

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 232/98-99

**Paper for the House Committee meeting
on 11 September 1998**

**Questions scheduled for the
Legislative Council meeting on 16 September 1998**

Questions by:

- | | | | |
|------|--|-----------------|----------------------------|
| (1) | Hon David CHU | (Oral reply) | (Withdrawn on 9 September) |
| (2) | Hon Mrs Selina CHOW | (Oral reply) | |
| (3) | Hon CHAN Wing-chan | (Oral reply) | |
| (4) | Hon HO Chun-yan | (Oral reply) | |
| (5) | Hon Howard YOUNG | (Oral reply) | |
| (6) | Dr Hon HO Chung-tai | (Oral reply) | |
| (7) | Hon CHAN Kam-lam | (Written reply) | |
| (8) | Hon Bernard CHAN | (Written reply) | |
| (9) | Hon Mrs Miriam LAU | (Written reply) | |
| (10) | Hon Eric LI | (Written reply) | |
| (11) | Hon Mrs Sophie LEUNG | (Written reply) | |
| (12) | Hon CHAN Yuen-han | (Written reply) | |
| (13) | Dr Hon LEONG Che-hung | (Written reply) | |
| (14) | Hon TSANG Yok-sing | (Written reply) | |
| (15) | Dr Hon David LI | (Written reply) | |
| (16) | Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung | (Written reply) | |
| (17) | Hon Christine LOH | (Written reply) | |
| (18) | Hon HO Chun-yan | (Written reply) | |
| | <i>(Replacing the question previously placed under this number.)</i> | | |
| (19) | Hon LAU Kong-wah | (Written reply) | |
| (20) | Hon Fred LI | (Written reply) | |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

初 稿

Government rates on vacant commercial premises

#(1) 朱幼麟議員 (口頭答覆)

政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 為何在 95 年 7 月取消對空置的商用樓宇免收差餉措施；及
- (b) 鑑於現今香港經濟疲弱，物業市道不佳，政府會否考慮再次實施該等措施，並將範圍擴闊至包括住宅樓宇；如會，具體計劃如何；如否，理由為何？

初 稿

Wrongful grant of child care centre fee assistance

#(2) 周梁淑怡議員 (口頭答覆)

政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 是否錯誤批出「幼兒中心繳費資助」給外籍傭工；原因何在；
- (b) 繼錯誤批出綜緩金予億萬富翁之後，又錯誤批出幼兒資助予外籍傭工，政府部門的資助撥款批核及監察制度是否有檢討的必要；及
- (c) 有否統計錯誤批出的個案數目及花費款項？

初 稿

Train services of Airport Express and Tung Chung line

#(3) 陳榮燦議員 (口頭答覆)

機場快線及東涌線列車自從開始投入服務以來，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 兩線列車運作如何，電腦訊號系統運作如何；
- (b) 兩線列車每班開出的時間是否預算一樣；平均幾分鐘一班；若不準時，其原因為何；
- (c) 兩線列車的乘客量分別為何；及
- (d) 何時會增加列車班次？

初 稿

Utilization of cross-harbour tunnels

#(4) 何俊仁議員 (口頭答覆)

雖然現時有 3 條過海隧道（紅隧、東隧、西隧），但由於收費不同，令部份隧道之使用量偏低，以致未能於繁忙時段有效地疏道紅隧之擠塞情況。為此，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 3 條過海隧道原先設計可承擔之交通流量如何；
- (b) 現時 3 條過海隧道在繁忙時段之交通流量如何；較設計上可承擔之交通流量相差多少；
- (c) 在東隧和西隧通車後，對紓緩紅隧擠塞之成效如何；
- (d) 現時西隧在繁忙時段使用量偏低是否造成社會資源浪費；及
- (e) 政府會否採取措施，例如調節 3 條過海隧道之收費，令它們能更有效地被使用，進一步改善現時繁忙時段紅隧的擠塞情況？

初 稿

Review of restrictions on installation of neon signboards electronic flashing signboards

#(5) 楊考華議員 (口頭答覆)

以前政府因航機安全問題而嚴加規管霓虹光管招牌或電子閃動招牌的裝置，但機場現已遷離市區，政府可否告知本會，政府在短期內會否加速檢討此法例，對裝置這類招牌的限制放寬；若然，現在進展情況如何；若否，原因何在，是否有其他因素限制？

初 稿

Legislation on carriage of bulky machinery

#(6) 何鍾泰議員 (口頭答覆)

較早前，西貢公路南圍段，一輛載著巨型石屎打樁機的貨櫃拖頭及拖架，在彎位失控，將打樁機拋出車外，擊中鄰線迎面的一部私家車，造成兩死兩傷的慘劇。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 現時是否有嚴格的法例及安全標準監管運載重型機械的貨櫃拖頭及拖架裝置；
- (b) 同時，當局有甚麼措施去監管運載工程機械的程序和安全標準，以確保道路使用者的安全；及
- (c) 若有，當局是否有嚴格執行有關的法例及安全標準？

初 稿

Utilization of Western Harbour Crossing

#(7) 陳鑑林議員 (書面答覆)

