上，承諾檢討《肺塵埃沉着病（補償）條例》（第 360 章）所訂的親屬喪亡之痛的補償金額，以及研究每 12 個月調整補償金額一次的建議。就此，政府可否告知本會，上述檢討和研究現時的進展和所得結果為何？

(7) Hon LEE Cheuk-yan (Written reply)

At the Legislative Council meeting on 22 July 1998, the Secretary for Education and Manpower undertook to review the amount of compensation for bereavement as provided in the Pneumoconiosis (Compensation) Ordinance (Cap. 360), and to study the proposal of adjusting the amount of compensation every 12 months. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council of the progress and findings of the above review and study?

初稿

#(8) 涂謹申議員（書面答覆）

香港現時採用世界衛生組織的水質指引（1993 年）標準（世衛標準）。據報，水務署最近曾進行一項全港各區的食水抽樣化驗，結果顯示在公共房屋的食水樣本當中，有 8% 的樣本含鐵銹量超出標準；而在私人樓宇的食水樣本當中，有 18% 的樣本含鐵銹量超出標準。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）是否知悉哪些國家採用世衛標準，以及哪些國家採用更嚴格的標準；
- （二）當局有否計劃全面抽樣化驗本港住宅水龍頭流出的食水的水質，並向食水樣本含鐵銹量超出世衛標準的住戶發出通知；及
- （三）在化驗食水水質是否符合世衛標準時，當局會否考慮採用由住戶水龍頭流出的食水樣本，而非在濾水廠剛經過濾的食水樣本？

(8) Hon James TO (Written Reply)

At present, Hong Kong adopts the standards stipulated in the World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality (1993) (WHO standards). It is reported that according to the recent territory-wide test on drinking water samples carried out by the Water Supplies Department, of the samples collected from public housing, the iron rust level of 8% of them exceeded the standard; of those from private housing, 18% exceeded the standard. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it knows the countries which adopt the WHO standards and the countries which adopt more stringent standards;
- (b) whether it has plans to conduct comprehensive tests on the water quality of domestic tap water, and notify those households whose water samples have iron rust levels exceeding the WHO standard; and
- (c) whether it will, in testing if the water quality of drinking water meets the standards, consider using domestic tap water instead of freshly treated drinking water from water treatment works?

初稿

#(9) 程介南議員（書面答覆）

當局在本年 5 月 12 日回答本人提出的質詢時指出，《建築物管理條例》（第 344 章）自 1993 年實施以來，被 165 個業主立案法團引用，其中有 156 個業主立案法團因而成功終止物業管理公司的委任，但有 9 個則失敗。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）該 165 個業主立案法團，平均每個法團的業主戶數為何；
- （二）該 165 個業主立案法團的物業管理公司中，有多少間是有關物業的發展商轄下的附屬公司；以及有關發展商在該等物業所擁有的平均業權份數為何；
- （三）在該 9 宗失敗個案中，業主立案法團未能成功終止委任物業管理公司的原因分別為何；及
- （四）當局有否計劃就該條例諮詢全港業主立案法團的意見；若然，諮詢時間表為何？

(9) Hon CHENG Kai-nam (Written reply)

In reply to my question on 12 May this year, the authority pointed out that since the implementation of the Building Management Ordinance (Cap. 344) in 1993, out of a total number of 165 owners' corporations, 156 of them have successfully invoked it to terminate the property managers' appointment while nine of them have been failed. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the average number of owner households of each of those 165 owners' corporations;
- (b) among the property managers appointed by those 165 owners' corporations, how many of them are the subsidiary companies of the property developers concerned; and the average number of ownership shares which are owned by the property developers concerned in those properties;
- (c) the respective reasons for failing to terminate the property managers' appointment in those nine failure cases; and
- (d) whether there is any plan to consult all the owners' corporations in Hong Kong on the Ordinance; if so, the timetable for the consultation?

