

**I. Summary of the main issues raised by deputations at the meeting on 13 April 1999**

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Clauses in the Bill</b>	<b>Position</b>
<p>1. Integrated use of Chinese medicine (CM) and Western medicine should be allowed in the treatment of patients. For example, some existing medical practitioners have been using acupuncture and Chinese herbal medicines in the treatment of patients.</p>	<p>2 "practising Chinese medicine" under "Interpretation"</p>	<p>Discussed on 5.5.99 and 18.5.99. Members supported allowing existing acupuncture practitioners or other health care personnel (including medical practitioners, chiropractors and physiotherapists etc.) to continue using to acupuncture in their treatment of patients as and when necessary. However, they would be subject to assessment by the Chinese Medicine Council. In the future, only persons who meet the requirements for registration as a Chinese medicine practitioner can practise acupuncture. Adm to consult the sector on this proposal.</p>
<p>2. The Hong Kong Medical Council (HKMC) is concerned about the scope of "practising Chinese Medicine" which is not clearly defined in the Bill and suggests that there should be a clear distinction in the law between the practice of CM and the practice of Western Medicine. They consider that only registered medical practitioners should be allowed to practice Western medicine and only registered Chinese medicine practitioners (and Chinese medicine practitioners during the transitional period) can practise CM.</p>	<p>2 "practising Chinese medicine" under "Interpretation"</p>	<p>Discussed on 5.5.99.</p>

<p>3. HKMC proposes an exemption clause be added to clause 108(3) of the Bill specifying that "subsection (2) shall not apply to any treatment by way of <u>modern scientific method</u> by a medical practitioner registered under the MRO".</p>	<p>108(3)</p>	<p>Discussed on 5.5.99. HKMC's suggestion was not supported.</p>
<p>4. There are no provisions in the Bill to govern the use of titles like “醫生” , “中醫生” and “專科醫師” by Chinese medicine practitioners.</p>	<p>74</p>	<p>Discussed on 5.5.99. Adm to prepare schedules explaining the use of titles.</p>
<p>5. The proposed requirement of having 15 years' practising experience for registration could not really guarantee the standards of the applicant. There must be a licensing examination held for <u>all</u> the applicants. Part-time Chinese medicine practitioners should also be allowed to take part in the examination.</p>	<p>93</p>	<p>Discussed on 5.5.99. Members had no disputes on the policy. "Part-time" cases will be dealt with by subsidiary legislation.</p>
<p>6. It should be shown on a Chinese medicine practitioner's practising certificate as to whether or not he/she has completed formal training on CM or has learnt CM by private studies.</p>	<p>76</p>	<p>Discussed on 5.5.99.</p>
<p>7. Adm to clarify whether the registration requirement also covers practitioners in "Qigong" and "Acupressure Massage".</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Adm explained the policy on 30.3.99. (Please see para. 15 of the minutes of the meeting.)</p>
<p>8. The Bill proposes that applicants holding "acceptable academic qualification" will be subject to a registration assessment. Adm should clarify what is meant by "acceptable academic qualification".</p>	<p>94(b)(ii)</p>	<p>Discussed on 18.5.99. Members agreed that Adm should list out the relevant criteria in the subsidiary legislation. Adm to consider the suggestion.</p>
<p>9. The Bill should provide for those reputable Chinese medicine practitioners in the Mainland to come to Hong Kong to practise CM.</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Discussed on 18.5.99. Proposal not supported.</p>

<p>10. Experience of practising CM in places outside Hong Kong should also be recognized for registration.</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Discussed on 18.5.99. Proposal not supported.</p>
<p>11. Adm should draw up a list of Chinese medicine training institutions outside Hong Kong which are considered to have reached a standard recognized by the CM Council. The graduates from these institutions should be allowed to take part in the Licensing Examination.</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Discussed on 18.5.99. Members had no disputes.</p>
<p>12. There are concerns about the practical problems involved in verifying the number of years of practising experience of an applicant for registration. It is considered that commercial registration certificates produced by an applicant could not prove his/her number of years of practising experience.</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Discussed on 18.5.99. The Chinese Medicine Practitioners Board will consider applications based on merits of individual cases.</p>
<p>13. The Adm should take into account the fact that most of the traders of proprietary Chinese medicine could not afford to make large investments and should avoid imposing too harsh requirements on them by the Bill.</p>	<p>-</p>	<p>Discussed on 18.5.99. Mrs Selina CHOW will prepare a paper explaining the concerns of the traders of proprietary Chinese medicine for discussion at the next meeting.</p>
<p>14. The Hong Kong Medicine Dealers' Guild is concerned about the meaning of "public interest" in clause 125 on "De-registration of proprietary Chinese medicines".</p>	<p>125</p>	<p>Discussed on 18.5.99. Members had no disputes. Adm to consider the drafting aspect of the clause.</p>