就西區海底隧道使用率偏低的事宜，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 自該隧道通車以來，平均每月份的實際使用量為何；
- (b) 該實際使用量佔原來預計使用量，及隧道最高容量的百份比分別為何；及
- (c) 自新機場啓用以來，該隧道的使用量有否增長，若有，其增幅為何？

(有待修訂)
(To be revised)

初 稿

僱員補償援助基金

#(8) Hon Bernard CHAN (Written reply)

According to the 1996/97 annual report of the Employees' Compensation Assistance Fund Board (the Board), a total of 108 applications were settled at a cost of \$84 million from 1991 to 1997. Mr Justice Cheung suggested in *Lai Chi Pon Vs Toto Steel & Iron works Ltd & Others* (PI No 1149 of 1995) on 12 March 1997 that "the resources of the Fund can in fact be enhanced if the Board can take a more active role and enter into settlement negotiations with the plaintiff". Will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) how many cases were settled in 1997/98 and are still pending as from 1 April 1998;
- (b) how much did the settlements cost in 1997/98;
- (c) the Fund surplus as at 31 March 1998;
- (d) whether the surplus is adequate to deal with the pending cases; and
- (e) whether the Board will consider entering into settlement negotiations as suggested by Mr Justice Cheung?

初 稿

Improvement works to Sai Kung Road

#(9) 劉健儀議員 (書面答覆)

本年 7 月 19 日在西貢公路南圍段發生一宗嚴重交通意外，導致兩人死 4 人傷。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 過去 3 年，在西貢公路發生的交通意外數字為何；
- (b) 有否計劃改善西貢公路多處危險急彎，特別是清水灣道進入西貢公路一段、該公路的南圍段、北圍段及蠔涌段；若有，計劃的詳情為何；若否，原因為何；
- (c) 在未完成道路改善工程前，會否考慮禁止重型貨車使用西貢公路，而改用較平坦的西沙公路進出西貢；及
- (d) 會否考慮全面擴闊西貢公路，以改善西貢至市區的交通？

初 稿

Employment of people with a disability

#(10) 李家祥議員 (書面答覆)

鑑於弱能及傷殘人士失業率遠高於其他人士，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 現時政府共聘用了多少這類人士、其任職部門及其最高職級為何；
- (b) 現時政府聘用這些人士佔僱員總數的比率與私營公司比較如何；及
- (c) 現時這些人士的就業率及薪酬與本港平均數字比較如何？

初 稿

Water supply to Hong Kong from the mainland

#(11) 梁劉柔芬議員 (書面答覆)

香港與廣東省有供水協議，供水量由 1998 年 769 百萬立方米增加至 2004 年的 820 百萬立方米。政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 會採取甚麼措施確保水質符合標準；及
- (b) 有否制定緊急應變計劃，應付水質受到突如其來的嚴重污染時，香港食水供應不受影響；若有，計劃內容為何？

(有待修訂)
(To be revised)

初 稿

Issue of Certificates of Absence of Marriage Record

#(12) 陳婉嫻議員 (書面答覆)

就入境事務處停止簽發「無結婚紀錄證明書」，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 入境事務處（人民入境事務處）從何時開始簽發「無結婚紀錄證明書」；至今合共簽發了多少份「無結婚紀錄證明書」；
- (b) 一直以來，入境事務處依據甚麼條例所賦予的權力去簽發「無結婚紀錄證明書」；
- (c) 從今年 1 月起，申請及簽發「無結婚紀錄證明書」的數目為何；因停止簽發「無結婚紀錄證明書」而影響的申請個案有多少；目前入境事務處計劃如何處理有關申請；及
- (d) 以往入境事務處（人民入境事務處）簽發了「無結婚紀錄證明書」是否具有法律效力；若否，政府會如何補救？

初 稿

赤鱗角機場緊急醫療服務

#(13) Dr Hon LEONG Che-hung (Written reply)

In regard to the recent reports that patients requiring emergency medical treatment in the Chek Lap Kok Airport, including those on flight in the tarmac, would have to be charged before being treated, would the Administration inform this Council:

- (a) the rationale for charging patients requiring emergency medical service;
- (b) the number of private doctors commissioned by the Airport Authority to provide such medical service;
- (c) the level of charges and the criteria for receiving such services;
- (d) the target time of arrival, if any, upon receiving emergency call, for ambulances to reach the patients; and
- (e) whether it would consider asking the Port Health Section at the Airport to take up such emergency task?