初稿

Purchase of maternity equipment for Alice Ho Miu Ling Natersole Hospital

#(10) 鄭家富議員（書面答覆）

據悉，雅麗氏何妙齡那打素醫院已購置數百萬元的婦產科儀器，但由於醫院管理局（“醫管局”）其後決定該醫院不設婦產科服務，以致該等儀器從未使用。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）醫管局根據甚麼準則評估新界東婦產科服務的需求；醫管局如何滿足有關需求；
- （二）該批婦產科儀器送達該醫院至今有多久；
- （三）當局將如何處理該批婦產科儀器；及
- （四）現時是否仍有該醫院訂購的婦產科儀器尚未付運；若有，該等儀器的種類、數量及價值為何？

初稿

#(11) Hon Howard YOUNG (Written reply)

At present, Chinese passport holders who are issued with a valid visa or landing permit by a third authority and who have a valid air ticket to such a destination are allowed to stay in Hong Kong for seven days without an entry permit whilst they are en route to or from the Mainland. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council whether it will consider allowing such Chinese passport holders to enter or re-enter Hong Kong after they have visited Macau within that seven-day visa-free period; if not, why not?

(11) 楊孝華議員（書面答覆）

現時中國護照持有人如獲第三國家／地區政府簽發有效簽證或入境許可證，並持有前往該目的地的有效機票，在其往返內地途經香港時，可獲准在本港逗留 7 天，無須預先取得入境證。就此，政府可否告知本會，會否考慮准許此等中國護照持有人在該 7 天免簽證期內，在前往澳門後可在香港入境或再次入境；若否，原因為何？

初稿

#(12) Hon Mrs Miriam LAU (Written reply)

It is learnt that in conjunction with a franchised bus company, the Environmental Protection Department has completed a three-year trial on the effectiveness of diesel oxidation catalyst in reducing emissions by double decker buses. In this connection, will the Administration inform this Council:

- (a) of the results of the trial; and
- (b) whether it will conduct similar trials on other diesel-powered vehicles, such as light buses, trucks and taxis; if so, what the details are; if not, the reasons for that?

(12) 劉健儀議員 (書面答覆)

據悉，環境保護署曾與一間專利巴士公司共同進行一項為期 3 年的試驗計劃，以研究柴油催化器在減少雙層巴士排放廢氣方面的效用，該項試驗計劃現已完成。就此，政府當局可否告知本會：

- (一) 該項試驗計劃的結果；及
- (二) 會否就其他柴油車輛例如小型巴士、貨車及的士等，進行類似的試驗計劃；若然，有關詳情為何；若否，原因為何？

初稿

Services of SWD

#(13) 羅致光議員（書面答覆）

社會福利署在來年將重新調撥資源，並運用 1999 年至 2000 年度所節省的資源，去提供新服務或改善服務。就此，政府可否告知本會：

（一）在九九至零零年度所節省的是那些項目的資源，請詳列具體項目及節省金額；其中有否包括由七折支薪所節省的資源；若有，有關款項為何；

（二）因節省資源而得以新增或改善的服務，包括下列五項：

- (i) 為多接受院舍照顧的長者提供照顧老人癡呆症患者補助金；
- (ii) 加強社會福利署的服務表現事務組的服務，推行對福利服務的表現監察制度；
- (iii) 在社署設立合約管理組，協助推行服務外發的措施；
- (iv) 增設 48 個兼收殘疾兒童幼兒中心名額；
- (v) 開設 5 個小組，以審查申請入住安老院舍的長者是否符合資格；

請列(i)項的受惠老人數目；及(i)至(iv)項新增服務的預算款項？

初稿

#(14) 何鍾泰議員（書面答覆）

據報，澳洲一名青年在油站入油時，所持的手提電話響起、產生火花並發生爆炸，以致該名青年當場死亡。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）是否知悉該宗事件的詳情；
- （二）是否知悉全球曾發生多少宗類似事件；涉及的傷亡數字為何；及
- （三）當局有否採取措施，勸喻市民在油站內不要使用或應關掉手提電話？

(14) Dr Hon HO Chung-tai (Written Reply)

It is reported that in Australia, a youth was killed in a petrol station as his mobile phone rang and sparked an explosion while he was having his car refuelled. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it knows the details of the above incident;
- (b) whether it knows the global number of similar accidents and the casualties involved; and
- (c) whether measures have been taken to advise the public not to use mobile phones or to turn the phones off while they are in a petrol station?

