17. MAY. 2000 15:35

FACULTY OF LAW -HKU 852 255956 立法會CB(2)2063/99-00(02)號文件

LC Paper No. CB(2)2063/99-00(02)

杏



THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG FACULTY OF LAW

法律學院

4th Floor, K K Leung Building, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

告尽军技林这是练唱被4栋

港大

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

| TO: | Department of Justice | FROM | : Professor Albert H Y Chen |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| | | TEL. | : (852) 2859-2948 |
| ATTN: | Mr Robert Allcock 17/5 | FAX NO |).: (852) 2559-5690 |
| FAX NO. : | 2501 0371 | DATE | :17 May 00 |
| NO. OF PA | GES: 3 (including cover sheet) | | |
| | | | |

MESSAGE (if any):

Dear Bob

Re: Interpretation and General Clauses (Amendment) Bill

Thank you for speaking at our staff seminar on the above Bill. A number of teachers at our Faculty have now produced a joint submission to the Legco Bills Committee working on this Bill. We should be grateful if you could forward our views to the Committee.

The handwritten signatures have not been included as the colleagues concerned have signed "electronically" by E-mail.

Yours sincerely

(Neek

Professor Albert Chen

Dean

₹# Telephone

茂長 Dean 比器主任

学院辩公室

2859 2948

Fax International

(852) 2559 5690

2559 5690

文件 Telex 71919 CEREB HX

Faculty Secretary 2859 2947 Domestic Faculty Office 2859 2953

Cable UNIVERSITY, HONG KONG



THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG FACULTY OF LAW

法律學院

4th Floor, K K Leung Building, Pakfulam Road, Hong Kong

香港等技林迈美维器但4倍

O SG. Mile

2) SASLICGA)

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

| TO : Department of Justice | FROM : Professor Albert H Y Chen |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | TEL. : (852) 2859-2948 |
| ATTN: Mr Robert Allcock | FAX NO.: (852) 2559-5690 |
| FAX NO. : 2501 0371 | DATE : 18 May 00 |
| NO. OF PAGES: 1 | |

MESSAGE (if any):

Dear Bub

Re: Cap 1

I refer to my fax to you yesterday and am pleased to add that Professor Johannes Chan has now agreed to be added to the list of signatories.

Sincerely

€# Telephone

た女 Doen 戊语主任 Faculty Secretary 季段辦公宝

Faculty Office

2859 2948 359 2947

2859 2953

当券 International 本地 Domestie

(852) 2559 5690

2559 5690

●件 Telex 71919 CEREB HX ta Cable University, Hong Kong

TOTAL P.03



THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG FACULTY OF LAW

港 大

4th Floor, K K Leung Building, Phkfulam Road, Hong Kong

各签写版林道兼缮题被4核

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

| TO : Department of Justice | FROM: Professor Albert H Y Chen |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | TEL. : (852) 2859-2948 |
| ATTN: Nr Bob Allcock | FAX NO.: (852) 2559-5690 |
| FAX NO. : 2501 0371 | DATE : 22 May 00 |
| NO. OF PAGES: | |

MESSAGE (if any):

Bob, Dear

Re: Cap 1

- and Ms Janice Brabyn, Lacturer have

I am pleased to inform you that in addition to Johannes Chan, Mr J.A. McInnis, Associate Professor, has also agreed to become a signatory to our joint submission.

Sincerely-

Albert Chen

烂長

2359 2948 灰法主任

Faculty Secretory 2859 2947 多な神公宝 Faculty OSici 2859 2953

太地

M No.

Domestic

2559 5690

(852) 2559 5690

世体 Telex 71919 CEREB HX

Cable UNIVERSITY, HONG KONG

Our Views on the Interpretation and General Clauses (Amendment) Bill 1999 Use of Extrinsic Materials in the Interpretation of Ordinances

We are members of the teaching staff at the Faculty of Law of the University of Hong-Kong. We write in our individual capacity.

We are in support of the proposed legislation for the following reasons:

- We accept that a strong case for introducing the proposed legislation has been 1. made out by the Law Reform Commission in its well-researched Report issued in March 1997. We take the view that the proposed legislation is a useful and desirable piece of legislation which helps to clarify the existing common law position but which also preserves sufficient flexibility for the further development of the common law in this area.
- In particular, we believe it is preferable to have a bilingual statute clearly 2. explaining the use of extrinsic materials than to rely on a number of local and overseas judgments in English language. This would enhance the public's access to the law.
- We also note that the trend in the common law jurisdictions is towards 3. abolishing the artificial rules on admissibility and allowing the use of materials in court proceedings so long as they are relevant and reliable. Greater trust is placed on the court's ability to screen out irrelevant or unreliable materials in the adjudication process and on the use of case management to minimise abuse. One notable recent example is the abolition of the civil hearsay rule. We believe with the introduction of the proposed legislation, there will be a saving of the court's time (and hence costs) in dealing with technical arguments as to whether certain types of extrinsic materials can be admissible.
- As regards the concern of escalation of legal costs in conducting research on 4. extrinsic materials, it seems to us that with or without the proposed legislation, practitioners will still need to do the necessary research in view of Pepper v Hart [1993] AC 593. On the other hand, the proposed legislation sets out extrinsic materials that are prima facie relevant or reliable and so may save costs in conducting research on relevant cases dealing with the admissibility of extrinsic materials.
- We accept that there is no pressing need for the proposed legislation. However, 5. we think law reform can in suitable cases be proactive and not just be reactive to pressing need. We note that during the consultation process conducted by the Law Reform Commission in 1996, views were expressed to the effect that whilst there was a strong case for introducing legislation, it was not appropriate to

992

17_MOV_DAGA 15:37

implement the recommendations of the Law Reform Commission's proposal at a time of constitutional change in Hong Kong. 4 years have since passed (during which period the common law continues along the trend of Pepper v Hart) and we do not think any useful purpose will be served by adopting the "wait and see" approach. We understand that if the proposed legislation cannot be passed within the current LegCo session, the likelihood is that it will be shelved for the years to come. This means the use of extrinsic materials will continue to be governed by the existing complicated common law in this area (perhaps with further change developed by judicial decisions in a piece-meal manner) without the benefit of a bilingual legislation.

We would however like to stress that it is of vital importance that all the extrinsic materials set out in the proposed legislation be conveniently accessible to practitioners as well as to the general public. In particular, the proposed s.19A(2)(e) refers to any other relevant document that was laid before or furnished to LegCo members. This provision covers LegCo Briefs. We understand that the LegCo Briefs are now open to the public but in the past they were classified as confidential documents. As the proposed legislation applies also to previously enacted statutes, the Administration should take active steps to ensure that all those LegCo Briefs (and other documents furnished to the LegCo members) be made generally available and accessible to the public.

Dated the 17th of May 2000

List of Signatories

Anne R Carver
Carole Petersen
DR B Rwezaura
Dr Fu Hua Ling
Eric Cheung
Felix WH Chan
Jill Cottrell

Katherine Lynch
Professor Albert Chen
Professor Michael Wilkinson
Professor Roda Mushkat
Robert Morgan
Wilson Chow
Zhang Xian Chu

992

^{*} A member of the Law Reform Commission involved in the publication of the Report on Extrinsic Aids in 1997 and declare his interest accordingly