初 稿

Impact of the court's ruling on the enforcement of "CIETAC"'s decisions in Hong Kong

#(14) 曾鈺成議員 (書面答覆)

今年初本港高院一宗案件內，范達理法官以香港成為特區後，紐約公約不再適用為由，判令「中國國際經濟貿易仲裁委員會」(CIETAC)所作的仲裁裁決，於回歸後不能在港法院申請執行。鑑於有關判令對香港經貿地位的影響引起關注，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 若紐約公約簽署國的仲裁裁決不能在港執行，會否影響本港作為金融、貿易及仲裁中心的地位；港府將作出甚麼措施補救；
- (b) 有關判令是否具有追溯力，即是否適用於回歸前已簽署載有CIETAC仲裁條款的合同；若這批合同發生問題申請仲裁，CIETAC對此作出仲裁裁決，但本港法院又不批准有關裁決執行時，港府將如何協助合同受害人；及
- (c) 本港現行的仲裁法規定，凡紐約公約簽署國之國際仲裁（包括CIETAC）的裁決，均可由香港法院頒布命令執行。上述判令是否影響到本港仲裁法的執行；影響範圍有多大？

初 稿

政府醫院病牀不足

#(15) Dr Hon David LI (Written reply)

It is reported that Queen Mary Hospital has added canvas beds to its 47-bed women's ward, which now houses more than 60 patients per day. A Hospital Authority spokesman said overcrowding was also a problem at other hospitals as demand for medical care among elderly patients has out- paced the increase in beds. Will the Government advise this Council:

- (a) whether patients who need to stay in hospitals for comprehensive treatment and observation are being released early due to the shortage of beds;
- (b) to what extent has the overcrowding situation affected the efficiency and morale of the front-line medical staff; and
- (c) will the Hospital Authority consider any long-term solutions to solve the problem of overcrowded government hospitals?

初 稿

Allocation of schools places for Form 6 students

#(16) 梁耀忠議員 (書面答覆)

鑑於近年中學會考放榜後，中五畢業生於報讀中六時，經常出現疲於奔命的混亂情況，某些學生甚至擁有優異成績也無法取得學位。政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 政府有否就中六收生機制作出檢討；若有，檢討結果為何；及
- (b) 政府會否考慮以中央派位方式分配中六學位，以解決上述混亂情況；若否，原因為何？

初 稿

丁屋政策檢討

#(17) Hon Christine LOH (Written reply)

On the 11 June 1997, at the 2nd Reading Debate on the Sex and Disability Discrimination (Miscellaneous Provisions) Bill 1996, the Secretary for Home Affairs undertook that the government would "set up a committee in the third quarter of this year to examine how best to replace the existing small house policy."

- (a) please give a full account of the work of this committee (its terms of reference, when did it start work, how many times has it met, who are the members of the committee etc);
- (b) whether the committee has come to any conclusion about "how best to replace the existing small house policy", and if not, when it expects to have conclusions; and;
- (c) whether the committee, as part of its work, has discussed its objective with those whose interest will be affected?

#(18) 何俊仁議員 (書面答覆)

就本港的交通意外問題，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 各交通意外黑點的主要成因為何；
- (b) 過去 3 年，在全港各交通意外黑點發生的意外所涉及的傷亡人數有多少；
- (c) 將會採取甚麼措施以減低在這些黑點發生交通意外的機會；
- (d) 本港交通意外發生比率與其他大城市的比較為何；
- (e) 運輸署和警方有否就交通意外的成因進行研究；若有，研究的範圍為何及所動用的資源有多少；及
- (f) 有否考慮撥款予本港的學術機構或聘請顧問公司，就本港交通意外的成因進行深入研究，以便尋求減低交通意外數字的方法？

(18) Hon HO Chun-yan (Written Reply)

In connection with the traffic accident problem in Hong Kong, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the major causes of traffic blackspots at various locations;
- (b) of the casualty tolls involved in accidents which took place in all the traffic blackspots in Hong Kong in the past three year;
- (c) of the measures to be taken to reduce the possible occurrence of traffic accidents in these blackspots;
- (d) of the traffic accident rate in Hong Kong as compared with other major cities;
- (e) whether the Transport Department and the Police have conducted any research on the causes of traffic accidents; if so, of the scope of the research and the amount of resources allocated for such purpose; and
- (f) whether it has considered funding local academic institutions or commissioning consultants for conducting in-depth studies on the causes of traffic accidents in Hong Kong, so as to identify the ways to reduce traffic accidents?

初 稿

Impact of constructions project for MTR Tsung Kwan O Extension on private land development

#(19) 劉江華議員 (書面答覆)

據悉地鐵將軍澳支線第二期工程需利用西貢坑口村第 234 私人地段的地底面積，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 地鐵將軍澳支線第二期工程會否影響第 234 私人地段的地質結構；
- (b) 地鐵支線工程會否限制了該地段的業權人將來要發展該幅土地的用途，其詳情如何；
- (c) 政府會否對業權人作出保證，以證明地鐵工程不影響將來的土地任何發展；若否，其原因何在；及
- (d) 若政府要徵用該私人地段的地下面積，政府會否對該地段的業權人作出賠償，賠償方案如何；若否，其原因何在？

初 稿

Issue of visit visa to Taiwan Officials

#(20) 李華明議員 (書面答覆)

就有關本港在回歸以後與台灣的关系，政府可否告知本會：

- (a) 比較回歸前，向台灣居民發出訪港簽證的程序及相關政策是否有改變；若有，詳情為何；
- (b) 較早時在台灣旅客的旅行證件上加蓋警告字句的措施，是由那位官員作出決定；其理據為何；
- (c) 發出訪港簽證給台灣官員的準則為何；及
- (d) 有否支持台灣加入世界貿易組織；若有，有何具體行動；若沒有，原因為何？