初稿

#(15) 鄧兆棠議員（書面答覆）

就本港中、小學生的體重問題，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）衛生署有否為不同年齡的中、小學生制訂正常體重的標準；
 - （i）若有，有否統計全港學生的體重超出或低於該等標準體重百分之十的佔學生總數比例分別為何；及
 - （ii）若沒有，當局會否考慮制訂有關正常體重標準；
- （二）衛生署有否評估不正常體重對學生身心的健康發展的影響；若有，結果為何；若否，原因為何；
- （三）衛生署每年為中、小學生所提供的健康檢查有否包括轉介體重不正常的學生接受治療或心理輔導；
 - （i）若有，該署發給前線醫護人員的工作指引、轉介程序、以及過去3年的轉介數字為何；及
 - （ii）若否，原因為何；
- （四）衛生署有否制訂措施，鼓勵或協助學校或志願團體舉辦訓練班，幫助體重不正常的學生達至正常體重；及
- （五）衛生署有否制訂針對學生、教師、家長及公眾等不同類別人士的宣傳及教育計劃，認識正常體重的的重要性；若有制訂，該等計劃的詳情為何；若沒有，原因為何？

初稿

(15) Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong (Written Reply)

With regard to the body weight of primary and secondary students, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether the Department of Health has set standard weights for primary and secondary students of different ages;
 - (i) if so, whether statistics are available on the proportion of students in Hong Kong whose weights are 10% below or above the standard against all students in the territory;
 - (ii) if not, whether consideration will be given to setting the relevant standard weights;
- (b) whether the Department of Health has assessed the impact of abnormal body weight on the physical and mental health development of the students; if so, of the results; if not, why not;
- (c) whether the annual health screening service provided by the Department of Health to primary and secondary students includes referring students with abnormal body weight for treatment or psychological service;
 - (i) if so, of the operational guidelines given to front-line health care personnel, the referral procedures and the numbers of referrals over the past three years; and
 - (ii) if not, why not;
- (d) whether the Department of Health has drawn up measures to encourage or assist schools or voluntary agencies to organize training courses to help students with abnormal body weight achieve normal weight; and
- (e) whether the Department of Health has drawn up publicity and education programmes targeting at different types of people such as students, teachers, parents and the public, for them to recognize the importance of normal body weight; if so, of the details of the programmes; if not, why not?

初稿

#(16) 張文光議員（書面答覆）

香港法例第 335 章《保障投資者條例》第 3 及 8 條禁止就投資事宜作出失實陳述的行為，與香港法例第 32 章《公司條例》第 40A 條及第 333 章《證券條例》第 138 條的目的相同。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）哪些機構負責執行《保障投資者條例》；
- （二）是否知悉過去 3 年，按投資產品分類，該等機構引用《保障投資者條例》就作出失實陳述的行為進行調查或檢控的個案數目；當中被定罪的個案數目、違法行為與處分為何；
- （三）是否知悉過去 3 年，每年投資者引用《保障投資者條例》第 8 條提起的民事訴訟個案數目；當中被法庭判處投資者勝訴的個案數目；及
- （四）在保障投資者利益方面而言，《保障投資者條例》與《公司條例》或《證券條例》有何不同？

初稿

(16) Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong (Written reply)

Sections 3 and 8 of the Protection of Investors Ordinance (Cap. 335) prohibit acts of misrepresentation in respect of investments, with the same objectives as Section 40A of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32) and Section 138 of the Securities Ordinance (Cap. 333). In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the organizations responsible for enforcing the Protection of Investors Ordinance;
- (b) whether it knows, as classified by investment products, the number of cases involving acts of misrepresentation into which investigations have been made or against which prosecutions have been instituted by those organizations under the Protection of Investors Ordinance over the past three years; the number of convictions, the offences and the penalties of these cases;
- (c) whether it knows the number of cases in which civil proceedings were brought by investors under section 8 of the Protection of Investors Ordinance in each of the past three years; the number of cases in which the court passed judgement in favour of the investors; and
- (d) how the Protection of Investors Ordinance compares with the Companies Ordinance and the Securities Ordinance, as far as protection to the interests of investors is concerned?

初稿

#(17) Hon SIN Chung-kai (Written Reply)

Will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it is illegal for any person to send unsolicited Internet electronic mails ("e-mails"); if not, the reasons for that; and
- (b) of the measures that the Government has taken or will take to protect e-mail users from receiving unsolicited e-mails?

(17) 單仲偕議員（書面答覆）

政府可否告知本會：

- （一）任何人在對方未有要求的情況下在互聯網向他人發送電子郵件（電郵），是否違法行爲；若否，原因爲何；及
- （二）政府已採取或將會採取何種措施保障電郵用戶，確保他們不會接獲其未有要求取得的電郵？

初稿

#(18) Hon Christine LOH (Written reply)

Regarding the Cyberport project, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether the related environmental impact assessment ("EIA") study was completed in March 1999; if so, why the Southern Provisional District Board was not informed of the findings of this study when it considered the project on 22 March 1999;
- (b) of the impact on air and noise of the project;
- (c) whether reclamation will be involved; if so, the extent of it; and
- (d) whether Route 7 will precede the project?

(18) 陸恭蕙議員 (書面答覆)

關於數碼港計劃，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 有關的環境影響評估研究是否已在 1999 年 3 月完成；若然，為何南區臨時區議會在 1999 年 3 月 22 日考慮該計劃時未有獲告知研究結果；
- (二) 該計劃在空氣及噪音方面造成的影響；
- (三) 該計劃需否進行填海工程；若然，工程範圍為何；及
- (四) 興建七號幹線的工程會否先於該計劃進行？

初稿

#(19) 劉江華議員（書面答覆）

據報，本港最近發現首宗病人感染抗萬古霉素金黃葡萄球菌，病人其後死亡。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- （一）病人感染該種細菌後有何病徵；
- （二）哪些種類細菌對抗生素有抗藥性；過去 3 年，因感染該等細菌而死亡的人數按細菌分類為何；
- （三）當局就細菌具有抗藥性有何對策；若沒有對策，會否進行研究；及
- （四）有否計劃加強向醫護人員及市民宣傳，濫用抗生素會產生細菌抗藥性問題？

(19) Hon LAU Kong-wah (Written reply)

It is reported that Hong Kong has recently found its first case in which a patient was infected with vancomycin-resistant staphylococcus aureus ("VRSA") and died subsequently. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the symptoms of a patient who is infected with VRSA;
- (b) of the types of bacteria which are drug resistant to antibiotics; a breakdown by types of bacteria of the numbers of deaths arising from infection of those bacteria over the past three years;
- (c) of the measures in place to combat drug resistance of bacteria; whether it will pursue such measures if they are not available; and
- (b) whether it has planned to enhance publicity efforts to inform the health care personnel and the general public that antibiotic abuse will result in drug resistance of bacteria?

初稿

Leasing of a piece of land in Tai Hang Sai Village to a company

#(20) 張永森議員（書面答覆）

政府於 1959-1962 年期間以低於市值及特惠條件批地予香港平民屋宇公司，並向該公司提供貸款，在大坑西興建房屋作出租之用。就此，政府可否告知本會；

- （一）政府如何監察該公司落實及執行有關批地條件；
- （二）該公司的性質跟「香港房屋委員會」及「香港房屋協會」相似，當局有否考慮引入機制監察該公司的運作；及
- （三）目前有哪些類似個案；當局有否計劃日後以同樣形式批地予私人公司作興建房屋出租之用？